

Fundamental relation on Γ -hyperrings

H. Hedayati^a and B. Davvaz^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Babol University of Technology, Babol, Iran
hedayati143@gmail.com

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Yazd University, Yazd, Iran
davvaz@yazduni.ac.ir

Abstract

In this paper, we apply the concept of fundamental relation on Γ -hyperrings and obtain some related results. Specially, we show that there is a covariant functor between the category of Γ -hyperrings and the category of fundamental Γ/β^* -rings.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 20N20, 16Y99.

Keywords: Γ -ring, Γ -hyperring, fundamental relation, covariant functor.

1 Introduction

The theory of algebraic hyperstructures (or hypersystems) is a well established branch of classical algebraic theory. In the literature, the theory of hyperstructure was first initiated by Marty in 1934 [14] when he defined the hypergroups and began to investigate their properties with applications to groups, rational functions and algebraic functions. Some review of the theory of hyperstructures can be found in [3, 4, 5, 18]. In a recent monograph of Corsini and Leoreanu [4], the authors have collected numerous applications of algebraic hyperstructures, especially those from the last fifteen years to the following subjects: geometry, hypergraphs, binary relations, lattices, fuzzy sets and rough sets, automata, cryptography, codes, median algebras, relation algebras, artificial intelligence and probabilities. Another monograph is devoted especially to the study of hyperring theory, written by Davvaz and Leoreanu-Fotea [5]. It begins with some basic results concerning ring theory and algebraic hyperstructures, which represent

the most general algebraic context, in which the reality can be modelled. Several kinds of hyperrings are introduced and analyzed in this book.

The fundamental relation β^* was introduced on hypergroups by Koskas [11], for the first time and studied by many authors, for example see [3, 7, 8, 9, 20]. The fundamental relation is defined on hypergroups as the smallest equivalence relation so that the quotient would be a group. Vougiouklis in [19] defined the fundamental relation γ^* on a hyperring R as the smallest equivalence relation on R such that the quotient R/γ^* is a fundamental ring. Let R be a hyperring. Vougiouklis defined the relation γ as follows: $a\gamma b$ if and only if $\{a, b\} \subseteq u$, where u is a finite sum of finite products of elements of R (u may be a sum of only one element), and proved that γ^* is the transitive closure of γ . The fundamental equivalence relation extended to some classes of hyperrings by Anvariye, Davvaz, Hedayati, Mirvakili, Spartalis, Vougiouklis and others, for example see [1, 5, 10, 17, 18].

The notion of Γ -rings was introduced by N. Nobosawa in [15] and immediately after him in 1966, Barnes extended this notion and obtained more results [2]. Almost 10 years later Kyuno in [12, 13] investigated of new aspects of Γ -rings such as: prime Γ -rings and left and right unities of Γ -rings.

In this paper, we apply the concept of fundamental relation on Γ -hyperrings and obtain some related results. Specially, we show that there is a covariant functor between the category of Γ -hyperrings and the category of fundamental Γ/β^* -rings.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we gather all definitions and simple properties of Γ -rings and hyperstructures and set the notions.

Definition 2.1. ([2, 15]) Let $(M, +)$ and $(\Gamma, +)$ be commutative groups. Then M is said to be a Γ -ring, if there exists a mapping $\cdot : M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$ (the image is denoted by $x\alpha y$ for $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$) such that the following conditions are satisfied for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma$:

- (1) $(x + y)\alpha z = x\alpha z + y\alpha z$, $x\alpha(y + z) = x\alpha y + x\alpha z$;
- (2) $x(\alpha + \beta)y = x\alpha y + x\beta y$;
- (3) $(x\alpha y)\beta z = x\alpha(y\beta z)$;
- (4) $0_M\alpha y = x\alpha 0_M = 0_M$;

where 0_M is the zero element of M . In this case, by (M, Γ) we mean M is a Γ -ring.

Let M_1 be a Γ_1 -ring and M_2 a Γ_2 -ring. Then $(f, \psi) : (M_1, \Gamma_1) \rightarrow (M_2, \Gamma_2)$ is called a *homomorphism* if $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ and $\psi : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ are group homomorphisms and $f(x\gamma y) = f(x)\psi(\gamma)f(y)$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. A homomorphism $(f, \psi) : (M_1, \Gamma_1) \rightarrow (M_2, \Gamma_2)$ is called an *isomorphism* if $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ and $\psi : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ are group isomorphisms.

Let H be a non-empty set. A map $+$: $H \times H \rightarrow P^*(H)$ is called a *hyperoperation* or *join operation*, where $P^*(H)$ denotes the set of all non-empty subsets of H .

Definition 2.2. A non-empty set M together with a hyperoperation $+$ is called a *polygroup* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) for all $x, y, z \in M$, $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$;
- (2) for all $x \in M$, there exists a unique element $e \in M$ such that $e + x = x = x + e$ (we denote e by 0);
- (3) for all $x \in M$, there exists a unique element $x' \in M$ such that $e \in x + x' \cap x' + x$ (we denote x' by $-x$);
- (4) for all $x, y, z \in M$, $z \in x + y \implies x \in z - y \implies y \in z - x$.

A *canonical hypergroup* is a commutative polygroup. It is easy to see that every commutative group is a canonical hypergroup.

Let M_1 and M_2 be polygroups. Then $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is called a *homomorphism (good homomorphism)* if $f(xy) \subseteq f(x)f(y)$ ($f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$) for all $x, y \in M$. A homomorphism (good homomorphism) $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is called an *isomorphism (good isomorphism)* if f is one to one and onto.

Let $(M, +)$ be a polygroup. We define the relation β as follows:

$$a\beta b \iff \{a, b\} \subseteq u, \exists u \in U_M,$$

where $U_M = U$ is the set of all finite sums of the elements of M . By β^* we mean the *transitive closure* of β . It is shown that β^* is an equivalence relation. We denote the equivalence class of $a \in M$ by $\beta^*(a)$. Thus every element $u \in U$ can be written as

$$u = \sum_{\text{finite}} x, x \in M.$$

Then β^* is the smallest equivalence relation on M such that M/β^* is a group (see [3, 18]). The relation β^* is called the *fundamental relation* on M .

Definition 2.3. A triple $(R, +, \cdot)$ is called a *hyperring* if

- (1) $(R, +)$ is a canonical hypergroup;
- (2) (R, \cdot) is a semigroup having zero as a bilaterally absorbing element, i.e., $x \cdot 0 = 0 = 0 \cdot x$;
- (3) for all $a, b, c \in R$, $a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$ and $(b + c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + c \cdot a$.

A hyperring R is said to be with *identity element*, if there exists an element $1 \in R$ such that $x \cdot 1 = x = 1 \cdot x$ for all $x \in R$.

Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a hyperring. We define the relation γ as follows:

$$a\gamma b \iff \{a, b\} \subseteq u, \exists u \in U_R,$$

where $U_R = U$ is the set of all finite sum of finite products of the elements of R . By γ^* we mean the *transitive closure* of γ . It is shown that γ^* is an equivalence relation. We denote the equivalence class of $a \in R$ by $\gamma^*(a)$. Thus every element $u \in U$ can be written as

$$u = \sum_{j \in J} \prod_{i \in I_j} x_{i_j}, \quad x_{i_j} \in R.$$

Hence, every element $u \in U$ is a polynomial of elements of R with coefficients in \mathbb{N} (see [5, 18]). Then γ^* is the smallest equivalence relation on R such that R/γ^* is a ring. The relation γ^* is called the *fundamental relation* on R and has important role in the study of hyperstructure theory.

3 Fundamental relation on Γ -hyperrings

Definition 3.1. Let $(M, +)$ and $(\Gamma, +)$ be canonical hypergroups. Then M is said to be a Γ -*hyperring* if there exists a mapping $\cdot : M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow P^*(M)$ such that the following conditions are satisfied for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$:

- (1) $(x + y)\alpha z = x\alpha z + y\alpha z$, $x\alpha(y + z) = x\alpha y + x\alpha z$;
- (2) $x(\alpha + \beta)y = x\alpha y + x\beta y$;
- (3) $(x\alpha y)\beta z = x\alpha(y\beta z)$;
- (4) $0_M\alpha y = x\alpha 0_M = 0_M$;

where 0_M is the zero element of M . In this case, by (M, Γ) we mean M is a Γ -hyperring. Let M be a Γ -hyperring. We say M has an *identity element* if there exists an element 1_M such that $x \in 1_M\gamma x \cap x\gamma 1_M$ for all $x \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

i.e.,
Lemma 3.6. The sets $\bar{\sigma}(a) \oplus \bar{\sigma}(b)$ and $\bar{\sigma}(a) \circ \beta^*(\gamma) \circ \bar{\sigma}(b)$ are singleton,

$$\bar{\sigma}(a) \oplus \bar{\sigma}(b) = \{\bar{\sigma}(c) \mid c \in \bar{\sigma}(a) \circ \beta^*(\gamma) \circ \bar{\sigma}(b)\},$$

$$\bar{\sigma}(a) \oplus \bar{\sigma}(b) = \{\bar{\sigma}(c) \mid c \in \bar{\sigma}(a) + \bar{\sigma}(b)\},$$

define:
 Let $\bar{\sigma}$ be the transitive closure of σ . For all $a, b \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we

$$x\sigma y \iff \exists u \in \mathcal{N} : \{x, y\} \subseteq u.$$

Now, we define the relation σ on M as follows:

$$\mathcal{N} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \gamma_i b_i + \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \mid a_i, b_i, c_j \in M, \gamma_i \in \Gamma, m, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Let us denote the set $\mathcal{N}(M, \Gamma) = \mathcal{N}$ as follows:

Definition 3.5. Let M be a Γ -hyperring and β^* the fundamental relation on Γ . We define the relation σ^* as the smallest equivalence relation such that the quotient M/σ^* is a Γ/β^* -ring. Then the relation σ^* is called the *fundamental equivalence relation* on Γ -hyperring M , and M/σ^* is called the *fundamental Γ/β^* -ring*.

In what follows, M is a Γ -hyperring, unless otherwise specified.

Example 3.4. Let (P, \circ) be a canonical hyperring and N a subgroup of P . Define $*$: $P \times N \times P \rightarrow P^*(P)$ by (P) by $(x, n, y) \mapsto x * n * y = x \circ n \circ y$. It is easy to verify that P is an N -hyperring. By this example we obtain a large class of Γ -hyperrings.

for all $A, C \in M_{m,n}(R)$ and $B \in M_{n,m}(R)$. Then, it easy to verify that $M_{m,n}(R)$ is an $M_{n,m}(R)$ -hyperring.

$$A \circ B \circ C = \{Z \in M_{m,n}(R) \mid Z \in ABC\},$$

$P^*(M_{m,n}(R))$ by:
Example 3.3. Let R be a hyperring and $M_{m,n}(R)$ is the set of all $m \times n$ matrices with entries in R . We define \circ : $M_{m,n}(R) \times M_{n,m}(R) \times M_{m,n}(R) \rightarrow P^*(M_{m,n}(R))$ by:

Example 3.2. Let $(M, +, \cdot)$ be a hyperring and Γ be a hyperideal of M . We define \circ : $M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow P^*(M)$ by $\circ(a, \gamma, b) = a \circ \gamma \circ b = a \cdot \alpha \cdot b$, for $a, b \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Then, it is easy to verify that M is a Γ -hyperring.

Proof. Let $\bar{\sigma}(c) \in \bar{\sigma}(a) \oplus \bar{\sigma}(b)$. Then $c \in \bar{\sigma}(a) + \bar{\sigma}(b)$ so there exist $a' \in \bar{\sigma}(a)$ and $b' \in \bar{\sigma}(b)$ such that $c \in a' + b'$. It is enough we prove $\bar{\sigma}(z) = \bar{\sigma}(z')$ for all $z \in a + b$ and $z' \in a' + b'$. We know that $a'\bar{\sigma}a$ if and only if there exist $x_1, \dots, x_{m+1} \in M$ with $x_1 = a'$ and $x_{m+1} = a$ and there exist $u_1, \dots, u_m \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\{x_i, x_{i+1}\} \subseteq u_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Also $b'\bar{\sigma}b$ if and only if there exist $y_1, \dots, y_{n+1} \in M$ with $y_1 = b'$ and $y_{n+1} = b$ and there exist $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\{y_j, y_{j+1}\} \subseteq v_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \{x_i, x_{i+1}\} + y_1 \subseteq u_i + v_1, & i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1 \\ x_{m+1} + \{y_j, y_{j+1}\} \subseteq u_m + v_j, & j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

Therefore, $u_i + v_1 = t_i \in \mathcal{U}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ and $u_m + v_j = t_{m+j-1} \in \mathcal{U}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Now, choose the elements z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{m+n} such that $z_i \in x_i + y_1$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $z_{m+j} \in x_{m+1} + y_{j+1}$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. By using (*), we have $\{z_k, z_{k+1}\} \subseteq t_k$ for $k = 1, \dots, m+n-1$. So every element $z_1 \in x_1 + y_1 = a' + b'$ is equivalent to every element $z_{m+n} \in x_{m+1} + y_{m+n} = a + b$ with respect to the $\bar{\sigma}$. Therefore $|\bar{\sigma}(a) \oplus \bar{\sigma}(b)| = 1$ and we can write $\bar{\sigma}(a) \oplus \bar{\sigma}(b) = \bar{\sigma}(c)$ for all $c \in \bar{\sigma}(a) + \bar{\sigma}(b)$.

Now, we prove $|\bar{\sigma}(a) \circ \beta^*(\gamma) \circ \bar{\sigma}(b)| = 1$. Let $\bar{\sigma}(c) \in \bar{\sigma}(a) \circ \beta^*(\gamma) \circ \bar{\sigma}(b)$. Then $c \in \bar{\sigma}(a)\beta^*(\gamma)\bar{\sigma}(b)$. So there exist $a' \in \bar{\sigma}(a)$, $\gamma' \in \beta^*(\gamma)$ and $b' \in \bar{\sigma}(b)$ such that $c \in a'\gamma'b'$. It is enough we prove that for all $z \in a\gamma b$ and $z' \in a'\gamma'b'$, $\bar{\sigma}(z) = \bar{\sigma}(z')$.

We have $a'\bar{\sigma}a$ if and only if there exist $x_1, \dots, x_{m+1} \in M$ with $x_1 = a'$ and $x_{m+1} = a$ and there exist $u_1, \dots, u_m \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\{x_i, x_{i+1}\} \subseteq u_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Also, $b'\bar{\sigma}b$ if and only if there exist $y_1, \dots, y_{n+1} \in M$ with $y_1 = b'$ and $y_{n+1} = b$ and there exist $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\{y_j, y_{j+1}\} \subseteq v_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Also $\gamma'\beta^*\gamma$ if and only if there exist $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k+1} \in \Gamma$ with $\gamma_1 = \gamma'$ and $\gamma_{k+1} = \gamma$ and there exist $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_k \in U_\Gamma$ such that $\{\gamma_l, \gamma_{l+1}\} \subseteq \Delta_l$ for $1 \leq l \leq k$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{cases} \{x_i, x_{i+1}\} \cdot \{\gamma_l, \gamma_{l+1}\} \cdot y_1 \subseteq u_i \Delta_l v_1, & 1 \leq i \leq m-1, 1 \leq l \leq k \\ x_{m+1} \cdot \{\gamma_l, \gamma_{l+1}\} \cdot \{y_j, y_{j+1}\} \subseteq u_m \Delta_l v_j, & 1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq l \leq k. \end{cases} \quad (**)$$

Now, set $u_i \Delta_l v_1 = t_{il} \in \mathcal{U}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ and $1 \leq l \leq k$, and also $u_m \Delta_l v_j = t_{(m+j-1)l} \in \mathcal{U}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $1 \leq l \leq k$. So we can say $t_{ql} \in \mathcal{U}$ for $1 \leq q \leq m+n-1$ and $1 \leq l \leq k$. Now choose $z_{1l}, \dots, z_{(m+n)l} \in M$ such that $z_{il} \in x_i \gamma_l y_1$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq l \leq k$, and also $z_{(m+j)l} \in x_{m+1} \gamma_l y_{j+1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $1 \leq l \leq k$. Now, by using (**), we have $\{z_{ql}, z_{(q+1)(l+1)}\} \subseteq t_{ql}$ for $1 \leq q \leq m+n-1$ and $1 \leq l \leq k$. Hence for all $z_{11} \in x_1 \gamma_1 y_1 = a'\gamma'b'$ and $z_{(m+n)(k+1)} \in x_{m+1} \gamma_{k+1} y_{n+1} = a\gamma b$ we have $z_{11} \bar{\sigma} z_{(m+n)(k+1)}$. Therefore, $|\bar{\sigma}(a) \circ \beta^*(\gamma) \circ \bar{\sigma}(b)| = 1$. \square

$$\text{and } \forall a \in M, \exists b \in M \text{ such that } \mu(b) = \mu(a) \circ \beta^* \circ \gamma \circ \mu(b) \text{ and } \mu(b) = \mu(a) \circ \beta^* \circ \gamma \circ \mu(b).$$

$$\mu(a) \circ \beta^* \circ \gamma \circ \mu(b) = \mu(c), \forall c \in M \text{ such that } \mu(c) = \mu(a) \circ \beta^* \circ \gamma \circ \mu(b),$$

Proof. Let μ be an equivalence relation on M such that M/μ is a Γ/β^* -ring. We denote the equivalence class of $a \in M$ by \bar{a} . Then we have:

Lemma 3.9. $\bar{\sigma}$ is the smallest equivalence relation on M such that $M/\bar{\sigma}$ is a Γ/β^* -ring. In other words $\bar{\sigma} = \sigma^*$.

\square Therefore, $\bar{\sigma}(a) \in \bar{\sigma}(x) \circ \beta^* \circ \gamma \circ \bar{\sigma}(y) \oplus \bar{\sigma}(x) \circ \beta^* \circ \gamma \circ \bar{\sigma}(z)$. Conversely, suppose that $\bar{\sigma}(a) \in \bar{\sigma}(x) \circ \beta^* \circ \gamma \circ \bar{\sigma}(y) \oplus \bar{\sigma}(x) \circ \beta^* \circ \gamma \circ \bar{\sigma}(z)$. Then $\bar{\sigma}(a) = \bar{\sigma}(a_1) \oplus \bar{\sigma}(a_2)$, where we can assume that $a_1 \in x\alpha y$ and $a_2 \in x\alpha z$. By Lemma 3.6, we have $\bar{\sigma}(a) = \bar{\sigma}(b)$, where $b \in a_1 + a_2$. So, $b \in x\alpha y + x\alpha z = x\alpha(y+z)$. Therefore, $\bar{\sigma}(a) = \bar{\sigma}(b) \in \bar{\sigma}(x) \circ \beta^* \circ \gamma \circ \bar{\sigma}(y) \oplus \bar{\sigma}(x) \circ \beta^* \circ \gamma \circ \bar{\sigma}(z)$. \square

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\sigma}(a) &= \bar{\sigma}(a_1) \oplus \bar{\sigma}(a_2) \in \bar{\sigma}(x) \circ \beta^* \circ \gamma \circ \bar{\sigma}(y) \oplus \bar{\sigma}(x) \circ \beta^* \circ \gamma \circ \bar{\sigma}(z) \\ &\Leftrightarrow a_1 \in x\alpha(y+z) \\ &\Leftrightarrow a \in x\alpha y + x\alpha z \\ &\Leftrightarrow \bar{\sigma}(a_1) \in \bar{\sigma}(x) \circ \beta^* \circ \gamma \circ \bar{\sigma}(y) \oplus \bar{\sigma}(x) \circ \beta^* \circ \gamma \circ \bar{\sigma}(z) \end{aligned}$$

We only prove (i). The proofs of (ii) and (iii) are similar. Suppose that $\bar{\sigma}(a) \in \bar{\sigma}(x) \circ \beta^* \circ \gamma \circ \bar{\sigma}(y) \oplus \bar{\sigma}(x) \circ \beta^* \circ \gamma \circ \bar{\sigma}(z)$. By Lemma 3.6, we have $\bar{\sigma}(a) = \bar{\sigma}(a_1)$, where $a_1 \in x\alpha(y+z)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad \bar{\sigma}(a) &= \bar{\sigma}(a_1) \oplus \bar{\sigma}(a_2) \in \bar{\sigma}(x) \circ \beta^* \circ \gamma \circ \bar{\sigma}(y) \oplus \bar{\sigma}(x) \circ \beta^* \circ \gamma \circ \bar{\sigma}(z) \\ &\Leftrightarrow a_1 \in x\alpha(y+z) \\ &\Leftrightarrow a \in x\alpha y + x\alpha z \\ &\Leftrightarrow \bar{\sigma}(a) \in \bar{\sigma}(x) \circ \beta^* \circ \gamma \circ \bar{\sigma}(y) \oplus \bar{\sigma}(x) \circ \beta^* \circ \gamma \circ \bar{\sigma}(z) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For any $\bar{\sigma}(x), \bar{\sigma}(y), \bar{\sigma}(z) \in M/\bar{\sigma}$ and $\beta^*(\alpha), \beta^*(\gamma) \in \Gamma/\beta^*$ we prove:

Lemma 3.8. $M/\bar{\sigma}$ is a Γ/β^* -ring.

In the next lemma, by the help of a Γ -hyperring, we construct a fundamental Γ/β^* -ring.

Proof. Clearly, $\bar{\sigma}(0_M)$ is the zero element of $(M/\bar{\sigma}, \oplus)$, and for all $\bar{\sigma}(a) \in M/\bar{\sigma}$, $\bar{\sigma}(-a)$ is the opposite element such that $\bar{\sigma}(a) \oplus \bar{\sigma}(-a) = \bar{\sigma}(0_M)$. Also, associativity is obtained from associativity of $(M, +)$. \square

Lemma 3.7. $(M/\bar{\sigma}, \oplus)$ is a commutative group.

Thus, for every $A \subseteq \mu(a)$, $B \subseteq \mu(b)$ and $\theta \subseteq \beta^*(\gamma)$ we can write $\mu(a) \oplus \mu(b) = \mu(a + b) = \mu(A + B)$ and $\mu(a) \circ \beta^*(\gamma) \circ \mu(b) = \mu(a\gamma b) = \mu(A\theta B)$.

By induction we can extend these relations on finite sums. Then for all $u \in \mathcal{U}$ and $x \in u$ we have $\mu(x) = \mu(u)$. Hence for all $m \in M$, $x \in \sigma(m)$ implies $x \in \mu(m)$. Also μ is transitivity closed, so if $(x, m) \in \bar{\sigma}$ implies $(x, m) \in \mu$. Therefore, $\bar{\sigma}$ is the smallest equivalence relation such that $M/\bar{\sigma}$ is a Γ/β^* -ring. \square

Theorem 3.10. *The fundamental relation σ^* is the transitive closure of the relation σ .*

Proof. It is concluded by Lemmas 3.6, 3.7, 3.8 and 3.9. \square

Definition 3.11. Let M_1 be a Γ_1 -hyperring and M_2 a Γ_2 -hyperring. Then $(f, \psi) : (M_1, \Gamma_1) \rightarrow (M_2, \Gamma_2)$ is called a *homomorphism (good homomorphism)* if $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ and $\psi : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ are polygroups homomorphism (good homomorphism) and $f(x\gamma y) \subseteq f(x)\psi(\gamma)f(y)$ ($f(x\gamma y) = f(x)\psi(\gamma)f(y)$) for all $x, y \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. A homomorphism (good homomorphism) $(f, \psi) : (M_1, \Gamma_1) \rightarrow (M_2, \Gamma_2)$ is called an *isomorphism (good isomorphism)* if $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ and $\psi : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ are polygroup isomorphisms.

Lemma 3.12. *Let M be a Γ -hyperring, σ^* the fundamental relation on M and β^* the fundamental relation on Γ . Then $(\pi_M, \pi_\Gamma) : (M, \Gamma) \rightarrow (M/\sigma^*, \Gamma/\beta^*)$ is a good epimorphism, where $\pi_M : M \rightarrow M/\sigma^*$ is defined by $\pi_M(x) = \sigma^*(x)$, and $\pi_\Gamma : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/\beta^*$ is defined by $\pi_\Gamma(\gamma) = \beta^*(\gamma)$.*

Proof. Clearly π_M and π_Γ are well-defined and onto. We prove that (π_M, π_Γ) is a good homomorphism. It is easy to verify that $\pi_M(x + y) = \pi_M(x) \oplus \pi_M(y)$ and $\pi_\Gamma(\alpha + \gamma) = \pi_\Gamma(\alpha) \oplus \pi_\Gamma(\gamma)$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma$. We prove $\pi_M(x\gamma y) = \pi_M(x) \circ \pi_\Gamma(\gamma) \circ \pi_M(y)$. Let $z \in x\gamma y \subseteq \pi_M(x)\pi_\Gamma(\gamma)\pi_M(y)$. Then $\pi_M(z) \in \pi_M(x) \circ \pi_\Gamma(\gamma) \circ \pi_M(y)$. By Lemma 3.6, we know that $|\pi_M(x) \circ \pi_\Gamma(\gamma) \circ \pi_M(y)| = 1$, hence $\pi_M(z) = \pi_M(x) \circ \pi_\Gamma(\gamma) \circ \pi_M(y)$, consequently $\pi_M(x\gamma y) = \pi_M(x) \circ \pi_\Gamma(\gamma) \circ \pi_M(y)$. Therefore, (π_M, π_Γ) is a good epimorphism from (M, Γ) to $(M/\sigma^*, \Gamma/\beta^*)$. \square

Theorem 3.13. *Let M be a Γ -hyperring, σ^* the fundamental relation on M and β^* the fundamental relation on Γ .*

- (i) *If there exist $A, A' \subseteq \sigma^*(a)$ and $B, B' \subseteq \sigma^*(b)$ for some $a, b \in M$ such that $x + A \subseteq B$ and $x' + A' \subseteq B'$, then $x\sigma^*x'$.*
- (ii) *If M has identity element 1_M and $x\sigma^*x'$, then there exist $A, A' \subseteq \sigma^*(a)$, $B, B' \subseteq \sigma^*(b)$, $C, C' \subseteq \sigma^*(c)$ and $\Delta, \Delta' \subseteq \beta^*(\gamma)$ for some $a, b, c \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $x\Delta C \subseteq B \supseteq x + A$ and $x'\Delta'C' \subseteq B' \supseteq x' + A'$.*

Proof. (i) Since $A \subseteq \sigma^*(a)$ and $B \subseteq \sigma^*(b)$, so for all $t \in A$ and $s \in B$ we have $\sigma^*(a) = \sigma^*(t)$ and $\sigma^*(b) = \sigma^*(s)$. Hence $\pi_M(x) \oplus \pi_M(a) = \pi_M(b)$. Thus $\sigma^*(x) \oplus \sigma^*(a) = \sigma^*(b)$. Then $\sigma^*(x) = \sigma^*(b) \oplus \sigma^*(-a) = \sigma^*(x')$, which implies that $x\sigma^*x'$.

(ii) It is enough we take $A = A' = \sigma^*(0_M)$, $B = B' = \sigma^*(x) = \sigma^*(x')$, $C = C' = \sigma^*(1_M)$ and $\Delta = \Delta' = \beta^*(\gamma)$ for arbitrary $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Now, let $z \in x\Delta C \subseteq \sigma^*(x)\beta^*(\gamma)\sigma^*(1_M)$, then $\sigma^*(z) \in \sigma^*(x) \circ \beta^*(\gamma) \circ \sigma^*(1_M)$. But we know that $\sigma^*(x) \in \sigma^*(x) \circ \beta^*(\gamma) \circ \sigma^*(1_M)$ (since $\sigma^*(1_M)$ is the identity element of M/σ^*). In other hand, $|\sigma^*(x) \circ \beta^*(\gamma) \circ \sigma^*(1_M)| = 1$, so $\sigma^*(z) = \sigma^*(x)$, hence $z \in \sigma^*(x) = B$, thus $x\Delta C \subseteq B$.

Now, let $z \in x + A$. Then there exists $a \in A$ such that $z \in x + a$. Hence $\pi_M(z) = \pi_M(x) \oplus \pi_M(a)$. It follows that $\sigma^*(z) = \sigma^*(x) \oplus \sigma^*(0_M)$, which implies that $\sigma^*(z) = \sigma^*(x)$, i.e $z \in \sigma^*(x) = B$. Therefore, $x + A \subseteq B$. Similarly, we can prove that $x'\Delta'C' \subseteq B' \supseteq x' + A'$. \square

Theorem 3.14. *Let M be a Γ -hyperring, σ^* the fundamental relation on M and β^* the fundamental relation on Γ .*

- (i) $x \in \sigma^*(0_M)$ if and only if there exists $A \subseteq \sigma^*(a)$ for some $a \in M$ such that $x + A \subseteq A$.
- (ii) If M has the identity element 1_M , then $y \in \sigma^*(1_M)$ if and only if there exist $B \subseteq \sigma^*(b)$ and $\Delta \subseteq \beta^*(\gamma)$ for some $b \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $y\Delta B \subseteq B$.

Proof. (i) Let $x \in \sigma^*(0_M)$, $a \in M$ and $A = \sigma^*(a)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} z \in x + A &\Rightarrow \exists t \in A, z \in x + t \\ &\Rightarrow \sigma^*(z) = \sigma^*(x) \oplus \sigma^*(t) = \sigma^*(0_M) \oplus \sigma^*(a) = \sigma^*(a) \\ &\Rightarrow z \in \sigma^*(a) = A. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $x + A \subseteq A$.

Conversely, if there exists $A \subseteq \sigma^*(a)$ for some $a \in M$ such that $x + A \subseteq A$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^*(x) \oplus \sigma^*(a) = \sigma^*(a) &\Rightarrow \sigma^*(x) = \sigma^*(a) \oplus \sigma^*(-a) = \sigma^*(0_M) \\ &\Rightarrow x \in \sigma^*(0_M). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $y \in \sigma^*(1_M)$, $b \in M$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $B = \sigma^*(b)$ and $\Delta = \beta^*(\gamma)$. Let $z \in y\Delta B$, we have

$$\sigma^*(z) \in \sigma^*(y) \circ \beta^*(\gamma) \circ \sigma^*(b) = \sigma^*(1_M) \circ \beta^*(\gamma) \circ \sigma^*(b) = \sigma^*(b),$$

so $z \in \sigma^*(b) = B$, which implies that $y\Delta B \subseteq B$.

Conversely, suppose that there exist $B \subseteq \sigma^*(b)$ and $\Delta \subseteq \beta^*(\gamma)$ for some $b \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $y\Delta B \subseteq B$. Then

$$\sigma^*(y) \circ \beta^*(\gamma) \circ \sigma^*(b) = \sigma^*(b) = \sigma^*(1_M) \circ \beta^*(\gamma) \circ \sigma^*(b).$$

In other hand $\sigma^*(1_M)$ is unique and hence $\sigma^*(y) = \sigma^*(1_M)$, which implies that $y \in \sigma^*(1_M)$. \square

Proposition 3.15. *Let M be a Γ -hyperring. If $u = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \gamma_i b_i + \sum_{j=1}^k c_j \in \mathcal{U}$,*

then

$$\sigma^*(u) = [\oplus_{i=1}^n \sigma^*(a_i) \circ \beta^*(\gamma_i) \circ \sigma^*(b_i)] \oplus [\oplus_{j=1}^k \sigma^*(c_j)] = \sigma^*(z)$$

for all $z \in u$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} z \in u &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \gamma_i b_i + \sum_{j=1}^k c_j \\ &\Rightarrow \sigma^*(z) \in [\oplus_{i=1}^n \sigma^*(a_i) \circ \beta^*(\gamma_i) \circ \sigma^*(b_i)] \oplus [\oplus_{j=1}^k \sigma^*(c_j)] \\ &\Rightarrow \sigma^*(z) = [\oplus_{i=1}^n \sigma^*(a_i) \circ \beta^*(\gamma_i) \circ \sigma^*(b_i)] \oplus [\oplus_{j=1}^k \sigma^*(c_j)]. \end{aligned}$$

In other hand, clearly

$$\sigma^*(u) = \sigma^*(z) = [\oplus_{i=1}^n \sigma^*(a_i) \circ \beta^*(\gamma_i) \circ \sigma^*(b_i)] \oplus [\oplus_{j=1}^k \sigma^*(c_j)].$$

\square

In the next theorem, by the help of Γ -rings, we construct Γ -hyperrings.

Theorem 3.16. *Let M be a Γ -ring and I a non-empty subset of M . Then M is a Γ -hyperring with the map*

$$\circ_I : M \times \Gamma \times M \longrightarrow P^*(M)$$

defined by $x \circ_I \gamma \circ_I y = x\Gamma I \gamma y$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Proof. It is easy to verify that \circ_I is well-defined. Then for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \circ_I \gamma \circ_I (y + z) &= x\Gamma I \gamma (y + z) \\ &= x\Gamma I \gamma y + x\Gamma I \gamma z \\ &= x \circ_I \gamma \circ_I y + x \circ_I \gamma \circ_I z. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can prove that

$$(x + y) \circ_I \gamma \circ_I z = x \circ_I \gamma \circ_I z + y \circ_I \gamma \circ_I z.$$

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \circ_I (\gamma + \gamma') \circ_I y &= x \Gamma I (\gamma + \gamma') y \\ &= x \Gamma I \gamma y + x \Gamma I \gamma' y \\ &= x \circ_I \gamma \circ_I y + x \circ_I \gamma' \circ_I y. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, it is easy to prove that $0_M \circ_I \gamma \circ_I x = 0_M = x \circ_I \gamma \circ_I 0_M$. Therefore M is a Γ -hyperring. \square

In the sequel, we prove that there exists a covariant functor between the category of Γ -hyperrings and the category of fundamental Γ/β^* -rings. For this we need the following theorem.

Theorem 3.17. *Let M_1 be a Γ_1 -hyperring, M_2 a Γ_2 -hyperring and $\sigma_1^*, \beta_1^*, \sigma_2^*$ and β_2^* the fundamental relations on M_1, Γ_1, M_2 and Γ_2 , respectively. If $(f, g) : (M_1, \Gamma_1) \rightarrow (M_2, \Gamma_2)$ is a homomorphism, then there is a unique homomorphism $(f^*, g^*) : (M_1/\sigma_1^*, \Gamma_1/\beta_1^*) \rightarrow (M_2/\sigma_2^*, \Gamma_2/\beta_2^*)$ such that the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (M_1, \Gamma_1) & \xrightarrow{(f, g)} & (M_2, \Gamma_2) \\ (\pi_{M_1}, \pi_{\Gamma_1}) \downarrow & & \downarrow (\pi_{M_2}, \pi_{\Gamma_2}) \\ (M_1/\sigma_1^*, \Gamma_1/\beta_1^*) & \xrightarrow{(f^*, g^*)} & (M_2/\sigma_2^*, \Gamma_2/\beta_2^*) \end{array}$$

Moreover, if (f, g) is an isomorphism, then (f^*, g^*) is an isomorphism.

Proof. We define $f^* : M_1/\sigma_1^* \rightarrow M_2/\sigma_2^*$ by $f^*(\sigma_1^*(x)) = \sigma_2^*(f(x))$ for all $\sigma_1^*(x) \in M_1/\sigma_1^*$ and $g^* : \Gamma_1/\beta_1^* \rightarrow \Gamma_2/\beta_2^*$ by $g^*(\beta_1^*(\gamma)) = \beta_2^*(g(\gamma))$ for all $\beta_1^*(\gamma) \in \Gamma_1/\beta_1^*$. Clearly $f^* \circ \pi_{M_1} = \pi_{M_2} \circ f$ and $g^* \circ \pi_{\Gamma_1} = \pi_{\Gamma_2} \circ g$. Therefore, the diagram commutes. We prove (f^*, g^*) is a homomorphism.

Let $\sigma_1^*(x) = \sigma_1^*(y)$, i.e., $x \sigma_1^* y$. Then there exist $a_1, \dots, a_{m+1} \in M$ and $u_1, \dots, u_m \in \mathcal{U}_{(M_1, \Gamma_1)}$ by $x = a_1$ and $y = a_{m+1}$ such that $\{a_i, a_{i+1}\} \subseteq u_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Now, since f is a homomorphism we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(u_i) \in \mathcal{U}_{(M_2, \Gamma_2)} &\Rightarrow \{f(a_i), f(a_{i+1})\} \subseteq f(u_i) \in \mathcal{U}_{(M_2, \Gamma_2)} \\ &\Rightarrow f(x) \sigma_2^* f(y) \\ &\Rightarrow \sigma_2^*(f(x)) = \sigma_2^*(f(y)) \\ &\Rightarrow f^*(\sigma_1^*(x)) = f^*(\sigma_1^*(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, f^* is well-defined. Similarly, we can show that g^* is well-defined. Now, we prove

$$f^*(\sigma_1^*(x) \oplus \sigma_1^*(y)) \subseteq f^*(\sigma_1^*(x)) \oplus f^*(\sigma_1^*(y)).$$

Let $f^*(\sigma_1^*(z)) \in f^*(\sigma_1^*(x) \oplus \sigma_1^*(y))$ for $z \in \sigma_1^*(x) + \sigma_1^*(y)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \forall t \in x + y, \sigma_1^*(t) = \sigma_1^*(z) &\Rightarrow f(t) \in f(x) + f(y) \\ &\Rightarrow \sigma_2^*(f(t)) \in \sigma_2^*(f(x)) \oplus \sigma_2^*(f(y)) \\ &\Rightarrow f^*(\sigma_1^*(t)) \in f^*(\sigma_1^*(x)) \oplus f^*(\sigma_1^*(y)) \\ &\Rightarrow f^*(\sigma_1^*(z)) \in f^*(\sigma_1^*(x)) \oplus f^*(\sigma_1^*(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove that

$$g^*(\beta_1^*(\gamma) \oplus \beta_1^*(\alpha)) \subseteq g^*(\beta_1^*(\gamma)) \oplus g^*(\beta_1^*(\alpha)).$$

Now, we prove

$$f^*(\sigma_1^*(x) \circ \beta_1^*(\gamma) \circ \sigma_1^*(y)) \subseteq f^*(\sigma_1^*(x)) \circ g^*(\beta_1^*(\gamma)) \circ f^*(\sigma_1^*(y)).$$

Let $f^*(\sigma_1^*(z)) \in f^*(\sigma_1^*(x) \circ \beta_1^*(\gamma) \circ \sigma_1^*(y))$ for $z \in \sigma_1^*(x)\beta_1^*(\gamma)\sigma_1^*(y)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \forall t \in x\gamma y, \sigma_1^*(z) = \sigma_1^*(t) &\Rightarrow f(t) \in f(x)g(\gamma)f(y) \\ &\Rightarrow \sigma_2^*(f(t)) \in \sigma_2^*(f(x)) \circ \beta_2^*(g(\gamma)) \circ \sigma_2^*(f(y)) \\ &\Rightarrow f^*(\sigma_1^*(z)) \in f^*(\sigma_1^*(x)) \circ g^*(\beta_1^*(\gamma)) \circ f^*(\sigma_1^*(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if (f, g) is an isomorphism, we show that (f^*, g^*) is an isomorphism. It is enough we prove that (f^*, g^*) is one to one and onto.

Let $f^*(\sigma_1^*(x)) = f^*(\sigma_1^*(y))$. Then, $\sigma_2^*(f(x)) = \sigma_2^*(f(y))$. Hence, there exist $t_1, \dots, t_{m+1} \in M_2$ and $w_1, \dots, w_m \in \mathcal{U}_{(M_2, \Gamma_2)}$ by $f(x) = t_1$ and $f(y) = t_{m+1}$ such that $\{t_i, t_{i+1}\} \subseteq w_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Now, since f is onto, so there exists $r_i \in M_1$ such that $f(r_i) = t_i$ for all $2 \leq i \leq m$, and hence there exists $u_i \in \mathcal{U}_{(M_1, \Gamma_1)}$ such that $f(u_i) = w_i$. Thus $\{f(r_i), f(r_{i+1})\} \subseteq f(u_i)$. Since f is one to one, then $\{r_i, r_{i+1}\} \subseteq u_i$. It concludes that $x\sigma_1^*y$, i.e., $\sigma_1^*(x) = \sigma_1^*(y)$. Therefore f^* is one to one. Similarly, we can show that g^* is one to one. Also, clearly f^* and g^* are onto. This proves that (f^*, g^*) is an isomorphism. \square

Theorem 3.18. *Let $\Gamma - HR$ be the category of Γ -hyperrings and $\Gamma/\beta^* - R$ be the category of Γ/β^* -rings. Then there is a covariant functor between $\Gamma - HR$ and $\Gamma/\beta^* - R$.*

Proof. We define $F : \Gamma - HR \rightarrow \Gamma/\beta^* - R$ by $F(M) = M/\sigma^*$ and $F(f, g) = (f^*, g^*)$, where M is a Γ -hyperring, σ^* the fundamental relation on M and (f, g) is a homomorphism between Γ -hyperrings. Let $(\psi, \varphi) : (M_1, \Gamma) \rightarrow (M_2, \Gamma)$ and $(f, g) : (M_2, \Gamma) \rightarrow (M_3, \Gamma)$ be homomorphisms. We have

$$(f, g) \circ (\psi, \varphi) = (f \circ \psi, g \circ \varphi) : (M_1, \Gamma) \rightarrow (M_3, \Gamma).$$

We prove $(f \circ \psi)^* = f^* \circ \psi^*$ and $(g \circ \varphi)^* = g^* \circ \varphi^*$. We know that $(f \circ \psi)^* : M_1/\sigma_1^* \rightarrow M_3/\sigma_3^*$ and $f^* \circ \psi^* : M_1/\sigma_1^* \rightarrow M_3/\sigma_3^*$. By Theorem 3.17, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f \circ \psi)^*(\sigma_1^*(x)) &= \sigma_3^*(f \circ \psi(x)) \\ &= \sigma_3^*(f(\psi(x))) \\ &= f^*(\sigma_2^*(\psi(x))) \\ &= f^* \circ \psi^*(\sigma_1^*(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(f \circ \psi)^* = f^* \circ \psi^*$. Similarly, we can prove that $(g \circ \varphi)^* = g^* \circ \varphi^*$. Therefore

$$F[(f, g) \circ (\psi, \varphi)] = F(f, g) \circ F(\psi, \varphi).$$

Let $(I_M, I_\Gamma) : (M, \Gamma) \rightarrow (M, \Gamma)$ be the identity homomorphism. We have

$$F(I_M, I_\Gamma) = (I_M^*, I_\Gamma^*) = (I_{M/\sigma^*}, I_{\Gamma/\beta^*}),$$

because (I_M^*, I_Γ^*) and $(I_{M/\sigma^*}, I_{\Gamma/\beta^*})$ are identity homomorphisms of $(M/\sigma^*, \Gamma/\beta^*)$. Therefore, F is a covariant functor. \square

References

- [1] S. M. Anvariye, S. Mirvakili and B. Davvaz, *θ -Relation on hypermodules and fundamental modules over commutative fundamental rings*, Communications in Algebra, 36 (2008) 622-631.
- [2] W. E. Barnes, *On the Γ -rings of Nobusawa*, Pacific J. Math., 18 (1966) 411-422.
- [3] P. Corsini, *Prolegomena of hypergroup theory*, Second Edition, Aviani editor, 1993.
- [4] P. Corsini and V. Leoreanu, *Applications of hyperstructure theory*, Kluwer Academic Publications, 2003.
- [5] B. Davvaz and V. Leoreanu-Fotea, *Hyperring theory and applications*, International Academic Press, USA, 2007.

- [6] B. Davvaz and T. Vougiouklis, *Commutative rings obtained from hyperrings (H_v -rings) with α^* -relations*, Communications in Algebra, 35 (2007) 3307-3320.
- [7] B. Davvaz and M. Karimian, *On the n -complete hypergroups*, European Journal of Combinatorics, 28 (2007) 86-93.
- [8] D. Freni, *Une note sur le coeur d'un hypergroupe et sur la cloture*, de. Mat. Pura e Appl., 8 (1991) 153-156.
- [9] D. Freni, *A new characterization of the derived hypergroup via strongly regular equivalences*, Communication in algebra, 30 (2002) 3977-3989.
- [10] H. Hedayati and R. Ameri, *Regular and fundamental relations on k -hyperideals of semihyperrings*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 101 (2009) 105-114.
- [11] M. Koskas, *Groupoides demi-hypergroupes et hypergroupes*, J. Math. Pures et Appl., 49 (1970) 155-192.
- [12] S. Kyuno, *On prime Gamma rings*, Pacific J. Math., 75 (1978) 185-190.
- [13] S. Kyuno, *Prime ideals in Gamma rings*, Pacific J. Math., 98 (1982) 375-379.
- [14] F. Marty, *Sur une generalization de la notion de group*, 8th Congress Math. Scandenaves, Stockholm (1934) 45-49.
- [15] N. Nobusawa, *On a generaliziton of the ring theory*, Osaka J. Math., 1 (1964) 81-89.
- [16] T. S. Ravisankar and U. S. Shukla, *Structure of Γ -rings*, Pacific J. Math., 80 (1979) 537-559.
- [17] S. Spartalis T. Vougiouklis, *The fundamental relations on H_v -rings*, Riv. Mat. Pura Appl, 13 (1994) 7-20.
- [18] T. Vougiuklis, *Hyperstructures and their representations*, Hardonic Press Inc., USA, 1994.
- [19] T. Vougiouklis, *The fundamental relation in hyperrings. The general hyperfields*, Proc. Fourth Int. Congress on Algebraic Hyperstructures and Applications (AHA 1990), World Scientific, (1991) 203-211.
- [20] T. Vougiouklis, *Groups in hypergroups*, Annals Discrete Math., 37 (1988) 459-468.