# Some New Sufficient Conditions for Graphs to be (a, b, k)-Critical Graphs \*†

Sizhong Zhou <sup>‡</sup> and Zurun Xu
School of Mathematics and Physics
Jiangsu University of Science and Technology
Mengxi Road 2, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu 212003
Peoples Republic of China
Minggang Zong
Faculty of Sciences, Jiangsu University
Xuefu Road 301, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu 212013
Peoples Republic of China

#### Abstract

Let G be a graph, and let a, b and k be nonnegative integers with  $1 \le a \le b$ . An [a,b]-factor of graph G is defined as a spanning subgraph F of G such that  $a \le d_F(x) \le b$  for each  $x \in V(G)$ . Then a graph G is called an (a,b,k)-critical graph if after any k vertices of G are deleted the remaining subgraph has an [a,b]-factor. In this paper, three sufficient conditions for graphs to be (a,b,k)-critical graphs are given. Furthermore, it is shown that the results in this paper are best possible in some sense.

**Keywords:** graph, stability number, degree condition, neighborhood union, [a, b]-factor, (a, b, k)-critical graph

AMS(2000) Subject Classification: 05C70

<sup>\*</sup>Receive date: 2007-03-13

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>This research was supported by Natural Science Foundation of the Higher Education Institutions of Jiangsu Province (10KJB110003) and Jiangsu University of Science and Technology (2010SL101J, 2009SL148J, 2009SL154J), and was sponsored by Qing Lan Project of Jiangsu Province.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail address: zsz\_cumt@163.com

#### 1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper will be finite and undirected simple graphs. Let G be a graph. We denote by V(G) and E(G) the set of vertices and the set of edges, respectively. For any  $x \in V(G)$ , we denote by  $d_G(x)$  the degree of x in G and by  $N_G(x)$  the set of vertices adjacent to x in G. For  $X \subseteq V(G)$ , we define  $N_G(X) = \bigcup_{x \in X} N_G(x)$ , and G[X] is the subgraph of G induced by G. We denote by G - X the subgraph obtained from G by deleting vertices in G together with the edges incident to vertices in G. Let G and G be disjoint subsets of G. We denote by G(G), the number of edges joining G and G. Denote by G(G) the stability number of a graph G, by G0 the minimum degree of vertices in G1, by G2 the maximum degree of vertices in G3. We define the distance G4, G5 between two vertices G5 and G6.

Let  $1 \le a \le b$  be integers. An [a,b]-factor of graph G is defined as a spanning subgraph F of G such that  $a \le d_F(x) \le b$  for for each  $x \in V(G)$  (Where of course  $d_F$  denotes the degree in F). And if a = b = r, then an [a,b]-factor of G is called an r-factor of G. A graph G is called an (a,b,k)-critical graph if after deleting any k vertices of G the remaining subgraph of G has an [a,b]-factor. If G is an (a,b,k)-critical graph, then we also say that G is (a,b,k)-critical. If a=b=r, then an (a,b,k)-critical graph is simply called an (r,k)-critical graph. In particular, a (1,k)-critical graph is simply called a k-critical graph. The other terminologies and notations not given in this paper can be found in [1].

Zhou [2,3] investigated (g, f)-factors of graphs. Favaron [4] studied the properties of k-critical graphs. Liu and Yu [5] gave the characterization of (r, k)-critical graphs. Liu and Wang [6] gave a necessary and sufficient condition for a graph to be an (a, b, k)-critical graph. Recently, Zhou [7] obtained a sufficient condition for a graph to be an (a, b, k)-critical graph. In this paper, we give three new sufficient conditions for graphs to be (a, b, k)-critical graphs.

Niessen [8] proved the following sufficient condition for a k-factor depending on  $\delta(G)$  and  $\alpha(G)$ .

**Theorem 1** [8] Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer and let G be a graph with n vertices. If k is odd, then suppose that n is even and G is connected. Let G satisfy

$$n > 4k + 1 - 4\sqrt{k+2},$$

$$\delta(G) \ge \frac{k-1}{2k-1}(n+2) \quad and$$

$$\delta(G) > \frac{1}{2k-2}((k-2)n + 2\alpha(G) - 2).$$

Then G has a k-factor.

Matsuda [9] proved the following results for the existence of [a, b]-factors.

**Theorem 2** [9] Let  $1 \le a < b$  be integers and G a graph of order  $n \ge \frac{2(a-1)(a+1)(a+b)(a+b-1)}{a(b-1)} - \frac{(a+b)(ab+b-1)}{ab(b-1)}$ . Suppose that  $\delta(G) \ge a$  and

$$|N_G(x) \cup N_G(y)| \ge \frac{an}{a+b}$$

for any two nonadjacent vertices x and y of V(G) such that  $N_G(x) \cap N_G(y) \neq \emptyset$ . Then G has an [a,b]-factor.

**Theorem 3** [9] Let  $1 \le a < b$  be integers and G a graph of order  $n \ge \frac{(a-1)(a+1)(a+b)(a+b-1)}{a(b-1)} - \frac{(a+b)(ab+b-1)}{ab(b-1)}$ . Suppose that  $\delta(G) \ge a$  and

$$\max\{d_G(x),d_G(y)\} \ge \frac{an}{a+b}$$

for any vertices x and y of G with d(x,y) = 2. Then G has an [a,b]-factor.

In this paper, we prove the following results, which are an extension of Theorems 1 and 2 and 3, respectively. We extend Theorems 1 and 2 and 3 to (a, b, k)-critical graphs, respectively.

**Theorem 4** Let a, b and k be nonnegative integers with  $2 \le a < b$ , and let G be a graph of order n. Let G satisfy

$$n > \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-3)}{b} + k,$$

$$\delta(G) \ge \frac{(a-1)n+a+b+bk-1}{a+b-1} \quad and$$

$$\delta(G) > \frac{(a-2)n+2\alpha(G)+bk-1}{a+b-2}.$$

Then G is an (a, b, k)-critical graph.

Theorem 5 Let a, b and k be nonnegative integers such that  $1 \le a < b$  and G be a graph with order  $n \ge \frac{2(a-1)(a+1)(a+b)(a+b-1)}{a(b-1)} - \frac{(a+b)(ab+b-1)}{ab(b-1)} + k$ . If  $\delta(G) \ge a+k$ , and

$$|N_G(x) \cup N_G(y)| \ge \frac{an + bk}{a + b}$$

for any two nonadjacent vertices x and y of V(G) such that  $N_G(x) \cap N_G(y) \neq \emptyset$ . Then G is an (a,b,k)-critical graph.

**Theorem 6** Let a, b and k be nonnegative integers such that  $1 \le a < b$  and G be a graph with order  $n \ge \frac{(a-1)(a+1)(a+b)(a+b-1)}{a(b-1)} - \frac{(a+b)(ab+b-1)}{ab(b-1)} + k$ . If  $\delta(G) \ge a + k$ , and

$$\max\{d_G(x),d_G(y)\} \ge \frac{an+bk}{a+b}$$

for any vertices x and y of V(G) with d(x,y) = 2. Then G is an (a,b,k)-critical graph.

#### 2 The Proofs of Main Theorems

In order to prove our main theorems, we depend heavily on the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1** [6] Let a, b and k be nonnegative integers with a < b, and let G be a graph of order  $n \ge a + k + 1$ . Then G is an (a, b, k)-critical graph if and only if for any  $S \subseteq V(G)$  and  $|S| \ge k$ 

$$\delta_G(S,T) = b|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - a|T| \ge bk,$$

where  $T = \{x : x \in V(G) \setminus S, d_{G-S}(x) \le a-1\}.$ 

**Proof of Theorem 4** Suppose a graph G satisfies the condition of the theorem, but it is not an (a, b, k)-critical graph. Then, by Lemma 2.1, there exists a subset S of V(G) with  $|S| \ge k$  such that

$$\delta_G(S,T) = b|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - a|T| \le bk - 1,\tag{1}$$

where  $T = \{x : x \in V(G) \setminus S, d_{G-S}(x) \le a-1\}$ . We choose subsets S and T such that |T| is minimum and S and T satisfy (1).

If  $T = \emptyset$ , then by (1),  $bk - 1 \ge \delta_G(S, T) = b|S| \ge bk$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $T \ne \emptyset$ . We choose a vertex  $x_1 \in T$  with

$$h = \min\{d_{G-S}(x) : x \in T\} = d_{G-S}(x_1).$$

Obviously,

$$\delta(G) \le d_G(x_1) \le d_{G-S}(x_1) + |S| = h + |S|. \tag{2}$$

According to the definition of T, we have

$$0 \le h \le a - 1$$
.

We shall consider two cases according to the value of h and derive contradictions.

Case 1 h=0.

Let  $X=\{x\in T: d_{G-S}(x)=0\}, \ Y=\{x\in T: d_{G-S}(x)=1\}, \ Y_1=\{x\in Y: N_{G-S}(x)\subseteq T\}$  and  $Y_2=Y-Y_1$ . Then the graph induced by  $Y_1$  in G-S has maximum degree at most 1. Let Z be a maximum independent set of this graph. Clearly,  $|Z|\geq \frac{1}{2}|Y_1|$ . In view of our definitions,  $X\cup Z\cup Y_2$  is an independent set of G. Thus, we obtain

$$\alpha(G) \ge |X| + |Z| + |Y_2| \ge |X| + \frac{1}{2}|Y_1| + \frac{1}{2}|Y_2| = |X| + \frac{1}{2}|Y|.$$
 (3)

By (1) and (2) and (3), we get

$$\begin{split} \alpha(G) & \geq |X| + \frac{1}{2}|Y| \\ & \geq b|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - a|T| - bk + 1 + |X| + \frac{1}{2}|Y| \\ & = b|S| + d_{G-S}(T \setminus (X \cup Y)) - a|T| - bk + 1 + |X| + \frac{3}{2}|Y| \\ & \geq b|S| + 2|T - (X \cup Y)| - a|T| - bk + 1 + |X| + \frac{3}{2}|Y| \\ & = b|S| + 2|T| - a|T| - bk + 1 - (|X| + \frac{1}{2}|Y|) \\ & \geq b\delta(G) - (a-2)|T| - bk + 1 - \alpha(G), \end{split}$$

which implies

$$(a-2)|T| \ge b\delta(G) - 2\alpha(G) - bk + 1. \tag{4}$$

If a=2, then (4) is equivalent to  $\delta(G) \leq \frac{2\alpha(G)+bk-1}{b}$ , which contradicts  $\delta(G) > \frac{(a-2)n+2\alpha(G)+bk-1}{a+b-2} = \frac{2\alpha(G)+bk-1}{b}$ .

If  $a \ge 3$ , then by (2) and (4), we have

$$0 \leq n - |S| - |T|$$

$$\leq n - \delta(G) - \frac{b\delta(G) - 2\alpha(G) - bk + 1}{a - 2}$$

$$= \frac{(a - 2)n - (a + b - 2)\delta(G) + 2\alpha(G) + bk - 1}{a - 2}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\delta(G) \le \frac{(a-2)n + 2\alpha(G) + bk - 1}{a+b-2},$$

that contradicts  $\delta(G) > \frac{(a-2)n+2\alpha(G)+bk-1}{a+b-2}$ .

Case 2  $1 \le h \le a - 1$ .

By  $|S| + |T| \le n$  and (1), we have

$$bk-1 \geq \delta_G(S,T) = b|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - a|T|$$

$$\geq b|S| - (a-h)|T|$$

$$\geq b|S| - (a-h)(n-|S|)$$

$$= (a+b-h)|S| - (a-h)n.$$

This inequality implies

$$|S| \le \frac{(a-h)n + bk - 1}{a+b-h}.$$

Combining this with (2) gives

$$\delta(G) \le |S| + h \le \frac{(a-h)n + bk - 1}{a+h-h} + h. \tag{5}$$

If h=1, then by (5),  $\delta(G) \leq \frac{(a-1)n+bk-1}{a+b-1}+1=\frac{(a-1)n+a+b+bk-2}{a+b-1}$ , a contradiction. Hence, we may assume that  $2\leq h\leq a-1$ , and let

$$f(h) = \frac{(a-h)n + bk - 1}{a+b-h} + h.$$

According to  $n > \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-3)}{b} + k$ , we obtain f'(h) < 0. Then, f(h) attains its maximum value at h = 2. By (5) and  $\delta(G) \ge \frac{(a-1)n+a+b+bk-1}{a+b-1}$ , we have

$$\frac{(a-1)n+a+b+bk-1}{a+b-1} \le \delta(G) \le f(h) \le f(2) = \frac{(a-2)n+bk-1}{a+b-2} + 2,$$

implying  $n \leq \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-3)}{b} + k$ , which is a contradiction.

From the argument above, we deduce the contradictions, so the hypothesis can not hold. Hence, G is an (a, b, k)-critical graph. Completing the proof of Theorem 4.

**Proof of Theorem 5** Suppose that G is not an (a, b, k)-critical graph. Then, by Lemma 2.1, there exist disjoint subsets S and T of V(G) satisfying

$$\delta_G(S, T) = b|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - a|T| \le bk - 1.$$
(6)

We choose such subsets S and T so that |T| is as small as possible.

Claim 1.  $|T| \ge b+1$ .

**Proof.** If  $|T| \leq b$ , then by (6) and  $|S| + d_{G-S}(x) \geq d_G(x) \geq \delta(G) \geq a + k$ , we get that

$$-1 \ge b|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - a|T| - bk \ge \sum_{x \in T} (|S| + d_{G-S}(x) - a - k) \ge 0,$$

a contradiction.

Claim 2.  $|S| \ge k + 1$ .

**Proof.** If |S| = k, then by (6), we obtain

$$\begin{array}{lcl} bk-1 & \geq & \delta_G(S,T) = b|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - a|T| \\ \\ & = & bk + \sum_{x \in T} (d_{G-S}(x) - a) \\ \\ & \geq & bk + \sum_{x \in T} (d_G(x) - |S| - a) \\ \\ & \geq & bk + \sum_{x \in T} (\delta(G) - k - a) \geq bk, \end{array}$$

that is a contradiction.

Claim 3.  $|S| < \frac{an+bk}{a+b}$ .

**Proof.** According to (6) and  $|S| + |T| \le n$ , we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} bk-1 & \geq & \delta_G(S,T) = b|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - a|T| \\ & \geq & b|S| - a|T| \geq b|S| - a(n-|S|) \\ & = & (a+b)|S| - an, \end{array}$$

implying  $|S| < \frac{an+bk}{a+b}$ .

Claim 4.  $a|T| \ge b|S| - bk + 1$ .

**Proof.** In view of (6), we get

$$bk-1 \ge \delta_G(S,T) = b|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - a|T| \ge b|S| - a|T|,$$

which implies  $a|T| \ge b|S| - bk + 1$ .

Claim 5.  $|S| < \frac{an+bk}{a+b} - 2(a-1)$ .

**Proof.** If  $|S| \ge \frac{an+bk}{a+b} - 2(a-1)$ , that is to say,  $an-(a+b)|S| \le 2(a-1)(a+b) - bk$ . By (6) and  $|S|+|T| \le n$ , we have

$$d_{G-S}(T) \leq a|T| - b|S| + bk - 1$$

$$\leq a(n - |S|) - b|S| + bk - 1$$

$$= an - (a+b)|S| + bk - 1$$

$$< 2(a-1)(a+b) - 1.$$

According to  $n \ge \frac{2(a-1)(a+1)(a+b)(a+b-1)}{a(b-1)} - \frac{(a+b)(ab+b-1)}{ab(b-1)} + k$  and Claim 4, we obtain

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \frac{d_{G-S}(T)}{a|T|} & \leq & \frac{2(a-1)(a+b)-1}{a|T|} \leq \frac{2(a-1)(a+b)-1}{b|S|-bk+1} \\ & \leq & \frac{2(a-1)(a+b)-1}{b(an+bk)/(a+b)-2b(a-1)-bk+1} \\ & \leq & \frac{1}{a}(1-\frac{1}{b}). \end{array}$$

Combining this with Claim 1, we get

$$d_{G-S}(T) \le (1 - \frac{1}{h})|T| < |T| - 1. \tag{7}$$

Let  $T_0 = \{x \in T : d_{G-S}(x) = 0\}$ . Note that  $|T_0| \ge 2$  holds by (7). According to Claim 3, for any two vertices  $x, y \in T_0$ , we obtain

$$|N_G(x) \cup N_G(y)| \le |S| < \frac{an + bk}{a + b}.$$

Since  $T_0$  is an independent set of G and G satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 5, then the neighborhoods of the vertices in  $T_0$  are disjoint. Hence, we get that

$$|S| \ge |\bigcup_{x \in T_0} N_G(x)| \ge \delta(G)|T_0| \ge (a+k)|T_0|. \tag{8}$$

In view of (7), we have

$$(1-\frac{1}{h})|T| \ge d_{G-S}(T) \ge |T|-|T_0|,$$

which implies  $|T_0| \geq \frac{|T|}{b}$ . Combining this with (8), we have

$$|S| \ge (a+k)|T_0| \ge \frac{a+k}{b}|T|. \tag{9}$$

By Claims 1 and 4 and (9), we obtain

 $b|S| \ge (a+k)|T| \ge b|S| - bk + 1 + k|T| \ge b|S| - bk + 1 + k(b+1) = b|S| + k + 1,$  which is a contradiction. Completing the proof of Claim 5.

Claim 6.  $e_G(S,T) \leq a|S|$ .

**Proof.** By Claim 1 and the definition of T, then there exist at least two independent vertices  $x, y \in T$ . Moreover, by the definition of T and Claim 5, we have

$$|N_G(x) \cup N_G(y)| \le |S| + d_{G-S}(x) + d_{G-S}(y) < \frac{an + bk}{a + b}$$
 (10)

for any two vertices  $x, y \in T$ .

According to (10) and the assumption of Theorem 5,  $G[N_G(u) \cap T]$  is complete for any  $u \in S$ . By Claim 2 and the definition of T, we have

$$e_G(u,T) \leq \Delta(G[T]) + 1 \leq a$$
.

This inequality above implies  $e_G(S, T) \leq a|S|$ .

According to (6), and Claims 1 and 6, and  $\delta(G) \ge a + k$ , we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} bk-1 & \geq & \delta_G(S,T) = b|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - a|T| \\ & = & b|S| + d_G(T) - a|T| - e_G(S,T) \\ & \geq & b|S| + \delta(G)|T| - a|T| - a|S| \\ & \geq & b|S| + k|T| - a|S| > k|T| \geq bk. \end{array}$$

This is a contradiction.

This completes the proof.

The proof of Theorem 6 is quite similar to that of Theorem 5. The proof of Theorem 6 is omitted.

Remark Let us show that the condition  $|N_G(x) \cup N_G(y)| \ge \frac{an+bk}{a+b}$  in Theorem 5 can not be replaced by  $|N_G(x) \cup N_G(y)| \ge \frac{an+bk}{a+b} - 1$ . Let G = (A, B) be a complete bipartite graph such that |A| = at + k and |B| = bt + 1, where t is any positive integer. Then it follows that n = |A| + |B| = (a+b)t + k + 1 and

$$\frac{an+bk}{a+b} > |N_G(x) \cup N_G(y)| > \frac{an+bk}{a+b} - 1$$

for any subset  $\{x,y\}$  of B. However, let  $U\subseteq A$  with |U|=k, it is easy to see that G-U has no [a,b]-factor since b|A-U|< a|B|. According to the definition of the (a,b,k)-critical graph, G is not an (a,b,k)-critical graph. In this sense, the condition  $|N_G(x)\cup N_G(y)|\geq \frac{an+bk}{a+b}$  is the best possible.

We may adopt the similar way to argue the condition  $\max\{d_G(x), d_G(y)\} \ge \frac{an+bk}{a+b}$  in Theorem 6, and the condition  $\max\{d_G(x), d_G(y)\} \ge \frac{an+bk}{a+b}$  in Theorem 6 is the best possible.

### References

 J. A. Bondy, U. S. R. Murty, Graph Theory with Applications. London, The Macmillan Press, 1976.

- [2] Sizhong Zhou, Some sufficient conditions for graphs to have (g, f)-factors, Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society, 75(2007), 447-452.
- [3] Sizhong Zhou and Xiuqian Xue, Complete-factors and (g, f)-covered graphs, Australasian Journal of Combinatorics, 37(2007), 265-269.
- [4] O. Favaron, On k-factor-critical graphs, Discussions Mathematicae Graph Theory, 16(1)(1996), 41-51.
- [5] Guizhen Liu and Qinglin Yu, k-factors and extendability with prescribed components, Congressus Numerantium, 139(1)(1999), 77-88.
- [6] Liu Guizhen and Wang Jianfang, (a, b, k)-critical graphs, Advances in Mathematics(in China), 27(6)(1998), 536-540.
- [7] Zhou Sizhong, Sufficient conditions for (a, b, k)-critical graphs, Journal of Jilin University (Science Edition) (China), 43(5)(2005), 607-609.
- [8] T. Niessen, Nash-Williams conditions and the existence of k-factors, Ars Combinatoria, 34(1992), 251-256.
- [9] H. Matsuda, Fan-type results for the existence of [a, b]-factors, Discrete Mathematics, 306(2006), 688-693.

## On the spectral radius of unicyclic graphs with fixed maximum degree

Xi-Ying Yuan<sup>1\*</sup>, Hai-Ying Shan<sup>2</sup>, Bao-Feng Wu<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics Shanghai University Shanghai, 200444, China

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics Tongji University Shanghai, 200092, China

<sup>3</sup> College of Science University of Shanghai for Science and Technology Shanghai, 200093, China

Abstract: Let  $\Delta(G)$  be the maximum degree of a graph G, and  $\mathcal{U}(n,\Delta)$  be the set of all unicyclic graphs on n vertices with fixed maximum degree  $\Delta$ . Among all the graphs in  $\mathcal{U}(n,\Delta)$  ( $\Delta \geq \frac{n+3}{2}$ ), we characterize the graph with the maximal spectral radius. We also prove that the spectral radius of a unicyclic graph G on  $n \ (n \geq 30)$  vertices strictly increases with its maximum degree when  $\Delta(G) \geq \lceil \frac{7n}{9} \rceil + 1$ .

AMS classification: 05C50

Keywords: Unicyclic graph; Maximum degree; Spectral radius

#### 1 Introduction

Let G = (V(G), E(G)) be a simple graph on n vertices. When vertices u and v are endpoints of an edge e, we write e = uv. Denote the set of all the neighbors of a vertex v in G by  $N_G(v)$  and the degree of v in

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail: xiyingyuan2007@hotmail.com

<sup>†</sup>Research supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China 10601038.