

CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS AND BOUNDED LINEAR OPERATORS IN FUZZY n -NORMED LINEAR SPACES

HAKAN EFE

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper to define different types of continuities of operators and boundedness of linear operators over fuzzy n -normed linear spaces. Also some definitions such as fuzzy continuity, sequential fuzzy continuity, weakly fuzzy continuity, strongly fuzzy continuity, weakly fuzzy boundedness and strongly fuzzy boundedness are given in fuzzy n -normed linear spaces. In addition, some theorems related to these definitions are proved.

1. INTRODUCTION

Since the introduction of the concept of fuzzy norm on a linear space by Katsaras [11] in 1984, many authors have studied the fuzzy topological vector spaces. In 1992, Felbin [4] introduced an idea of a fuzzy norm on a linear space by assigning a fuzzy real number to each element of the linear space so that the corresponding metric associated to this fuzzy norm is of Kaleva type [10] fuzzy metric. In 1994, Chang and Moderson [3] introduced another idea of a fuzzy norm on a linear space in such a manner that the corresponding fuzzy metric of it is of Kramosil and Michalek type [13]. Recently Xiao and Zhu [18] redefined the idea of Felbin's [4] definition of fuzzy norm of a linear operator from a fuzzy normed linear space to another fuzzy normed linear space. In 2003, Bag and Samanta [1] introduced a definition of a fuzzy norm and proved a decomposition theorem of a fuzzy norm into a family of crisp norms. Also in 2005, Bag and Samanta [2] give some properties on fuzzy norms. In [5, 6, 14] were studied various properties of these types of fuzzy normed linear spaces. The concept of 2-norm and n -norm on a linear space has been introduced and developed by Gähler in [7, 8]. Following Misiak [16], Kim and Cho [12] and Malčeski [15] developed the theory of n -normed space. In [9], Gunawan and Mashadi gave a simple way to derive an $(n-1)$ -norm from the n -norm and realized that any n -normed space is an $(n-1)$ -normed space. Also, Narayanan and Vijayabalaji [17] introduced the concept of fuzzy n -normed linear space.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46A30, 46A70, 54A40.

Key words and phrases. fuzzy n -norms, linear operator, fuzzy continuity.

In the present paper, we define various types of continuities of operators and boundedness of linear operators over fuzzy n -normed linear spaces such as fuzzy continuity, sequential fuzzy continuity, weakly fuzzy continuity, strongly fuzzy continuity, weakly fuzzy boundedness and strongly fuzzy boundedness.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 1 ([9]). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let X be a real vector space of dimension $d \geq n$. (Here we allow d to be infinite.) A real-valued function $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$ on $\underbrace{X \times \dots \times X}_n$ satisfying the following four properties,

- (1) $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = 0$ if and only if x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are linearly dependent,
- (2) $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$ is invariant under any permutation,
- (3) $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, \alpha x_n\| = |\alpha| \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (4) $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y+z\| \leq \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y\| + \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, z\|$,

is called an n -norm on X and the pair $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is called an n -normed space.

Example 1. Let $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ and

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_E = \text{abs} \left(\begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n1} & \cdots & x_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

where $x_i = (x_{i1}, \dots, x_{in}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_E)$ is an n -normed space which is called Euclidean n -normed space.

Definition 2 ([9]). Let $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ be an n -normed linear space and $x(k)$ be a sequence in X . Then $x(k)$ is said to be convergent if there exists a $x \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x(k) - x\| = 0$$

for every $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} \in X$. Then x called limit of the sequence $x(k)$ and denoted by $\lim x(k) = x$ or $x(k) \rightarrow x$.

Definition 3 ([9]). A sequence $x(k)$ in $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is called Cauchy sequence, if

$$\lim_{k, l \rightarrow \infty} \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x(k) - x(l)\| = 0$$

for every $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} \in X$ and $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 4 ([17]). Let X be a linear space over a real field F . A fuzzy subset N of $\underbrace{X \times \dots \times X}_n \times \mathbb{R}$ (\mathbb{R} , set of real numbers) is called a fuzzy n -norm on X if and only if

- (N1) for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t \leq 0$, $N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) = 0$,
- (N2) for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t > 0$, $N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) = 1$ if and only if x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are linearly dependent,
- (N3) $N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t)$ is invariant under any permutation of x_1, \dots, x_n ,
- (N4) for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t > 0$, $N(x_1, x_2, \dots, cx_n, t) = N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t/|c|)$, if $c \neq 0$, $c \in F$,
- (N5) for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n + x'_n, s + t) \geq \min\{N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, s), N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x'_n, t)\},$$

- (N6) $N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \cdot)$ is a nondecreasing function of \mathbb{R} and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) = 1.$$

Then (X, N) is called a fuzzy n -normed linear space or in short f - n -NLS.

Remark 1. From (N3), it follows that in a f - n -NLS,

- (N4) for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t > 0$,

$$N(x_1, x_2, \dots, cx_i, \dots, x_n, t) = N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n, t/|c|),$$

if $c \neq 0$,

- (N5) for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i + x'_i, \dots, x_n, s + t) \\ & \geq \min\{N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n, s), N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x'_i, \dots, x_n, t)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 2. Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ be an n -normed space as in Definition 1. Define,

$$N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{t + \|\cdot, \cdot, \dots, \cdot\|} & \text{if } t > 0, t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ 0 & \text{if } t \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$. Then (X, N) is a f - n -NLS.

Theorem 1 ([17]). Let (X, N) be a f - n -NLS. Assume further those

- (N7) $N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) > 0$ for all $t > 0$ implies x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are linearly dependent.

Define

$$\|\cdot, \cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\alpha = \wedge\{t : N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) \geq \alpha\}, \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

Then $\{\|\cdot, \cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1)\}$ is an ascending family of n -norms on X . These n -norms are called α - n -norms on X corresponding to the fuzzy n -norm N on X .

Theorem 2. Let $\{\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1)\}$ ascending family of n -norms on X . Now we define a function $N' : \underbrace{X \times \dots \times X}_n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) = \begin{cases} \vee\{\alpha : \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_\alpha \leq t\} & \text{otherwise} \\ 0 & \text{if } x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \text{ are} \\ & \text{linearly dependent and } t = 0 \end{cases}$$

Then N' is a fuzzy n -norm on X .

Proof. (N1) For all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t < 0$ we have

$$N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) = \vee\{\alpha : \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_\alpha \leq t\} = 0$$

for all $x \in X$. For $t = 0$ and x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are linearly dependent from the definition $N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) = 0$.

(N2) Let $N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$. Choose any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Then for any $t > 0$, there exists $\alpha_t \in (\varepsilon, 1]$ such that $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_{\alpha_t} \leq t$, and hence $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_\varepsilon \leq t$. Since $t > 0$ is arbitrary, this implies that $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_\varepsilon = 0$ then x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are linearly dependent.

If x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are linearly dependent then

$$\begin{aligned} N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) &= \vee\{\alpha : \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_\alpha \leq t\} \\ &= \vee\{\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1)\} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

for all $t > 0$.

(N3) Since $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_\alpha$ is invariant under any permutation, then $N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t)$ is invariant under any permutation.

(N4) Let $c \neq 0$, $c \in F$ and $t > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, cx_n, t) &= \vee\{\alpha : \|x_1, x_2, \dots, cx_n\|_\alpha \leq t\} = \vee\{\alpha : |c| \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_\alpha \leq t\} \\ &= \vee\left\{\alpha : \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_\alpha \leq \frac{t}{|c|}\right\} = N'\left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \frac{t}{|c|}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$.

(N5) We have to show that for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n + x'_n, s + t) \geq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, s), \\ N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x'_n, t) \end{array} \right\}.$$

If,

(a) $s + t < 0$, (b) $s = t = 0$, (c) $s + t > 0$; $s > 0$, $t < 0$; $s < 0$, $t > 0$, then in these cases the relation is obvious. If,

(d) $s > 0$, $t > 0$, let $p = N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, s)$, $q = N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x'_n, t)$ and $p \leq q$.

If $p = 0$, $q = 0$ then obviously (N5) holds.

Let $0 < r < p \leq q$. Then there exists $\alpha > r$ such that $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_\alpha \leq s$ and there exists $\beta > r$ such that $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x'_n\|_\beta \leq t$. Let $\gamma = \alpha \wedge \beta > r$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_\gamma &\leq \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_\alpha \leq s \text{ and} \\ \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x'_n\|_\gamma &\leq \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x'_n\|_\beta \leq t. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n + x'_n\|_\gamma \leq \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_\gamma + \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x'_n\|_\gamma \leq s + t.$$

Therefore $N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n + x'_n, s + t) \geq \gamma > r$. Since $0 < r < \gamma$ is arbitrary thus

$$N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n + x'_n, s + t) \geq p = \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, s), \\ N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x'_n, t) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Similarly if $p \geq q$, then the relation also holds.

(N6) Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Now $t > \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_\alpha$, then

$$N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) = \vee \{ \beta : \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_\beta \leq t \} \geq \alpha$$

where $\beta \in (0, 1)$. So $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) = 1$.

Next we show that $N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \cdot)$ is a nondecreasing function of \mathbb{R} . If $t_1 < t_2 \leq 0$, then

$$N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t_1) = N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t_2) = 0$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$. If $t_2 > t_1 > 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \{ \alpha : \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_\alpha \leq t_1 \} &\subset \{ \alpha : \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_\alpha \leq t_2 \} \\ \Rightarrow \vee \{ \alpha : \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_\alpha \leq t_1 \} &\leq \vee \{ \alpha : \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_\alpha \leq t_2 \} \\ \Rightarrow N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t_1) &\leq N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t_2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \cdot)$ is a nondecreasing function of \mathbb{R} and hence N' is a fuzzy n -norm on X . \square

(N8) We assume that, for x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are linearly independent, and $N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \cdot)$ is a continuous function of \mathbb{R} and strictly increasing on the subset $\{t : 0 < N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) < 1\}$ of \mathbb{R} .

Lemma 1. *If (X, N) be a fuzzy n -normed linear space satisfying (N7) and (N8) and $\{\|\cdot, \cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1)\}$ be an ascending family of n -norms on X defined as $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_\alpha = \wedge \{t : N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) \geq \alpha\}$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, then for $x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}$ in X which are linearly independent,*

$$N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, \|x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}\|_\alpha) \geq \alpha$$

for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. Let $\|x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}\|_\alpha = T$, then $T > 0$. Then there exists a sequence $(t_n)_n$, $t_n > 0$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ such that $N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_n) \geq \alpha$ and $t_n \downarrow T$. Therefore,

$$N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_n) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n) \geq \alpha \text{ by (N8)}$$

which implies $N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, \|x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}\|_\alpha) \geq \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. \square

Lemma 2. *If (X, N) be a fuzzy n -normed linear space satisfying (N7) and (N8) and $\{\|\cdot, \cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1)\}$ be a family of α - n -norms on X defined by*

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_\alpha = \wedge\{t : N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) \geq \alpha\},$$

$\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and for all $x \in X$. Then for $x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}$ are linearly independent, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and for $t' > 0$,

$$\|x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}\|_\alpha = t' \text{ iff } N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, t') = \alpha.$$

Proof. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}$ are linearly independent and

$$t' = \|x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}\|_\alpha = \wedge\{s : N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, s) \geq \alpha\}.$$

Since $N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \cdot)$ is continuous by (N8), we have

$$(i) \quad N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, t') \geq \alpha.$$

Also

$$N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, t') \leq N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, s)$$

if $N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, s) \geq \alpha$. If possible, let $N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, t') > \alpha$, then by the continuity of $N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, \cdot)$ at t' , there exists $t'' < t'$ such that $N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, t'') > \alpha$ which is impossible, since $t' = \wedge\{s : N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, s) \geq \alpha\}$. Thus

$$(ii) \quad N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, t') \leq \alpha.$$

By combining (i) and (ii) we get $N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, t') = \alpha$. Thus

$$(iii) \quad t' = \|x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}\|_\alpha \Rightarrow N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, t') = \alpha.$$

Next if $N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, t') = \alpha$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, then from definition

$$(iv) \quad \|x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}\|_\alpha = \wedge\{t : N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, t) \geq \alpha\} = t'$$

(Since $N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, \cdot)$ is strictly increasing in

$\{t : 0 < N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) < 1\}$). From (iii) and (iv) we have, for $x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}$ are linearly independent, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and for $t' > 0$,

$$\|x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}\|_\alpha = t' \text{ iff } N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, t') = \alpha. \quad \square$$

Theorem 3. Let (X, N) be a fuzzy n -normed linear space satisfying (N7) and (N8). Let

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_\alpha = \wedge\{t : N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) \geq \alpha\},$$

$\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $N' : \underbrace{X \times \dots \times X}_n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a function defined by

$$= \begin{cases} N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) \\ \vee\{\alpha : \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_\alpha \leq t\} \\ 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{if } \begin{matrix} \text{otherwise} \\ x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \text{ are} \\ \text{linearly dependent and } t = 0 \end{matrix}$$

Then

- (i) $\{\|\cdot, \cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1)\}$ is an ascending family of α - n -norms on X .
- (ii) N' is a fuzzy n -norm on X .
- (iii) $N' = N$.

Proof. We only prove (iii) and we consider the following cases.

Let $(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0) \in \underbrace{X \times \dots \times X}_n \times \mathbb{R}$ and $N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0) = \alpha_0$.

Case I. $x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}$ are linearly dependent, $t_0 \leq 0$. Then,

$$N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0) = N'(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0) = 0.$$

Case II. $x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}$ are linearly dependent, $t_0 > 0$. Then,

$$N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0) = N'(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0) = 1.$$

Case III. $x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}$ are linearly independent, $t_0 \leq 0$. Then,

$$N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0) = N'(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0) = 0.$$

Case IV. $x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}$ are linearly independent and $t_0 > 0$ such that $N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0) = 0$.

For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$,

$$\|x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}\|_\alpha = \wedge\{t : N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, t) \geq \alpha\}.$$

By Lemma 1 we have $N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, \|x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}\|_\alpha) \geq \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Since $N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0) = 0 < \alpha$, it follows that $t_0 < \|x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}\|_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. So,

$$N'(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0) = \vee\{\alpha : \|x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}\|_\alpha \leq t_0\} = \vee\emptyset = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$N(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0) = N'(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0) = 0.$$

Case V. When x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0} are linearly independent and $t_0 > 0$ such that $0 < N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0) < 1$. Let $N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0) = \alpha_0$. Then $0 < \alpha_0 < 1$. Now

$$N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) = \vee\{\alpha : \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_\alpha \leq t\}$$

when

(i) x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are linearly independent and $t \neq 0$,

(ii) $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_\alpha = \wedge\{t : N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) \geq \alpha\}$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Since $N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0) = \alpha_0$, we have from (ii)

(iii) $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}\|_{\alpha_0} \leq t_0$.

Using (iii), we get from (i)

$$(2.1) \quad N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0) \geq \alpha_0 \Rightarrow N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0) \geq N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0). \quad (\text{iv})$$

Now from Lemma 2, we have

$$N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0) = \alpha_0 \Leftrightarrow \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}\|_{\alpha_0} = t_0.$$

Now for $1 > \alpha > \alpha_0$, let $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}\|_\alpha = t'$, then $t' \geq t_0$. Then by Lemma 2, $N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}, t') = \alpha$. So,

$$N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}, t') = \alpha > \alpha_0 = N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0).$$

Since $N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}, \cdot)$ is strictly monotonically increasing in $S_{x_0} = \{t : 0 < N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}, t) < 1\}$, $t', t_0 \in S_{x_0}$ and

$$N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}, t') > N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0),$$

it follows that $t' > t_0$. So for $1 > \alpha > \alpha_0$, $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}\|_\alpha = t' \not\leq t_0$. Hence

(v) $N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0) \leq \alpha_0 = N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0)$.

By (iv) and (v) we have $N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0) = N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0)$.

Case VI. When x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0} are linearly independent and $t_0 > 0$ such that $N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0) = 1$. Note that,

(i) $N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) = \vee\{\alpha : \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_\alpha \leq t\}$,

for x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are linearly independent and $t \neq 0$,

(ii) $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_\alpha = \wedge\{t : N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) \geq \alpha\}$

$\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$. It follows that, for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$,

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}\|_\alpha \leq t_0 \text{ by (ii)} \Rightarrow N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0) = 1 \text{ by (i)}.$$

Thus $N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0) = N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}, t_0) = 1$.

Hence, $N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) = N'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t)$ for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. □

Definition 5. Let (X, N) be a f - n -NLS and $x(k)$ be a sequence in X . Then $x(k)$ is said to be convergent if there exists a $x \in X$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x(k) - x, t) = 1$ for every $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} \in X$ and for all $t > 0$. Then x called limit of the sequence $x(k)$ and denoted by $\lim x(k) = x$ or $x(k) \rightarrow x$.

Definition 6. A sequence $x(k)$ in (X, N) is called Cauchy sequence, if

$$\lim_{k, l \rightarrow \infty} N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x(k) - x(l), t) = 1$$

for every $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} \in X$ and for all $t > 0, k, l \in \mathbb{N}$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Throughout this paper (X, N_1) and (Y, N_2) are f - n -NLS over the same field of scalars.

Definition 7. A mapping T from (X, N_1) to (Y, N_2) is said to be fuzzy continuous at $z \in X$, if for given $\varepsilon > 0, \alpha \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\delta = \delta(\alpha, \varepsilon) > 0, \beta = \beta(\alpha, \varepsilon) \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y \in X, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \in Y$,

$$N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y - z, \delta) > \beta \Rightarrow N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty - Tz, \varepsilon) > \alpha.$$

If T is fuzzy continuous at each point of X , then T is said to be fuzzy continuous on X .

Definition 8. A mapping T from (X, N_1) to (Y, N_2) is said to be strongly fuzzy continuous at $z \in X$, if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y \in X, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \in Y$,

$$N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty - Tz, \varepsilon) \geq N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y - z, \delta).$$

If T is strongly fuzzy continuous at each point of X , then T is said to be strongly fuzzy continuous on X .

Definition 9. A mapping T from (X, N_1) to (Y, N_2) is said to be weakly fuzzy continuous at $z \in X$, if for a given $\varepsilon > 0, \alpha \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\delta = \delta(\alpha, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y \in X, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \in Y$,

$$N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y - z, \delta) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty - Tz, \varepsilon) \geq \alpha.$$

If T is weakly fuzzy continuous at each point of X , then T is said to be weakly fuzzy continuous on X .

Definition 10. A mapping T from (X, N_1) to (Y, N_2) is said to be sequentially fuzzy continuous at $z \in X$, if for any sequence $x(k)$ in X with $x(k) \rightarrow z$ implies $Tx(k) \rightarrow Tz$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. I.e., for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y \in X$, $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \in Y$ and for all $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x(k) - z, t) &= 1 \Rightarrow \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Tx(k) - Tz, t) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

If T is sequentially fuzzy continuous at each point of X , then T is said to be sequentially fuzzy continuous on X .

Remark 2. It is easy to see that if a mapping is strongly fuzzy continuous then it is weakly fuzzy continuous.

Theorem 4. Let (X, N_1) and (Y, N_2) be two f - n -NLS and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. If T is strongly fuzzy continuous then it is sequentially fuzzy continuous..

Proof. Assume that T is strongly fuzzy continuous at $z \in X$. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta = \delta(z, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y \in X$, $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \in Y$,

$$(i) \quad N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty - Tz, \varepsilon) \geq N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y - z, \delta).$$

Let $x(k)$ be a sequence in X such that $x(k) \rightarrow z$, i.e.,

$$(ii) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x(k) - z, t) = 1$$

for all $t > 0$. Now from (i) we have,

$$N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Tx(k) - Tz, \varepsilon) \geq N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x(k) - z, \delta)$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Then,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Tx(k) - Tz, \varepsilon) \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x(k) - z, \delta),$$

which implies $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Tx(k) - Tz, \varepsilon) = 1$ by (ii). Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, it follows $Tx(k) \rightarrow Tz$. \square

Theorem 5. Let (X, N_1) and (Y, N_2) be two f - n -NLS and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. Then T is fuzzy continuous iff it is sequentially fuzzy continuous.

Proof. Assume that T is fuzzy continuous at $z \in X$. Let $x(k)$ be a sequence in X such that $x(k) \rightarrow z$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Choose $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Since T is fuzzy continuous at z , then there exists $\delta = \delta(\alpha, \varepsilon) > 0$ and $\beta = \beta(\alpha, \varepsilon) \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y \in X$ and $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \in Y$,

$$N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y - z, \delta) > \beta \Rightarrow N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty - Tz, \varepsilon) > \alpha.$$

Since $x(k) \rightarrow z$ in X , there exists positive integer k_0 such that

$$N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x(k) - z, \delta) > \beta$$

for all $k \geq k_0$. Then $N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Tx(k) - Tz, \varepsilon) > \alpha$ for all $k \geq k_0$. So for a given $\varepsilon > 0$ and for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there exists positive integer k_0 such that $N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Tx(k) - Tz, \varepsilon) > \alpha$ for all $k \geq k_0$. This implies $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Tx(k) - Tz, \varepsilon) = 1$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, thus $Tx(k) \rightarrow Tz$ in Y .

Next we suppose that T is sequentially fuzzy continuous at $z \in X$. If possible suppose that T is not fuzzy continuous at z . Thus, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that for any $\delta > 0$ and $\beta \in (0, 1)$, there exists w (depending on δ, β) such that

$N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, z - w, \delta) > \beta$ but $N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Tz - Tw, \varepsilon) \leq \alpha$. Thus for $\beta = 1 - \frac{1}{k+1}$, $\delta = \frac{1}{k+1}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, there exists $w(k)$ such that

$$N_1\left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, z - w(k), \frac{1}{k+1}\right) > 1 - \frac{1}{k+1} \quad (i)$$

but $N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Tz - Tw(k), \varepsilon) \leq \alpha$.

Taking $\delta > 0$, there exists k_0 such that $\frac{1}{k+1} < \delta$ for all $k \geq k_0$. Then,

$$N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, z - w(k), \delta) \geq N_1\left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, z - w(k), \frac{1}{k+1}\right) > 1 - \frac{1}{k+1}$$

for all $k \geq k_0$. Therefore $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, z - w(k), \delta) \geq 1 \Rightarrow w(k) \rightarrow z$. But from (i), $N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Tz - Tw(k), \varepsilon) \leq \alpha$ so

$$N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Tz - Tw(k), \varepsilon) \rightarrow 1$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $Tw(k)$ does not convergence to Tz whereas $w(k) \rightarrow z$ (w.r.t N_1), which is a contradiction to our assumption. Hence T is fuzzy continuous at z . \square

Definition 11. Let (X, N_1) and (Y, N_2) be two f - n -NLS and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear operator. T is said to be strongly fuzzy bounded on X iff there exists positive real number M such that for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y \in X$, $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \in Y$ and for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty, s) \geq N_1\left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y, \frac{s}{M}\right).$$

Example 3. The zero and identity operators are strongly fuzzy bounded.

Example 4. Let $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ be an n -normed linear space. We define two functions N_1 and N_2 from $\underbrace{X \times \dots \times X}_n \times \mathbb{R}$ to $[0, 1]$ by

$$N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{t + \alpha_1 \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|} & \text{if } t > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } t \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$N_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{t + \alpha_2 \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|} & \text{if } t > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } t \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

where α_1 and α_2 are two fixed positive real numbers and $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2$. It is easy to show that N_1 and N_2 are fuzzy n -norms on X . We define an operator $T : (X, N_1) \rightarrow (X, N_2)$ by $Ty = ry$ where $r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ is fixed. Clearly T is a linear operator. If we choose a positive number M such that $M \geq |r|$, then it can be shown that

$$(ii) \quad N_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, Ty, t) \geq N_1\left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y, \frac{t}{M}\right)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y \in X$, and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. For all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y \in X$, $M \geq |r|$ we have $\alpha_1 M \geq \alpha_2 |r|$, for all $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow \alpha_1 M \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y\| \geq \alpha_2 |r| \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y\| \\ &\Rightarrow t + \alpha_1 M \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y\| \geq t + \alpha_2 |r| \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y\| \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{t}{t + \alpha_2 |r| \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y\|} \geq \frac{t}{t + \alpha_1 M \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y\|}, \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{t}{t + \alpha_2 \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, ry\|} \geq \frac{\frac{t}{M}}{\frac{t}{M} + \alpha_1 \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y\|} \\ &\Rightarrow N_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, Ty, t) \geq N_1\left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y, \frac{t}{M}\right). \end{aligned}$$

If $t \leq 0$ then above relation holds for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y \in X$. Hence T is a strongly fuzzy bounded linear operator.

Definition 12. Let (X, N_1) and (Y, N_2) be two f - n -NLS and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear operator. T is said to be weakly fuzzy bounded on X if for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there exists $M_\alpha > 0$ such that for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y \in X$, $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \in Y$ and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$N_1\left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y, \frac{t}{M_\alpha}\right) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty, t) \geq \alpha.$$

Theorem 6. Let (X, N_1) and (Y, N_2) be f - n -NLS and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear operator. If T is strongly fuzzy bounded then it is weakly fuzzy bounded but not conversely.

Proof. We suppose that T is strongly fuzzy bounded. Thus there exists $M > 0$ such that for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y \in X$, $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \in Y$ and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty, t) \geq N_1\left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y, \frac{t}{M}\right).$$

Thus for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there exists $M_\alpha (= M) > 0$ such that

$$N_1 \left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y, \frac{t}{M_\alpha} \right) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty, t) \geq \alpha$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y \in X$, $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \in Y$ and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. This implies that T is weakly fuzzy bounded. \square

For the converse result we consider the following example.

Example 5. Let $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ be an n -normed linear space. We define two functions N_1 and N_2 from $\underbrace{X \times \dots \times X}_n \times \mathbb{R}$ to $[0, 1]$ by

$$N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t^2 - \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|^2}{t^2 + \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|^2} & \text{if } t > \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| \\ 0 & \text{if } t \leq \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| \end{cases}$$

and

$$N_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{t + \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|} & \text{if } t > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } t \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$. It can be shown that N_1 is a fuzzy n -norm on X . We define a linear operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ by $Ty = y$. If we choose $M_\alpha = \frac{1}{1-\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we get

$$N_1 \left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y, \frac{t}{M_\alpha} \right) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow N_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, Ty, t) \geq \alpha.$$

Hence T is weakly fuzzy bounded. But it can be proved that T is not strongly fuzzy bounded.

Definition 13. Let (X, N_1) and (Y, N_2) be two f - n -NLS and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear operator. T is said to be uniformly bounded if there exists $M > 0$ such that for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$,

$$\|y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty\|_\alpha^2 \leq M \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y\|_\alpha^1$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y \in X$, $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \in Y$, where $\|\cdot, \cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\alpha^1$ and $\|\cdot, \cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\alpha^2$ are α - n -norms of N_1 and N_2 respectively.

Theorem 7. Let (X, N_1) and (Y, N_2) be two f - n -NLS satisfying (N7) and (N8). Let $T : (X, N_1) \rightarrow (Y, N_2)$ be a linear operator. Then T is strongly fuzzy bounded iff it is uniformly bounded with respect to α - n -norms of N_1 and N_2 .

Proof. Let $\|\cdot, \cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\alpha^1$ and $\|\cdot, \cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\alpha^2$ be α - n -norms of N_1 and N_2 respectively.

First we suppose that T is strongly fuzzy bounded. Thus there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty, s) \geq N_1 \left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y, \frac{s}{M} \right)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y \in X$, $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \in Y$ and for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. This implies

$$(i) \quad N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty, s) \geq N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, My, s).$$

Now $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, My\|_\alpha^1 < t$

- $\Rightarrow \wedge \{s : N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, My, s) \geq \alpha\} < t$
- $\Rightarrow \exists s_0 < t$ such that $N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, My, s_0) \geq \alpha$
- $\Rightarrow \exists s_0 < t$ such that $N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty, s_0) \geq \alpha$ by (i).
- $\Rightarrow \|y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty\|_\alpha^2 \leq s_0 < t.$

Hence

$\|y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty\|_\alpha^2 \leq \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, My\|_\alpha^1 = M\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y\|_\alpha^1$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. This implies that T is uniformly bounded w.r.t. α - n -norms, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Conversely suppose that, there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$(ii) \quad \|y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty\|_\alpha^2 \leq M\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y\|_\alpha^1$$

holds for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y \in X$, $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \in Y$. Now $r < N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, My, s)$ then

$r < \vee \{\alpha \in (0, 1) : \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, My\|_\alpha^1 \leq s\}$ by Theorem 3. Then there exists an $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $r < \alpha_0$ and $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, My\|_{\alpha_0}^1 \leq s$. Therefore $\|y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty\|_{\alpha_0}^2 \leq s$ by (ii) which implies

$N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty, s) \geq \alpha_0 > r$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty, s) &\geq N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, My, s) \\ &= N_1\left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y, \frac{s}{M}\right) \end{aligned}$$

which shows T is strongly fuzzy bounded. □

Theorem 8. Let (X, N_1) and (Y, N_2) be two f - n -NLS and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear operator. Then

- (i) T is strongly fuzzy continuous everywhere on X if T is strongly fuzzy continuous at a point $z \in X$.
- (ii) T is strongly fuzzy continuous iff T is strongly fuzzy bounded.

Proof. (i) Since T is strongly fuzzy continuous at a point $z \in X$, thus for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y \in X$, $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \in Y$ we have

$$N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty - Tz, \varepsilon) \geq N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y - z, \delta).$$

Taking any $w \in X$ and replacing y by $y + z - w$ we get

$$N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, T(y + z - w) - Tz, \varepsilon) \geq N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y + z - w - z, \delta)$$

$\Rightarrow N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty + Tz - Tw - Tz, \varepsilon) \geq N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y - w, \delta)$

$\Rightarrow N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty - Tw, \varepsilon) \geq N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y - w, \delta)$. Since w is arbitrary, it follows that T is strongly fuzzy continuous on X .

(ii) First we suppose that T is strongly fuzzy bounded. Thus there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty, \varepsilon) \geq N_1\left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y, \frac{\varepsilon}{M}\right)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y \in X$, $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \in Y$ and for all $\varepsilon > 0$, i.e.,

$$N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty - T(\underline{0}), \varepsilon) \geq N_1\left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y - \underline{0}, \frac{\varepsilon}{M}\right)$$

which implies

$$N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty - T(\underline{0}), \varepsilon) \geq N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y - \underline{0}, \delta)$$

where $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{M}$. This shows that T is strongly fuzzy continuous at $\underline{0}$ and hence it is strongly fuzzy continuous on X .

Conversely, assume that T is strongly fuzzy continuous on X . Using continuity of T at $y = \underline{0}$, for $\varepsilon = 1$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$N_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, Ty - T(\underline{0}), 1) \geq N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y - \underline{0}, \delta)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y \in X$, $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \in Y$. Suppose that $y \neq \underline{0}$ and $t > 0$. Putting $u = y/t$ then

$$\begin{aligned} N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty, t) &= N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, tTu, t) \\ &= N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Tu, 1) \\ &\geq N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, u, \delta) \\ &= N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y/t, \delta) \\ &= N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y, t/M) \end{aligned}$$

where $M = 1/\delta$. So

$$N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty, t) \geq N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y, t/M)$$

If $y \neq \underline{0}$ and $t \leq 0$ then

$$N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty, t) = 0 = N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y, t/M).$$

If $y = \underline{0}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ then $T(\underline{0}_X) = \underline{0}_Y$ and

$$\begin{aligned} N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, \underline{0}_Y, t) &= N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, \underline{0}_X, t/M) = 1 \text{ if } t > 0, \\ N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, \underline{0}_Y, t) &= N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, \underline{0}_X, t/M) = 0 \text{ if } t \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the above discussion it follows that T is strongly fuzzy bounded. \square

Remark 3. If T is strongly fuzzy bounded then it is sequentially fuzzy continuous on X .

Theorem 9. Let (X, N_1) and (Y, N_2) be two f - n -NLS and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear operator. If T is sequentially fuzzy continuous at a point then it is sequentially fuzzy continuous on X .

Proof. Suppose that T is sequentially continuous at $y_0 \in X$. Let $y \in X$ be an arbitrary point and let $x(k)$ be a sequence in X such that $x(k) \rightarrow y$. Then for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y \in X$,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x(k) - y, t) = 1 \text{ for all } t > 0,$$

i.e.,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, (x(k) - y + y_0) - y_0, t) = 1 \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

Since T is continuous at $y_0 \in X$, then from Theorem 5 we have, for all $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \in Y$,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, T(x(k) - y + y_0) - Ty_0, t) = 1 \text{ for all } t > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Tx(k) - Ty + Ty_0 - Ty_0, t) = 1 \text{ for all } t > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Tx(k) - Ty, t) = 1 \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

Thus $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x(k) - y, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ which implies $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Tx(k) - Ty, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$. This shows that T is sequentially fuzzy continuous on X . \square

Theorem 10. Let (X, N_1) and (Y, N_2) be two f - n -NLS and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear operator. Then

- (i) T is weakly fuzzy continuous everywhere on X if T is weakly fuzzy continuous at a point $y_0 \in X$.
- (ii) T is weakly fuzzy continuous iff T is weakly fuzzy bounded.

Proof. (i) Since T is weakly fuzzy continuous at a point $y_0 \in X$, thus for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ there exists $\delta(\alpha, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y \in X, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \in Y$ we have

$$N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y - y_0, \delta) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty - Ty_0, \varepsilon) \geq \alpha.$$

Taking any $z \in X$ and replacing y by $y + y_0 - z$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y + y_0 - z - y_0, \delta) &\geq \alpha \Rightarrow \\ N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, T(y + y_0 - z) - Ty_0, \varepsilon) &\geq \alpha, \text{ i.e.,} \\ N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y - z, \delta) &\geq \alpha \Rightarrow \\ N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty - Tz, \varepsilon) &\geq \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Since z is arbitrary, it follows that T is weakly fuzzy continuous on X .

(ii) First we suppose that T is weakly fuzzy bounded. Thus for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there exists $M_\alpha > 0$ such that

$$N_1 \left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y, \frac{t}{M_\alpha} \right) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty, t) \geq \alpha$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y \in X$, $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \in Y$ and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e.,

$$N_1 \left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y - \underline{0}, \frac{t}{M_\alpha} \right) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty - T(\underline{0}), t) \geq \alpha$$

i.e.,

$$N_1 \left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y - \underline{0}, \frac{\varepsilon}{M_\alpha} \right) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty - T(\underline{0}), \varepsilon) \geq \alpha$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$, i.e.,

$$N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y - \underline{0}, \delta) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty - T(\underline{0}), \varepsilon) \geq \alpha$$

where $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{M_\alpha}$.

This implies that T is weakly fuzzy continuous at $x = \underline{0}$ and hence weakly fuzzy continuous on X .

Conversely, assume that T is weakly fuzzy continuous on X . Using weakly fuzzy continuity of T at $y = \underline{0}$, for $\varepsilon = 1$ we have for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\delta(\alpha, 1) > 0$ such that for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y \in X$, $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \in Y$,

$$N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y - \underline{0}, \delta) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty - T(\underline{0}), 1) \geq \alpha$$

$$\text{i.e. } N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y, \delta) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty, 1) \geq \alpha.$$

Suppose that $y \neq \underline{0}$ and $t > 0$. Putting $y = u/t$ then

$$N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, u/t, \delta) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, T(u/t), 1) \geq \alpha$$

$$\text{i.e. } N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, u, t\delta) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Tu, t) \geq \alpha, \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$N_1 \left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, u, \frac{t}{M_\alpha} \right) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Tu, t) \geq \alpha \text{ where } M_\alpha = \frac{1}{\delta(\alpha, 1)}.$$

This shows that T is weakly fuzzy bounded.

If $y \neq \underline{0}$ and $t \leq 0$ then

$$N_1 \left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y, \frac{t}{M_\alpha} \right) = N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty, t)$$

for any $M_\alpha > 0$.

If $y = \underline{0}$ then for $M_\alpha > 0$,

$$N_1 \left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y, \frac{t}{M_\alpha} \right) = N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty, t) = 1 \text{ if } t > 0,$$

$$N_1 \left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y, \frac{t}{M_\alpha} \right) = N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty, t) = 0 \text{ if } t \leq 0.$$

From the above three cases it follows that for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there exists $M_\alpha > 0$ such that

$$N_1 \left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, u, \frac{t}{M_\alpha} \right) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Tu, t) \geq \alpha$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, u \in X, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \in Y$ and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence T is weakly fuzzy bounded. \square

Theorem 11. Let (X, N_1) and (Y, N_2) be two f - n -NLS satisfying (N7) and (N8). Let $T : (X, N_1) \rightarrow (Y, N_2)$ be a linear operator. Then T is weakly fuzzy bounded iff T be bounded w.r.t. α - n -norms of N_1 and $N_2, \alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. First we suppose that T is weakly fuzzy bounded. Thus for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there exists $M_\alpha > 0$ such that for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y \in X, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \in Y$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$N_1 \left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y, \frac{t}{M_\alpha} \right) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty, t) \geq \alpha,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.e., } N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y, t) \geq \alpha &\Rightarrow N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty, t) \geq \alpha, \text{ i.e.,} \\ \forall \{\beta \in (0, 1) : \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y\|_\beta^1 \leq t\} &\geq \alpha \Rightarrow \quad (i) \\ \forall \{\beta \in (0, 1) : \|y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty\|_\beta^2 \leq t\} &\geq \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Now we show that,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \{\beta \in (0, 1) : \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y\|_\beta^1 \leq t\} &\geq \alpha \\ \Leftrightarrow \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y\|_\alpha^1 &\leq t. \end{aligned}$$

If $y = \underline{0}$ then the relation is obvious. Suppose $y \neq \underline{0}$. Now if

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \{\beta \in (0, 1) : \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y\|_\beta^1 \leq t\} &> \alpha \quad (ii) \\ \Rightarrow \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y\|_\alpha^1 &\leq t. \end{aligned}$$

If $\forall \{\beta \in (0, 1) : \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y\|_\beta^1 \leq t\} = \alpha$, then there exists an increasing sequence $(\alpha_n)_n$ in $(0, 1)$ such that $\alpha_n \uparrow \alpha$ and

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y\|_{\alpha_n}^1 \leq t. \text{ Then, we have}$$

$$(iii) \quad \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y\|_\alpha^1 \leq t.$$

Thus from (ii) and (iii) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \{\beta \in (0, 1) : \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y\|_\beta^1 \leq t\} &\geq \alpha \quad (iv) \\ \Rightarrow \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y\|_\alpha^1 &\leq t. \end{aligned}$$

Next we suppose that $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y\|_\alpha^1 \leq t$.

If $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y\|_\alpha^1 < t$ then

$$(v) \quad \forall \{\beta \in (0, 1) : \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y\|_\beta^1 \leq t\} \geq \alpha.$$

If $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y\|_\alpha^1 = t$ i.e. $\wedge \{s : N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y, s) \geq \alpha\} = t$, then there exists a sequence $(s_k)_k$ in \mathbb{R} such that $s_k \downarrow t$ and $N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y, s_k) \geq \alpha$.

This implies $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y, s_k) \geq \alpha$, then $N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y, \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_k) \geq \alpha$ by (N8).

Therefore $N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y, t) \geq \alpha$, then

$$(vi) \quad \forall \{\beta \in (0, 1) : \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y\|_\beta^1 \leq t\} \geq \alpha.$$

From (v) and (vi) it follows that,

$$(vii) \quad \forall \{\beta \in (0, 1) : \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y\|_\beta^1 \leq t\} \geq \alpha.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \{\beta \in (0, 1) : \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y\|_\beta^1 \leq t\} \geq \alpha & \quad (viii) \\ \Leftrightarrow \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y\|_\alpha^1 \leq t. & \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way we can show that,

$$(ix) \quad \forall \{\beta \in (0, 1) : \|y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty\|_\beta^2 \leq t\} \geq \alpha \Leftrightarrow \|y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty\|_\alpha^2 \leq t.$$

Therefore from (viii) and (ix) we have if

$$N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y, t) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty, t) \geq \alpha$$

holds. Then

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y\|_\alpha^1 \leq t \Rightarrow \|y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty\|_\alpha^2 \leq t$$

holds. Then weakly fuzzy boundedness of T implies

$$\|y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty\|_\alpha^2 \leq M_\alpha \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y\|_\alpha^1$$

for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Conversely suppose that for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there exists $M_\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\|y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty\|_\alpha^2 \leq M_\alpha \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y\|_\alpha^1$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y \in X$, $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \in Y$.

Then for $y \neq \underline{0}$,

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y\|_\alpha^1 \leq t \Rightarrow \|y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty\|_\alpha^2 \leq t$$

for all $t > 0$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \wedge \{s : N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y, s) \geq \alpha\} \leq t & \\ \Rightarrow \wedge \{s : N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty, s) \geq \alpha\} \leq t. & \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way as above we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \wedge \{s : N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y, s) \geq \alpha\} \leq t & \\ \Leftrightarrow N_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, M_\alpha y, t) \geq \alpha & \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\wedge \{s : N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty, s) \geq \alpha\} \leq t \Leftrightarrow N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty, t) \geq \alpha.$$

Thus we have

$$N_1\left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y, \frac{t}{M_\alpha}\right) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow N_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, Ty, t) \geq \alpha$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y \in X, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \in Y$.

If $y \neq \underline{0}, t \leq 0$ and $y = \underline{0}, t > 0$ then the above relation is obvious. Hence the theorem follows. \square

Theorem 12. *Let (X, N_1) and (Y, N_2) be two f - n -NLS satisfying (N7) and (N8). Let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear operator. If X is of finite dimension then T is weakly fuzzy bounded.*

Proof. Since (X, N_1) and (Y, N_2) satisfying (N7), we may suppose that $\|\cdot, \cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\alpha^1$ and $\|\cdot, \cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\alpha^2$ are the α - n -norms of N_1 and N_2 respectively. Since X is of finite dimension, thus $T : (X, \|\cdot, \cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\alpha^1) \rightarrow (Y, \|\cdot, \cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\alpha^2)$ is a bounded linear operator for each $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Thus by Theorem 11, it follows that T is weakly fuzzy bounded. \square

Acknowledgement 1. *The author would like to thank the referees for their help in the improvement of this paper.*

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Bag and S. K. Samanta, Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear spaces, J. Fuzzy Math. 11 (3) (2003), 687 – 705.
- [2] T. Bag and S. K. Samanta, Fuzzy bounded linear operators, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 151 (2005), 513 – 547.
- [3] S. C. Chang and J. N. Mordeson, Fuzzy linear operators and fuzzy normed linear spaces, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 86 (5) (1994), 429 – 436.
- [4] C. Felbin, Finite-dimensional fuzzy normed linear space, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 48 (2) (1992), 239 – 248.
- [5] C. Felbin, The completion of a fuzzy normed linear space, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 174 (2) (1993), 428 – 440.
- [6] C. Felbin, Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear space. II, J. Anal. 7 (1999), 117 – 131.
- [7] S. Gähler, Lineare 2-normierte Räume, Math.Nachr. 28 (1964), 1 – 43.
- [8] S. Gähler, Untersuchungen über verallgemeinerte m -metrische Räume, I, Math.Nachr. 40 (1969), 165 – 189.
- [9] H. Gunawan and M. Mashadi, On n -normed spaces, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 27 (10) (2001), 631 – 639.
- [10] O. Kaleva and S. Seikkala, On fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Set and Systems 12 (1984), 215 – 229.
- [11] A. K. Katsaras, Fuzzy topological vector spaces. II, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 12 (2) (1984), 143 – 154.
- [12] S. S. Kim and Y. J. Cho, Strict convexity in linear n -normed spaces, Demonstratio Math. 29 (4) (1996), 739 – 744.
- [13] I. Kramosil and J. Michalek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetika 11 (1975) 326 – 334.
- [14] S. V. Krishna and K. K. M. Sarma, Separation of fuzzy normed linear spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 63 (2) (1994), 207 – 217.
- [15] R. Malčeski, Strong n -convex n -normed spaces, Mat. Bilten 21 (47) (1997), 81 – 102.
- [16] A. Misiak, n -inner product spaces, Math.Nachr. 140(1989), 299 – 319.
- [17] Al. Narayanan and S. Vijayabalaji, Fuzzy n -normed linear space, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 24(2005), 3963 – 3977.

- [18] J.-z. Xiao and X.-h. Zhu, Fuzzy normed spaces of operators and its completeness, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 133 (3) (2003) 389 – 399.

(Hakan Efe), DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ARTS, GAZI UNIVERSITY, TEKNİKOKULLAR, 06500 ANKARA, TURKEY

E-mail address: hakanefe@gazi.edu.tr