

An Inequality on Laplacian Eigenvalues of Connected Graphs

Rao Li

Dept. of mathematical sciences
University of South Carolina Aiken
Aiken, SC 29801
Email: raol@usca.edu

Abstract

Using Cioabă's inequality on the sum of the 3rd powers of the vertex degrees in connected graphs, we present an inequality on the Laplacian eigenvalues of connected graphs.

Keywords : Inequality, Laplacian Eigenvalue.

We consider only finite undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges. Notation and terminology not defined here follow that in [1]. Let G be a graph of order $n \geq 2$ with e edges. We assume $n \geq 2$ in order to avoid trivialities. We also assume that the vertices in G are ordered such that $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n$, where d_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, is the degree of vertex v_i in G . We define $\Sigma_k(G)$ as $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i^k$. For each vertex v_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, m_i is defined as the sum of degrees of vertices that are adjacent to v_i . Obviously, $\sum_{i=1}^n m_i = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 = \Sigma_2(G)$. The Laplacian of a graph G is defined as $L(G) = D(G) - A(G)$, where $D(G)$ is the diagonal matrix of the degree sequence of G and $A(G)$ is the adjacency matrix of G . The eigenvalues $0 = \lambda_1(G) \leq \lambda_2(G) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(G)$ of $L(G)$ are called the Laplacian eigenvalues of the graph G . $B_{n,t}$ is defined as the join between the complete graph K_t and the empty graph $\overline{K_{n-t}}$, where $1 \leq t \leq n$. Notice that $B_{n,t}$ is K_n when $t = n$ and $B_{n,t}$ is $K_{1,n-1}$ when $t = 1$.

The objective of this note is to prove the following theorem which is on an inequality for the Laplacian eigenvalues of graphs.

Theorem 1 Let G be a connected graph of order n with e edges and t triangles. Then each Laplacian eigenvalue λ_k , where $2 \leq k \leq n$, satisfies

the following inequality

$$(2e - \lambda_k)^3 + (n - 2)^2 \lambda_k^3 \\ \leq (n - 2)^2 \left(\frac{2e - (d_1^2 - d_n^2) + 3n}{n} \Sigma_2(G) + \frac{2e(n - 1)(d_1^2 - d_n^2)}{n} - 6t \right).$$

Moreover, if $3 \leq k \leq n - 1$, then the equality holds if and only if G is K_n with $n \geq 2$; if $k = n$, then the equality holds if and only if G is K_n or $K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$ or $K_{1, n-1}$ with $n \geq 2$; if $k = 2$, then the equality holds if and only if G is K_n with $n \geq 2$ or $B_{n, n-2}$ with $n \geq 3$.

The following result (Corollary 7 in [2]) obtained by Cioabă will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2 [2] If G is a connected graph, then

$$\Sigma_3(G) \leq \frac{2e - (d_1^2 - d_n^2)}{n} \Sigma_2(G) + \frac{2e(n - 1)(d_1^2 - d_n^2)}{n}.$$

Equality holds if and only if G is regular or $G = B_{n, t}$ for some t with $1 \leq t \leq n$.

The following four results will be used when we determine the graphs that make the equality happens in the inequality in Theorem 1.

Theorem 3 [5] Let G be a non-complete graph. Then $\lambda_2(G) \leq \kappa(G)$, where $\kappa(G)$ is the vertex connectivity of G .

Theorem 4 [6] Let G be a graph on n vertices and $2 \leq i \leq n$. Then $\lambda_i(G^C) = n - \lambda_{n-i+2}(G)$, where G^C is the complement of the graph G and $\lambda_i(G^C)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, are the Laplacian eigenvalues of G^C .

Theorem 5 [7] Let G be a graph on n vertices. Then

$$\lambda_n(G) \leq 2 + \sqrt{(d_1 + d_2 - 2)(d_1 + d_3 - 2)}.$$

If G is connected, the equality holds if and only if G is a regular bipartite graph or a path with three or four vertices.

Theorem 6 [8] Let G be a graph of order n . For any nontrivial subset X of the vertices of G , $X \neq \emptyset$, $X \neq V(G)$, we have

$$\lambda_2(G) \leq \frac{ne(X, X^C)}{|X||X^C|} \leq \lambda_n(G),$$

where X^C is $V(G) - X$ and $e(X, X^C)$ denotes the number of edges between X and X^C in G .

Theorem 3, Theorem 5, and Theorem 6 above were proved respectively by Fiedler in [5], Li and Zhang in [7], and Mohar in [8]. Theorem 4 can be found on Page 280 in [6].

Next we will prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Since G is connected, we have $0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$. By the fact that

$$2e = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i = \text{trace}(L(G)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i,$$

we have that, for any k with $2 \leq k \leq n$,

$$2e - \lambda_k = \sum_{i=2, i \neq k}^n \lambda_i.$$

By Hölder inequality, we have that

$$\sum_{i=2, i \neq k}^n \lambda_i \leq \left(\sum_{i=2, i \neq k}^n 1^{3/2} \right)^{2/3} \left(\sum_{i=2, i \neq k}^n \lambda_i^{3/1} \right)^{1/3},$$

Therefore

$$(2e - \lambda_k)^3 \leq (n - 2)^2 \sum_{i=2, i \neq k}^n \lambda_i^3.$$

Notice that

$$\sum_{i=2, i \neq k}^n \lambda_i^3 = \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i^3 - \lambda_k^3 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^3 - \lambda_k^3 = \text{trace}((L(G))^3) - \lambda_k^3.$$

Hence we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (2e - \lambda_k)^3 &\leq (n - 2)^2 (\text{trace}((L(G))^3) - \lambda_k^3), \text{ i. e.,} \\ (2e - \lambda_k)^3 + (n - 2)^2 \lambda_k^3 &\leq (n - 2)^2 \text{trace}((L(G))^3). \end{aligned}$$

Now we evaluate $\text{trace}((L(G))^3)$. First notice that

$$(L(G))^3 = (D - A)^3 = D^3 - D^2A - DAD + DA^2 - AD^2 + ADA + A^2D - A^3.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace}(D^3) &= \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^3, & \text{trace}(D^2 A) &= 0, \\ \text{trace}(DAD) &= 0, & \text{trace}(DA^2) &= \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2, \\ \text{trace}(AD^2) &= 0, & \text{trace}(ADA) &= \sum_{i=1}^n m_i, \\ \text{trace}(A^2 D) &= \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2, & \text{trace}(A^3) &= 6t. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\sum_{i=1}^n m_i = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace}((L(G))^3) &= \text{trace}(D^3) - \text{trace}(D^2 A) - \text{trace}(DAD) \\ &+ \text{trace}(DA^2) - \text{trace}(AD^2) + \text{trace}(ADA) + \text{trace}(A^2 D) - \text{trace}(A^3) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^3 + 3 \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 - 6t. \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 2, we establish the desired inequality below

$$\begin{aligned} &(2e - \lambda_k)^3 + (n - 2)^2 \lambda_k^3 \\ &\leq (n - 2)^2 \left(\frac{2e - (d_1^2 - d_n^2) + 3n}{n} \Sigma_2(G) + \frac{2e(n - 1)(d_1^2 - d_n^2)}{n} - 6t \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we determine the graphs that make the inequality in Theorem 1 to become an equality when $3 \leq k \leq n - 1$.

When G is K_n with $n \geq 2$, we have that $\lambda_k = n$ for each k with $2 \leq k \leq n$, $d_k = n - 1$ for each k with $1 \leq k \leq n$, and $t = C(n, 3) = n(n - 1)(n - 2)/6$. A simple computation shows that both sides of inequality in Theorem 1 are equal to $(n - 2)^2(n - 1)n^3$.

Now suppose that the inequality in Theorem 1 becomes an equality. Then

$$\sum_{i=2, i \neq k}^n \lambda_i \leq \left(\sum_{i=2, i \neq k}^n 1^{3/2} \right)^{2/3} \left(\sum_{i=2, i \neq k}^n \lambda_i^{3/1} \right)^{1/3},$$

must become an inequality. By the condition for an Hölder inequality becomes an equality, we have that $\lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_{k-1} = \lambda_{k+1} = \dots = \lambda_n$. Let

v be the vertex in G such that v has the minimum degree. Set $X = \{v\}$ and apply Theorem 6, we can obtain that

$$\lambda_2 \leq \frac{nd(v)}{n-1} = \frac{n\delta}{n-1} \leq \lambda_n.$$

Suppose G is not a complete graph. We have by Theorem 3 that $\lambda_2 \leq \kappa \leq \delta$. Hence we have the following contradiction

$$\frac{n\delta}{n-1} \leq \lambda_n = \lambda_2 \leq \kappa \leq \delta.$$

Notice that when G is a complete graph, the inequality in Theorem 2 also becomes an equality.

Next we determine the graphs that make the inequality in Theorem 1 to become an equality when $k = n$.

When G is K_n with $n \geq 2$, we again have that both sides of inequality in Theorem 1 are the same. When G is $K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$, we have that $\lambda_k = \lambda_n = n$, $d_k = n/2$ for each k with $1 \leq k \leq n$, and $t = 0$. A simple computation shows that both sides of inequality in Theorem 1 are equal to $(n-2)^2 n^3 (n+6)/8$. When G is $K_{1, n-1}$ with $n \geq 2$, we have that $\lambda_k = \lambda_n = n$, $d_1 = n-1$ and $d_k = 1$ for each k with $2 \leq k \leq n$, and $t = 0$. A simple computation shows that both sides of inequality in Theorem 1 are equal to $(n-2)^2 (n^3 + n - 2)$.

Now suppose that the inequality in Theorem 1 becomes an equality. Then

$$\sum_{i=2, i \neq k}^n \lambda_i \leq \left(\sum_{i=2, i \neq k}^n 1^{3/2} \right)^{2/3} \left(\sum_{i=2, i \neq k}^n \lambda_i^{3/1} \right)^{1/3},$$

must become an equality. By the condition for an Hölder inequality becomes an equality, we have that $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \dots = \lambda_{n-1}$. If $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \dots = \lambda_{n-1} = \lambda_n$, then by a similar argument as in the case that $3 \leq k \leq n-1$, we can show that G is K_n with $n \geq 2$. Now we assume that $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \dots = \lambda_{n-1} < \lambda_n$. This assumption implies that G is not complete since for each complete graph we have that $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \dots = \lambda_{n-1} = \lambda_n$. Notice that now the inequality in Theorem 2 must also become an equality. By Theorem 2, we have G is regular or $G = B_{n,t}$ for some t with $1 \leq t \leq n$.

We first consider the case that G is a regular graph and assume that the degree of each vertex in G is d . Since G is not complete, Theorem 3

implies that $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \dots = \lambda_{n-1} \leq \kappa \leq d$. From the fact that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i,$$

we have that $nd = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \leq (n-2)d + \lambda_n$. Thus $2d \leq \lambda_n$. From Theorem 5, we have that

$$2d \leq \lambda_n \leq 2 + \sqrt{(d_1 + d_2 - 2)(d_1 + d_3 - 2)} = 2d.$$

Thus $\lambda_n = 2d$ and the inequality in Theorem 5 becomes an equality. Since G is connected, by Theorem 5 again, we have that G is a regular bipartite graph or a path with three or four vertices. Obviously, G cannot be a path with three or four vertices since G is a regular graph. Let X and Y be the partition of $V(G)$ in the regular bipartite G so that each edge in G has one end in X and another end in Y . Then $n = |V(G)| = |X| + |Y| \geq d + d = 2d$. From the fact that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (d_i + d_i^2) = \text{trace}((L(G))^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2,$$

we have that $nd + nd^2 = (n-2)\lambda_2^2 + \lambda_n^2 \leq (n-2)d^2 + 4d^2$. Thus $n \leq 2d$. Hence $n = 2d$. Recall that $n = |V(G)| = |X| + |Y| \geq d + d = 2d$. Therefore $|X| = |Y| = d = n/2$. So G is $K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$ with $n \geq 2$.

We now consider the case that $G = B_{n,t}$ for some t with $1 \leq t \leq n$. Notice that the Laplacian eigenvalues of $B_{n,t}$ are 0 with multiplicity 1, t with multiplicity $n-t-1$, and n with multiplicity t . Clearly, $t < n$ otherwise $G = B_{n,t}$ is a complete graph. Since $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \dots = \lambda_{n-1}$, we must have that $t = 1$. Thus $G = B_{n,t}$ is $K_{1,n-1}$ with $n \geq 2$.

Finally we determine the graphs that make the inequality in Theorem 1 to become an equality when $k = 2$.

When G is K_n with $n \geq 2$, we, as before, have that both sides of inequality in Theorem 1 are the same. When G is $B_{n,n-2}$ with $n \geq 3$, we have that $\lambda_k = \lambda_2 = n-2$, $d_k = n-1$ for each k with $1 \leq k \leq n-2$, $d_k = n-2$ for $n-1 \leq k \leq n$, and $t = C(n,3) - (n-2) = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{6} - (n-2)$. A simple computation shows that both sides of inequality in Theorem 1 are equal to $(n-2)^3((n-2)^2 + n^3)$.

Now suppose that the inequality in Theorem 1 becomes an equality. Then

$$\sum_{i=2, i \neq k}^n \lambda_i \leq \left(\sum_{i=2, i \neq k}^n 1^{3/2} \right)^{2/3} \left(\sum_{i=2, i \neq k}^n \lambda_i^{3/1} \right)^{1/3},$$

must become an inequality. By the condition for an Hölder inequality becomes an equality, we have that $\lambda_3 = \dots = \lambda_{n-1} = \lambda_n$. If $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \dots = \lambda_{n-1} = \lambda_n$, then by a similar argument as in the case that $3 \leq k \leq n-1$, we can show that G is K_n with $n \geq 2$. Now we assume that $\lambda_2 < \lambda_3 = \dots = \lambda_{n-1} = \lambda_n$. This assumption implies that G is not complete since for each complete graph we have that $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \dots = \lambda_{n-1} = \lambda_n$. Notice that now the inequality in Theorem 2 must also become an equality. By Theorem 2, we have G is regular or $G = B_{n,t}$ for some t with $1 \leq t \leq n$.

We again first consider the case that G is a regular graph and assume that the degree of each vertex in G is d . Since G is not complete, $d \leq n-2$. From Theorem 4, we have that $0 \leq n-\lambda_n = n-\lambda_{n-1} = \dots = n-\lambda_3 < n-\lambda_2$ are the Laplacian eigenvalues of G^C , the complement of G . Next we consider the following two subcases.

Suppose first that G^C is connected. Notice that G^C is non-complete and regular. Apply the arguments in the subcase that G is a regular graph when we determine the graphs that make the inequality in Theorem 1 to become an equality if $k = n$, we have that G^C is $K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$ or $K_{1, n-1}$ with $n \geq 2$. We therefore arrive at a contradiction that G cannot be a connected graph. So this case cannot occur.

Suppose now that G^C is not connected. Then its algebraic connectivity is equal to zero. Namely, $n = \lambda_n$. So $n = \lambda_3 = \dots = \lambda_{n-1} = \lambda_n$. From the fact that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i,$$

we have that $n(n-2) \geq nd = n(n-2) + \lambda_2$. Thus $\lambda_2 \leq 0$, contradicting to the assumption that G is connected. So this case cannot occur either.

We now consider the case that $G = B_{n,t}$ for some t with $1 \leq t \leq n$. Notice that the Laplacian eigenvalues of $B_{n,t}$ are 0 with multiplicity 1, t with multiplicity $n-t-1$, and n with multiplicity t . Clearly, $t < n$ otherwise $G = B_{n,t}$ is a complete graph. Since $\lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = \dots = \lambda_n$, we must have that $n-t-1 = 1$. Thus $n = t+2$. So $G = B_{n, n-2}$ with $n \geq 3$. QED.

Remark. Note that several tight upper bounds for $\sum_2(G)$ are available. From Theorem 1 proved by De Caen in [4], we have that

$$e\left(\frac{2e}{n-1} + n-2\right)$$

is an upper bound for $\sum_2(G)$. From Theorem 4.1, Theorem 4.2, and The-

orem 4.3 proved by Das in [3], we have, respectively, that

$$e\left(\frac{2e}{n-1} + \frac{n-2}{n-1}d_1 + (d_1 - d_n)\left(1 - \frac{d_1}{n-1}\right)\right),$$

$$\frac{2e(2e + (d_1 - d_n)(n-1))}{n + d_1 - d_n}, \text{ and}$$

$$2e(d_1 + d_n) - nd_1d_n$$

are three upper bounds for $\sum_2(G)$. Thus if we use those upper bounds for $\sum_2(G)$ to replace $\sum_2(G)$ in the inequality in Theorem 1, we can get inequalities for λ_k , where $2 \leq k \leq n$. Using the formula for the roots of cubic equations, we can solve those inequalities and find bounds for λ_k , where $2 \leq k \leq n$. But we will have very complicated mathematical expressions. So we leave the main result in this note in the inequality form.

The author thanks the referee for his or her suggestions and comments.

References

- [1] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, Macmillan, London and Elsevier, New York (1976).
- [2] S. M. Cioabă, Sums of powers of the degrees of a graph, *Discrete Math.* 306 (2006) 1959 – 1964.
- [3] K. Ch. Das, Maximizing the sum of the squares of the degrees of a graph, *Discrete Math.* 285 (2004) 57 – 66.
- [4] D. de Caen, An upper bound on the sum of squares of degrees in a graph, *Discrete Math.* 185 (1998) 245 – 248.
- [5] M. Fiedler, Algebraic connectivity of graphs, *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal* 23 (98) (1973) 298 – 305.
- [6] C. Godsil and G. Royle, *Algebraic Graph Theory*, Springer – Verlag New York (2001).
- [7] J. Li and X. Zhang, A new upper bound for eigenvalues of the Laplacian matrix of a graph, *Linear Algebra and Its Applications* 265 (1997) 93 – 100.
- [8] B. Mohar, Laplace eigenvalues of graphs - a survey, *Discrete Math.* 109 (1992) 171 – 183.