

# Bounds on locating-total domination number of the Cartesian product of cycles

Huaming Xing<sup>a</sup>, Moo Young Sohn<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Mathematics, Langfang Normal College, Langfang, 065000, P.R.China

<sup>b</sup> Mathematics, Changwon National University, Changwon, 641-773, Republic of Korea

**Abstract:** A total dominating set  $S$  of a graph  $G$  with no isolated vertex is a locating-total dominating set of  $G$  if for every pair of distinct vertices  $u$  and  $v$  in  $V - S$  are totally dominated by distinct subsets of the total dominating set. The minimum cardinality of a locating-total dominating set is the locating-total domination number. In this paper, we obtain new upper bounds for locating-total domination numbers of the Cartesian product of cycles  $C_m$  and  $C_n$  and prove that for any positive integer  $n \geq 3$ , the locating-total domination numbers of the Cartesian product of cycles  $C_3$  and  $C_n$  is equal to  $n$  for  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$  or  $n + 1$  otherwise.

**Keywords:** Locating-total domination, Cartesian product, cycle

## 1 Introduction

The location of monitoring devices, such as surveillance camera or fire alarms, to safeguard a system serves as a motivation for this work. The problem of placing monitoring devices in system in such a way that every site in the system (including the monitors themselves) is adjacent to a monitor site can be modeled by total domination in graphs. Applications where it is also important that if there is a problem at a facility, its location can be uniquely identified by the set of monitors, can be modeled by a combination of total-domination and locating sets. Locating-total dominating set in graph was introduced by Haynes and Henning [5] and has been studied in [1, 5–7] and elsewhere.

---

\* Corresponding author, E-mail address: mysohn@changwon.ac.kr

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology(2012R1A1A20 05115).

Graph theory terminology not presented here can be found in [3, 4]. All graphs considered in this paper are simple without isolated vertices.

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph with vertex set  $V$  and edge set  $E$ . For any vertex  $v \in G$ , the *open neighborhood* of  $v$  is the set  $N(v) = \{u \in V | uv \in E\}$ , and its *closed neighborhood* is the set  $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$ . We denote the degree of a vertex  $v$  in  $G$  by  $d_G(v)$ , or simply by  $d(v)$  if the graph  $G$  is clear from text. The maximum degree of a graph is denoted by  $\Delta$ . For any  $S \subseteq V$ ,  $N(S) = \cup_{v \in S} N(v)$ . Let  $\langle S \rangle$  denote the subgraph induced by  $S$ . For  $u \in V - S$ , if  $N(u) \cap S = \{v\}$ , then the vertex  $u$  is called a *private neighbor* of  $v$  (with respect to  $S$ ). If  $u \in N(v)$  and  $|N(u) \cap S| \geq 2$ , then the vertex  $u$  is called a *common neighbor* of  $v$  (with respect to  $S$ ). For two vertices  $u, v \in V$ , the *distance* between  $u$  and  $v$  is  $d(u, v)$ . The distance between a vertex  $u$  and a set  $S$  of vertices in a graph is defined as  $d(u, S) = \min\{d(u, v) | v \in S\}$ . If  $S$  and  $T$  are two vertex disjoint subsets of  $V$ , then we denote the number of all edges of  $G$  that join a vertex of  $S$  and a vertex of  $T$  by  $e[S, T]$ .

For graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , the *Cartesian product*  $G \square H$  is the graph with vertex set  $V(G) \times V(H)$  where two vertices  $(u_1, v_1)$  and  $(u_2, v_2)$  are adjacent if and only if either  $u_1 = u_2$  and  $v_1 v_2 \in E(H)$  or  $v_1 = v_2$  and  $u_1 u_2 \in E(G)$ .

Let  $\{v_{ij} | (i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n\}$  be the vertex set of  $G = C_m \square C_n$  so that the subgraph induced by  $\mathcal{H}_i = \{v_{i0}, v_{i1}, \dots, v_{i(n-1)}\}$  is isomorphic to the cycle  $C_n$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  and that induced by  $\mathcal{V}_j = \{v_{0j}, v_{1j}, \dots, v_{(m-1)j}\}$  is isomorphic to the cycle  $C_m$  for each  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ . The cycles  $\langle \mathcal{H}_i \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathcal{V}_j \rangle$  are also called *horizontal* and *vertical*, respectively.

A subset  $S \subseteq V$  is a *total dominating set* (abbreviated, TDS) if every vertex of  $V$  has a neighbor in  $S$ . The *total domination number* of  $G$ , denoted by  $\gamma_t(G)$ , is the minimum cardinality of a total dominating set of  $G$ . Total domination was introduced by Cockayne et al. [2] and is now well-studied in graph theory [3, 4].

A total dominating set  $S$  in a graph  $G = (V, E)$  is a *locating-total dominating set* (abbreviated, LTDS) of  $G$  if for every pair of distinct vertices  $u$  and  $v$  in  $V - S$ ,  $N(u) \cap S \neq N(v) \cap S$ . The minimum cardinality of a locating-total dominating set is the *locating-total domination number*  $\gamma_t^L(G)$ . A locating-total dominating set in  $G$  of cardinality  $\gamma_t^L(G)$  is referred as a  $\gamma_t^L(G)$ -set.

A locating-total dominating set  $S$  in a graph  $G = (V, E)$  is a *locating-paired-dominating set* (abbreviated, LPDS) of  $G$  if  $S$  contains a perfect matching. The minimum cardinality of an LPDS is the *locating-paired-domination number*  $\gamma_{pr}^L(G)$ . An LPDS in  $G$  of cardinality  $\gamma_{pr}^L(G)$  is referred as a  $\gamma_{pr}^L(G)$ -set. Locating-paired-domination was introduced by McCoy and Henning [6]. In [5], Haynes et al. gave a lower bound on the locating-total domination number of a tree in terms of order and characterized the ex-

tremal tree achieving equality in the lower bound. In [1], Chen and Sohn established a lower bound and upper bounds on the locating-total domination number of trees in terms of its order and number of leaves and support vertices. Furthermore they constructively characterized the extremal trees achieving the bounds. In [7], Henning and Rad gave lower bound and upper bounds on the locating-total domination number of a graph, showed that the locating-total domination number and total domination number of a connected cubic graph can differ significantly, and investigated the locating-total domination number of grid graph  $P_m \square P_n$  for small  $m$ . In [6], Henning and Löwenstein shown that the locating-total domination number of a claw-free cubic graph is at most one-half its order and characterized the graphs achieving this bound. In this paper, we obtain new upper bounds of locating-total domination numbers of the Cartesian product of cycles  $C_m$  and  $C_n$  and prove that for any positive integer  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\gamma_t^L(C_3 \square C_n)$  is equal to  $n$  for  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$  or  $n + 1$  otherwise.

## 2 Bounds of locating-total domination number of $C_m \square C_n$

A lower bound of locating-total domination number of a graph  $G$  of order  $n \geq 3$  and maximum degree  $\Delta \geq 2$  with no isolated vertex is given in [7]. In this section, we present upper bounds on the locating-total domination number of the Cartesian product of cycles  $C_m$  and  $C_n$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** (*[7]*) *If  $G$  is a graph of order  $n \geq 3$  and maximum degree  $\Delta \geq 2$  with no isolated vertex, then  $\gamma_t^L(G) \geq \frac{2n}{\Delta+2}$ , and this bound is sharp.*

**Theorem 2.2.** *For any positive integers  $m, n$  such that  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and  $n \geq 3$ ,*

$$\gamma_t^L(C_m \square C_n) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3}mn, & n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}; \\ \frac{1}{3}m(n+1), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof:* Let  $G \cong C_m \square C_n$ , where  $m = 3t$  for a positive integer  $t$ . For any integers  $i, j$  such that  $0 \leq i \leq 2$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ , let  $D_{ij} = \mathcal{V}_j - \cup_{\nu=0}^{t-1} \{v_{(3\nu+i)j}\}$ . If  $n = 3$ , then it is easy to show that  $S = D_{00} \cup D_{22}$  is an LPDS of order  $4t = \frac{1}{3}m(n+1)$  in  $G$ . If  $n = 4$ , then  $S = D_{00} \cup D_{12} \cup (\cup_{i=0}^{t-1} \{v_{(3i)3}\})$  is an LPDS of order  $4t + t = \frac{1}{3}m(n+1)$  in  $G$ . If  $n = 5$ , then  $S = D_{00} \cup D_{12} \cup D_{24}$  is an LPDS of order  $6t = \frac{1}{3}m(n+1)$  in  $G$ .

Assume that  $n \geq 6$ . Let  $n = 6k + r$ , where  $k \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq r \leq 5$ . Let  $S_0 = \cup_{j=0}^{k-1} (D_{0(6j)} \cup D_{1(6j+2)} \cup D_{2(6j+4)})$ .

If  $r = 0$ , then  $S = S_0$  is a TDS of order  $tn = \frac{1}{3}mn$  in  $G$ . For any two vertices  $u_1, u_2 \in V - S$ , if  $d(u_1, u_2) \geq 3$  or  $d(u_1, u_2) = 1$ , then  $N(u_1) \cap S \neq$

$N(u_2) \cap S$ . Assume that  $d(u_1, u_2) = 2$ . If  $u_1, u_2$  in some vertical  $\mathcal{V}_j$  with  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ , then  $j$  is odd. Let  $u_1 = v_{ij}$ , where  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ . Then, by considering the TDS  $S = S_0$ , we can check that  $N(u_1) \cap S \cap \{v_{i(j-1)}, v_{i(j+1)}\} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $N(u_2) \cap S \cap \{v_{i(j-1)}, v_{i(j+1)}\} = \emptyset$ . Thus  $N(u_1) \cap S \neq N(u_2) \cap S$ . If  $u_1, u_2$  in some horizontal  $\mathcal{H}_i$  with  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ , then, without loss of generality, we assume that  $u_1 = v_{ij}$  and  $u_2 = v_{i(j+2)}$ , where  $\{j, j+2\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_n$ . Then  $j$  is odd. If  $v_{i(j-1)} \in S$ , then  $v_{i(j-1)} \in N(u_1)$  and  $v_{i(j-1)} \notin N(u_2)$ . Thus  $N(u_1) \cap S \neq N(u_2) \cap S$ . If  $v_{i(j-1)} \notin S$ , then  $v_{i(j+3)} \in S$ . Since  $v_{i(j+3)} \in N(u_2)$  and  $v_{i(j+3)} \notin N(u_1)$ , we have that  $N(u_1) \cap S \neq N(u_2) \cap S$ . If  $u_1, u_2$  are neither in the same horizontal nor in the same vertical, then by symmetry, we may assume that  $u_1 = v_{ij}$  and  $u_2 = v_{(i+1)(j+1)}$ , where  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ . If  $j$  is even, then  $v_{(i-1)j} \in N(u_1) \cap S$  and  $v_{(i-1)j} \notin N(u_2)$ ; if  $j$  is odd, then  $v_{(i+2)(j+1)} \in N(u_2) \cap S$  and  $v_{(i+2)(j+1)} \notin N(u_1)$ . Thus  $N(u_1) \cap S \neq N(u_2) \cap S$ . Consequently,  $S$  is an LPDS in  $G$ . Then  $\gamma_t^L(G) \leq |S| = \frac{1}{3}mn$ .

Similarly, if  $r = 1$ , then  $S = S_0 \cup D_{0(n-1)}$  is an LPDS of order  $tn + t = \frac{1}{3}m(n+1)$  in  $G$ . If  $r = 2$ , then  $S = S_0 \cup \mathcal{V}_{n-2}$  is an LPDS of order  $6kt + 3t = \frac{1}{3}m(n+1)$  in  $G$ . If  $r = 3$ , then  $S = S_0 \cup D_{0(n-3)} \cup D_{1(n-1)}$  is an LPDS of order  $6kt + 4t = \frac{1}{3}m(n+1)$  in  $G$ . If  $r = 4$ , then  $S = S_0 \cup D_{0(n-4)} \cup D_{1(n-2)} \cup (\cup_{i=0}^{t-1} \{v_{(3i)(n-1)}\})$  is an LPDS of order  $6kt + 5t = \frac{1}{3}m(n+1)$  in  $G$ . If  $r = 5$ , then  $S = S_0 \cup D_{0(n-5)} \cup D_{1(n-1)} \cup D_{2(n-1)}$  is an LPDS of order  $6(k+1)t = \frac{1}{3}m(n+1)$  in  $G$ .

Therefore, when  $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ ,  $\gamma_t^L(G) \leq |S| = \frac{1}{3}m(n+1)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

By combining Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.2,  $\gamma_t^L(C_m \square C_n) = \frac{1}{3}mn$  when  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ . Hence, we have the following.

**Lemma 2.3.** *For any integers  $m$  and  $n$  such that  $m \geq 3, n \geq 3$ ,  $\gamma_t^L(C_m \square C_n) \geq \frac{1}{3}mn$ , and this bound is sharp.*

**Theorem 2.4.** *For any integers  $m, n$  such that  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  and  $m \geq 4, n \geq 4$ ,*

$$\gamma_t^L(C_m \square C_n) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3}(m-1)(n+1) + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil, & m = 4, n \in \{4, 10\} \\ & \text{or } m > 4, n \equiv 4 \pmod{6}; \\ \frac{1}{3}(m-1)n + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil, & n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}; \\ \frac{1}{3}(m-1)(n+1) + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof:* Let  $G \cong C_m \square C_n$ , where  $m = 3t+1$  for a positive integer  $t$ . For any integers  $i, j$  such that  $0 \leq i \leq 3$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ , let  $D_{i;j} = \mathcal{V}_j - \cup_{\nu=0}^{t-1} \{v_{(3\nu+i)j}\}$  and let  $\lambda(m, n) = \frac{1}{3}(m-1)(n+1) + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1$ .

If  $n = 4$ , then  $S = D_{00} \cup (D_{12} - \{v_{(m-2)2}\}) \cup (\cup_{i=0}^{t-1} \{v_{(3i)3}\}) \cup \{v_{(m-3)3}\}$  is an LPDS of order  $5t + 2 = \lambda(m, n) + 1$  in  $G$ . If  $n = 5$ , then  $S = D_{00} \cup D_{12} \cup (D_{24} - \{v_{(m-1)4}\})$  is an LPDS of order  $6t + 2 = \lambda(m, n)$  in  $G$ . Assume that  $n \geq 6$ . Let  $n = 6k + r$ , where  $k \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq r \leq 5$ . Let  $S_0 = \cup_{j=0}^{k-1} (D_{0(6j)} \cup D_{1(6j+2)} \cup D_{2(6j+4)})$ .

By using an identical proof as in the theorem 2.2, we have the following. If  $r = 0$ , then  $S = S_0$  is an LPDS of order  $6kt + 3k = \frac{1}{3}(m-1)n + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$  in  $G$ . If  $r = 1$ , then  $S = S_0 \cup (D_{0(n-1)} - \{v_{(m-1)(n-1)}\})$  is an LPDS of order  $6kt + 3k + 2t = \lambda(m, n)$  in  $G$ . If  $r = 2$ , then  $S = S_0 \cup (\mathcal{V}_{n-2} - \{v_{(m-1)(n-1)}\})$  is an LPDS of order  $6kt + 3k + 3t = \lambda(m, n)$  in  $G$ . If  $r = 3$ , then  $S = (S_0 \cup D_{0(n-3)} \cup D_{1(n-1)}) - \{v_{(m-1)0}\}$  is an LPDS of order  $6kt + 3k + 4t + 1 = \lambda(m, n)$  in  $G$ . If  $m = 4$ ,  $n = 10$  or  $m > 4$ ,  $r = 4$ , then  $S = S_0 \cup D_{0(n-4)} \cup D_{1(n-2)} \cup (\cup_{\ell=0}^{t-1} \{v_{(3\ell)(n-1)}\})$  is a LTDS of order  $6kt + 3k + 5t + 2 = \lambda(m, n) + 1$  in  $G$ . If  $m = r = 4$  and  $n \neq 10$ , then  $n \geq 16$ . Then  $S = \cup_{j=0}^{k-2} (D_{0(6j)} \cup D_{1(6j+2)} \cup D_{2(6j+4)}) \cup D_{3(n-10)} \cup D_{0(n-8)} \cup D_{1(n-6)} \cup D_{2(n-4)} \cup D_{3(n-2)}$  is a LTDS of order  $9k + 6 = \lambda(m, n)$  in  $G$ . If  $r = 5$ , then  $S = S_0 \cup D_{0(n-5)} \cup D_{1(n-3)} \cup (D_{2(n-1)} - \{v_{(m-1)(n-1)}\})$  is an LPDS of order  $6kt + 3k + 6t + 2 = \lambda(m, n)$  in  $G$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** For any integers  $m, n$  such that  $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  and  $m \geq 5, n \geq 5$ ,

$$\gamma_t^L(C_m \square C_n) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3}(m+1)n, & n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}; \\ \frac{1}{3}(m+1)(n+1) - 2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof:** Let  $G \cong C_m \square C_n$ , where  $m = 3t+2$  for a positive integer  $t$ . For any integers  $i, j$  such that  $0 \leq i \leq 4$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ , let  $D_{ij} = \mathcal{V}_j - \cup_{\nu=0}^{t-1} \{v_{(3\nu+i)j}\}$  and let  $\mu(m, n) = \frac{1}{3}(m+1)(n+1) - 2$ .

If  $n = 5$ , then  $S = D_{00} \cup D_{12} \cup (D_{24} - \{v_{(m-2)4}, v_{(m-1)4}\})$  is an LPDS order  $6t + 4 = \mu(m, n)$  in  $G$ .

Assume that  $n \geq 6$ . Let  $n = 6k + r$ , where  $k \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq r \leq 5$ . Let  $S_0 = \cup_{j=0}^{k-1} (D_{0(6j)} \cup D_{1(6j+2)} \cup D_{2(6j+4)})$ .

By using an identical proof as in the theorem 2.2, we have the following. If  $r = 0$ , then  $S = S_0$  is an LPDS of order  $6kt + 6k = \frac{1}{3}(m+1)n$  in  $G$ . If  $r = 1$ , then  $S = S_0 \cup (D_{0(n-1)} - \{v_{(m-2)(n-1)}, v_{(m-1)(n-1)}\})$  is an LPDS of order  $6kt + 6k + 2t = \mu(m, n)$  in  $G$ . If  $r = 2$ , then  $S = S_0 \cup (\mathcal{V}_{n-2} - \{v_{(m-1)(n-1)}\})$  is an LPDS of order  $6kt + 6k + 3t + 1 = \mu(m, n)$  in  $G$ . If  $r = 3$ , then  $S = (S_0 \cup D_{0(n-3)} \cup D_{1(n-1)}) - \{v_{(m-1)0}, v_{(m-2)(n-1)}\}$  is an LPDS of order  $6kt + 6k + 4t + 2 = \mu(m, n)$  in  $G$ . If  $r = 4$ ,  $m = 5$ , then  $S = S_0 \cup D_{3(n-4)} \cup D_{4(n-2)}$  is an LPDS order  $12k + 8 = \mu(m, n)$  in  $G$ . If  $r = 4$ ,

$m > 5$ , then  $t \geq 2$ . Then  $S = S_0 \cup D_{3(n-4)} \cup D_{4(n-2)} \cup (\cup_{\ell=0}^{t-2} \{v_{(3\ell+3)(n-1)}\})$  is a LTDS of order  $6kt + 6k + 5t + 3 = \mu(m, n)$  in  $G$ . If  $r = 5$ , then  $S = S_0 \cup D_{0(n-5)} \cup D_{1(n-3)} \cup (D_{2(n-1)} - \{v_{(m-2)(n-1)}, v_{(m-1)(n-1)}\})$  is an LPDS of order  $6kt + 6k + 6t + 4 = \mu(m, n)$  in  $G$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3 Locating-total domination number of $C_3 \square C_n$

In this section, we investigate the locating-total domination number of  $C_3 \square C_n$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *For any integer  $n$  with  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\gamma_t^L(C_3 \square C_n) \geq n$ , with equality if and only if  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $G \cong C_3 \square C_n$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $\gamma_t^L(G) \geq n$ . Furthermore, if  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ ,  $\gamma_t^L(G) = n$ . Now we just need to prove that if  $\gamma_t^L(C_3 \square C_n) = n$ , then  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ . Assume that  $S$  is a  $\gamma_t^L(G)$ -set with  $|S| = n$ . Let  $A = \{v \in V - S \mid |N(v) \cap S| = 1\}$  and let  $B = (V - S) - A$ . Then  $|B| = 3n - |S| - |A|$ . Since every vertex in  $A$  is adjacent to exactly one vertex in  $S$ , while every vertex in  $B$  is adjacent to at least two vertices in  $S$ , we have

$$e[S, V - S] \geq |A| + 2|B| = |A| + 2(3n - |S| - |A|) = 6n - 2|S| - |A|.$$

Since every vertex  $v$  in  $S$  is adjacent to at least one other vertex in  $S$ ,  $v$  is adjacent to at most 3 vertices in  $V - S$ . So,  $e[S, V - S] \leq 3|S|$ . Thus,  $3|S| \geq 6n - 2|S| - |A|$ , i.e.,  $5|S| + |A| \geq 6n$ . Since  $S$  is an LPDS of  $G$ , no two vertices in  $A$  have the same neighbor in  $S$ . So  $|A| \leq |S|$ . Thus  $|S| \geq n$ . Since  $|S| = n$ , all above inequalities must be the equalities. That is,  $3|S| = e[S, V - S] = |A| + 2|B| = 6n - 2|S| - |A|$ . We can deduce that  $|S| = |A| = |B| = n$ ; for any  $v \in B$ ,  $|N(v) \cap S| = 2$ ; and for any  $v \in S$ ,  $|N(v) \cap S| = |N(v) \cap A| = 1$ ,  $|N(v) \cap B| = 2$ . Hence,  $S$  is a locating-paired-dominating set of  $C_3 \square C_n$ . Then  $n$  is even.

It suffices to show that  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . Let  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{\frac{n}{3}}$  be all pairs of the locating-paired-dominating set, and let  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_\ell \mid 1 \leq \ell \leq \frac{n}{2}, \ell = \text{integer}\}$ . Fix the set  $P_\ell \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $1 \leq \ell \leq \frac{n}{2}$ . Assume that  $P_\ell = \{u, v\}$ . Since  $u, v$  have at most one common neighbor in  $B$  and  $|N(u) \cap B| = |N(v) \cap B| = 2$ ,  $d(u, S - P_\ell) = d(v, S - P_\ell) = 2$ .

We claim that there is no pair of  $\mathcal{P}$  in some horizontal of  $G$ . If it is not the case, then, by symmetry, we may assume that  $P_1 = \{v_{00}, v_{01}\}$  is in  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . Since  $d(v_{00}, S - P_1) = d(v_{01}, S - P_1) = 2$ , we have that  $S \cap \mathcal{V}_0 = \{v_{00}\}$ ,  $S \cap \mathcal{V}_1 = \{v_{01}\}$  and  $v_{02} \notin S$ . If  $v_{02}$  is the private neighbor of  $v_{01}$ , then

$S \cap \mathcal{V}_2 = \emptyset$  and  $d(v_{01}, S - P_1) \geq 3$ , a contradiction. Hence, the private neighbor of  $v_{01}$  is in  $\mathcal{V}_1$ . By symmetry, we may assume that  $v_{11}$  is the private neighbor of  $v_{01}$ . Then  $v_{21}$  is also a neighbor of  $v_{01}$ . So,  $v_{22} \in S$  and  $S \cap \mathcal{V}_2 = \{v_{22}\}$ . Then  $v_{23} \in S$  in order to totally dominate  $v_{22}$ . Since  $d(v_{23}, S - \{v_{22}, v_{23}\}) = 2$ ,  $S \cap \mathcal{V}_3 = \{v_{23}\}$ . Thus,  $N[v_{21}] \cap S = N[v_{02}] \cap S = \{v_{01}, v_{22}\}$ , which is a contradiction to the fact that  $S$  is a  $\gamma_t^L(G)$ -set. Consequently, for any pair  $P_\ell$  in  $\mathcal{P}$  with  $1 \leq \ell \leq \frac{n}{2}$ ,  $P_\ell$  must be in some vertical of  $G$ . Furthermore, since  $|S| = |A| = |B| = n$ , and for any vertex  $v \in P_\ell$  with  $1 \leq \ell \leq \frac{n}{2}$ ,  $d(v, S - P_\ell) = 2$ , then all pairs of  $\mathcal{P}$  are either in all odd verticals of  $G$  or in all even verticals of  $G$ .

Without loss of generality, we assume that for any integer  $\ell$  with  $1 \leq \ell \leq \frac{n}{2}$ ,  $P_\ell$  is in  $\mathcal{V}_{2\ell-1}$  and  $P_1 = \{v_{01}, v_{11}\}$ . Obviously, the private neighbors of  $v_{01}$  and  $v_{11}$  can not be in the same vertical. This implies that the private neighbors of  $v_{01}$  and  $v_{11}$  are in two different verticals. By symmetry, we may assume that the private neighbors of  $v_{01}$  and  $v_{11}$  are  $v_{00}$  and  $v_{12}$ , respectively. Thus,  $P_2 = \{v_{03}, v_{23}\}$  and the private neighbors of  $v_{03}$  and  $v_{23}$  are  $v_{04}$  and  $v_{22}$ , respectively. Similarly, we have that  $P_3 = \{v_{15}, v_{25}\}$  and the private neighbors of them are  $v_{14}$  and  $v_{26}$ , respectively. Further,  $v_{20} \in A$  and  $B \cap (\cup_{i=0}^5 \mathcal{V}_i) = \{v_{02}, v_{05}, v_{10}, v_{13}, v_{21}, v_{24}\}$ . By that analogy, it is easy to see that in any six consecutive verticals, for any integer  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $S \cap \mathcal{H}_i$ ,  $A \cap \mathcal{H}_i$  and  $B \cap \mathcal{H}_i$  have the same cardinality 2 and  $|S \cap \mathcal{H}_0| = |S \cap \mathcal{H}_1| = |S \cap \mathcal{H}_2|$ . This implies that  $|S|$  must be a multiple of three. Therefore,  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** For any integer  $n$  with  $n \geq 3$ ,

$$\gamma_t^L(C_3 \square C_n) = \begin{cases} n, & n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}; \\ n + 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof:** Let  $G \cong C_3 \square C_n$ . By Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.3,  $n \leq \gamma_t^L(G) \leq n + 1$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $\gamma_t^L(C_3 \square C_n) = n$  if and only if  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ . Therefore, when  $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ ,  $\gamma_t^L(G) = n + 1$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Finally, we pose the following open question that we have yet to settle.

**Question** Is it true that  $\gamma_t^L(C_4 \square C_n) = \lceil \frac{3}{2}n \rceil$  for any positive integer  $n$  such that  $n \neq 4, 10$