

# Signed total $k$ -domination in graphs\*

Haichao Wang<sup>†</sup>, Erfang Shan

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China

## Abstract

A signed total  $k$ -dominating function of a graph  $G = (V, E)$  is a function  $f : V \rightarrow \{+1, -1\}$  such that for every vertex  $v$ , the sum of the values of  $f$  over the open neighborhood of  $v$  is at least  $k$ . A signed total  $k$ -dominating function  $f$  is minimal if there does not exist a signed total  $k$ -dominating function  $g$ ,  $f \neq g$ , for which  $g(v) \leq f(v)$  for every  $v \in V$ . The weight of a signed total  $k$ -dominating function is  $w(f) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v)$ . The signed total  $k$ -domination number of  $G$ , denoted by  $\gamma_{t,k}^s(G)$ , is the minimum weight of a signed total  $k$ -dominating function on  $G$ . The upper signed total  $k$ -domination number  $\Gamma_{t,k}^s(G)$  of  $G$  is the maximum weight of a minimal signed total  $k$ -dominating function on  $G$ . In this paper we present sharp lower bounds on  $\gamma_{t,k}^s(G)$  for general graphs and  $K_{r+1}$ -free graphs and characterize the extremal graphs attaining some lower bounds. Also, we give a sharp upper bound on  $\Gamma_{t,k}^s(G)$  for an arbitrary graph.

MSC: 05C69

**Keywords:** Bounds; Signed total  $k$ -domination; Upper signed total  $k$ -domination;  $K_{r+1}$ -free graph

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a finite simple graph with vertex set  $V$  and edge set  $E$ . Terminology not defined here will generally conform to that in [1]. For a

---

\*This research was partially supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (Nos. 10571117, 60773078) and the ShuGuang Plan of Shanghai Education Development Foundation and Shanghai Education Committee (No. 06SG42).

<sup>†</sup>Corresponding author. Email address: whchao2000@163.com

vertex  $v \in V$ , the *open neighborhood* of  $v$  is  $N_G(v) = \{u \in V \mid uv \in E\}$  and the *closed neighborhood* of  $v$  is  $N_G[v] = \{v\} \cup N_G(v)$ . The *degree* of  $v$  in  $G$ , denoted by  $d_G(v)$ , is the cardinality of  $N_G(v)$ , and the *minimum degree* and *maximum degree* of  $G$  is denoted by  $\delta(G)$  and  $\Delta(G)$ , respectively. If each vertex in  $G$  has an odd degree, then we call  $G$  an *odd-degree graph*. If no ambiguity, we will omit the subscript  $G$ . For  $S \subseteq V$ , we let  $d_S(v)$  denote the number of vertices in  $S$  that are adjacent to  $v$ . If  $d(v) = k$  for all  $v \in V$ , then we call  $G$  a *k-regular graph*. If  $d(v) = k - 1$  or  $k$  for all  $v \in V$ , then we call  $G$  a *nearly k-regular graph*. The subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $S$  is denoted by  $G[S]$ . If  $X, Y \subseteq V(G)$ ,  $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ , we write  $e(X, Y)$  for the number of edges between  $X$  and  $Y$ . A graph  $G = (V, E)$  is called *r-partite* if  $V$  admits a partition into  $r$  classes such that every edge has its ends in different classes: vertices in the same partition class must not be adjacent. An *r-partite graph* in which every two vertices from different partition classes are adjacent is called *complete*. A *clique* in  $G$  is a complete subgraph of  $G$ . More precisely, an *r-clique* is a clique of order  $r$ , denoted by  $K_r$ . A graph is *K<sub>r</sub>-free* if it contains no  $r$ -clique as its subgraph.

Let  $f : V \rightarrow \{+1, -1\}$  be a function which assigns to each vertex of  $G$  an element of the set  $\{+1, -1\}$ . The *weight* of  $f$  is  $w(f) = \sum_{v \in V} f(v)$ , and for  $S \subseteq V$  we define  $f(S) = \sum_{v \in S} f(v)$ , so  $w(f) = f(V)$ . For a vertex  $v \in V$ , we denote  $f(N(v))$  by  $f[v]$  for notational convenience. The function  $f$  is said to be a *signed total dominating function (STDF)* of  $G$  if  $f[v] \geq 1$  for every  $v \in V$ . The *signed total domination number* of  $G$ , denoted by  $\gamma_t^s(G)$ , is the minimum weight of a STDF on  $G$ . We say  $f$  is a *minimal STDF* if there does not exist a STDF  $g : V \rightarrow \{+1, -1\}$ ,  $f \neq g$ , for which  $g(v) \leq f(v)$  for every vertex  $v \in V$ . The *upper signed total domination number* of  $G$ , denoted by  $\Gamma_t^s(G)$ , is the maximum weight of a minimal STDF of  $G$ . The study of signed total domination was begun by Zelinka [9], and continued by Henning [2], Kang et al. [3], Shan et al. [5], Shi et al. [6], Xing et al. [8]. A set  $D$  of vertices of  $G$  is defined in [4] to be a *total k-dominating set* of  $G$  if  $|N(v) \cap D| \geq k$  for all  $v \in V$ . The *total k-dominating number*  $\gamma_t^k(G)$  of  $G$  is the minimum cardinality of a total  $k$ -dominating set of  $G$ .

In this paper, we generalize the concepts of signed total domination and total  $k$ -domination to signed total  $k$ -domination. If  $G$  is a graph with  $\delta(G) \geq k$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the function  $f$  is called *signed total k-dominating function (STkDF)* of  $G$  if  $f[v] \geq k$  for every  $v \in V$ . The *signed total k-dominating number*  $\gamma_{t,k}^s(G)$  of  $G$  is the minimum weight of a STkDF on  $G$ . We say  $f$  is a *minimal STkDF* if there does not exist a STkDF  $g : V \rightarrow \{+1, -1\}$ ,  $f \neq g$ , for which  $g(v) \leq f(v)$  for every vertex  $v \in V$ . The *upper signed total k-dominating number* of  $G$ , denoted by  $\Gamma_{t,k}^s(G)$ ,

is the maximum weight of a minimal ST $k$ DF of  $G$ . It is obvious that  $\gamma_{t,1}^s(G) = \gamma_t^s(G)$  and  $\Gamma_{t,1}^s(G) = \Gamma_t^s(G)$ . Throughout this paper, we always assume that a graph  $G$  has minimum degree  $\delta(G) \geq k$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . A (minimal) ST $k$ DF of weight  $\gamma_{t,k}^s(G)$  (respectively,  $\Gamma_{t,k}^s(G)$ ) we call a  $\gamma_{t,k}^s(G)$ -function (respectively,  $\Gamma_{t,k}^s(G)$ -function).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we establish several sharp lower bounds on  $\gamma_{t,k}^s(G)$  of a general graph  $G$  and a  $K_{r+1}$ -free graph  $G$ . In particular, we characterize the extremal graphs attaining some lower bounds. In Section 3, we present a sharp upper bound on  $\Gamma_{t,k}^s(G)$  of an arbitrary graph  $G$ . These results improve or imply most of previous results on the signed total domination.

## 2 Signed $k$ -Total Domination Number

For an arbitrary graph  $G$ ,  $|V(G)|$  clearly is a trivial upper bound on signed total  $k$ -domination number. In this section we firstly characterize the graphs attaining this bound.

**Theorem 1** *If  $G$  is a graph of order  $n$ , then  $\gamma_{t,k}^s(G) = n$  if and only if there exists a vertex  $u \in N(v)$  such that  $d(u) \in \{k, k+1\}$  for every vertex  $v \in V(G)$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\gamma_{t,k}^s(G) = n$  and there exists a vertex  $v \in V(G)$  such that  $d(u) \geq k+2$  for every vertex  $u \in N(v)$ . Consider the function  $f : V \rightarrow \{+1, -1\}$  for which  $f(v) = -1$  and for any other vertex  $w$ ,  $f(w) = +1$ . It is easy to verify that  $f$  is a signed total  $k$ -dominating function of  $G$  with the weight  $f(V(G)) = n-2$ . Therefore,  $\gamma_{t,k}^s(G) \leq n-2$ , a contradiction.

On the other hand, suppose that there exists a vertex  $u \in N(v)$  such that  $d(u) \in \{k, k+1\}$  for every vertex  $v \in V(G)$ . A signed total  $k$ -dominating function must assign  $+1$  to every vertex of  $G$ , and so  $\gamma_{t,k}^s(G) = n$ . ■

By Theorem 1, we have the following result when  $k = 1$ .

**Corollary 2** *If  $G$  is a graph of order  $n$ , then  $\gamma_t^s(G) = n$  if and only if there exists a vertex  $u \in N(v)$  such that  $d(u) \in \{1, 2\}$  for every vertex  $v \in V(G)$ .*

The next result due to Henning [2] is a special case of Corollary 2.

**Corollary 3** ([2]) *If  $T$  is a tree of order  $n \geq 2$ , then  $\gamma_t^s(G) = n$  if and only if every vertex of  $T$  is a support vertex or is adjacent to a vertex of degree 2.*

Next we establish a lower bound on  $\gamma_{t,k}^s(G)$  for a general graph  $G$  in terms of its order, and we characterize the extremal graphs achieving this bound. For this purpose, we define a family  $\mathcal{C}$  of graphs as follows.

Let  $n_1, n_2$  and  $k$  be integers satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $k \geq 1$  and  $n_1 \geq k + 1$ ;
- (ii)  $n_1(n_1 - k - 1) = kn_2$ .

Let  $G_1 = K_{n_1}$  be a complete graph on  $n_1$  vertices and  $G_2$  an empty graph (that is,  $E(G_2) = \emptyset$ ) of order  $n_2$ . Let  $G(n_1, n_2)$  be the graph obtained from disjoint union of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  by adding  $n_1(n_1 - k - 1) (= kn_2)$  edges between  $V(G_1)$  and  $V(G_2)$  so that each vertex of  $G_1$  has exactly  $n_1 - k - 1$  neighbors in  $G_2$  while each vertex of  $G_2$  has precisely  $k$  neighbors in  $G_1$ . Let  $\mathcal{C} = \{G(n_1, n_2) \mid n_1 \geq k + 1, n_1(n_1 - k - 1) = kn_2\}$ .

**Theorem 4** *If  $G$  is a graph of order  $n$  with  $\delta \geq k$ , then*

$$\gamma_{t,k}^s(G) \geq 1 + \sqrt{1 + 4kn} - n$$

*with equality if and only if  $G \in \mathcal{C}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $f$  be a  $\gamma_{t,k}^s(G)$ -function and let  $P = \{v \in V \mid f(v) = +1\}$  and  $M = \{v \in V \mid f(v) = -1\}$ . Further, we let  $|P| = p$  and  $|M| = m$ . For every vertex  $v \in M$ , we have  $|N(v) \cap P| \geq k$  as  $f[v] \geq k$ . Hence

$$e(P, M) = \sum_{v \in M} |N(v) \cap P| \geq km = k(n - p) \quad (1)$$

For each vertex  $v \in P$ ,  $f[v] \geq k$  implies that  $|N(v) \cap M| \leq |N(v) \cap P| - k$ , and so

$$e(P, M) = \sum_{v \in P} |N(v) \cap M| \leq \sum_{v \in P} (|N(v) \cap P| - k) = 2|E(G[P])| - kp. \quad (2)$$

Note that  $|E(G[P])| \leq \binom{p}{2}$ , it follows from the inequalities (1) and (2) that

$$k(n - p) \leq e(P, M) \leq 2|E(G[P])| - kp \leq p(p - 1) - kp, \quad (3)$$

or equivalently,  $p^2 - p - kn \geq 0$ . Thus  $p \geq (1 + \sqrt{1 + 4kn}) / 2$  as  $p > 0$ . Therefore,  $\gamma_{t,k}^s(G) = 2p - n \geq 1 + \sqrt{1 + 4kn} - n$ .

If  $\gamma_{i,k}^s(G) = 1 + \sqrt{1 + 4kn} - n$ , then all equalities hold in (1), (2) and (3). We denote  $G_1 = G[P]$  and  $G_2 = G[M]$ . The equality in (1) implies that each vertex of  $G_2$  has precisely  $k$  neighbors in  $G_1$ , and so  $G_2$  is an empty graph of order  $m$ . The equalities in (2) and (3) imply that  $G_1$  is a complete graph of order  $p \geq k + 1$ , each vertex of  $G_1$  has exactly  $p - k - 1$  neighbors in  $G_2$  and  $p(p - k - 1) = km$ . Hence  $G \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $G \in \mathcal{C}$ . Then there exist integers  $n_1, n_2$  and  $k$  satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) such that  $G = G(n_1, n_2)$ . Since  $n_1(n_1 - k - 1) = kn_2$ ,  $G$  has order  $n = n_1 + n_2 = n_1(n_1 - 1)/k$ . Let  $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{+1, -1\}$  be a function on  $G$  assigning  $+1$  to all vertices of  $G_1$  and  $-1$  to all vertices of  $G_2$ . It is easily seen that  $f$  is a signed total  $k$ -domination function of  $G$  with weight  $w(f) = n_1 - n_2 = 2n_1 - n = 1 + \sqrt{1 + 4kn} - n$ . Consequently,  $\gamma_{i,k}^s(G) = 1 + \sqrt{1 + 4kn} - n$ . It completes the proof. ■

Let  $k = 1$  in Theorem 4, we obtain a special case of Theorem 4.

**Corollary 5** ([2]) *If  $G$  is a graph of order  $n$ , then*

$$\gamma_i^s(G) \geq 1 + \sqrt{1 + 4n} - n$$

*with equality if and only if  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ .*

Our next aim is to present a sharp lower bound on  $\gamma_{i,k}^s(G)$  of a  $K_{r+1}$ -free graph  $G$  in terms of its order and its minimum degree. The unique complete  $r$ -partite graphs on  $n \geq r$  vertices whose partition sets differ in size by at most 1 are called *Turán graphs*; we denote them by  $T^r(n)$  and their number of edges by  $t_r(n)$ . Clearly,  $T^r(n) = K_n$  for all  $n \leq r$ . The following Turán theorem from extremal graph theory is well-known and useful for our purpose.

**Lemma 6** ([7]) (Turán theorem) *For any integer  $r \geq 1$ , if  $G = (V, E)$  is a  $K_{r+1}$ -free graph of order  $n$  with maximum number of edges, then  $G$  is a  $T^r(n)$  and*

$$|E| = t_r(n) \leq \frac{r-1}{2r} n^2$$

*with equality if and only if  $r$  divides  $n$ .*

By applying Turán theorem, we shall present a sharp lower bound on the signed total  $k$ -domination number for  $K_{r+1}$ -free graphs where  $r \geq 2$ .

**Theorem 7** If  $G$  is a  $K_{r+1}$ -free graph of order  $n$  with  $\delta \geq k$  and  $c = \lceil (\delta + k)/2 \rceil$ , then

$$\gamma_{i,k}^s(G) \geq \frac{r}{r-1} \left( -(c-k) + \sqrt{(c-k)^2 + 4 \frac{r-1}{r} cn} \right) - n$$

and this bound is sharp.

**Proof.** Let  $f, P, M, p$  and  $m$  be defined as in the proof of Theorem 4. For each vertex  $v \in M$ ,  $v$  is adjacent to at least  $\lceil (d(v) + k)/2 \rceil$  vertices of  $P$  since  $f[v] \geq k$ , hence  $|N(v) \cap P| \geq \lceil (d(v) + k)/2 \rceil \geq \lceil (\delta + k)/2 \rceil = c$ . So we have

$$e(P, M) = \sum_{v \in M} |N(v) \cap P| \geq c|M| = c(n-p). \quad (4)$$

According to the inequalities (2) and (4), we obtain

$$c(n-p) \leq e(P, M) \leq 2|E(G[P])| - kp. \quad (5)$$

Since  $G$  is  $K_{r+1}$ -free, it follows from Lemma 6 that  $|E(G[P])| \leq (r-1)p^2/2r$ . By the inequality (5), we have

$$c(n-p) \leq e(P, M) \leq \frac{r-1}{r}p^2 - kp, \quad (6)$$

or equivalently,

$$\frac{r-1}{r}p^2 + (c-k)p - cn \geq 0.$$

Thus

$$p \geq \left( -(c-k) + \sqrt{(c-k)^2 + 4 \frac{r-1}{r} cn} \right) / 2 \left( \frac{r-1}{r} \right).$$

Consequently,

$$\gamma_{i,k}^s(G) = 2p - n \geq \frac{r}{r-1} \left( -(c-k) + \sqrt{(c-k)^2 + 4 \frac{r-1}{r} cn} \right) - n.$$

That the bound is sharp may be seen as follows. For integers  $r \geq 2$ , let  $G_i$  be a complete bipartite graph with vertex classes  $X_i$  and  $Y_i$ , where  $|X_i| = k$  and  $|Y_i| = (r-2)k$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . (If  $r = 2$ , then the graph  $K_{k,0}$  is considered as  $\overline{K_k}$ , i.e., the complement of complete graph  $K_k$ .) Now let  $G(r)$  be the graph obtained from disjoint union of  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_r$  by joining each vertex of  $X_i$  with all vertices of  $\bigcup_{j=1, j \neq i}^r X_j$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . Let

$V_i = X_i \cup Y_{i+1}$  where  $i+1 \pmod r$ . Then  $G(r)$  is an  $r$ -partite graph of order  $n = rk(r-1)$  with vertex classes  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_r$ . So  $G(r)$  is  $K_{r+1}$ -free. Note that  $G(r)$  has minimum degree  $k$  and so  $c = \lceil (\delta + k)/2 \rceil = k$ . Assigning to each vertex of  $\bigcup_{i=1}^r X_i$  the value  $+1$  and to each vertex of  $\bigcup_{i=1}^r Y_i$  the value  $-1$ , we produce a signed total  $k$ -dominating function  $g$  of  $G(r)$  with weight

$$\begin{aligned} w(g) &= rk - r(r-2)k \\ &= 3rk - r^2k \\ &= \frac{r}{r-1} \left( -(c-k) + \sqrt{(c-k)^2 + 4\frac{r-1}{r}cn} \right) - n. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\gamma_{tk}^s(G(r)) = \frac{r}{r-1} \left( -(c-k) + \sqrt{(c-k)^2 + 4\frac{r-1}{r}cn} \right) - n.$$

This completes the proof. ■

Now we give a sharp lower bound on  $\gamma_{t,k}^s(G)$  of a graph  $G$  in terms of its order, minimum degree and size.

**Theorem 8** *If  $G$  is a graph of order  $n$  and size  $q$  with  $\delta \geq k$ , then*

$$\gamma_{t,k}^s(G) \geq \frac{\delta + k}{\delta}n - \frac{2q}{\delta}$$

*and this bound is sharp.*

**Proof.** Let  $f, P, M, p$ , and  $m$  defined as in the proof of Theorem 4. By the inequality(5) in the proof of Theorem 7, we obtain

$$|E(G[P])| \geq \frac{c(n-p)}{2} + \frac{kp}{2}. \quad (7)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$2|E(G[M])| = \sum_{v \in M} |N(v) \cap M| = \sum_{v \in M} (d(v) - |N(v) \cap P|) \geq \delta|M| - e(P, M),$$

that is,

$$|E(G[M])| \geq \frac{\delta}{2}|M| - \frac{e(P, M)}{2} = \frac{\delta}{2}(n-p) - \frac{e(P, M)}{2}. \quad (8)$$

Since  $c \geq (\delta + k)/2$ , combining with the inequalities (4), (7) and (8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 q &= |E(G[P])| + |E(G[M])| + e(P, M) \\
 &\geq \frac{c(n-p)}{2} + \frac{kp}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2}(n-p) - \frac{e(P, M)}{2} + e(P, M) \\
 &\geq \frac{c(n-p)}{2} + \frac{kp}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2}(n-p) + \frac{c(n-p)}{2} \\
 &\geq \frac{2\delta + k}{2}n - \delta p.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$p \geq \frac{2\delta + k}{2\delta}n - \frac{q}{\delta}.$$

Consequently,

$$\gamma_{i,k}^s(G) = 2p - n \geq \frac{\delta + k}{\delta}n - \frac{2q}{\delta}.$$

To prove the lower bound is sharp, we consider a family  $\mathcal{J}$  of graphs defined as follows. Let  $G(1) = K_{k+1}$  and for integers  $l \geq 2$ , let  $G_i$  be a complete bipartite graph with vertex classes  $X_i$  and  $Y_i$  where  $|X_i| = k$  and  $|Y_i| = (l-1)k - 1$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$  (the graph  $K_{1,0}$  is regarded as  $K_1$  when  $k = 1$  and  $l = 2$ ). Further, let  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^l X_i$ . Now let  $G(l)$  be the graph obtained from disjoint union of  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_l$  by adding  $lk(lk-1)/2$  edges joining vertices of  $X$  such that  $G[X]$  is a complete graph. Let  $\mathcal{J} = \{G(l) \mid l \geq 1\}$ . Suppose  $G \in \mathcal{J}$ . If  $G = G(1)$ , by Theorem 1, then  $\gamma_{i,k}^s(G) = k + 1 = (\delta + k)n/\delta - 2q/\delta$ . So we assume that  $G = G(l)$  for some  $l \geq 2$ . Then  $G$  has order  $n = l(lk-1)$  and size  $q = lk(lk-k-1) + lk(lk-1)/2$ . Note that  $G$  has the minimum degree  $k$ . Let  $g$  be a function on  $G$  by assigning to each vertex of  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^l X_i$  the value  $+1$  and to all other vertices the value  $-1$ . It is easy to see that  $g$  is a signed total  $k$ -dominating function of  $G$  with weight

$$w(g) = kl - l(kl - k - 1) = -kl^2 + 2kl + l = \frac{\delta + k}{\delta}n - \frac{2q}{\delta}.$$

Therefore,

$$\gamma_{i,k}^s(G) = \frac{\delta + k}{\delta}n - \frac{2q}{\delta}.$$

For a graph  $G$  of order  $n$  and size  $q$ , Henning [2] presented a lower bound  $\gamma_i^s(G) \geq 2(n - q)$ . As a special case  $k = 1$  of Theorem 8, we improve this previous bound. ■



**Corollary 9** *If  $G$  is a graph of order  $n$  and size  $q$  with  $\delta \geq 1$ , then  $\gamma_t^s(G) \geq \frac{\delta+1}{\delta}n - \frac{2q}{\delta}$  and this bound is sharp.*

### 3 Upper Signed $k$ -Total Domination Number

In this section we restrict our attention to the upper signed total  $k$ -domination of graphs. Next we shall present a sharp upper bound on  $\Gamma_{t,k}^s$  of an arbitrary graph in terms of its minimum degree, maximum degree and order. We begin by stating a lemma due to Henning [2].

**Lemma 10** ([2]) *If  $k$  and  $n$  are integers with  $k < n$  and  $n$  is even, then we can construct a  $k$ -regular graph on  $n$  vertices.*

**Lemma 11** *A signed total  $k$ -dominating function of a graph  $G = (V, E)$  is minimal if and only if for every vertex  $v \in V$  with  $f(v) = 1$ , there exists a vertex  $u \in N(v)$  with  $f[u] \leq k + 1$ , where if  $d(u)$  and  $k$  are odd, then  $f[u] = k$ .*

The proof of Lemma 11 is straightforward and therefore omitted.

**Theorem 12** *If  $G$  is a graph of order  $n$  with minimum degree  $\delta$  and maximum degree  $\Delta$ , then*

$$\Gamma_{t,k}^s(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\Delta(\delta + k + 2) - (\delta - k)}{\Delta(\delta + k + 2) + (\delta - k)}n & \text{for } \delta - k \text{ even,} \\ \frac{\Delta(\delta + k + 1) - (\delta - k - 1)}{\Delta(\delta + k + 1) + (\delta - k - 1)}n & \text{for } \delta - k \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

*In particular, if  $G$  is an odd-degree graph and  $k$  is odd, then*

$$\Gamma_{t,k}^s(G) \leq \frac{\Delta(\delta + k) - (\delta - k)}{\Delta(\delta + k) + (\delta - k)}n.$$

*Furthermore, these bounds are sharp.*

**Proof.** Let  $f$  be a  $\Gamma_{t,k}^s(G)$ -function of  $G$ , and let  $P, M, p, m$  be defined as in the Section 2. If  $\delta = k$  or  $k + 1$ , then the results are trivial. Hence in what follows we assume  $\delta \geq k + 2$ . For notational convenience, we write  $\lfloor (\delta - k)/2 \rfloor = s_1$ ,  $\lceil (\delta + k)/2 \rceil = s_2$ ,  $\lfloor (\Delta - k)/2 \rfloor = t_1$  and  $\lceil (\Delta + k)/2 \rceil = t_2$ .

Obviously,  $f[v] = d(v) - 2d_M(v)$  for any vertex  $v \in V$ . Then, by Lemma 11,  $M \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $|P| = p$  and  $|M| = m$ . Thus,  $w(f) = |P| - |M| = n - 2m$ .

For any vertex  $v \in V$ ,  $d_M(v) \leq \lfloor (d(v) - k)/2 \rfloor$  since  $f[v] \geq k$ . Hence we can partition  $P$  into  $t_1 + 1$  sets by defining  $P_i = \{v \in P \mid d_M(v) = i\}$  and letting  $|P_i| = p_i$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, t_1$ . Then we have

$$n = m + p = m + \sum_{i=0}^{t_1} p_i. \quad (9)$$

For any vertex  $v \in V$ ,  $d_P(v) \geq \lceil (d(v) + k)/2 \rceil$  for otherwise  $f[v] < k$ . We define

$$M_j = \{v \in M \mid d_P(v) = j\} \text{ for } j = s_2, s_2 + 1, \dots, t_2$$

and  $M' = M - \bigcup_{j=s_2}^{t_2} M_j$ . Let  $|M_j| = m_j$ , and so  $|M'| = m - \sum_{j=s_2}^{t_2} m_j$ . Clearly,  $(M_{s_2}, \dots, M_{t_2}, M')$  is a partition of  $M$ . Since each vertex in  $M'$  is adjacent to at most  $\Delta$  vertices of  $P$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t_1} ip_i = e(P, M) \leq (s_2 m_{s_2} + \dots + t_2 m_{t_2}) + \Delta (m - (m_{s_2} + \dots + m_{t_2})).$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t_1} ip_i \leq \Delta m - ((\Delta - s_2)m_{s_2} + \dots + (\Delta - t_2)m_{t_2}). \quad (10)$$

If  $P_0 = \emptyset$ , then, by (9) and (10), we have

$$n = m + \sum_{i=1}^{t_1} p_i \leq m + \sum_{i=1}^{t_1} ip_i \leq (\Delta + 1)m.$$

This implies that  $m \geq n/(\Delta + 1)$ , and so  $\Gamma_{i,k}^s(G) = n - 2m \leq (\Delta - 1)n/(\Delta + 1)$ . Let

$$b = \min \left\{ \left( \frac{\Delta(\delta + k + 2) - (\delta - k)}{\Delta(\delta + k + 2) + (\delta - k)} \right) n, \left( \frac{\Delta(\delta + k + 1) - (\delta - k - 1)}{\Delta(\delta + k + 1) + (\delta - k - 1)} \right) n \right\}.$$

Observing that

$$(\Delta - 1)n/(\Delta + 1) \leq \min \{b, (\Delta(\delta + k) - (\delta - k))n/(\Delta(\delta + k) + (\delta - k))\},$$

then the desired result follows. We therefore may assume that  $P_0 \neq \emptyset$ .

According to our partition for  $P$  and  $M$ , we have  $f[v] \geq k + 2$  for any  $v \in (\bigcup_{i=0}^{s_1-1} P_i) \cup M'$ , so if  $f[v] = k$  or  $k + 1$  for  $v \in V$ , then  $v \in$

$(\bigcup_{i=s_1}^{t_1} P_i) \cup (\bigcup_{j=s_2}^{t_2} M_j)$ . For any vertex  $v \in P_0$ , since  $f$  is minimal, by Lemma 11, there exists a vertex  $u \in N(v)$  such that  $f[u] \in \{k, k+1\}$ . Let  $I = \{u \in N(P_0) \mid f[u] = k \text{ or } k+1\}$ . Then  $I \subseteq \bigcup_{i=s_1}^{t_1} P_i$ . So

$$p_0 = |P_0| \leq e(P_0, I) = e(P_0, \bigcup_{i=s_1}^{t_1} (P_i \cap I)) \leq e(P_0, \bigcup_{i=s_1}^{t_1} P_i). \quad (11)$$

Furthermore, for every vertex  $u \in P_i \cap I$  ( $s_1 \leq i \leq t_1$ ), there must exist a neighbor  $u'$  of  $u$  such that  $f[u'] = k$  or  $k+1$ . Note that  $u'$  belongs to  $(\bigcup_{i=s_1}^{t_1} P_i) \cup (\bigcup_{j=s_2}^{t_2} M_j)$ . If  $u' \in \bigcup_{i=s_1}^{t_1} P_i$ , then  $u$  is adjacent to at most  $i+k$  vertices of  $P_0$ , while if  $u' \in \bigcup_{j=s_2}^{t_2} M_j$ , then  $u$  is adjacent to at most  $i+k+1$  vertices of  $P_0$ . Hence we can write  $P_i \cap I$  ( $s_1 \leq i \leq t_1$ ) as the disjoint union of two sets  $IP'_i$  and  $IP''_i$ , where  $IP'_i = \{u \in P_i \cap I \mid d_{P_0}(u) = i+k+1\}$  and  $IP''_i = P_i \cap I - IP'_i$ . Then  $IP''_i = \{u \in P_i \cap I \mid d_{P_0}(u) \leq i+k\}$ . Let  $|IP'_i| = p'_i$ , and so  $|IP''_i| = |P_i \cap I| - p'_i$ . Since each vertex  $u \in \bigcup_{i=s_1}^{t_1} IP'_i$  is adjacent to a vertex in  $\bigcup_{j=s_2}^{t_2} M_j$ , it follows that

$$\sum_{i=s_1}^{t_1} p'_i \leq s_2 m_{s_2} + (s_2+1)m_{(s_2+1)} + \cdots + t_2 m_{t_2}. \quad (12)$$

Thus, by Eqs. (11) and (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_0 &\leq \sum_{i=s_1}^{t_1} (i+k+1)p'_i + \sum_{i=s_1}^{t_1} (i+k)(|P_i \cap I| - p'_i) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=s_1}^{t_1} (i+k+1)p'_i + \sum_{i=s_1}^{t_1} (i+k)(p_i - p'_i) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=s_1}^{t_1} (i+k)p_i + \sum_{j=s_2}^{t_2} j m_j. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

We now distinguish two possibilities depending on the parity of  $\delta - k$ .

*Case 1.*  $\delta - k$  is even.

Then  $s_1 = (\delta - k)/2$ . Noting that when  $i \geq (\delta - k)/2$ ,  $(\delta + k + 2)i/(\delta - k) \geq i + k + 1$  holds, then by Eqs. (9), (10) and (13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} n &\leq m + \left( \sum_{i=s_1}^{t_1} (i+k)p_i + \sum_{j=s_2}^{t_2} j m_j \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{t_1} p_i \\ &= m + \sum_{i=s_1}^{t_1} (i+k+1)p_i + \sum_{i=1}^{s_1-1} p_i + \sum_{j=s_2}^{t_2} j m_j \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq m + \frac{\delta + k + 2}{\delta - k} \sum_{i=s_1}^{t_1} ip_i + \sum_{i=1}^{s_1-1} p_i + \sum_{j=s_2}^{t_2} jm_j \\
&\leq m + \frac{\delta + k + 2}{\delta - k} \sum_{i=1}^{t_1} ip_i + \sum_{j=s_2}^{t_2} jm_j \\
&\leq m + \frac{\delta + k + 2}{\delta - k} m\Delta - \frac{\delta + k + 2}{\delta - k} \sum_{j=s_2}^{t_2} (\Delta - j)m_j + \sum_{j=s_2}^{t_2} jm_j \\
&= m + \frac{\delta + k + 2}{\delta - k} m\Delta - \sum_{j=s_2}^{t_2} \frac{(\delta + k + 2)\Delta - 2j(\delta + 1)}{\delta - k} m_j.
\end{aligned}$$

Further, observing that

$$\frac{(\delta + k + 2)\Delta - 2j(\delta + 1)}{\delta - k} \geq \frac{(\delta + k + 2)\Delta - 2t_2(\delta + 1)}{\delta - k} \geq 0,$$

we have  $n \leq m + ((\delta + k + 2)\Delta/(\delta - k))m$ , which implies that  $m \geq (\delta - k)n/(\Delta(\delta + k + 2) + (\delta - k))$ . Hence,

$$\Gamma_{i,k}^s(G) = w(f) = n - 2m \leq \left( \frac{\Delta(\delta + k + 2) - (\delta - k)}{\Delta(\delta + k + 2) + (\delta - k)} \right) n.$$

That the bound is sharp may be seen as follows. For any integers  $l \geq k$  and  $r \geq l + k$ , let  $F_{l,r}$  be the graph with vertex set  $X \cup Y \cup Z$  with  $|X| = l$ ,  $|Y| = 2r$  and  $|Z| = 2r(l + k)$ , where  $X$  is an independent set of vertices. The edge set of  $F_{l,r}$  is constructed as follows: Add  $2rl$  edges between  $X$  and  $Y$  so that  $G[X \cup Y]$  forms a complete bipartite graph with partition sets  $X$  and  $Y$ . Add  $2r(l + k)$  edges between  $Y$  and  $Z$  so that each vertex of  $Y$  is precisely adjacent to  $l + k$  vertices of  $Z$  and each vertex of  $Z$  is precisely adjacent to one vertex of  $Y$ . Add edges joining vertices of  $Y$  so that  $Y$  induces a 1-regular graph. Add edges joining vertices of  $Z$  so that  $Z$  induces a  $(2l + k - 1)$ -regular graph (since  $2l + k - 1 < 2r(l + k) = |Z|$  and  $|Z|$  is even, it follows from Lemma 10 that such an addition of edges is possible).

By construction,  $F_{l,r}$  is a graph of order  $n = l + 2r + 2r(l + k)$  with minimum degree  $\delta = 2l + k$  and maximum degree  $\Delta = 2r$ . The function  $g$  that assigns to each vertex of  $X$  the value  $-1$  and to each vertex of  $Y \cup Z$  the value  $+1$  is a minimal signed total  $k$ -dominating function of  $F_{l,r}$  as  $g[u] = k + 1$  for any  $u \in Y$ . It is easy to see that

$$w(g) = 2r + 2r(l + k) - l = \left( \frac{\Delta(\delta + k + 2) - (\delta - k)}{\Delta(\delta + k + 2) + (\delta - k)} \right) n.$$

Consequently,  $\Gamma_{i,k}^g(F_{l,r}) = (\Delta(\delta+k+2) - (\delta-k))n / (\Delta(\delta+k+2) + (\delta-k))$ .

*Case 2.*  $\delta - k$  is odd.

Then  $s_1 = (\delta - k - 1)/2$ . Noting that when  $i \geq (\delta - k - 1)/2$ ,  $(\delta + k + 1)i / (\delta - k - 1) \geq i + k + 1$  holds, then by Eqs. (9), (10) and (13) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
n &\leq m + \left( \sum_{i=s_1}^{t_1} (i+k)p_i + \sum_{j=s_2}^{t_2} jm_j \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{t_1} p_i \\
&= m + \sum_{i=s_1}^{t_1} (i+k+1)p_i + \sum_{i=1}^{s_1-1} p_i + \sum_{j=s_2}^{t_2} jm_j \\
&\leq m + \frac{\delta+k+1}{\delta-k-1} \sum_{i=s_1}^{t_1} ip_i + \sum_{i=1}^{s_1-1} p_i + \sum_{j=s_2}^{t_2} jm_j \\
&\leq m + \frac{\delta+k+1}{\delta-k-1} \sum_{i=1}^{t_1} ip_i + \sum_{j=s_2}^{t_2} jm_j \\
&\leq m + \frac{\delta+k+1}{\delta-k-1} m\Delta - \frac{\delta+k+1}{\delta-k-1} \sum_{j=s_2}^{t_2} (\Delta-j)m_j + \sum_{j=s_2}^{t_2} jm_j \\
&= m + \frac{\delta+k+1}{\delta-k-1} m\Delta - \sum_{j=s_2}^{t_2} \frac{(\delta+k+1)\Delta - 2j\delta}{\delta-k-1} m_j.
\end{aligned}$$

Further, observing that

$$\frac{(\delta+k+1)\Delta - 2j\delta}{\delta-k-1} \geq \frac{(\delta+k+1)\Delta - 2t_2\delta}{\delta-k-1} \geq 0,$$

we have  $n \leq m + ((\delta+k+1)\Delta / (\delta-k-1))m$ , which implies that  $m \geq (\delta-k-1)n / (\Delta(\delta+k+1) + (\delta-k-1))$ . Hence,

$$\Gamma_{i,k}^g(G) = w(f) = n - 2m \leq \left( \frac{\Delta(\delta+k+1) - (\delta-k-1)}{\Delta(\delta+k+1) + (\delta-k-1)} \right) n.$$

That the bound is sharp may be seen as follows. For any integers  $l \geq k$ ,  $r \geq l+k$  and  $q$ , where  $2l+k \leq q \leq 2r-1$ , let  $G_{l,r}$  be the graph with vertex set  $X \cup Y \cup Z$  with  $|X| = l$ ,  $|Y| = 2r$  and  $|Z| = 2r(l+k)$ , where  $X$  is an independent set of vertices. The edge set of  $G_{l,r}$  is constructed as follows: Add  $2rl$  edges between  $X$  and  $Y$  so that  $G[X \cup Y]$  forms a complete bipartite graph with partition sets  $X$  and  $Y$ . Add  $2r(l+k)$  edges between  $Y$  and  $Z$  so that each vertex of  $Y$  is precisely adjacent to  $l+k$  vertices of

$Z$  and each vertex of  $Z$  is precisely adjacent to one vertex of  $Y$ . Add edges joining vertices of  $Y$  so that  $Y$  induces a 1-regular graph. Add edges joining vertices of  $Z$  so that  $Z$  induces a  $q$ -regular graph (since  $q < 2r(l+k) = |Z|$  and  $|Z|$  is even, it follows from Lemma 10 that such an addition of edges is possible).

By construction,  $G_{l,r}$  is a graph of order  $n = l + 2r + 2r(l+k)$  with minimum degree  $\delta = 2l+k+1$  and maximum degree  $\Delta = 2r$ . The function  $g$  that assigns to each vertex of  $X$  the value  $-1$  and to each vertex of  $Y \cup Z$  the value  $+1$  is a minimal signed total dominating function of  $F_{l,r}$  as  $g[u] = k+1$  for any  $u \in Y$ . It is easy to see that

$$w(g) = 2r + 2r(l+k) - l = \left( \frac{\Delta(\delta+k+1) - (\delta-k-1)}{\Delta(\delta+k+1) + (\delta-k-1)} \right) n.$$

Consequently,  $\Gamma_{t,k}^s(F_{l,r}) = (\Delta(\delta+k+1) - (\delta-k-1))n / (\Delta(\delta+k+1) + (\delta-k-1))$ .

In particular, if  $G$  is an odd-degree graph, then for any vertex  $v \in V$ ,  $f[v] = d(v) - 2d_M(v)$  is odd. Further, if  $k$  is odd, then for every vertex  $u \in P_i \cap I$  ( $s_1 \leq i \leq t_1$ ), there exist  $u' \in N(u)$  such that  $f[u'] = k$  by Lemma 11. If  $u' \in \bigcup_{i=s_1}^{t_1} P_i$ , then  $u$  is adjacent to at most  $i+k-1$  vertices of  $P_0$ , while if  $u' \in \bigcup_{j=s_2}^{t_2} M_j$ , then  $u$  is adjacent to at most  $i+k$  vertices of  $P_0$ . Hence we can write  $P_i \cap I$  ( $s_1 \leq i \leq t_1$ ) as the disjoint union of two sets  $IP'_i$  and  $IP''_i$ , where  $IP'_i = \{u \in P_i \cap I \mid d_{P_0}(u) = i+k\}$  and  $IP''_i = P_i \cap I - IP'_i$ . Let  $|IP'_i| = p'_i$ , and so  $|IP''_i| = |P_i \cap I| - p'_i$ . Therefore, by (11) and (12), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p_0 &\leq \sum_{i=s_1}^{t_1} (i+k)p'_i + \sum_{i=s_1}^{t_1} (i+k-1)(|P_i \cap I| - p'_i) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=s_1}^{t_1} (i+k-1)p_i + \sum_{j=s_2}^{t_2} jm_j. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Since  $(\delta+k)i/(\delta-k)i \geq i+k$  when  $i \geq (\delta-k)/2$ , it follows from (9), (10) and (14) that

$$n \leq m + \left( \sum_{i=s_1}^{t_1} (i+k-1)p_i + \sum_{j=s_2}^{t_2} jm_j \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{t_1} p_i \leq \left( 1 + \frac{\delta+k}{\delta-k} \Delta \right) m.$$

Consequently,

$$\Gamma_{t,k}^s(G) = n - 2m \leq \left( \frac{\Delta(\delta+k) - (\delta-k)}{\Delta(\delta+k) + (\delta-k)} \right) n.$$

That the bound is sharp may be seen as follows. For any integers  $l \geq k$ ,  $r \geq l + (k - 1)/2$  and an even integer  $q$ , where  $2l + k - 1 \leq q \leq 2r$ , let  $H_{l,r}$  be the graph with vertex set  $X \cup Y \cup Z$  with  $|X| = 2l^2$ ,  $|Y| = 2l(2r + 1)$  and  $|Z| = 2l(2r + 1)(l + k - 1)$ , where  $X$  is an independent set of vertices. The edge set of  $H_{l,r}$  is constructed as follows: Add  $2l^2(2r + 1)$  edges between  $X$  and  $Y$  so that each vertex in  $X$  has degree  $2r + 1$  while each vertex in  $Y$  has degree  $l$ . Add  $2l(2r + 1)(l + k - 1)$  edges between  $Y$  and  $Z$  so that each vertex of  $Y$  is precisely adjacent to  $l + k - 1$  vertices of  $Z$  and each vertex of  $Z$  is precisely adjacent to one vertex of  $Y$ . Add  $l(2r + 1)$  edges joining vertices of  $Y$  so that  $Y$  induces a 1-regular graph. Add edges joining vertices of  $Z$  so that  $Z$  induces a  $q$ -regular graph (since  $q \leq 2r < |Z|$  and  $|Z|$  is even, it follows from Lemma 10 that such an addition of edges is possible).

By construction,  $H_{l,r}$  is an odd-graph of order  $n = 2l^2 + 2l(2r + 1) + 2l(2r + 1)(l + k - 1)$  with minimum degree  $\delta = 2l + k$  and maximum degree  $\Delta = 2r + 1$ . The function  $g$  that assigns to each vertex of  $X$  the value  $-1$  and to each vertex of  $Y \cup Z$  the value  $+1$  is a minimal signed total dominating function of  $H_{l,r}$  as  $g[u] = k$  for any  $u \in Y$ . It is easy to see that

$$w(g) = 2l(2r + 1) + 2l(2r + 1)(l + k - 1) - 2l^2 = \left( \frac{\Delta(\delta + k) - (\delta - k)}{\Delta(\delta + k) + (\delta - k)} \right) n.$$

Consequently,  $\Gamma_{t,k}^s(H_{l,r}) = (\Delta(\delta + k) - (\delta - k))n / (\Delta(\delta + k) + (\delta - k))$ . ■

As immediate consequences of Theorem 12 when  $k = 1$ , we have the following results due to Henning [2] and Kang and Shan [3], respectively.

**Corollary 13** ([2]) *If  $G = (V, E)$  is an  $r$ -regular graph with  $r \geq 1$  of order  $n$ , then*

$$\Gamma_t^s(G) \leq \begin{cases} \left( \frac{r^2 + 1}{r^2 + 2r - 1} \right) n & \text{for } r \text{ odd,} \\ \left( \frac{r^2 + r + 2}{r^2 + 3r - 2} \right) n & \text{for } r \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

*Furthermore, these bounds are sharp.*

**Corollary 14** ([3]) *If  $G = (V, E)$  is a nearly  $(r + 1)$ -regular graph with  $r \geq 1$  of order  $n$ , then*

$$\Gamma_t^s(G) \leq \begin{cases} \left( \frac{r^2 + 3r + 4}{r^2 + 5r + 2} \right) n & \text{for } r \text{ odd,} \\ \left( \frac{(r+1)^2 + 3}{r(r+4)} \right) n & \text{for } r \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, these bounds are sharp.

## References

- [1] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, New York: Elsevier North Holland, 1986.
- [2] M.A. Henning, Signed total domination in graphs, *Discrete Math.* 278 (2004) 109-125.
- [3] L.Y. Kang, E.F. Shan, Signed total domination in nearly regular graphs, *J. Shanghai Univ.* 10 (2006) 4-8.
- [4] V.R. Kulli, On  $n$ -total domination number in graphs, in: *Graph Theory, Combinatorics, Algorithms and Applications*, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1991, pp. 319-324.
- [5] E.F. Shan, T.C.E. Cheng, Remarks on minus (signed) total domination number in graphs, *Discrete Math.* (2007), doi:10.1016/j.disc.2007.06.015.
- [6] W. Shi, L.Y. Kang, Nordhaus-Gaddum results for signed total domination in graphs, manuscript.
- [7] P. Turán, On an extremal problem in graph theory, *Math. Fiz. Lapok* 48 (1941) 436-452. (in Hungarian).
- [8] H. Xing, L. Sun, X. Chen, Signed total domination in graphs, *J. Beijing Inst. Technol.* 12 (2003) 319-321.
- [9] B. Zelinka, Signed total domination number of a graph, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 51 (2001) 225-229.