

# The Vertex Linear Arboricity of a Special Class of Integer Distance Graphs

Lian-Cui Zuo<sup>1\*</sup>, Bang-Jun Li<sup>1</sup> and Jian-Liang Wu<sup>2</sup>

1.College of Mathematical Science, Tianjin Normal University,  
Tianjin, 300387, China

2.School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, 250100, China

## Abstract

The vertex linear arboricity  $vla(G)$  of a nonempty graph  $G$  is the minimum number of subsets into which the vertex set  $V(G)$  can be partitioned so that each subset induces a subgraph whose connected components are paths. An integer distance graph is a graph  $G(D)$  with the set of all integers as vertex set and two vertices  $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$  are adjacent if and only if  $|u - v| \in D$  where the distance set  $D$  is a subset of the positive integers set. Let  $D_{m,k,3} = [1, m] \setminus \{k, 2k, 3k\}$  for  $m \geq 4k \geq 4$ . In this paper, it is obtained that some upper and lower bounds of the vertex linear arboricity of the integer distance graph  $G(D_{m,k,3})$  and the exact value of it for some special cases.

**Keywords** Distance graph; Vertex linear arboricity; Path coloring; Proper coloring; Chromatic number

AMS2000 05C15

## 1 Introduction

In this paper,  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$  denote the sets of all real numbers and all integers, respectively. For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denotes the greatest integer not exceeding  $x$ ;  $\lceil x \rceil$  denotes the least integer not less than  $x$ ; we use  $[m, n]$  for the set of the integers from  $m$  to  $n$  ( $m \leq n$ ) and  $[m, n] = \emptyset$  if  $m > n$ .  $|S|$  denotes the cardinality of a set  $S$  ( $|S| = +\infty$  means that  $S$  is an infinite set).

---

\*Corresponding author: zuolc@yahoo.com.cn

Coloring of graphs has been one of the most fascinating and well-studied topics in graph theory. Its root goes back to the Four Color Conjecture and more recently, it was motivated by such application problems as the frequency assignment problem (i.e.,  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling), the control of traffic signals (i.e., circular coloring) and other problems from wide range of industrial areas. A vertex-coloring can be viewed as a function from  $V$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$ . More precisely, a  $k$ -coloring of a graph  $G$  is a mapping  $f$  from  $V(G)$  to  $[1, k]$ . Given a  $k$ -coloring, let  $V_i$  denote the set of all vertices of  $G$  colored with  $i$ , and  $\langle V_i \rangle$  denote the subgraph induced by  $V_i$  in  $G$ . If  $V_i$  is an independent set for every  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , then  $f$  is called a *proper  $k$ -coloring*. The *chromatic number*  $\chi(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is the minimum integer  $k$  for which  $G$  has a proper  $k$ -coloring. If  $V_i$  induces a subgraph whose connected components are paths, then  $f$  is called a *path  $k$ -coloring*. The *vertex linear arboricity* of a graph  $G$ , denoted by  $vla(G)$ , is the minimum number  $k$  for which  $G$  has a path  $k$ -coloring. Clearly,  $\chi(G) \geq vla(G)$  for any graph  $G$ .

Matsumoto [8] proved that for a finite graph  $G$ ,  $vla(G) \leq \lceil \frac{\Delta(G)+1}{2} \rceil$ ; moreover, if  $\Delta(G)$  is even, then  $vla(G) = \lceil \frac{\Delta(G)+1}{2} \rceil$  if and only if  $G$  is a complete graph of order  $\Delta(G) + 1$  or a cycle. Goddard [5] and Poh [9] proved that  $vla(G) \leq 3$  for a planar graph  $G$ . Akiyama *et al.* [1] proved that  $vla(G) \leq 2$  if  $G$  is an outerplanar graph.

Let  $S$  be a subset of all real numbers and  $D$  a subset of all positive real numbers. Then *distance graph*  $G(S, D)$  has the vertex set  $S$  and two real numbers  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent if and only if  $|x - y| \in D$ , where the set  $D$  is called the *distance set*. In particular, if all elements of  $D$  are positive integers and  $S = \mathbb{Z}$ , the graph  $G(\mathbb{Z}, D)$ , or  $G(D)$  in short, is called a *integer distance graph*. The distance graphs were introduced by Eggleton *et al.* [3] in 1985 to study the chromatic number. They proved that  $\chi(G(\mathbb{R}, D)) = n + 2$ , where  $D$  is an interval between 1 and  $\delta$ , and  $n$  satisfies  $1 \leq n <$

$\delta \leq n + 1$ . They also partially determined the values of  $\chi(G(D_{m,k}))$ , where  $D_{m,k} = [1, m] \setminus \{k\}$ . The complete solution to  $\chi(G(D_{m,k}))$  is provided by Chang, Liu and Zhu in [2]. Many people discussed the chromatic number of the integer distance graph  $G(D)$ . More results on the chromatic number of integer distance graphs, see [3], [4], [6], [7], [10] and [11]. In [13] and [14], it is discussed that vertex linear arboricity of the real distance graphs. In [12], it is studied that the vertex linear arboricity of  $G(D_{m,k})$  where  $D_{m,k} = [1, m] \setminus \{k\}$ . In [15], it is studied that the fractional version of the vertex linear arboricity of some graphs.

Now the integer distance graph is applied widely to gene sequence, sequential series, on-line computing and so on.

Let  $D_{m,k,3} = [1, m] \setminus \{k, 2k, 3k\}$ . In Section 3 we shall determine the vertex linear arboricity of distance graph  $G(D_{m,1,3})$ ; in Section 4, we will discuss the distance graph  $G(D_{m,k,3})$  for  $k \geq 2$ .

## 2 Preliminary

We summarize the basic tactics used in the proof of the main results as several lemmas. Suppose that  $m \geq 6k$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose that there are three vertices  $b_1 < b_2 < b_3$  receiving the same color in the path-coloring  $f$  of  $G(D_{m,k,3})$ .*

(1) *If there is a  $(b_1, b_2)$ -path in  $G(D_{m,k,3})$ , then  $b_3 \in \{b_1 + ik, b_2 + ik \mid i \in [1, 3]\}$  or  $b_3 \geq b_1 + (m + 1)$ ;*

(2) *if there is a  $(b_1, b_3)$ -path in  $G(D_{m,k,3})$  and  $b_3 - b_1 \leq m$ , then  $b_2 \in \{b_1 + ik, b_3 - ik \mid i \in [1, 3]\}$ ;*

(3) *if there is a  $(b_2, b_3)$ -path in  $G(D_{m,k,3})$ , then  $b_1 \in \{b_2 - ik, b_3 - ik \mid i \in [1, 3]\}$  or  $b_1 \leq b_3 - (m + 1)$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Otherwise, if  $b_3 \notin \{b_1 + ik, b_2 + ik \mid i \in [1, 3]\}$  and  $b_3 \leq b_1 + m$ ,

then  $b_1b_3, b_2b_3 \in E(H)$  and thus the  $(b_1, b_2)$ -path and two edges  $b_1b_3, b_2b_3$  form a cycle, a contradiction.

(2) and (3) can be proved similarly.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Suppose that there are four vertices  $b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4$  receiving the same color in the path-coloring  $f$  of  $G(D_{m,k,3})$  and  $b_1b_2, b_2b_3 \in E(G(D_{m,k,3}))$ , then  $b_4 \in \{b_2 \pm ik | i \in [1, 3]\}$  or  $|b_4 - b_2| \geq m + 1$ .*

*Proof.* Otherwise, four vertices  $b_i (i \in [1, 4])$  will induce a  $K_{1,3}$ .  $\square$

For the convenience of arguments, we introduce a new term. If six vertices  $v + ik (i \in [0, 5])$  receive the same color  $\beta$ , then such a set  $\{v + ik | i \in [0, 5]\}$  is called an *F-type set associated with  $\beta$  and  $v$*  and denoted by  $V_{\beta, v}$ . If there is no confusion arisen, we often call it an *F-type set*, for short.

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $V_{\beta, v}$  is an F-type set associated with  $\beta$  and  $v$ , then  $f(v+i) \neq \beta$  in the path-coloring  $f$  of  $G(D_{m,k,3})$  for any  $i \in [5k + 1, m + 5k]$ .*

*Proof.* Assume, to the contrary, that  $f(v+i) = \beta$  for some  $i \in [5k + 1, m + 5k]$ . Since  $v$  is adjacent to  $v+4k$  and  $v+5k$ , by taking  $b_1 = v+4k, b_2 = v+5k$  and  $b_3 = v+i$  in Lemma 2.1 (1), we have  $v+i \in \{v+jk | j \in [6, 8]\}$  or  $b_3 \geq b_1 + (m+1)$  by the hypothesis.

Since  $m \geq 6k$ , if  $i = 6k$  then  $v, v+4k, v+5k, v+i$  induce a  $K_{1,3}$ ; if  $i = 7k$  then  $v+k, v+2k, v+3k, v+i$  induce a  $K_{1,3}$ ; if  $i = 8k$  then  $v+2k, v+3k, v+4k, v+i$  induce a  $K_{1,3}$ ; and if  $b_3 \geq b_1 + (m+1)$  then  $v, v+k, v+5k, v+i$  induce a  $K_{1,3}$ . We come to a contradiction in any case. Hence the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *In the path-coloring  $f$  of  $G(D_{m,k,3})$ , if vertices  $a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_5$  receive the same color with  $a_5 - a_0 \leq m$ , then  $\{a_i | i \in [0, 5]\}$  is an F-type set.*

*Proof.* We show that the lemma for  $k = 1$  only, and the other cases can be proved similarly. If there is an  $i$  with  $0 \leq i < 5$ , such that  $a_{i+1} - a_i > 1$ , then  $a_0a_5, a_0a_4, a_0a_3 \in E(H)$  or  $a_0a_5, a_1a_5, a_2a_5 \in E(H)$ , i.e., the same color vertices induce a  $K_{1,3}$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *In the path-coloring  $f$  of  $G(D_{m,k,3})$ , if vertices  $0 \leq a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_6 \leq m + 5k$  receive the same color, then  $a_{i+5} - a_i > m$  for  $i = 0, 1$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $a_5 - a_0 \leq m$ , then  $\{a_i | i \in [0, 5]\}$  is an  $F$ -type set by Lemma 2.4. Therefore  $a_0a_4, a_0a_5, a_1a_5 \in E(H)$ , and  $a_6 - a_5 > m$  by Lemma 2.3. Then  $a_6 \geq m+1+a_5 > m+5k$  which is contrary to  $a_6 \in V(H)$ . Therefore  $a_5 - a_0 > m$ . Similarly  $a_6 - a_1 > m$  and hence the lemma.  $\square$

### 3 The vertex linear arboricity of integer distance graphs $G(D_{m,1,3})$

In this section, we discuss the case of  $k = 1$ , i.e.,  $D_{m,1,3} = [1, m] \setminus [1, 3] = [4, m]$ . For  $m = 4$ , it is obvious that  $vla(G(D_{m,1,3})) = vla(G(\{4\})) = 1$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *For any integer  $m > 4$ ,  $vla(G(D_{m,1,3})) = \lceil \frac{m}{6} \rceil + 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n = \lceil \frac{m}{6} \rceil$ . Firstly, we show that  $vla(G(D_{m,1,3})) \leq \lceil \frac{m}{6} \rceil + 1$  for any integer  $m > 4$ . Let  $f(6t + i) = t$  for  $0 \leq t \leq n = \lceil \frac{m}{6} \rceil$  and  $0 \leq i \leq 5$ , and  $f(6(n + 1)s + h) = f(h)$  for all  $h, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $6(n + 1) \geq m + 6$ ,

$$V_t = \cup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} [6k(n + 1) + 6t, 6k(n + 1) + 6t + 5]$$

induces a linear forest for each  $0 \leq t \leq n$ . Then  $f$  is a path  $(n+1)$ -coloring of  $G(D_{m,1,3})$ , and  $vla(G(D_{m,1,3})) \leq \lceil \frac{m}{6} \rceil + 1$ .

Now we show that the lower bound. For  $5 \leq m \leq 6$ ,  $vla(G(D_{m,1,3})) \leq 2$  by the upper bound. Since  $G(D_{m,1,3})$  has a  $K_{1,3}$  induced by vertices

1, 5, 9, 10,  $\text{vla}(G(D_{m,1,3})) = 2$ . We will show that the lower bound, i.e.,  $\text{vla}(G(D_{m,1,3})) \geq n + 1$  for  $m > 6$ .

Assume, on the contrary, that  $\text{vla}(G(D_{m,1,3})) \leq n$ , then  $G(D_{m,1,3})$  has a path  $n$ -coloring  $f$ . Let  $H$  be the subgraph of  $G(D_{m,1,3})$  induced by vertex subset  $[0, 6n]$ , then  $f$  is also a path coloring of  $H$ . Note that  $|V(H)| = 6n + 1$ . There are at least seven vertices in  $H$ , say  $0 \leq a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_6 \leq 6n$ , receiving the color  $\alpha$ .

By Lemmas 2.4- 2.5, the following two claims are obvious.

**Claim 1.** If  $a_5 - a_0 \leq m$ , then  $a_5 = a_4 + 1 = \dots = a_0 + 5$ .

By Claim 1, if  $1 \leq i \leq a \leq i + 5$  then it is impossible that there are  $n - 1$  colors for the remaining  $m - 1 = 6(n - 1)$  vertices  $[i, m + i]$  in  $H$  such that each color has exactly an  $F$ -type set  $V_{\beta_v}$  with  $v \in [i, m + i]$ .

**Claim 2.**  $\min\{a_5 - a_0, a_6 - a_1\} > m$  and then  $a_0 \leq 3$  and  $a_1 \leq 4$ .

**Claim 3.**  $m = 6(n - 1) + 1$ .

Assume that  $m \geq 6(n - 1) + 2 \geq 8$ , by Claim 2,  $a_5 - a_0 > m$  and  $a_i \leq m + i - 2$  for  $i \in [4, 6]$ . There is a integer  $k$  with  $1 \leq k < 5$  such that  $a_k - a_0 \leq m$  and  $a_{k+1} - a_0 > m$ . If  $k = 1$ , then  $a_2 - a_0 > m$  and  $a_6 - a_2 \leq 6n - a_2 \leq 6n - (m + 1) \leq 3$  which is impossible. Hence,  $k \in [2, 4]$ . In the following, we will come to a contradiction in any case.

**Case 3.1.**  $k = 2$ .

Since  $a_3 - a_0 > m$ ,  $a_6 - a_3 \leq 6n - (m + 1) \leq 3$ , so  $a_6 = 6n = a_5 + 1 = a_4 + 2 = a_3 + 3$  and  $m = 6(n - 1) + 2$ . By Claim 2,  $a_1 \leq a_6 - (m + 1) \leq 3$ , and  $a_0 \leq 2$ . If  $a_1 = 3$ , then  $a_1$  is adjacent to  $a_3, a_4, a_5$ , a contradiction. If  $a_1 = 2$ , then  $a_1 a_3, a_1 a_4 \in E(H)$  and  $a_2 - a_1, a_3 - a_2 \in [1, 3]$  by Lemmas 2.1-2.2, so  $m = 7, a_2 = 5$ , and vertices  $a_2, a_4, a_5, a_6$  induce a  $K_{1,3}$ , a contradiction. Hence  $a_1 = 1, a_0 = 0$  and  $2 \leq a_2 \leq m$ , then  $a_2 = 2$  (otherwise,  $a_2$  is adjacent to vertices  $a_3, a_4, a_5$  for  $2 < a_2 < m - 2$ , and  $a_2$  is adjacent to

vertices  $a_0, a_1, a_6$  for  $a_2 \geq m - 2$ ). Therefore  $a_1a_3, a_2a_3, a_2a_4 \in E(H)$  and there are  $n - 1$  colors for the remaining  $m - 2 = 6(n - 1)$  vertices  $3, 4, \dots, m$  in  $H$  such that each color has an  $F$ -type set  $V_{\beta_h}$  with  $3 \leq h \leq m - 5$  by Claims 1 - 2. By Lemma 2.3, the vertex  $6n + 1$  receives the color  $\alpha$  and induces a  $K_{1,3}$  with vertices  $a_1, a_2, a_3$ , a contradiction, too.

**Case 3.2.  $k = 3$ .**

Then  $a_3 - a_0 \leq m, a_4 - a_0 \geq m + 1$  and  $2 \leq a_6 - a_4 \leq 6n - (m + 1) \leq 3$ .

If  $a_3 - a_0 = 3$ , then  $a_2a_4, a_3a_4 \in E(H)$  because  $m + 1 \leq a_4 - a_0 \leq m + 2$ , and  $|a_1 - a_4| > m$  by Lemma 2.2. Thus  $a_4 = m + 2, a_0 = 0, a_{i+1} = a_i + 1$  for  $i \in [4, 5]$ , and  $m = 6(n - 1) + 2$ . There are  $n - 1$  colors for the remaining  $6(n - 1)$  vertices  $4, \dots, m + 1$  in  $H$  such that each color has exactly an  $F$ -type set  $V_{\beta_h}$  with  $(4 \leq h \leq m - 4)$  by Claim 1. By Lemma 2.3, the vertex  $6n + 2 = m + 6$  receives the color  $\alpha$  and induces a  $K_{1,3}$  with vertices  $a_2, a_3, a_4$ , a contradiction.

Therefore  $a_3 - a_0 \geq 4, a_0a_3 \in E(H)$ , then at most one of vertices  $a_4, a_5, a_6$  is adjacent to  $a_3$ . Thus,  $a_5 - a_3 \leq 3$ . Since  $a_5 - a_0 \geq m + 2, m \geq a_3 - a_0 = a_5 - a_0 - (a_5 - a_3) \geq m - 1$ . By Claim 2,  $a_1 \leq 3$ , so that  $a_3 - a_1 \geq m - 1 - 3 \geq 4$ , then  $a_1a_3 \in E(H)$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $a_6 - a_3 = 3$ , and  $a_6 - a_0 \leq m + 2$  if  $a_3 - a_0 = m - 1$ , which is contrary to  $a_4 - a_0 \geq m + 1$ . Hence  $a_3 - a_0 = m$ , and  $a_6 - a_0 = m + 3$ .

Clearly,  $a_3 - a_2 \leq 3$  (otherwise, vertices  $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3$  induce a  $K_{1,3}$ ), and  $a_2 - a_0 = a_3 - a_0 - (a_3 - a_2) \geq m - 3 > 3$ , then  $a_0a_2, a_2a_6 \in E(H)$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $a_2 - a_1, a_5 - a_2 \in [1, 3]$ , then  $a_5 - a_2 = 3$ , and  $a_6 - a_1 \leq 7$ , which is contrary to Claim 2.

**Case 3.3.  $k = 4$ .**

Then  $a_4 - a_0 \leq m$  and  $a_0a_4 \in E(H)$ . If there is an  $i \in [0, 3]$  such that  $a_{i+1} - a_i > 2$  or an  $i \in [0, 1]$  such that  $a_{i+j+1} - a_{i+j} = 2$  for  $j \in [0, 2]$ , then

$a_0$  is adjacent to vertices  $a_2, a_3, a_4$ , or  $a_4$  is adjacent to vertices  $a_0, a_1, a_2$ , a contradiction. If there are exactly  $a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}$  with  $0 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq 3$  such that  $a_{i_j+1} - a_{i_j} = 2$  for  $j = 1, 2$  and  $a_{i+1} - a_i = 1$  for all  $i \in [0, 3] \setminus \{i_1, i_2\}$ , then  $a_0a_3, a_0a_4, a_1a_4 \in E(H)$ , and  $a_4 - a_0 = 6$ , so that  $a_4a_6 \notin E(H)$ , i.e.,  $a_6 - a_4 \leq 3$  and  $a_6 - a_1 \leq 8$  which is contrary to Claim 2. If there is a unique  $a_{i_0}$  ( $0 \leq i_0 < 4$ ) such that  $a_{i_0+1} - a_{i_0} = 2$ , and  $a_{i+1} - a_i = 1$  for  $i \in [0, 3] \setminus \{i_0\}$ , then  $a_4 - a_0 = 5$ , and  $a_6 - a_4 \leq m - 1$ , by Claim 2,  $a_5 - a_4 \geq m - 4 \geq 4$ , so that vertices  $a_0, a_4, a_5, a_6$  induce a  $K_{1,3}$ , a contradiction.

Therefore  $a_4 - a_0 = 4$ , i.e.,  $a_{i+1} = a_i + 1$  for  $i \in [0, 3]$ , and  $a_4a_6 \in E(H)$  since  $a_6 - a_4 \leq 6(n - 1) + 2 \leq m$ , by Lemma 2.2,  $a_5 - a_4 = t \leq 3$ . By Claim 2,  $m \leq a_5 - a_0 - 1 = a_5 - a_4 + a_4 - a_0 - 1 = 3 + t \leq 6$  which is contrary to  $m \geq 6(n - 1) + 2 \geq 8$ .

By all above arguments, we have  $m = 6(n - 1) + 1$ , hence Claim 3 holds.

**Claim 4.**  $a_2 \leq 2$  and  $a_4 \geq 6(n - 1) + 4$ , i.e.,  $a_0 = 0, a_6 = m + 5 = 6n$  and  $a_{i+1} = a_i + 1$  for  $i \in [0, 1] \cup [4, 5]$ .

First, we have the following subclaim.

**Subclaim 4.1.**  $a_2 - a_0 \leq 3$  and  $a_6 - a_4 \leq 3$ .

It is clear that the relation of  $a_2, a_0$  is symmetric with the relation of  $a_4, a_6$ , so we need only to show that  $a_2 - a_0 \leq 3$ .

Otherwise, assume that  $a_2 - a_0 \geq 4$ , we prove that  $a_2 - a_0 \leq m$  in the following. Suppose that  $a_2 - a_0 \geq m + 1$ , then  $a_6 = m + 5 = 6n, a_{i+1} = a_i + 1$  for  $i \in [2, 5], a_0 = 0, a_6a_2 \in E(H)$  and  $a_1a_2 \in E(H)$  by Claim 2. By Lemmas 2.3-2.5, there are  $n - 1$  colors for the remaining  $6(n - 1) = m - 1$  vertices  $[1, m] \setminus \{a_1\}$  in  $H$  such that each color has exactly an  $F$ -type set  $V_{\beta_v}$  with  $1 \leq v \leq m - 5$ , and the vertex  $6n + 1$  in  $G(D_{m,1,3})$  receives the color  $\alpha$  and induces a  $K_{1,3}$  with  $a_1, a_6, a_2$ , a contradiction. Hence  $a_2 - a_0 \leq m$ ,



so  $a_0a_2 \in E(H)$ , and then at most one of  $a_1, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6$  is adjacent to  $a_2$ .

By Lemmas 2.1-2.2, if  $a_1a_2 \in E(H)$ , then  $a_2 \geq 5$ ,  $a_5 - a_2 = 3$ , and  $a_6 \geq a_2 + m + 1 > 6n$ , which is impossible. Similarly, if  $a_2a_i \in E(H)$  for some  $i \in [3, 4]$ , then  $a_{i+1} \geq a_2 + m + 1 \geq m + 5 = 6n$ ; if  $a_2a_5 \in E(H)$ , then  $a_2 - a_1 \leq 3$ ,  $a_4 - a_2 \leq 3$ , and  $a_6 \geq a_2 + m + 1$ , so that  $a_6 = m + 5 = 6n$ ,  $a_2 = 4$ ,  $a_0 = 0$ ,  $a_4 \leq 7$ , and  $a_0a_2, a_0a_3, a_0a_4 \in E(H)$ , a contradiction.

Finally, if  $a_2a_6 \in E(H)$  then  $a_2 - a_1 = t \leq 3$ ,  $a_5 - a_2 = 3$  and  $a_1a_5 \in E(H)$ , so  $a_2 = a_5 - (a_5 - a_2) \geq m - 2$  and  $4 \geq a_1 - a_0 = (a_5 - a_0) - (a_5 - a_2 + a_2 - a_1) \geq m + 1 - 3 - t \geq m - 5$  by Claim 2, i.e.,  $m = 6(n - 1) + 1 = 7$ ,  $a_1 - a_0 \geq 2$  and  $a_2 - a_0 \leq 7$ . If  $a_2 - a_1 = 3$ , then  $a_1$  induces a  $K_{1,3}$  with vertices  $a_3, a_4, a_5$ ; if  $a_2 - a_1 = 2$ , then  $a_1$  is adjacent to vertices  $a_4, a_5$ , by Lemma 2.2 and Claim 2,  $a_1 - a_0 \leq 3$  and  $a_5 - a_0 = a_5 - a_2 + a_2 - a_1 + a_1 - a_0 = 8$ , hence  $a_1 - a_0 = 3$ ,  $a_2 - a_0 = 5$ , and  $a_0, a_2, a_3, a_4$  induce a  $K_{1,3}$ ; if  $a_2 - a_1 = 1$ , then  $a_5 - a_1 = 4$ ,  $a_1 - a_0 > m - 4 = 3$ ,  $a_0a_1 \in E(H)$ , and  $a_2 - a_0 = m$  (otherwise,  $a_2 - a_0 < m$ , then  $a_0a_3 \in E(H)$ , and  $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3$  induce a  $K_{1,3}$ ), so that  $a_1 - a_0 = m - 1 = 6$  and  $a_6 - a_1 \leq 7 = m$  which is contrary to Claim 2.

Therefore the subclaim holds.

**Subclaim 4.2.**  $a_2 - a_0 = a_6 - a_4 = 2$ .

By contradiction, assume that  $a_2 - a_0 = 3$ , then  $m - 2 \leq a_5 - a_2 \leq m + 1$  by Claim 2 and  $a_5 \leq m + 4$ . In the following, we will come to a contradiction in any case.

**Case 4.2.1.**  $a_5 - a_2 = m + 1$ .

Then  $a_2 = 3$ ,  $a_5 = m + 4$ ,  $a_0 = 0$  and  $a_6 = m + 5 = 6n$ . If  $6 < a_3 \leq m$ , then vertices  $a_0, a_1, a_2$  are all adjacent to  $a_3$ , a contradiction. So that  $a_3 \leq 6$  or  $a_3 \geq m + 1$ . For  $a_3 \leq 6$ ,  $a_0a_3, a_3a_5 \in E(H)$ , then  $a_4 - a_3, a_3 - a_1 \in [1, 3]$  and  $a_6 - a_3 \geq m + 1$  by Lemma 2.2, then  $a_3 = 4$  and  $a_4 \leq 7$ , by Subclaim

4.1,  $a_6 = m + 5 \leq 10$  which is impossible. For  $a_3 \geq m + 1$ ,  $a_2a_3 \in E(H)$  since  $a_3 \leq m + 2$ , then  $a_1a_3 \notin E(H)$  or  $a_3a_6 \notin E(H)$ , i.e.,  $a_3 - a_1 > m$  or  $a_6 - a_3 = 3$ . In the former case,  $a_3 = m + 2$  and  $a_1 = 1$ , then there are  $n - 1$  colors for the remaining  $m - 1 = 6(n - 1)$  vertices  $[2, m + 1]$  in  $H$  such that each color has exactly an  $F$ -type set  $V_{\beta_v}$  with  $2 \leq v \leq m - 4$ , then vertex  $m + 6$  receives the color  $\alpha$  and induces a cycle with vertices  $a_2, a_3, a_4$ , a contradiction. In the latter case, similarly, we have  $a_1 = 2$  and  $a_3 = m + 2$ , then there are  $n - 1$  colors for the remaining  $m - 1 = 6(n - 1)$  vertices  $[1, m + 1] \setminus \{2\}$  in  $H$  such that each color has exactly an  $F$ -type set, which is impossible.

**Case 4.2.2.**  $m - 1 \leq a_5 - a_2 \leq m$ .

Then  $a_2a_5, a_2a_4 \in E(H)$  by Subclaim 4.1,  $a_3 - a_2 \leq 3$  by Lemma 2.2, and  $a_0a_3 \in E(H)$ , so that  $a_4 - a_3 \leq 3$  (otherwise,  $a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5$  induce a cycle), and  $a_5 - a_3 \leq 3$  or  $a_6 - a_3 \geq m + 1$ . In the former case,  $m = 7$ ,  $a_5 - a_2 = 6$  and  $a_5 - a_3 = a_3 - a_2 = 3$ , then  $a_0, a_1, a_3, a_6$  induce a  $K_{1,3}$ . In the latter case,  $a_3 = 4$ ,  $a_6 = m + 5$ ,  $a_2 = 3$  and  $a_0 = 0$ , then  $m - 1 \leq a_5 - a_2 = a_5 - a_4 + a_4 - a_3 + a_3 - a_2 \leq 6$  by Subclaim 4.1, i.e.,  $m = 7$ ,  $a_6 = 12$ ,  $a_5 = 9$  and  $a_4 = 7$ , so that vertices  $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_4$  induce a  $K_{1,3}$ , a contradiction.

**Case 4.2.3.**  $a_5 - a_2 = m - 2$ .

In this case,  $a_5 - a_0 = m + 1$ , and  $a_2a_5, a_1a_5 \in E(H)$ , then  $a_5 - a_3 \leq 3$  by Lemma 2.2, and  $a_3 - a_0 \geq m - 2$ . Thus  $a_0a_3, a_0a_4 \in E(H)$ , then  $a_1a_3 \notin E(H)$  (otherwise,  $a_1a_3, a_1a_4 \in E(H)$ , so vertices  $a_0, a_3, a_4, a_1$  induce a 4-cycle, a contradiction), i.e.,  $a_3 - a_1 \leq 3$ , so that  $a_5 - a_1 \leq 6$  and  $a_5 - a_0 \leq 8$ , then  $a_5 - a_0 = 8$ ,  $m = 7$  and  $a_5 - a_2 = 5$ . By Subclaim 4.1,  $a_6 - a_5 \leq 2$ , then  $a_2a_6 \in E(H)$  and  $a_4 - a_2 \leq 3$ . Since  $a_4 - a_1 = a_6 - a_1 - (a_6 - a_4) \geq 5$ ,  $a_1a_4 \in E(H)$ , then  $a_6 - a_3 = 3$  (otherwise, vertices

$a_0, a_3, a_6, a_2, a_5, a_1, a_4$  induce a 7-cycle), and  $a_6 - a_1 \leq 6 < m$  which is contrary to Claim 2.

In a word, we have obtained that  $a_2 - a_0 = 2$ .

Similarly, we can show that  $a_6 - a_4 = 2$ , hence the subclaim.

**Subclaim 4.3.**  $a_2 = 2$  and  $a_4 = m + 3$ .

If  $a_0 > 0$ , then  $a_2 \geq 3$  and  $a_1 \geq 2$ . Since  $a_6 - a_1 \geq m + 1$ ,  $a_1 \leq a_6 - (m + 1) \leq 6n - (m + 1) = 4$ , i.e.,  $2 \leq a_1 \leq 4$ .

**Case 4.3.1**  $a_1 = 4$ .

Hence  $a_6 = m + 5$ ,  $a_2 = 5$ ,  $a_0 = 3$ ,  $a_5 = m + 4$ ,  $a_3 \geq 6$  and  $a_4 = m + 3$  by Subclaim 4.2, then  $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_4$ , induce a  $K_{1,3}$ , a contradiction.

**Case 4.3.2.**  $a_1 = 3$ .

Then  $a_0 = 2$ ,  $a_2 = 4$  and  $a_6 \geq m + 4$ . For  $a_6 = m + 4$ ,  $a_5 = m + 3$  and  $a_4 = m + 2$ , then  $a_1, a_2, a_4, a_5$ , induce a cycle, a contradiction. For  $a_6 = m + 5$ ,  $a_5 = m + 4$  and  $a_4 = m + 3$  by Subclaim 4.2, then  $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_4$  induce a  $K_{1,3}$ , a contradiction, too.

**Case 4.3.3.**  $a_1 = 2$ .

In this case,  $a_0 = 1$ ,  $a_2 = 3$ ,  $a_5 \geq m + 2$ , and  $a_2 a_4 \in E(H)$  by Subclaim 4.2, then  $a_3 \leq 6$  or  $a_3 \geq a_4 - 3$ . In the former case, if  $m > 7$  or  $m = 7$  and  $a_4 - a_3 > 3$ , then  $a_3 a_4, a_3 a_5 \in E(H)$ , and  $a_3 = 4$ ,  $a_6 = m + 5$  and  $a_4 = m + 3$  by Lemma 2.2, so there are  $n - 1$  colors for the remaining  $m - 1 = 6(n - 1)$  vertices  $\{0\} \cup [5, m + 2]$  in  $H$  such that each color has exactly an  $F$ -type set  $V_{\beta_v}$  with  $5 \leq v \leq m - 3$ , except one color  $\gamma$  has six vertices  $0 < h_1 < \dots < h_5$ . By Lemmas 2.1-2.3, the vertex  $m + 6$  receives the color  $\gamma$  and induces a  $K_{1,3}$  with vertices  $h_3, h_4, h_5$ , a contradiction. Hence  $m = 7$  and  $a_4 - a_3 \leq 3$ ,  $a_1 a_4 \in E(H)$ , i.e.,  $a_4 - a_1 \leq 7$  and  $a_4 - a_3 = a_3 - a_2 = 3$ , so  $a_0, a_3, a_5, a_6$  induce a  $K_{1,3}$ , a contradiction. In the latter case,  $m + 1 \geq a_3 \geq a_4 - 3 \geq m - 2$ , then  $a_0 a_3 \in E(H)$ , and

$a_3 - a_1 \leq 3$  or  $a_6 - a_3 = 3$  by Lemma 2.1, so  $4 \leq a_3 \leq 5$  or  $a_3 \geq m$ , and thus vertices  $a_0, a_3, a_4, a_5$  induce a  $K_{1,3}$  or vertices  $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3$  induce a  $K_{1,3}$ , a contradiction, too.

In a word,  $a_0 = 0, a_1 = 1$  and  $a_2 = 2$ . Similarly, we have  $a_6 = m + 5, a_5 = m + 4$  and  $a_4 = m + 3$ . Hence the claim.

**Claim 5.**  $a_3 = 3$  or  $a_3 = m + 2$ .

Assume that  $4 \leq a_3 \leq m$ , then  $a_0 a_3, a_3 a_5 \in E(H)$ , and  $a_3 - a_1 \leq 3$  and  $a_6 - a_3 \geq m + 1$  by Lemma 2.2, so  $a_3 = 4$  and vertices  $a_0, a_3, a_4, a_5$  induce a  $K_{1,3}$  by claim 4, a contradiction. If  $a_3 = m + 1$ , then vertices  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_6$  induce a  $K_{1,3}$ , a contradiction.

Therefore  $a_3 = 3$  or  $a_3 = m + 2$ . So Claim 5 holds.

Without loss of generality, suppose that  $a_3 = 3$ , then there are  $n - 1$  colors for  $6(n - 1)$  vertices  $[4, m + 2]$  in  $H$  such that each color has exactly an  $F$ -type set  $V_{\beta_v}$  with  $v \geq 4$  by Lemma 2.4. Therefore, the vertex  $m + 9$  receives the color  $\alpha$  and induces a  $K_{1,3}$  with  $a_4, a_5$  and  $a_6$ , a contradiction, too.

In a word, we have proved that  $vla(G(D_{m,1,3})) \geq \lceil \frac{m}{6} \rceil + 1$ .

Therefore,  $vla(G(D_{m,1,3})) = \lceil \frac{m}{6} \rceil + 1$ . □

## 4 The vertex linear arboricity of integer distance graphs $G(D_{m,k,3})$ for $k \geq 2$

Secondly, we study the integer distance graph  $G(D_{m,2,3})$  with the distance set  $D_{m,2,3} = [1, m] \setminus \{2, 4, 6\}$ . Clearly, for  $m \leq 9$ , there is at most one even number in  $D_{m,2,3}$ , then  $vla(G(D_{m,2,3})) \leq 2$  since  $f(n) = n \pmod{2}$  is a path coloring. But vertices  $0, 1, 4, 5$  induce a cycle in  $G(D_{m,2,3})$ , so  $vla(G(D_{m,2,3})) = 2$ . Suppose that  $m \geq 10$  in the following.

**Theorem 4.1.** For each integer  $m = 12q + j \geq 10$  with  $0 < j \leq 12$ ,

$$\lceil \frac{m+1}{6} \rceil + 1 \leq \text{vla}(G(D_{m,2,3})) \leq \begin{cases} 2\lceil \frac{m}{12} \rceil, & \text{for } j = 1, \\ 2\lceil \frac{m}{12} \rceil + 1, & \text{for } 2 \leq j \leq 4, \\ 2(\lceil \frac{m}{12} \rceil + 1), & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Firstly, we show that the upper bound. Let  $\lceil \frac{m}{12} \rceil = n$  and  $m = 12q + j$  with  $0 < j \leq 12$ . If  $j = 1$ , let  $f(12l + i + 2t) = i + 2l$  for  $t \in [0, 5]$ , where  $i = 0, 1$ , and  $0 \leq l \leq \lceil \frac{m}{12} \rceil - 1$ , and the other vertices be colored periodically, then  $f$  is a path coloring, so that  $\text{vla}(G(D_{m,2,3})) \leq 2\lceil \frac{m}{12} \rceil$ .

If  $2 \leq j \leq 4$ , let  $f(12l + i + 2t) = i + 2l$  for  $t \in [0, 5]$ , where  $i = 0, 1$ , and  $0 \leq l \leq \lceil \frac{m}{12} \rceil - 1$ ,  $f(12n) = f(12n + 1) = f(12n + 2) = 2n$ , and the other vertices be colored periodically, then  $f$  is a path coloring, so that  $\text{vla}(G(D_{m,1,3})) \leq 2n + 1 = 2\lceil \frac{m}{12} \rceil + 1$ .

If  $5 \leq j \leq 12$ , let  $f(12l + i + 2t) = i + 2l$  for  $t \in [0, 5]$ , where  $i = 0, 1$ , and  $0 \leq l \leq \lceil \frac{m}{12} \rceil = n$ , and the other vertices be colored periodically, i.e.,  $f(12(n+1)t + u) = f(u)$  for all  $t, u \in Z$ , then  $f$  is a path coloring, and  $\text{vla}(G(D_{m,2,3})) \leq 2(\lceil \frac{m}{12} \rceil + 1)$ .

Secondly, we show that  $\text{vla}(G(D_{m,2,3})) \geq \lceil \frac{m+1}{6} \rceil + 1$ . We discuss the case of  $m = 6q$  and  $q \geq 2$  at the first.

By contradiction. Assume that  $\text{vla}(G(D_{m,2,3})) \leq \lceil \frac{m+1}{6} \rceil = q + 1 = n$ , then  $G(D_{m,2,3})$  has a path  $n$ -coloring  $f$ . Clearly,  $f$  is also a path coloring of the subgraph  $H$  induced by vertex subset  $[0, 6n]$ . Note that  $|V(H)| = 6n + 1$ . There are at least seven vertices in  $H$ , say  $0 \leq a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_6 \leq 6n = m + 6$ , receiving the color  $\alpha$ .

By Lemmas 2.4- 2.5, we have the following two claims.

**Claim 1.** Suppose that  $a_5 - a_0 \leq m$ , then  $a_5 = a_4 + 2 = a_3 + 4 = a_2 + 6 = a_1 + 8 = a_0 + 10$ .

**Claim 2.**  $\min\{a_5 - a_0, a_6 - a_1\} > m$ .

It is straightforward to prove the following claim.

**Claim 3.** If  $a_{i+3} - a_i \leq 5$ , or  $a_{i+3} - a_i = 6$  and  $\{a_{i+j+1} - a_{i+j} | j \in [0, 2]\} \setminus \{2\} \neq \emptyset$ , then vertices  $a_{i+j}$  ( $j \in [0, 3]$ ) induce a  $K_{1,3}$  or a 4-cycle; Hence this is impossible in the path coloring.

In the following, there is a contradiction regardless the relative positions of  $a_0$  and  $a_2$ .

**Case 1.**  $a_2 - a_0 = 2$ .

Now  $a_0a_1, a_1a_2 \in E(H)$ , so  $a_3 - a_1 \in \{2, 4, 6\}$  or  $a_3 - a_1 \geq m + 1$  by Lemma 2.2.

If  $a_3 - a_1 \in \{2, 4, 6\}$ , then vertices  $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3$  induce a 4-cycle, a contradiction. If  $a_3 - a_1 \geq m + 1$ , then  $a_3 \geq m + 2$  and  $a_6 - a_3 \leq 4$  which is impossible by Claim 3.

**Case 2.**  $a_2 - a_0 = 3$ .

In this case,  $a_0a_2 \in E(H)$ , and  $a_1 - a_0 = 1$  or  $a_2 - a_1 = 1$ .

If  $a_1 - a_0 = 1$ , then  $a_0a_1 \in E(H)$ , and  $a_3 - a_0 \in \{4, 6\}$  or  $a_3 - a_0 \geq m + 1$  by Lemma 2.2. In the former case, vertices  $a_0, a_1, a_3, a_2$  induce a 4-cycle; in the latter case,  $a_6 - a_3 \leq 5$  which is impossible by Claim 3.

If  $a_2 - a_1 = 1$ , then  $a_0a_2, a_1a_2 \in E(H)$ , and  $a_3 - a_2 \in \{2, 4, 6\}$  (otherwise,  $a_3 - a_2 \geq m + 1$ , then  $a_3 \geq m + 4$ , and  $a_6 \geq m + 7$  which is impossible) by Lemma 2.2, so that  $a_0a_3, a_1a_3 \in E(H)$ , and vertices  $a_0, a_2, a_1, a_3$  induce a 4-cycle, a contradiction, too.

**Case 3.**  $a_2 - a_0 = 4$ .

If  $a_2 - a_1 \neq 2$ , then  $a_0a_1, a_1a_2 \in E(H)$ . Hence  $a_3 - a_1 \in \{2, 4, 6\}$  or  $a_3 - a_1 \geq m + 1$  by Lemma 2.2. In the former case, vertices  $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3$  induce a 4-cycle; in the latter case,  $a_3 \geq m + 2$  and  $a_6 - a_3 \leq 4$  which is impossible by Claim 3. Therefore,  $a_2 - a_1 = a_1 - a_0 = 2$ .

Then  $a_3 - a_2 \in \{2, 4, 6\}$  (for otherwise, vertices  $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3$  induce a  $K_{1,3}$ , or  $a_6 - a_3 \leq 5$  which is impossible by Claim 3). Similarly,  $a_4 - a_2 \in$

$\{4, 6\}$  (if  $a_4 - a_2 > m$ ,  $a_4 \geq m+5$  and  $a_6 \geq m+7$ , a contradiction), and then  $a_3 - a_2 \in \{2, 4\}$ . It is clear that  $a_0a_4 \in E(H)$  and  $m+1 \leq a_5 - a_0 \leq m+5$ . We shall show that it educes a contradiction in any subcase.

(3.1) For  $a_5 - a_0 \in \{m+1, m+3\}$ , the difference of  $a_5$  and each of  $a_2, a_3, a_4$  is odd and  $< m$ , so that  $a_5, a_2, a_3, a_4$  induce a  $K_{1,3}$ .

(3.2) For  $a_5 - a_0 = m+2$ ,  $a_1a_5, a_2a_5 \in E(H)$ , then  $m = 12$ ,  $a_5 - a_3 = 6$ ,  $a_3 - a_2 = 4$  and  $a_5 - a_4 = 4$  by Lemma 2.2, so that  $a_0a_3, a_0a_4, a_1a_4, a_1a_5, a_2a_5 \in E(H)$ , and  $a_6 - a_5 \in \{2, 4\}$ . If  $a_6 - a_5 = 4$ , then  $a_4a_6 \in E(H)$ , and vertices  $a_0, a_1, a_4, a_6$  induce a  $K_{1,3}$ . If  $a_6 - a_5 = 2$ , then  $a_0a_4, a_4a_1, a_1a_5, a_5a_2, a_2a_6, a_6a_3, a_3a_0 \in E(H)$ , i.e., vertices  $a_0, a_4, a_1, a_5, a_2, a_6, a_3$  induce a 7-cycle, a contradiction.

(3.3) For  $a_5 - a_0 = m+4$ , then  $a_5, a_2, a_3, a_4$  induce a  $K_{1,3}$  when  $m > 12$ . If  $m = 12$ , then  $a_5 - a_4 = 6$  (otherwise,  $a_5 - a_4 = 4$ , vertices  $a_4, a_0, a_1, a_2$  induce a  $K_{1,3}$ ). Hence,  $a_4 - a_2 = 6$  and  $a_6 - a_5 = 2$ , then vertices  $a_0, a_1, a_4, a_6$  induce a  $K_{1,3}$ .

(3.4) For  $a_5 - a_0 = m+5$ ,  $a_0 = 0, a_1 = 2, a_2 = 4, a_5 = m+5, a_6 = m+6, a_3 \in \{6, 8\}$  and  $a_4 \in \{8, 10\}$ , so  $a_5, a_3, a_4, a_6$  induce a  $K_{1,3}$  since  $m = 6q \geq 12$ , a contradiction.

**Case 4.**  $a_2 - a_0 = 5$ .

Now  $a_0a_2 \in E(H)$ , and  $a_0a_1 \in E(H)$  or  $a_1a_2 \in E(H)$ . If  $a_0a_1 \in E(H)$ , then  $a_3 - a_0 = 6$  or  $a_3 - a_0 > m$  by Lemma 2.2, so that vertices  $a_0, a_1, a_3, a_2$  induce a 4-cycle, or  $a_6 - a_3 \leq 5$  which is impossible by Claim 3. If  $a_1a_2 \in E(H)$ , then  $a_3 - a_2 \in \{2, 4, 6\}$  by Lemma 2.2, and  $a_1a_3, a_0a_3 \in E(H)$ , i.e., vertices  $a_0, a_1, a_3, a_2$  induce a 4-cycle, a contradiction, too.

**Case 5.**  $a_2 - a_0 = 6$ .

It is not difficult to educe a contradiction as the proof of Case 3 similarly.

**Case 6.**  $a_2 - a_0 \geq 7$ .

(6.1) If  $a_2 - a_0 \leq m$ , then  $a_0a_2 \in E(H)$ . Hence,  $a_0a_1 \notin E(H)$  or  $a_1a_2 \notin E(H)$ .

(6.1.1) Assume that  $a_0a_1 \notin E(H)$  and  $a_1a_2 \in E(H)$ , then  $a_1 - a_0 \in \{2, 4, 6\}$ , and  $\{a_i - a_2 | i \in [3, 6]\} = \{2, 4, 6\}$  which is impossible.

(6.1.2) Assume that  $a_0a_1, a_1a_2 \notin E(H)$ , then  $a_6 - a_2 > 6$  and  $a_2a_6 \in E(H)$  by Claim 3. By Lemma 2.2,  $a_5 = a_4 + 2 = a_3 + 4 = a_2 + 6$ , then  $a_0a_3 \in E(H)$ ,  $a_6 - a_5 \in \{2, 4, 6\}$  (otherwise,  $a_6, a_3, a_4, a_5$  induce a  $K_{1,3}$ ) and  $a_2 - a_1 \in \{2, 4\}$  (otherwise,  $a_2 - a_1 = 6$  and then  $a_1, a_3, a_4, a_5$  induce a  $K_{1,3}$ ), so  $a_6 - a_3 = 6$  by Lemma 2.1, and  $a_6 - a_1 = 12$  which is contrary to Claim 2.

(6.1.3) Assume that  $a_0a_1 \in E(H)$  and  $a_1a_2 \notin E(H)$ , then  $a_2 - a_1 \in \{2, 4, 6\}$ , and  $a_3 - a_0 \geq m + 1$  by Lemma 2.2, so  $a_6 - a_3 \leq 5$  which is impossible by Claim 3.

(6.2) If  $a_2 - a_0 \geq m + 1$ , then  $a_6 - a_2 \leq 5$  and  $a_6 - a_3 \leq 4$  which is impossible by Claim 3.

In a word, for  $m = 6q$  with  $q \geq 2$ ,  $vla(G(D_{m,2,3})) \geq \lceil \frac{m+1}{6} \rceil + 1$ .

For  $m = 6q + j > 12$  with  $1 \leq j \leq 5$ , since  $D_{6q,2,3} \subseteq D_{6q+j,2,3}$ ,  $vla(G(D_{m,2,3})) \geq vla(G(D_{6q,2,3})) \geq \lceil \frac{6q+1}{6} \rceil + 1 = \lceil \frac{m+1}{6} \rceil + 1$ .

For  $m = 10$ ,  $vla(G(D_{m,2,3})) \geq 3$ : otherwise,  $vla(G(D_{m,2,3})) = 2$ , then the subgraph  $H_1$  induced by vertices  $0, 1, 2, \dots, 12$  has a path 2-coloring, so that there are at least seven vertices in  $H_1$ , say  $a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_6$ , receiving the same color, then Claims 1 – 3 hold, too. So  $a_5 = 11$ ,  $a_6 = 12$ ,  $a_0 = 0$ ,  $a_1 = 1$ , and  $a_0a_1, a_1a_5, a_5a_6 \in E(H)$ , then  $a_{i+1} = a_i + 2$  for  $i \in [1, 3]$  by Lemma 2.2, and vertices  $a_1, a_2, a_5, a_6$  induce a  $K_{1,3}$ , a contradiction. Hence  $vla(G(D_{11,2,3})) \geq vla(G(D_{10,2,3})) \geq 3$ . Therefore, the lower bound is obtained.  $\square$

By Theorem 4.1, we have below result easily.



**Corollary 4.2.** For  $m \geq 10$ , we have  $vla(G(D_{m,2,3})) = \lceil \frac{m}{6} \rceil + 2$  if  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ , and  $vla(G(D_{m,2,3})) = \lceil \frac{m}{6} \rceil + 1$  if  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ .

Lastly, we consider the case of  $k \geq 3$ .

For  $3k < m < 5k$ , there is at most one multiple of  $k$  in  $D_{m,k,3}$ ,  $vla(G(D_{m,k,3})) \leq k$  since  $f(n) = n \pmod{k}$  is a path coloring. Let  $X_0 = \{0, k, 2k\}$ ,  $X_1 = \{1, k + 1, 2k + 1\}, \dots, X_{k-1} = \{k - 1, 2k - 1, 3k - 1\}$ , then vertices  $X_0 \cup X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_{k-1}$  induce a complete  $k$ -partite graph  $K(3, 3, \dots, 3)$ , so that  $vla(G(D_{m,k,3})) \geq k$  since any four vertices induce a cycle or a  $K_{1,3}$ . Hence  $vla(G(D_{m,k,3})) = k$ .

Similarly,  $k \leq vla(G(D_{m,k,3})) \leq 2k$  for  $5k \leq m < 7k$ .

For  $m \geq 7k$ , the following conclusion can be obtained as Theorem 4.1 similarly.

**Theorem 4.3.** For  $m \geq 7k$ ,  $\lceil \frac{m+k+3}{6} \rceil \leq vla(G(D_{m,k,3})) \leq k \lceil \frac{m+5k+1}{6k} \rceil$ .

### Acknowledgment

We are very grateful to the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions that lead to improvements of an earlier version.

### References

- [1] J. Akiyama, H. Era, S. V. Gerracio and M. Watanabe, Path chromatic numbers of graphs, *J. Graph Theory*, 13(1989), 569-579.
- [2] G. J. Chang, D. D.-F. Liu and X. D. Zhu, Distance graphs and T-coloring, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B*, 75(1999), 259-269.
- [3] R. B. Eggleton, P. Erdős and D.K. Skilton, Colouring the real line, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B*, 39(1985), 86-100.
- [4] R. B. Eggleton, P. Erdős and D.K. Skilton, Colouring prime distance graphs, *Graphs and combinatorics*, 6(1990), 17-32.

- [5] W. Goddard, Acyclic coloring of planar graphs, *Discrete Mathematics*, 91(1991), 91-94.
- [6] A. Kemnitz and H. Kolbery, Coloring of integer distance graphs, *Discrete Mathematics*, 191(1998), 113-123.
- [7] D. D.-F. Liu and X. D. Zhu, Distance graphs with missing multiples in the distance sets, *J. Graph Theory*, 30(1999) 245-259.
- [8] M. Matsumoto, Bounds for the vertex linear arboricity, *J. Graph Theory*, 14(1990), 117-126.
- [9] K. Poh, On the linear vertex-arboricity of a planar graph, *J. Graph Theory*, 14(1990), 73-75.
- [10] M. Voigt and H. Walther, Chromatic number of prime distance graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 51(1994),197-209.
- [11] M. Voigt, Colouring of distance graphs, *Ars Combinatoria*, 52 (1999), 3-12.
- [12] L. C. Zuo, J. L. Wu and J. Z. Liu, The Vertex Linear Arboricity of an Integer Distance Graph with a Special Distance Set, *Ars Combinatoria*, vol.79,No.2,(2006),p65-76.
- [13] L. C. Zuo, J. L. Wu and J. Z. Liu, The vertex linear arboricity of distance graphs, *Discrete Mathematics*, Vol.306,No.2,(2006), p284-289.
- [14] L. C. Zuo, Q. L. Yu and J. L. Wu, Tree coloring of distance graphs with a real interval set, *Applied Mathematics Letter*, Vol.19,No.12,(2006), p1341-1344.
- [15] L. C. Zuo, J. L. Wu and J. Z. Liu, The fractional vertex linear arboricity of graphs, *Ars Combinatoria*, Vol.81,No.5,(2006),p175-191.