

HIGH ORDER DERIVATIVES AND TWO q -IDENTITIES RELATED TO PRODINGER'S FORMULA

QINGLUN YAN, YINGMEI ZHANG AND XIAONA FAN

*College of Science, Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Nanjing
210046, P. R. China*

ABSTRACT. By means of a q -binomial identity, we give two generalizations of Prodinger's formula, which is equivalent to the famous Dilcher's formula.

1. INTRODUCTION

For two complex x and q , the q -shifted factorial are defined by, respectively

$$(x; q)_0 \equiv 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (x; q)_n = x(1-xq)\dots(1-xq^{n-1}) \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

In [3], Hernandez proved the following identity:

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{1 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_m = k} \frac{1}{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^m}.$$

Prodinger [7] pointed out that this formula is equivalent to the famous Dilcher's formula:

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{k^m} = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_m = n} \frac{1}{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m}.$$

He also gave the following q -analogue:

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{\binom{k}{2} - nk} \sum_{1 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_m = k} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q^{k_i}}{1 - q^{k_i}} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{q^{k(m-1)}}{(1 - q^k)^m}.$$

For more proofs and history about these formulae, please refer to Fu-Lascoux [2], Guo-Zhang [5], Ismail-Stanton [6], Zeng [8] and so on.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05A10, Secondary 05A19.
Email addresses: yanqinglun@126.com.

Throughout the paper, we shall frequently appeal, without further explanation, to q -binomial inversions as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_n &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} b_k \\
 b_n &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{\binom{n-k}{2}} a_k.
 \end{aligned}$$

In this paper, by means of a q -binomial identity and high order derivatives, we give two generalizations of Prodinger's q -identity, which is equivalent to the famous Dilcher's formula.

2. THE FIRST GENERALIZATION OF PRODINGER'S q -IDENTITY

In this section, by means of q -Chu-Vandermonde convolution [4], we derive a binomial identity, from which we can derive a generalization of Prodinger's q -identity. The identity can also be proved by applying Partial fraction decomposition to the RHS.

Lemma 1. *With n being a natural number, there holds:*

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{1-xq^j} = \frac{(q; q)_{n-1}}{(xq; q)_n}. \quad (1)$$

Proof. With the help of

$$(-1)^j \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} q^{\binom{j+1}{2}} = \sum_{k=j}^n (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ k+1 \end{bmatrix} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}},$$

and q -Chu-Vandermonde convolution, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{1-xq^j} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{1-xq^j} \sum_{k=j}^n (-1)^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(-1)^j}{1-xq^j} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ j-1 \end{bmatrix} q^{\binom{j}{2}} = \frac{1}{1-xq} {}_2\varphi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n+1}, & xq \\ & xq^2 \end{matrix} \middle| q; q^{n+1} \right] = \frac{(q; q)_{n-1}}{(xq; q)_n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2. *For two natural numbers m, n and a complex x , there holds the following identity:*

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{q^{jm}}{(1-xq^j)^{m+1}} = \frac{(q; q)_{n-1}}{(xq; q)_n} \sum_{1 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_m \leq n} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q^{k_i}}{1-xq^{k_i}}.$$

Proof. Let $F_n(x) = \frac{(q; q)_{n-1}}{(xq; q)_n}$. It is not hard to see that the identity stated in the theorem is equivalent to the following formula on higher order derivatives of $F_n(x)$ with respect to x :

$$\frac{D_x^m F_n(x)}{F_n(x)} = m! \sum_{1 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_m \leq n} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q^{k_i}}{1 - xq^{k_i}}. \quad (2)$$

It is trivial to see that when $m = 0$, both sides in (2) reduce to 1, that is to say, they admit the same initial condition. Then if we can check that both sides in (2) satisfy the same recurrence relation, we have confirmed the validity of (2) for all the natural numbers m .

Noting that

$$\frac{D_x^{m+1} F_n(x)}{F_n(x)} = \frac{D_x F_n(x)}{F_n(x)} \left\{ \frac{D_x^m F_n(x)}{F_n(x)} \right\},$$

we derive the following recurrence relation:

$$\frac{D_x^{m+1} F_n(x)}{F_n(x)} = \left\{ D_x - \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{q^k}{1 - xq^k} \right\} \frac{D_x^m F_n(x)}{F_n(x)}.$$

We just need to check the RHS of (2) satisfies the same relation, i.e.

$$(m+1) \sum_{1 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_{m+1} \leq n} \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} u_{k_i} = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_m \leq n} \prod_{i=1}^m u_{k_i} \sum_{j=1}^m u_{k_j} + \sum_{1 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_m \leq n} \prod_{i=1}^m u_{k_i} \sum_{j=1}^m u_j, \quad (3)$$

where $u_j = \frac{q^j}{1 - xq^j}$.

It is not hard to derive that

$$(m+1) \sum_{1 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_{m+1} \leq n} \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} u_{k_i} = [t^m] D_t \left\{ \frac{1}{(1 - u_1 t)(1 - u_2 t) \dots (1 - u_n t)} \right\} = (m+1) \sum_{r_1 + \dots + r_n = m+1} u_1^{r_1} \dots u_n^{r_n}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$D_t \left\{ \frac{1}{(1 - u_1 t)(1 - u_2 t) \dots (1 - u_n t)} \right\} = \frac{1}{(1 - u_1 t) \dots (1 - u_n t)} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{u_i}{1 - u_i t}.$$

Recalling

$$[t^m] \frac{1}{(1 - u_1 t) \dots (1 - u_n t)} \frac{u_1}{(1 - u_1 t)} = \sum_{r_1 + \dots + r_n = m} (r_1 + 1) u_1^{r_1+1} u_2^{r_2} \dots u_n^{r_n}$$

we derive the following relation

$$\begin{aligned} & (m+1) \sum_{r_1+\dots+r_n=m+1} u_1^{r_1} \dots u_n^{r_n} \\ &= \sum_{r_1+\dots+r_n=m} u_1^{r_1} \dots u_n^{r_n} [(r_1+1)u_1 + \dots + (r_n+1)u_n], \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to the equation (3). This implies that both sides of (2) satisfy the same recurrence relation. We complete the proof. \square

When $x = 1$, the identity in Theorem 2 becomes the following equation:

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(1-q^j)^{m+1}} = \frac{1}{1-q^n} \sum_{1 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_m \leq n} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q^{k_i}}{1-q^{k_i}}.$$

Taking $k_{m+1} := n$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{q^{mj}}{(1-q^j)^{m+1}} = q^{-n} \sum_{1 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_m \leq k_{m+1} = n} \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} \frac{q^{k_i}}{1-q^{k_i}}.$$

Replacing m by $m+1$ in the last identity and applying the q -binomial inversions, we derive Prodinger's q -identity.

3. THE SECOND GENERALIZATION OF PRODINGER'S q -IDENTITY

In this section, by restating the identity in Lemma 1, we derive another generalization of Prodinger's q -identity.

Rewrite (1) as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(q; q)_{n-1}}{(x; q)_n} &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{1-xq^j} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1-q^j}{1-xq^j} \right\} \frac{q^{-j}}{1-x}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we derive

$$\frac{(q; q)_{n-1}}{(xq; q)_{n-1}} = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{\binom{k}{2}} + \sum_{k=2}^n (-1)^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1-q^j}{1-xq^j} \right\} q^{-j},$$

from which we derive the following q -identity:

Theorem 3. For two natural numbers m, n and a complex x , there holds the following identity:

$$\sum_{k=2}^n (-1)^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{(1-q^j)q^{j(m-1)}}{(1-xq^j)^{m+1}}$$

$$= \frac{(q; q)_{n-1}}{(xq; q)_{n-1}} \sum_{1 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_m \leq n-1} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q^{k_i}}{1-xq^{k_i}}.$$

This proof is similar to Theorem 2. We omit the detail here.

Letting $x = 1$ and replacing n by $n + 1$, the last identity reduces to Prodinger's q -identity.

4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work has been supported by the Natural Sciences Foundation of China under Grant No. 11201241, 11201240, 11126241 and 61070234, the Natural Sciences Foundation for Colleges and Universities in Jiangsu Province of China under Grant No. 11KJB110008, NUPT under Grant No. NY211139.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Dilcher, *Some q -series identities related to divisor functions*, Discrete Mathematics, 14(1995), 83-93.
- [2] Amy M. Fu and A. Lascoux, *q -identities from Lagrange and Newton Interpolation*, Adv. Appl. Math., 31(2003), 527-531.
- [3] V.Hernandez, *Solution IV of problem 10490 (a reciprocal summation identity)*, American Mathematical Monthly, 1999, 106: 589-590.
- [4] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series* (2nd edition), Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [5] Victor Guo and C. Zhang, *Some further q -series identities related to divisor functions*, Ramanujan J., 25 (2011), 295-306.
- [6] Mourad E.H. Ismail and D. Stanton, *Some combinatorial and analytical identities*, Ann. of Combinatorics, to appear.
- [7] H. Prodinger, *A q -analogue of a formula of Hernandez obtained by inverting a result of Dilcher*, Australasian Journal of Combinatorics, 21 (2001), 271-274.
- [8] J. Zeng, *On some q -identities related to divisor functions*, Adv. Appl. Math., 34 (2005), 313-315.