

A FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR WEAKLY COMPATIBLE MAPPINGS SATISFYING A GENERAL CONTRACTIVE CONDITION OF OPERATOR TYPE

ISHAK ALTUN AND DURAN TURKOGLU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove a fixed point theorem for weakly compatible mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of operator type. In short, we are going to study mappings $A, B, S, T : X \rightarrow X$ for which there exists a right continuous function $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi(s) < s$ for $s > 0$ such that for each $x, y \in X$ one has $O(f; d(Sx, Ty)) \leq \psi(O(f; M(x, y)))$, where $O(f; \cdot)$ and f are defined in the first section. Also in the first section, we give some examples for $O(f; \cdot)$. The second section contains the main result. In the last section, we give some corollaries and remarks.

1. INTRODUCTION

Branciari [10] obtained a fixed point result for a single mapping satisfying an analogue of Banach's contraction principle for an integral type inequality. The authors in [4], [7], [8], [9], [13], [19], [25] and [27] proved some fixed point theorems involving more general contractive conditions. The authors in [5] have improved the concept of contractive condition of operator type and proved a fixed point theorem for single mapping using this type condition. In this paper, we establish a fixed point theorem for weakly compatible maps satisfying a general contractive inequality of operator type. This result substantially extends the theorems of the above work.

Sessa [21] generalized the concept of commuting mappings by calling self-mappings A and S of metric space (X, d) a weakly commuting pair if and only if $d(ASx, SAx) \leq d(Ax, Sx)$ for all $x \in X$, and he and others proved some common fixed point theorems of weakly commuting mappings [20]-[23]. Then, Jungck [14] introduced the concept of compatibility and he and others proved some common fixed point theorems using this concept [14]-[17], [26].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54H25; Secondary 47H10.

Key words and phrases. Fixed points, weakly compatible mappings, contractive condition of operator type.

Clearly, commuting mappings are weakly commuting and weakly commuting mappings are compatible. Examples in [21] and [14] show that neither converse is true.

Recently, Jungck and Rhoades [16] defined the concept of weak compatibility.

Definition 1 ([16], [24]). *Two maps $A, S : X \rightarrow X$ are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points.*

Again, it is obvious that compatible mappings are weakly compatible. Examples in [16] and [24] shows that neither converse is true. Many fixed point results have been obtained for weakly compatible mappings (see [3], [6], [8], [9], [11],[12],[16],[18] and [24]).

Let $F([0, \infty))$ be class of all function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ and let \mathcal{O} be class of all operators

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}(\bullet; \cdot) : F([0, \infty)) & \rightarrow & F([0, \infty)) \\ f & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}(f; \cdot) \end{array}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $\mathcal{O}(f; t) > 0$ for $t > 0$ and $\mathcal{O}(f; 0) = 0$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{O}(f; t) \leq \mathcal{O}(f; s)$ for $t \leq s$,
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{O}(f; t_n) = \mathcal{O}(f; \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n)$,
- (iv) $\mathcal{O}(f; \max\{t, s\}) = \max\{\mathcal{O}(f; t), \mathcal{O}(f; s)\}$
for some $f \in F([0, \infty))$.

Now we give some examples for $\mathcal{O}(f; \cdot)$.

Example 1. *If $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is a Lebesgue integrable mapping which is finite integral on each compact subset of $[0, \infty)$, non-negative and such that for each $t > 0$, $\int_0^t f(s)ds > 0$, then the operator defined by*

$$\mathcal{O}(f; t) = \int_0^t f(s)ds$$

satisfies the conditions (i)-(iv).

Example 2. *If $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ non-decreasing, continuous function such that $f(0) = 0$ and $f(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$, then the operator defined by*

$$\mathcal{O}(f; t) = f(t)$$

satisfies the conditions (i)-(iv).

Example 3. If $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ non-decreasing, continuous function such that $f(0) = 0$ and $f(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$, then the operator defined by

$$O(f; t) = \frac{f(t)}{1 + f(t)}$$

satisfies the conditions (i)-(iv).

Example 4. If $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ non-decreasing, continuous function such that $f(0) = 0$ and $f(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$, then the operator defined by

$$O(f; t) = \frac{f(t)}{1 + \ln(1 + f(t))}$$

satisfies the conditions (i)-(iv).

2. MAIN RESULT

Now we give our main theorem.

Theorem 1. Let A, B, S and T be self-maps defined on a metric space (X, d) satisfying the following conditions:

(a) $S(X) \subseteq B(X), T(X) \subseteq A(X),$

(b) for all $x, y \in X$, there exists a right continuous function $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi(s) < s$ for $s > 0$ such that

$$O(f; d(Sx, Ty)) \leq \psi(O(f; M(x, y)))$$

where $O(\bullet; \cdot) \in \mathcal{O}$ and

$$(2.1) \quad M(x, y) = \max\{d(Ax, By), d(Sx, Ax), d(Ty, By), \frac{d(Sx, By) + d(Ty, Ax)}{2}\}.$$

If one of $A(X), B(X), S(X)$ or $T(X)$ is a complete subspace of X , then

- (1) A and S have a coincidence point, or
- (2) B and T have a coincidence point.

Further, if S and A as well as T and B are weakly compatible, then

- (3) A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be an arbitrary point of X . From (a) we can construct a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X as follows:

$$y_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n} = Bx_{2n+1} \text{ and } y_{2n+2} = Tx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n+2}$$

for all $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Define $d_n = d(y_n, y_{n+1})$. Suppose that $d_{2n} = 0$ for some n . Then $y_{2n} = y_{2n+1}$; i.e., $Tx_{2n-1} = Ax_{2n} = Sx_{2n} = Bx_{2n+1}$, and A and S have a coincidence point.

Similarly, if $d_{2n+1} = 0$, then B and T have a coincidence point. Assume that $d_n \neq 0$ for each n .

Then, by (b),

$$(2.2) \quad O(f; d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})) \leq \psi(O(f; M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})))$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) &= \max\{d(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}), \\ &\quad d(Sx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}), d(Tx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}), \\ &\quad \frac{d(Sx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}) + d(Tx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n})}{2}\} \\ &= \max\{d_{2n}, d_{2n+1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus from (2.2) we have

$$(2.3) \quad O(f; d_{2n+1}) \leq \psi(O(f; \max\{d_{2n}, d_{2n+1}\})).$$

Now, if $d_{2n+1} \geq d_{2n}$ for some n , then, from (2.3) we have

$$O(f; d_{2n+1}) \leq \psi(O(f; d_{2n+1})) < O(f; d_{2n+1})$$

which is a contradiction. Thus $d_{2n} > d_{2n+1}$ for all n , and so, from (2.3) we have

$$O(f; d_{2n+1}) \leq \psi(O(f; d_{2n})).$$

Similarly,

$$O(f; d_{2n}) \leq \psi(O(f; d_{2n-1})).$$

In general, we have for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(2.4) \quad O(f; d_n) \leq \psi(O(f; d_{n-1})).$$

From (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} O(f; d_n) &\leq \psi(O(f; d_{n-1})) \\ &\leq \psi^2(O(f; d_{n-2})) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\leq \psi^n(O(f; d_0)), \end{aligned}$$

and, taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} O(f; d_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^n(O(f; d_0)) = 0,$$

which, from (i) and (iii), implies that

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) = 0.$$

We now show that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. For this it is sufficient to show that $\{y_{2n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose that $\{y_{2n}\}$ is not a Cauchy

sequence. Then there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for each even integer $2k$ there exist even integers $2m(k) > 2n(k) > 2k$ such that

$$(2.6) \quad d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)}) \geq \varepsilon.$$

For every even integer $2k$, let $2m(k)$ be the least positive integer exceeding $2n(k)$ satisfying (2.6) such that

$$(2.7) \quad d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-2}) < \varepsilon.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \delta := O(f; \varepsilon) \\ &\leq O(f; d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)})) \\ &\leq O(f; d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-2}) + d_{2m(k)-2} + d_{2m(k)-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Then by (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7) it follows that

$$(2.8) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} O(f; d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)})) = \delta.$$

Also, by the triangular inequality,

$$|d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-1}) - d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)})| \leq d_{2m(k)-1}$$

and

$$|d(y_{2n(k)+1}, y_{2m(k)-1}) - d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)})| \leq d_{2m(k)-1} + d_{2n(k)}$$

and so

$$O(f; |d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-1}) - d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)})|) \leq O(f; d_{2m(k)-1}),$$

and

$$O(f; |d(y_{2n(k)+1}, y_{2m(k)-1}) - d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)})|) \leq O(f; d_{2m(k)-1} + d_{2n(k)}).$$

Using (2.8), we get

$$(2.9) \quad O(f; d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-1})) \rightarrow \delta$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad O(f; d(y_{2n(k)+1}, y_{2m(k)-1})) \rightarrow \delta$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)}) &\leq d_{2n(k)} + d(y_{2n(k)+1}, y_{2m(k)}) \\ &\leq d_{2n(k)} + d(Sx_{2n(k)}, Tx_{2m(k)-1}), \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$O(f; d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)})) \leq O(f; d_{2n(k)} + d(Sx_{2n(k)}, Tx_{2m(k)-1})).$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides of the last inequality, we have

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} O(f; d(Sx_{2n(k)}, Tx_{2m(k)-1})) \\ &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi(O(f; M(x_{2n(k)}, x_{2m(k)-1}))), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M(x_{2n(k)}, x_{2m(k)-1}) = \max\left\{d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-1}), d_{2n(k)}, d_{2m(k)-1}, \frac{d(y_{2n(k)+1}, y_{2m(k)-1}) + d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)})}{2}\right\}.$$

Combining (2.5), (2.6), (2.7), (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10), yields the following contradiction from (2.11):

$$\delta \leq \psi(\delta) < \delta.$$

Thus $\{y_{2n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and so $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Now, suppose that $A(X)$ is complete. Note that the sequence $\{y_{2n}\}$ is contained in $A(X)$ and has a limit in $A(X)$. Call it u . Let $v \in A^{-1}u$. Then $Av = u$. We shall use the fact that the sequence $\{y_{2n-1}\}$ also converges to u . To prove that $Sv = u$, let $r = d(Sv, u) > 0$. Then taking $x = v$ and $y = x_{2n-1}$ in (b),

$$\begin{aligned} O(f; d(Sv, y_{2n})) &= O(f; d(Sv, Tx_{2n-1})) \\ &\leq \psi(O(f; M(v, x_{2n-1}))), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M(v, x_{2n-1}) = \max\left\{d(u, y_{2n-1}), d(Sv, u), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}), \frac{d(Sv, y_{2n-1}) + d(y_{2n}, u)}{2}\right\}.$$

Since $\lim_n d(Sv, y_{2n}) = r$, $\lim_n d(u, y_{2n-1}) = \lim_n d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) = 0$ and $\lim_n [d(Sv, y_{2n-1}) + d(y_{2n}, u)] = r$, we may conclude that

$$O(f; r) \leq \psi(O(f; r)) < O(f; r)$$

which is a contradiction. Hence from (i), $Sv = u$. This proves (1).

Since $S(X) \subseteq B(X)$, $Sv = u$ implies that $u \in B(X)$. Let $w \in B^{-1}u$. Then $Bw = u$. By using the argument of the previous section it can be easily verified that $Tw = u$. This proves (2).

The same result holds if we assume that $B(X)$ is complete instead of $A(X)$.

Now if $T(X)$ is complete, then by (a), $u \in T(X) \subseteq A(X)$. Similarly if $S(X)$ is complete, then $u \in S(X) \subseteq B(X)$. Thus (1) and (2) are completely established.

To prove (3), note that S , A and T , B are weakly compatible and

$$(2.12) \quad u = Sv = Av = Tw = Bw$$

then

$$(2.13) \quad Au = ASv = SAV = Su$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad Bu = BTw = TBw = Tu.$$

If $Tu \neq u$ then, from (b), (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14)

$$\begin{aligned} O(f; d(u, Tu)) &= O(f; d(Sv, Tu)) \\ &\leq \psi(O(f; M(v, u))) \\ &= \psi(O(f; d(u, Tu))) \\ &< O(f; d(u, Tu)) \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. So $Tu = u$. Similarly $Su = u$. Then, evidently from (2.13) and (2.14), u is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T .

The uniqueness of the common fixed point follows easily from condition (b).

□

3. FINAL REMARKS

Remark 1. *Theorem 1 is a generalization of Main Theorem of [5].*

If we combine Example 1 and Theorem 1, we have the following corollary, which is Theorem 2.1 of [9].

Corollary 1. *Let A, B, S and T be self-maps defined on a metric space (X, d) satisfying the following conditions:*

(a) $S(X) \subseteq B(X)$, $T(X) \subseteq A(X)$,

(b) for all $x, y \in X$, there exists a right continuous function $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi(s) < s$ for $s > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^{d(Sx, Ty)} f(s) ds \leq \psi \left(\int_0^{M(x, y)} f(s) ds \right)$$

where $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a Lebesgue integrable mapping which is finite integral on each compact subset of $[0, \infty)$, non-negative and such that for each $t > 0$, $\int_0^t f(s) ds > 0$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(Ax, By), d(Sx, Ax), d(Ty, By), \frac{d(Sx, By) + d(Ty, Ax)}{2} \right\}.$$

If one of $A(X), B(X), S(X)$ or $T(X)$ is a complete subspace of X , then

- (1) A and S have a coincidence point, or
- (2) B and T have a coincidence point.

Further, if S and A as well as T and B are weakly compatible, then
 (3) A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Remark 2. Corollary 1 is a generalization of Theorem 2.1 of [10], Theorem 2 of [19] and Theorem 2 of [27].

Remark 3. Theorem 1 is a generalization of Theorem 2.1 of [24], in fact letting $f = I$ (identity map) and $O(f; t) = f(t) = t$ in (b) (it is obvious that $O(f; \cdot) \in \mathcal{O}$) one has

$$d(Sx, Ty) = O(f; d(Sx, Ty)) \leq \psi(O(f; M(x, y))) = \psi(M(x, y)),$$

thus the contraction of Theorem 2.1 of [24] also satisfies (b).

Now we give an example to illustrate Theorem 1.

Example 5. Let $X = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in N\} \cup \{0\}$ with Euclidean metric and S, T, A, B are self maps of X defined by

$$S\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n+1} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{1}{n+2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } n = \infty \end{cases}, \quad T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n+1} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \frac{1}{n+2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & \text{if } n = \infty \end{cases}$$

$$A\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = B\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n} \text{ for all } n \in N \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Clearly $S(X) \subseteq B(X)$, $T(X) \subseteq A(X)$, $A(X)$ is a complete subspace of X and A, S and B, T are weakly compatible.

Now we claim that the mappings S, T, A and B satisfy the condition (b) of Theorem 1 with $O(\bullet; \cdot) \in \mathcal{O}$ defined by $O(f; t) = \int_0^t f(s) ds$, $f \in F([0, \infty))$ defined by $f(t) = \max\{0, t^{\frac{1}{2}}[1 - \log t]\}$ for $t > 0$ and $f(0) = 0$ and $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ defined by $\psi(s) = \frac{s}{2}$. That is, we claim that the following inequality is satisfies:

$$(3.1) \quad (d(Sx, Ty))^{\frac{1}{A(Sx, Ty)}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left((M(x, y))^{\frac{1}{M(x, y)}} \right)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, since $O(f; t) = \int_0^t f(s) ds = t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for any $t \in (0, e)$. Since the function $t \rightarrow t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is nondecreasing, we show sufficiently that

$$(3.2) \quad (d(Sx, Ty))^{\frac{1}{A(Sx, Ty)}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left((d(x, y))^{\frac{1}{A(x, y)}} \right)$$

instead of (3.1). Now using Example 4 of [27], we have (3.2), thus the condition (b) of Theorem 1 is satisfied.

Now suppose that the contractive condition of Corollary 3.1 of [11] is satisfied, that is, there exists $h \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad d(Sx, Ty) \leq hM(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Therefore, for $x \neq y$, we have

$$\frac{d(Sx, Ty)}{M(x, y)} \leq h < 1$$

but since $\sup_{x \neq y} \frac{d(Sx, Ty)}{M(x, y)} = 1$ one has a contradiction. Thus the condition (3.3) is not satisfied.

If we combine Example 2 and Theorem 1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2. Let A, B, S and T be self-maps defined on a metric space (X, d) satisfying the following conditions:

(a) $S(X) \subseteq B(X), T(X) \subseteq A(X),$

(b) for all $x, y \in X$, there exists a right continuous function $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi(s) < s$ for $s > 0$ such that

$$f(d(Sx, Ty)) \leq \psi(f(M(x, y))),$$

where

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \text{ non-decreasing,} \\ \text{continuous function such that} \\ f(0) = 0 \text{ and } f(t) > 0 \text{ for } t > 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(Ax, By), d(Sx, Ax), d(Ty, By), \frac{d(Sx, By) + d(Ty, Ax)}{2} \right\}.$$

If one of $A(X), B(X), S(X)$ or $T(X)$ is a complete subspace of X , then

- (1) A and S have a coincidence point, or
- (2) B and T have a coincidence point.

Further, if S and A as well as T and B are weakly compatible, then

- (3) A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Remark 4. Note that if f is absolutely continuous in Corollary 2, then we have Corollary 1. Indeed, if we consider Theorem 39.15 in [1], i.e. "A function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is absolutely continuous if and only if $f' \in L_1([a, b])$ and

$$f(x) - f(a) = \int_a^x f'(t) dt$$

holds for each $x \in [a, b]$ ", then we have

$$f(d(Sx, Ty)) = \int_0^{d(Sx, Ty)} f'(t)dt \leq \psi \left(\int_0^{M(x, y)} f'(t)dt \right) = \psi(f(M(x, y))).$$

Nevertheless, f has not to be absolutely continuous in Corollary 2. Thus Corollary 2 is a generalization of Corollary 1. The Exercise 8 in [1, Page 383] and Problem 39.8 in [2, Page 386] shows that there exist some functions f which are not absolutely continuous but continuous and satisfying the other condition of (3.4).

Remark 5. Remark 4 shows that operator type contraction is more general than integral type contraction.

Remark 6. We can have new results, if we combine Theorem 1 and some examples of $O(f; \cdot)$.

Acknowledgement 1. The authors thank the referees for their appreciation, valuable comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, Principles of real analysis, Academic Press, San Diego, (1998).
- [2] C. D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, Problems in real analysis, Academic Press, San Diego, (1999).
- [3] M. A. Ahmed, Common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 33 (2003), no. 4, 1189–1203.
- [4] A. Aliouche, A common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible mappings in symmetric spaces satisfying a contractive condition of integral type, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 322 (2006), no. 2, 796–802.
- [5] I. Altun and D. Turkoglu, A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of operator type, J. Comput. Anal. Appl., 9 (1), (2007), 9–14.
- [6] I. Altun and D. Turkoglu, Some fixed point theorems for weakly compatible multi-valued mappings satisfying an implicit relation, Filomat, 22 (1), (2008), 13–23.
- [7] I. Altun and D. Turkoglu, A fixed point theorem on general topological spaces with a τ -distance, Indian J. Math., 50 (1), (2008), 139–148.
- [8] I. Altun and D. Turkoglu, Some fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation, Taiwanese J. Math., Accepted for publication.
- [9] I. Altun, D. Turkoglu and B.E. Rhoades, Fixed points of weakly compatible maps satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, Fixed Point Theory Appl., Volume 2007 (2007), Article ID 17301, 9 pages, doi:10.1155/2007/17301.
- [10] A. Branciari, A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 29 (2002), no. 9, 531–536.
- [11] R. Chugh and S. Kumar, Common fixed points for weakly compatible maps, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 111 (2001), no. 2, 241–247.
- [12] Lj. B. Ćirić and J. S. Ume, Some common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 314 (2006), no. 2, 488–499.

- [13] A. Djoudi and A. Aliouche, Common fixed point theorems of Gregus type for weakly compatible mappings satisfying contractive conditions of integral type, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 329 (2007), no.1, 31-45.
- [14] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 9 (1986), 771-779.
- [15] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points- II, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 11 (1988), 285-288.
- [16] G. Jungck and B. E. Rhoades, Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 29 (1998), no. 3, 227-238.
- [17] H. Kaneko and S. Sessa, Fixed point theorems for compatible multi-valued and single-valued mappings, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 12 (1989), 257-262.
- [18] V. Popa, A general fixed point theorem for four weakly compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation, *Filomat*, No. 19 (2005), 45-51.
- [19] B. E. Rhoades, Two fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 2003 (2003), no. 63, 4007-4013.
- [20] B. E. Rhoades and S. Sessa, Common fixed point theorems for three mappings under a weak commutativity condition, *Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math.*, 17 (1986), 47-57.
- [21] S. Sessa, On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations, *Publ. Inst. Math.*, 32 (46), (1982), 149-153.
- [22] S. Sessa and B. Fisher, Common fixed points of weakly commuting mappings, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math.*, 35 (1987), 341-349.
- [23] S. L. Singh, H. S. Ha and Y. J. Cho, Coincidence and fixed points of non-linear hybrid contractions, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 12 (1989), 147-156.
- [24] S. L. Singh and S. N. Mishra, Remarks on Jachymski's fixed point theorems for compatible maps, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 28 (1997), no. 5, 611-615.
- [25] D. Turkoglu and I. Altun, A common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible mappings in symmetric spaces satisfying an implicit relation, *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana*, Accepted for publication.
- [26] D. Turkoglu, I. Altun and B. Fisher, Fixed point theorem for sequences of maps, *Demonstratio Math.* 38 (2005), no. 2, 461-468.
- [27] P. Vijayaraju, B. E. Rhoades and R. Mohanraj, A fixed point theorem for a pair of maps satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 2005 (2005), no. 15, 2359-2364.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ARTS, KIRIKKALE UNIVERSITY, 71450 YAHSIHAN, KIRIKKALE / TURKEY
E-mail address: ialtun@kku.edu.tr, ishakaltun@yahoo.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ARTS, GAZI UNIVERSITY, 06500-TEKNIKOKULLAR, ANKARA / TURKEY
E-mail address: dturkoglu@gazi.edu.tr