

# ON $f$ -DERIVATIONS OF B-ALGEBRAS

ALEV FIRAT AND ŞULE AYAR ÖZBAL

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we introduced the notion of left-right and right-left  $f$ -derivations of a B-algebra and investigated some related properties. We studied the notion of  $f$ -derivation of a 0-commutative B-algebra and stated some related properties.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The study of  $BCK$ -algebras and  $BCI$ -algebras are introduced as two classes of abstract algebra by Y. Imai and K. Iseki [8, 9].  $BCK$ -algebras is a proper subclass of the class of  $BCI$ -algebras. A new class  $BCH$ -algebras was introduced by Q. P. Hu and X. Li [6, 7] and was stated that the class of  $BCI$ -algebras is a proper subclass of the class of  $BCH$ -algebras. The notion of  $d$ -algebras which is another generalization of  $BCK$ -algebras was introduced by Neggers, J. and Kim, H. S. in [13]. And also, many related properties with  $d$ -algebras and  $BCK$ -algebras were investigated by them whereas other relations between  $d$ -algebras and oriented digraphs were investigated by others. A generalization of  $BCH$ ,  $BCI$ ,  $BCK$ -algebras called  $BH$ -algebra was introduced and the notion of ideals in  $BH$ -algebra was given by Y. B. Jun, E. H. Roh and H. S. Kim in [10]. The notion of  $B$ -algebra was introduced by J. Neggers and H. S. Kim and some of its related properties in [14] were studied. The notion of derivation in rings and near rings theory was applied to  $BCI$ -algebras by Y. B. Jun and Xin and some of related properties were given by them [11]. In [4], the notion of  $f$ -derivations of  $BCI$ -algebras was introduced by Dudek and Zhang and a characterizations of a  $p$ -semisimple  $BCI$ -algebra was given by using regular  $f$ -derivations in that study. Later, in [15] the notion of a regular derivation in  $BCI$ -algebras was applied to  $BCC$ -algebras by Prabpayak and Leerawat and also some of its related properties were investigated. Later, in [5] the notion of left-right and right-left- $f$ -derivations of  $BCC$ -algebras were introduced and some related related properties were investigated. Also, regular  $f$ -derivation and  $d$ -invariant on  $f$ -ideals in  $BCC$ -algebras were considered.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16B70, 16W25, 06F35 .

*Key words and phrases.* B-Algebra, 0-commutative B-algebra, derivation,  $f$ -derivation.

In [2] the notion of derivation in  $B$ -algebra was given and some related properties were stated. In this paper the notion of  $f$ -derivation in  $B$ -algebra is given and some of its properties are stated. Additionally, this definition in 0-commutative  $B$ -algebra is studied and related properties are given.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**Definition 2.1.** [14] A  $B$ -algebra is a non-empty set  $X$  with a constant  $0$  and with a binary operation  $*$  satisfying the following axioms for all  $x, y, z \in X$ :

- (I)  $x * x = 0$ ,
- (II)  $x * 0 = x$ ,
- (III)  $(x * y) * z = x * (z * (0 * y))$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** [14] If  $(X, *, 0)$  is a  $B$ -algebra, then for all  $x, y, z \in X$ :

- (1)  $(x * y) * (0 * y) = x$ ,
- (2)  $x * (y * z) = (x * (0 * z)) * y$ ,
- (3)  $x * y = 0$  implies  $x = y$ ,
- (4)  $0 * (0 * x) = x$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** [14]  $(X, *, 0)$  is a  $B$ -algebra if and only if it satisfies the following axioms for all  $x, y, z \in X$ :

- (5)  $x * x = 0$ ,
- (6)  $0 * (0 * x) = x$ ,
- (7)  $(x * z) * (y * z) = x * y$ ,
- (8)  $0 * (x * y) = y * x$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** [3] In any  $B$ -algebra, the left and right cancellation laws hold.

**Definition 2.5.** [12] A  $B$ -algebra  $(X, *, 0)$  is said to be 0-commutative if for all  $x, y \in X$ :

$$x * (0 * y) = y * (0 * x).$$

**Proposition 2.6.** [12] *If  $(X, *, 0)$  is a 0- commutative  $B$ -algebra, then for all  $x, y, z \in X$ :*

$$(9) (0 * x) * (0 * y) = y * x.$$

$$(10) (z * y) * (z * x) = x * y.$$

$$(11) (x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$$

$$(12) [x * (x * y)] * y = 0.$$

$$(13) (x * z) * (y * t) = (t * z) * (y * x).$$

From (12) and (3) we get that, if  $(X, *, 0)$  is a 0- commutative  $B$ -algebra, then:

$$(14) x * (x * y) = y \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

For a  $B$ - algebra  $X$ , we denote  $x \wedge y = y * (y * x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 2.7.** [2] Let  $X$  be a  $B$ -algebra and let  $d: X \rightarrow X$  be a map. If  $d$  satisfies the identity  $d(x * y) = (d(x) * y) \wedge (x * d(y))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , then  $d$  is said to be a  $(l, r)$ -derivation of  $X$ . If  $d$  satisfies the identity  $d(x * y) = (x * d(y)) \wedge (d(x) * y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , then  $d$  is said to be a  $(r, l)$ -derivation of  $X$ . Moreover, if  $d$  is both a  $(l, r)$  and  $(l, r)$ -derivation, then  $d$  is said to be a derivation of  $X$ .

**Definition 2.8.** [2] A self map  $d$  of a  $B$ -algebra  $X$  is said to be regular if  $d(0) = 0$ .

### 3. THE $f$ -DERIVATIONS OF $B$ -ALGEBRAS

The following definition introduces the notion of  $f$ -derivation for a  $B$ -algebra. In what follows, let  $f$  be an endomorphism of  $X$  unless otherwise specified.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $X$  be a  $B$ -algebra and  $f$  be an endomorphism of  $X$ . A map  $d: X \rightarrow X$  is said to be a left-right  $f$ -derivation (briefly, a  $(l, r)$ - $f$ -derivation) of  $X$  if it satisfies the identity  $d(x * y) = (d(x) * f(y)) \wedge (f(x) * d(y))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

If  $d$  satisfies the identity  $d(x * y) = (f(x) * d(y)) \wedge (d(x) * f(y))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , then  $d$  is said to be a right-left derivation (briefly,  $(r, l)$ - $f$ -derivation) of  $X$ . Moreover, if  $d$  is both  $(l, r)$  and  $(r, l)$ - $f$ -derivation, then it is said that  $d$  is an  $f$ -derivation.

**Example 3.1.** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  be a B-algebra with Cayley table as follows.

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	2	1	3
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	3	0	1
3	3	1	2	0

By [2] we know that a map  $d : X \rightarrow X$  for all  $x$  in  $X$  defined by

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} 3, & x=0 \\ 2, & x=1 \\ 1, & x=2 \\ 0, & x=3 \end{cases}$$

is a derivation of  $X$ . Define an endomorphism  $f$  of  $X$  by  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then  $d$  is not an  $f$ -derivation of  $X$  since  $d(3 * 1) = d(1) = 2$ , but  $(d(3) * f(1)) \wedge (f(3) * d(1)) = (0 * 0) \wedge (0 * 2) = 0 \wedge 1 = 1 * (1 * 0) = 1 * 1 = 0$ , and thus  $d(3 * 1) \neq (d(3) * f(1)) \wedge (f(3) * d(1))$ .

**Remark 3.1.** Every derivation of a B-algebra  $X$  could be made an  $f$ -derivation of  $X$  by an identity endomorphism of  $X$ .

**Example 3.2.** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  be a B-algebra with Cayley table as given in Example 3.1.

Define a map

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} 3, & x=0 \\ 1, & x=1 \\ 2, & x=2 \\ 0, & x=3 \end{cases}$$

Then  $d$  is not a derivation of  $X$  since  $d(2 * 1) = d(3) = 0$ , but  $(d(2) * 1) \wedge (2 * d(1)) = (2 * 1) \wedge (2 * 1) = 3 \wedge 3 = 3 * (3 * 3) = 3 * 0 = 3$ , and thus  $d(2 * 1) \neq (d(2) * 1) \wedge (2 * d(1))$ .

Now we define an endomorphism  $f$  of  $X$  for all  $x \in X$  by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x=0 \\ 2, & x=1 \\ 1, & x=2 \\ 3, & x=3 \end{cases}$$

Then it is easily checked that  $d$  is an  $f$ -derivation of  $X$ .

**Example 3.3.** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  be a  $B$ -algebra with Cayley table as in Example 3.1.

Define a map

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x=0 \\ 2, & x=1 \\ 1, & x=2 \\ 3, & x=3 \end{cases}$$

Then  $d$  is not a derivation of  $X$  since  $d(3 * 2) = d(2) = 1$ , but  $(d(3) * 2) \wedge (3 * d(2)) = (3 * 2) \wedge (3 * 1) = 2 \wedge 1 = 1 * (1 * 2) = 1 * 3 = 2$ , and thus  $d(3 * 2) \neq (d(3) * 2) \wedge (3 * d(2))$ .

Now we define an endomorphism  $f$  of  $X$  for all  $x \in X$  by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x=0 \\ 2, & x=1 \\ 1, & x=2 \\ 3, & x=3 \end{cases}$$

Then it is easily checked that  $d$  is an  $f$ -derivation of  $X$ .

**Definition 3.2.** An  $f$ -derivation  $d$  of a  $B$ -algebra  $X$  is said to be regular if  $d(0) = 0$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $d$  be a  $(l, r)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $B$ -algebra  $X$ . Then

(i)  $d(0) = d(x) * f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

(ii) If  $f$  is  $1 - 1$ , then  $d$  is  $1 - 1$ .

(iii) If  $d$  is regular, then  $d = f$ .

(iv) If there is an element  $x \in X$  such that  $d(x) = f(x)$ , then  $d$  is regular.

(v) If there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $d(y) * f(x) = 0$  or  $f(x) * d(y) = 0$  for all  $y \in X$ , then  $d(y) = f(x)$ .

Proof: (i) Let  $x$  be an element in  $X$ . Since  $x * x = 0$  we have  
 $d(0) = d(x * x) = (d(x) * f(x)) \wedge (f(x) * d(x))$   
 $= (f(x) * d(x)) * ((f(x) * d(x)) * (d(x) * f(x))).$

Then, by using (2), (8), (5), (8) respectively we can write

$$\begin{aligned} d(0) &= ((f(x) * d(x)) * (0 * (d(x) * f(x)))) * (f(x) * d(x)) \\ &= ((f(x) * d(x)) * (f(x) * d(x))) * (f(x) * d(x)) \\ &= 0 * (f(x) * d(x)) \\ &= d(x) * f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get  $d(0) = d(x) * f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

(ii) Let  $f$  be a  $1 - 1$  endomorphism and  $d(x) = d(y)$  for  $x, y \in X$ . Then by (i) we have  $d(0) = d(x) * f(x)$  and  $d(0) = d(y) * f(y)$ . Then we get  $d(x) * f(x) = d(x) * f(y)$ . By Theorem 2.4 we have  $f(x) = f(y)$ . Since  $f$  is a  $1 - 1$  endomorphism we get  $x = y$ . Therefore  $d$  is  $1 - 1$ .

(iii) Let  $d$  be a regular map. By part (i) we have  $d(0) = d(x) * f(x)$ . Since  $d$  is regular we have  $d(0) = d(x) * f(x) = 0$  and by (3) we get  $d(x) = f(x)$ .

(iv) Let  $d(x) = f(x)$  for some  $x \in X$ . By (5) we have  $d(x) * f(x) = 0$  then we can write by part (i)  $d(0) = d(x) * f(x) = f(x) * f(x) = 0$  therefore  $d(0) = 0$ .

Hence we get that  $d$  is regular.

(v) Let  $x$  be an element in  $X$  such that  $d(y) * f(x) = 0$  or  $f(x) * d(y) = 0$  for all  $y \in X$  then by (3) we get  $d(y) = f(x)$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $d$  be a  $(r, l) - f$ -derivation of  $B$ -algebra  $X$ . Then*

(i)  $d(0) = f(x) * d(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

(ii)  $d(x) = d(x) \wedge f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

(iii) If  $f$  is a  $1 - 1$  endomorphism, then  $d$  is  $1 - 1$ .

(iv) If  $d$  is regular, then  $d = f$ .

(v) If there is an element  $x \in X$  such that  $d(x) = f(x)$ , then  $d$  is regular.

(vi) If there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $d(y) * f(x) = 0$  or  $f(x) * d(y) = 0$  for all  $y \in X$ , then  $d(y) = f(x)$ , i.e.,  $d$  is constant.

Proof: (i) Let  $x$  be an element in  $X$ . Since  $x * x = 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(0) &= d(x * x) = (f(x) * d(x)) \wedge (d(x) * f(x)) \\ &= (d(x) * f(x)) * ((d(x) * f(x)) * (f(x) * d(x))). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by using (2), (8), (5), (8) respectively we can write

$$\begin{aligned} d(0) &= [(d(x) * f(x)) * (0 * (f(x) * d(x)))] * (d(x) * f(x)) \\ &= [(d(x) * f(x)) * (d(x) * f(x))] * (d(x) * f(x)) \\ &= 0 * (d(x) * f(x)) \\ &= f(x) * d(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get  $d(0) = f(x) * d(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

(ii) Let  $x \in X$  then we have  $x * 0 = x$  and

$$\begin{aligned} d(x) &= d(x * 0) = (f(x) * d(0)) \wedge (d(x) * f(0)) \\ &= (d(x) * f(0)) * ((d(x) * f(0)) * (f(x) * d(0))) \\ &= (d(x) * f(0)) * ((d(x) * f(0)) * (f(x) * (f(x) * d(x)))) \text{ by part (i)} \\ &= d(x) * (d(x) * (f(x) * (f(x) * d(x)))) \text{ then we get} \end{aligned}$$

$d(x) = d(x) * 0 = d(x) * (d(x) * (f(x) * (f(x) * d(x))))$  by Theorem 2.4 we have  $d(x) * (f(x) * (f(x) * d(x))) = 0$ . By (3) we have  $d(x) = f(x) * (f(x) * d(x))$  this means that  $d(x) = d(x) \wedge f(x)$ .

(iii) Let  $f$  be a 1 – 1 endomorphism and  $d(x) = d(y)$  for  $x, y \in X$ . Then by (i) we have  $d(0) = f(x) * d(x)$  and  $d(0) = f(y) * d(y)$ . Then we get  $d(0) = f(x) * d(x) = f(y) * d(x)$ . By Theorem 2.4 we have  $f(x) = f(y)$ . Since  $f$  is a 1 – 1 endomorphism we get  $x = y$ . Therefore  $d$  is 1 – 1.

(iv) Let  $d$  be a regular map. By (i) we have  $d(0) = f(x) * d(x) = 0$  and by (3) we get  $d(x) = f(x)$ .

(v) Let  $d(x) = f(x)$  for some  $x \in X$ . By (3) we have  $f(x) * d(x) = 0$  and by (i) we have  $d(0) = 0$ .

(vi) Let  $x$  be an element in  $X$  such that  $d(y) * f(x) = 0$  or  $f(x) * d(y) = 0$  for all  $y \in X$  then by (3) we get  $d(y) = f(x)$ .

#### 4. THE $f$ -DERIVATIONS OF 0-COMMUTATIVE $B$ -ALGEBRAS

Here we will study the notion of  $f$ -derivation of 0-commutative  $B$ -algebra and state some related properties.

**Example 4.1.** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$  be a 0-commutative  $B$ -algebra with Cayley table as follows.

$*$	$0$	$1$	$2$
$0$	$0$	$2$	$1$
$1$	$1$	$0$	$2$
$2$	$2$	$1$	$0$

Define a map

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} 2, & x=0 \\ 1, & x=1 \\ 0, & x=2 \end{cases}$$

Then  $d$  is not a derivation of  $X$  since  $d(2 * 1) = d(1) = 1$ , but  $(d(2) * 1) \wedge (2 * d(1)) = (0 * 1) \wedge (2 * 1) = 2 \wedge 1 = 1 * (1 * 2) = 1 * 2 = 2$ , and thus  $d(2 * 1) \neq (d(2) * 1) \wedge (2 * d(1))$ .

Now we define an endomorphism  $f$  of  $X$  for all  $x \in X$  by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x=0 \\ 2, & x=1 \\ 1, & x=2 \end{cases}$$

Then it is easily checked that  $d$  is  $(l, r)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$ .

**Example 4.2.** Let  $X = 0, 1, 2, 3$  be a 0-commutative  $B$ -algebra with Cayley table as follows:

$*$	$0$	$1$	$2$	$3$
$0$	$0$	$3$	$2$	$1$
$1$	$1$	$0$	$3$	$2$
$2$	$2$	$1$	$0$	$3$
$3$	$3$	$2$	$1$	$0$

Define a map

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} 2, & x=0 \\ 1, & x=1 \\ 0, & x=2 \\ 3, & x=3 \end{cases}$$

Then  $d$  is not a derivation of  $X$  since  $d(1 * 0) = d(1) = 1$ , but  $(1 * d(0)) \wedge (d(1) * 0) = (1 * 2) \wedge (1 * 0) = 3 \wedge 1 = 1 * (1 * 3) = 1 * 2 = 3$ , and thus  $d(1 * 0) \neq (1 * d(0)) \wedge (d(1) * 0)$ .

Now we define an endomorphism  $f$  of  $X$  for all  $x \in X$  by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x=0 \\ 3, & x=1 \\ 2, & x=2 \\ 1, & x=3 \end{cases}$$

Then it is easily checked that  $d$  is an  $f$ -derivation of  $X$ .



**Example 4.3.** Let  $X = 0, 1, 2, 3$  be a 0-commutative  $B$ -algebra with Cayley table as in Example 4.2.

Define a map

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x=0 \\ 3, & x=1 \\ 2, & x=2 \\ 1, & x=3 \end{cases}$$

Then  $d$  is not a derivation of  $X$  since  $d(1 * 3) = d(2) = 2$ , but  $(d(1) * 3) \wedge (1 * d(3)) = (3 * 3) \wedge (1 * 1) = 0 \wedge 0 = 0 * (0 * 0) = 0 * 0 = 0$ , and thus  $d(1 * 3) \neq (d(1) * 3) \wedge (1 * d(3))$ .

Now we define an endomorphism  $f$  of  $X$  for all  $x \in X$  by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x=0 \\ 3, & x=1 \\ 2, & x=2 \\ 1, & x=3 \end{cases}$$

Then it is easily checked that  $d$  is an  $f$ -derivation of  $X$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** Let  $(X, *, 0)$  be a 0-commutative  $B$ -algebra and  $d$  be a  $(l, r)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$ . Then for all  $x, y \in X$

(i)  $d(x * y) = d(x) * f(y)$ .

(ii)  $d(x) * d(y) = f(x) * f(y)$ .

Proof: (i) Let  $x, y \in X$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x * y) &= (d(x) * f(y)) \wedge (f(x) * d(y)) \\ &= (f(x) * d(y)) * ((f(x) * d(y)) * (d(x) * f(y))) \end{aligned}$$

Then by (14) we have  $d(x * y) = d(x) * f(y)$

(ii) Let  $x, y \in X$ , then from Proposition 3.3 (i) we can write

$$d(0) = d(x) * f(x) \text{ and } d(0) = d(y) * f(y). \text{ From here we get } d(x) * f(x) = d(y) * f(y)$$

and we have  $(d(y) * f(y)) * (d(x) * f(x)) = 0$  and we can also write by (13)  $(f(x) * f(y)) * (d(x) * d(y)) = 0$ . Hence, by (3) we have  $d(x) * d(y) = f(x) * f(y)$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** Let  $(X, *, 0)$  be a 0-commutative  $B$ -algebra and  $d$  be a  $(r, l)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$ . Then for all  $x, y \in X$

(i)  $d(x * y) = f(x) * d(y)$ .

(ii)  $d(x) * d(y) = f(x) * f(y)$ .

Proof: (i) Let  $x, y \in X$ , then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} d(x * y) &= (f(x) * d(y)) \wedge (d(x) * f(y)) \\ &= (d(x) * f(y)) * ((d(x) * f(y)) * (f(x) * d(y))). \end{aligned}$$

Then by (14) we have  $d(x * y) = f(x) * d(y)$ .

(ii) Let  $x, y \in X$ . By Proposition 3.4 (i) we can write  $d(0) = f(x) * d(x)$  and  $d(0) = f(y) * d(y)$  and we have  $f(x) * d(x) = f(y) * d(y)$  and we can also write by (13)  $(d(x) * d(y)) * (f(x) * f(y)) = 0$ . Hence, by (3) we have  $d(x) * d(y) = f(x) * f(y)$ .

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $X$  be a  $B$ -algebra and  $d_1, d_2$  be two self maps of  $X$ . We define  $d_1od_2 : X \rightarrow X$  for all  $x \in X$  as  $d_1od_2(x) = d_1(d_2(x))$ .

**Proposition 4.4.** Let  $X$  be a 0-commutative  $B$ -algebra and  $d_1$  be  $(l, r)$ - $f_1$ -derivation,  $d_2$  be  $(l, r)$ - $f_2$ -derivation of  $X$ . Then  $d_1od_2$  is a  $(l, r)$ - $f_1of_2$ -derivation of  $X$ .

Proof: Let  $X$  be a 0-commutative  $B$ -algebra and  $d_1$  be  $(l, r)$ - $f_1$ -derivation,  $d_2$  be  $(l, r)$ - $f_2$ -derivation of  $X$ . Then for all  $x, y \in X$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_1od_2(x * y) &= d_1(d_2(x * y)) \\ &= d_1[(d_2(x) * f_2(y)) \wedge (f_2(x) * d_2(y))] \\ &= d_1[(f_2(x) * d_2(y)) * ((f_2(x) * d_2(y)) * (d_2(x) * f_2(y)))] \\ &= d_1(d_2(x) * f_2(y)), \text{ by (14)} \\ &= d_1(d_2(x)) * f_1(f_2(y)), \text{ by Proposition 4.1 (i)} \\ &= (f_1(f_2(x)) * d_1(d_2(y))) * [(f_1(f_2(x)) * d_1(d_2(y))) * (d_1(d_2(x)) * f_1(f_2(y)))] \\ &= (d_1od_2(x) * f_1of_2(y)) \wedge (f_1of_2(x) * d_1od_2(y)) \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $d_1od_2$  is a  $(l, r)$ - $f_1of_2$ -derivation of  $X$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** Let  $X$  be a 0-commutative  $B$ -algebra and  $d_1$  be  $(r, l)$ - $f_1$ -derivation,  $d_2$  be  $(r, l)$ - $f_2$ -derivation of  $X$ . Then  $d_1od_2$  is a  $(r, l)$ - $f_1of_2$ -derivation of  $X$ .

Proof: Proof is similar with the previous one.

**Corollary 4.6.** Let  $X$  be a 0-commutative  $B$ -algebra and  $d_1$  be  $f_1$ -derivation,  $d_2$  be  $f_2$ -derivation of  $X$ . Then  $d_1od_2$  is also a  $f_1of_2$ -derivation of  $X$ .

**Theorem 4.7.** Let  $X$  be a 0-commutative  $B$ -algebra and  $d_1$  be  $f_1$ -derivation,  $d_2$  be  $f_2$ -derivation and  $f_1, f_2$  be endomorphisms of  $X$  such that  $f_2od_1 = d_1of_2$  and  $d_2of_1 = f_1od_2$  then  $d_1od_2 = d_2od_1$ .

Proof: Let  $X$  be a 0-commutative  $B$ -algebra and  $d_1$  be  $f_1$ -derivation,  $d_2$  be  $f_2$ -derivation and  $f_1, f_2$  be endomorphisms of  $X$  such that

$f_2od_1 = d_1of_2$  and  $d_2of_1 = f_1od_2$ . Then for all  $x, y \in X$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_1od_2(x * y) &= d_1(d_2(x * y)) = d_1(d_2(x) * f_2(y)), \text{ by Proposition 4.1 (i)} \\ &= f_1(d_2(x)) * d_1(f_2(y)) \text{ by Proposition 4.2 (i)} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for all  $x, y \in X$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_2od_1(x * y) &= d_2(d_1(x * y)) = d_2(f_1(x) * d_1(y)) \\ &= d_2(f_1(x)) * f_2(d_1(y)) \\ &= f_1(d_2(x)) * d_1(f_2(y)), \text{ by hypothesis.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get  $d_1od_2 = d_2od_1$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Abujabal, H. A. S. A. and Al-Shehri, N. O. *Some Results on Derivations of BCI-Algebras*, PK ISSN 0022-2941; CODEN JNSMAC. Vol. 46,13-19.
- [2] Al-Shehri, N. O. *Derivations of B-Algebras*, JKAU: Sci., VOL. 22 No. 1, pp:71-83(2010 A.D. / 1431 A.H.); DOI: 10.4197/Sci. 22-1.5, 2010.
- [3] Cho, J.R., and Kim, H.S. *On B-Algebras and Quasigroups, Quasigroups and Related Systems*,8:1-6, 2001.
- [4] Dudek, W. A. and Zhang, X. *On ideals and congruences in BCC-algebras*. Czechoslovak Math. Journal. 48 (123): 21-29, 1998.
- [5] Firat, A. *On f-Derivations of BCC-Algebras*, Ars Combinatoria, 97A pp. 377-388, 2010.
- [6] Hu, Q.P. and Li, X. *On Proper BCH-Algebras*, Math. Japo. 30:659-661, 1985.
- [7] Hu, Q.P. and Li, X. *On BCH-Algebras*, Math. Seminar Notes, 11: 313-320, 1983.
- [8] Iseki, K. and Tanaka, S. *An Introduction to Theory of BCK-Algebras*, Math. Japo., 23, 1-26, 1978.
- [9] Iseki, K. *On BCI-Algebras*, Math. Seminar Notes, 8: 125-130, 1980.
- [10] Jun, Y.B., Roh, E.H. and Kim, H.S. *On BH-algebras*, Sci. Math., Japonica Online, 1, 347-354, 1998.
- [11] Jun, Y.B. and Xin, X.L. *On Derivations of BCI-algebras*, Inform. Sci., 159: 167-176, 2004.
- [12] Kim, H.S., and Park, H.G. *On 0-commutative B-Algebras*, Sci. Math. Japonica Online, 3-, 31-36, 2005.
- [13] Neggers, J. and Kim, H.S. *On d-algebras*, Math.Slovaca, Co., 49:19-26,1999.
- [14] Neggers J., and Kim, H.S. *On B-Algebras*, Mate. Vesnik, 54: 21-29, 2002.
- [15] Prabpayak C. and Leerawat U. *On derivations of BCC-algebras*. Kasetsart J. (Nat. Sci.) 43: 398-401, 2009.

EGE UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 35100-IZMIR, TURKEY

*E-mail address:* alev.firat@ege.edu.tr

YAŞAR UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND LETTER, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 35100-IZMIR, TURKEY

*E-mail address:* sule.ayar@yasar.edu.tr