A neighborhood union condition for fractional (k, m)-deleted graphs

Wei Gao¹, Weifan Wang^{2*}

- School of Information Science and Technology, Yunnan Normal University, Kunming 650500, China
 - Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004, China

Abstract A graph G is called a fractional (k, m)-deleted graph if any m edges are removed from G then the resulting graph admits a fractional k-factor. In this paper, we prove that for integers $k \geq 2$, $m \geq 0$, $n \geq 8k + 4m - 7$, and $\delta(G) \geq k + m$, if

$$|N_G(x) \cup N_G(y)| \ge \frac{n}{2}$$

for each pair of non-adjacent vertices x, y of G, then G is a fractional (k, m)-deleted graph. The bounds for neighborhood union condition, order and the minimum degree of G are all sharp.

Key words: graph, fractional factor, fractional (k, m)-deleted graph, neighborhood union condition

1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are finite, loopless, and without multiple edges. Let G be a graph with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G).

^{*}Research supported partially by NSFC (No.11071223), ZJNSF (No.Z6090150), and IP-OCNS-ZJNU

For any $x \in V(G)$, the degree and the neighborhood of x in G are denoted by $d_G(x)$ and $N_G(x)$, respectively. For $S \subseteq V(G)$, we denote by G[S] the subgraph of G induced by S, and $G - S = G[V(G) \setminus S]$. For two vertex-disjoint subsets S and T of G, we use $e_G(S,T)$ to denote the number of edges with one end in S and the other end in T. We denote the minimum degree and the maximum degree of G by $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$, respectively. The distance $d_G(x,y)$ between two vertices x and y is defined to be the length of a shortest path connecting them. The notation and terminology used but undefined in this paper can be found in [1].

Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. A spanning subgraph F of G is called a k-factor if $d_F(x) = k$ for each $x \in V(G)$. Let $h: E(G) \to [0,1]$ be a function. If $\sum_{x \in e} h(e) = k$ for any $x \in V(G)$, then we call $G[F_h]$ a fractional k-factor of G with indicator function h where $F_h = \{e \in E(G) : h(e) > 0\}$. Zhou [6] introduced the definition of a fractional (k, m)-deleted graph, that is, a graph G is called a fractional (k, m)-deleted graph if removing any m edges from G, the resulting graph has a fractional k-factor. A fractional (k, m)-deleted graph is simply called a fractional k-deleted graph if m = 1.

In what follows, we always assume that n is order of G, i.e., n = |V(G)|. Yu showed a degree condition for the existence of a fractional k-factor.

Theorem 1 (Yu et al [4]). Let k be an integer with $k \ge 1$, and let G be a connected graph of order n with $n \ge 4k - 3$, $\delta(G) \ge k$. If

$$\max\{d_G(x),d_G(y)\} \geq \frac{n}{2}$$

for each pair of nonadjacent vertices x, y of G, then G has a fractional k-factor.

Let $\omega(G-S)$ denote the number of components of G-S. The toughness t(G) of a graph G is defined as follows: $t(G) = +\infty$ if G is a complete graph; otherwise, $t(G) = \min\{\frac{|S|}{\omega(G-S)} : S \subseteq V(G), \omega(G-S) \ge 2\}$. The toughness condition for graphs to have fractional k-factors obtained by Liu and Zhang.

Theorem 2 (Liu and Zhang [3]). Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. A graph G of order n with $n \geq k + 1$ has a fractional k-factor if $t(G) \geq k - \frac{1}{k}$.

For fractional (k, m)-deleted graphs, we have the following known results.

Theorem 3 (Zhou [6]). Let $k \ge 2$ and $m \ge 0$ be two integers. Let G be a connected graph of order n with $n \ge 9k - 1 - \sqrt{2(k-1)^2 + 2} + 2(2k+1)m$, $\delta(G) \ge k + m + \frac{(m+1)^2 - 1}{4k}$. If

$$|N_G(x) \cup N_G(y)| \ge \frac{1}{2}(n+k-2)$$

for each pair of non-adjacent vertices x, y of G, then G is a fractional (k, m)-deleted graph.

Theorem 4 (Zhou [5]). Let $k \ge 1$ and $m \ge 1$ be two integers. Let G be a graph of order n with $n \ge 4k - 5 + 2(2k + 1)m$. If $\delta(G) \ge \frac{n}{2}$, then G is a fractional (k, m)-deleted graph.

More sufficient conditions for graphs to have fractional factor can be found in [7]. In this paper, we give the following result:

Theorem 5 Let $k \ge 2$ and $m \ge 0$ be two integers, and let G be a graph of order n with $n \ge 8k + 4m - 7$, $\delta(G) \ge k + m$. If

$$|N_G(x) \cup N_G(y)| \ge \frac{n}{2}$$

for each pair of non-adjacent vertices x, y of G, then G is a fractional (k, m)-deleted graph.

We will show that the bounds for neighborhood union condition, order and the minimum degree of G are all sharp. In order to prove our main results, we need the following lemma which is Lemma 2.2 in [6].

Lemma 6 (Zhou [6]). Let $k \geq 1$ and $m \geq 0$ be two integers, and let G be a graph and H a subgraph of G with m edges. Then G is a fractional (k,m)-deleted graph if and only if

$$\delta_G(S,T) = k|S| + \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) - k|T| \ge \sum_{x \in T} d_H(x) - e_H(S,T),$$

for all disjoint subsets S and T of V(G).

2 Proof of Theorem 5

Suppose that G satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5, but is not a fractional (k, m)-deleted graph. According to Lemma 6 there exist disjoint subsets S and T of V(G) such that

$$\delta_G(S,T) = k|S| + \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) - k|T| \le 2m - 1,\tag{1}$$

We choose subsets S and T such that |T| is minimum. Obviously, $T \neq \emptyset$.

Claim 1 $d_{G-S}(x) \leq k-1$ for any $x \in T$.

Proof. If $d_{G-S}(x) \geq k$ for some $x \in T$, then the subsets S and $T \setminus \{x\}$ satisfy (1). This contradicts the choice of S and T.

Let $d_1 = \min\{d_{G-S}(x) : x \in T\}$ and choose $x_1 \in T$ such that $d_{G-S}(x_1) = d_1$. If $T - N_T[x_1] \neq \emptyset$, let $d_2 = \min\{d_{G-S}(x) : x \in T - N_T[x_1]\}$ and choose $x_2 \in T - N_T[x_1]$ such that $d_{G-S}(x_2) = d_2$. So, $d_1 \leq d_2$. Let |S| = s, |T| = t, $|N_T[x_1]| = p$. Then, $p \leq d_1 + 1$, $d_{G-S}(T) \geq d_1 p + d_2 (t - p)$, and $ks - kt + d_1 p + d_2 (t - p) - 2m \leq k|S| - k|T| + d_{G-S}(T) - 2m < 0$.

We have

$$|S| \leq \frac{k|T| - d_{G-S}(T) + (\sum_{x \in T} d_H(x) - e_H(S, T) - 1)}{k}$$

$$\leq \frac{k|T| + (2m - 1)}{k}.$$

Thus, $|S| \leq |T| + \frac{2m-1}{k}$. If $|S| \leq m$, then |T| = 0 by $\delta(G) \geq k + m$, which is a contradiction. So, $m+1 \leq s \leq t + \frac{2m-1}{k}$. We consider following two cases:

Case 1. $T = N_T[x_1]$. In this case, $t \le d_1 + 1$ and $d_2 = 0$. If $d_1 = k - 1$, then $t \le k$, $k|S| - k|T| + d_{G-S}(T) - 2m \ge ks - kt + d_1p - 2m = ks - kt + (k-1)t - 2m \ge ks - k - 2m \ge k(m+1) - k - 2m \ge 0$. If $0 \le d_1 \le k - 2$, then $t \le d_1 + 1 \le k - 1$. By $\delta(G) \ge k + m$ and $d_G(x_1) \le s + d_1$, we have $s \ge k + m - d_1$. Thus, $k|S| - k|T| + d_{G-S}(T) - 2m \ge ks - kt + d_1p - 2m \ge k(k + m - d_1) + (d_1 - k)t - 2m = (k - d_1)(k - t) + km - 2m > 0$. It is a contradiction.

Case 2. $T - N_T[x_1] \neq \emptyset$. We consider following three subcases.

Case 2.1. $d_1=d_2=k-1$. In this subcase, $k|S|-k|T|+d_{G-S}(T)-2m\geq ks-kt+d_1p+d_2(t-p)-2m=ks-kt+(k-1)p+(k-1)(t-p)-2m=ks-t-2m\geq 0$, which is a contradiction. In fact, if $ks\leq t+2m-1$, then $s\leq \frac{t+2m-1}{k}$, $s+ks-2m+1\leq s+t\leq n$. Thus, $s+2k-2\geq |N_G(x_1)\cup N_G(x_2)|\geq \frac{n}{2}\geq \frac{s+sk-2m+1}{2}$ since $x_1x_2\not\in E(G)$. Then $4k\geq (k-1)s+2(2-m)+1\geq (k-1)s+2(3-s)+1=(k-3)s+7$, i.e., $s\leq \frac{4k-7}{k-3}$ if $k\geq 5$. Then $\frac{4k-7}{k-3}+2k-2\geq s+2k-2\geq |N_G(x_1)\cup N_G(x_2)|\geq \frac{n}{2}$, i.e. $\frac{4k-7}{k-3}+2k-2\geq 4k+2m-3$ since s+2k-2 is an integer. Then we have $\frac{4k-7}{k-3}\geq 2k+2m-1\geq 2k-1$, which contradicts to $k\geq 5$.

If k=4, we have $s\geq \frac{n}{2}-6$ and $t\leq n-s\leq \frac{n}{2}+6$ sine $s+2k-2\geq |N_G(x_1)\cup N_G(x_2)|\geq \frac{n}{2}$. Thus, $k|S|-k|T|+d_{G-S}(T)-2m\geq k(\frac{n}{2}-6)-k(\frac{n}{2}+6)+3(\frac{n}{2}+6)-2m>0$, a contradiction.

If k = 3, we have $s \ge \frac{n}{2} - 4$ and $t \le n - s \le \frac{n}{2} + 4$. Thus, $k|S| - k|T| + d_{G-S}(T) - 2m \ge k(\frac{n}{2} - 4) - k(\frac{n}{2} + 4) + 2(\frac{n}{2} + 4) - 2m > 0$, a contradiction.

If k=2, we have $s\geq \frac{n}{2}-2$ and $t\leq n-s\leq \frac{n}{2}+2$. If $n\geq 8k+4m-4$, then $k|S|-k|T|+d_{G-S}(T)-2m\geq k(\frac{n}{2}-2)-k(\frac{n}{2}+2)+(\frac{n}{2}+2)-2m>0$, a contradiction. If n=8k+4m-5, then $s\geq \frac{n}{2}-2$ implies $s\geq 4k+2m-4$ and $t\leq 4k+2m-1$. Thus, $k|S|-k|T|+d_{G-S}(T)-2m\geq k(4k+2m-1)$

 $4)-(k-1)(4k+2m-1)-2m=k-1>0, \text{ which is a contradiction. If } n=8k+4m-6, \text{ then } s\geq \frac{n}{2}-2 \text{ implies } s\geq 4k+2m-5 \text{ and } t\leq 4k+2m-1.$ If $s\geq 4k+2m-4$ or $t\leq 4k+2m-2$, then $k|S|-k|T|+d_{G-S}(T)-2m\geq 0$. If s=4k+2m-5 and t=4k+2m-1, then at least one vertex in T has degree at least 2 since n=s+t and t is odd. Thus, $k|S|-k|T|+d_{G-S}(T)-2m\geq k(4k+2m-5)-k(4k+2m-1)+(4k+2m-1)+1-2m=0,$ which is a contradiction. If n=8k+4m-7, then $s\geq \frac{n}{2}-2$ implies $s\geq 4k+2m-5$ and $t\leq 4k+2m-2$. Thus, $k|S|-k|T|+d_{G-S}(T)-2m\geq k(4k+2m-5)-k(4k+2m-2)+(4k+2m-2)-2m=k-2\geq 0,$ which is a contradiction.

Case 2.2. $0 \le d_1 \le k-2$ and $d_2 = k-1$. In this subcase, $p \le d_1+1 \le k-1$. $s+k-1+d_1 \ge |N_G(x_1) \cup N_G(x_2)| \ge \frac{n}{2} \ge 4k+2m-3$ since $s+k+d_1-1$ is integer, i.e., $n \le 2s+2k-2+2d_1$ and $s \ge 3k+2m-d_1-2$. Thus,

$$k|S| - k|T| + d_{G-S}(T) - 2m$$

$$\geq ks - kt + d_1p + d_2(t-p) - 2m$$

$$\geq ks - k(n-s) + (d_1 - k + 1)(d_1 + 1) + (k-1)(n-s) - 2m$$

$$= (k+1)s - n - 2m - k + 1 + d_1^2 + (2-k)d_1$$

$$\geq (k+1)s - (2k + 2s - 2 + 2d_1) - 2m - k + 1 + d_1^2 + (2-k)d_1$$

$$= (k-1)s - 3k - 2m + 3 + d_1^2 - kd_1$$

$$\geq (k-1)(3k + 2m - d_1 - 2) - 3k - 2m + 3 + d_1^2 - kd_1$$

$$= 3k^2 + (2k - 4)m - 8k + 5 + d_1^2 - (2k - 1)d_1$$

$$\geq 3k^2 - 8k + 5 + (k-2)^2 - (2k-1)(k-2)$$

$$= 2k^2 - 7k + 7 > 0.$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 2.3. $0 \le d_1 \le d_2 \le k-2$. In this subcase, $k-1-d_2 \ge 1$, $n-s-t \ge 0$. So, $(k-1-d_2)(n-s-t) > ks-kt+d_1p+d_2(t-p)-2m$. Thus, $(k-d_2)(n-s)-ks > (d_1-d_2)p+(n-s-t)-2m \ge (d_1-d_2)(d_1+1)+(n-s-t)-2m \ge (d_1-d_2)(d_1+1)-2m$, i.e.,

$$(k-d_2)(n-s)-ks \ge (d_1-d_2)(d_1+1)-2m+1. \tag{2}$$

Since $n \ge 8k + 4m - 7$, we have

$$d_2 \frac{n}{2} \ge d_2 (4k + 2m - \frac{7}{2}). \tag{3}$$

By $s + d_1 + d_2 \ge \frac{n}{2}$, we have

$$(s-\frac{n}{2})(2k-d_2) \ge -(d_1+d_2)(2k-d_2). \tag{4}$$

Adding (2),(3) and (4), we get

$$0 \geq d_1^2 + d_2^2 + 2kd_2 - 2kd_1 + d_1 - \frac{9}{2}d_2 - 2m + 1 + 2md_2$$

$$\geq d_1^2 + d_2^2 + d_1 - \frac{9}{2}d_2 - 2m + 1 + 2md_2$$

$$= (d_1 + \frac{1}{2})^2 + (d_2 - (\frac{9}{4} - m))^2 - m^2 + \frac{5m}{2} - \frac{69}{16}.$$

So,

$$(d_2 - (\frac{9}{4} - m))^2 \le m^2 - \frac{5m}{2} + \frac{69}{16} - \frac{1}{4},$$

which implies

$$d_2 \le \sqrt{m^2 - \frac{5m}{2} + \frac{65}{16}} + (\frac{9}{4} - m).$$

Let

$$f(m) = \sqrt{m^2 - \frac{5m}{2} + \frac{65}{16}} + (\frac{9}{4} - m).$$

Then

$$f'(m) = \frac{m - \frac{5}{4}}{\sqrt{m^2 - \frac{5m}{2} + \frac{65}{16}}} - 1 < 0.$$

That is to say, f(m) is a monotonically decreasing function, $d_2 \leq f(0) = \frac{\sqrt{65}+9}{4} = 4$. Therefore, $0 \leq d_1 \leq d_2 \leq 4$.

If $(d_1, d_2) = (0,4)$, (1,4), (2,4), (3,4), (4,4), (3,3), (2,3), (1,3), (0,3), (2,2), (1,2), (0,2), (0,1), we can check that $d_1^2 + d_2^2 + 2kd_2 - 2kd_1 + d_1 - \frac{9}{2}d_2 - 2m + 1 + 2md_2 > 0$ since $k \geq 2$.

If $d_1 = d_2 = 1$, then a contradiction can be found by a discussion similar to that in Case 2.1 for k=2.

If $d_1 = d_2 = 0$, then $s \ge \frac{n}{2} - d_1 - d_2 = \frac{n}{2}$ and $t \le \frac{n}{2}$. Thus, $k|S| - k|T| + d_{G-S}(T) - (\sum_{x \in T} d_H(x) - e_H(S, T)) \ge 0$, which is a contradiction.

Thus, we complete the proof of Theorem 5.

Remark 1. We construct some graphs to show that the bounds in the Theorem 5 are best possible.

First, $\delta(G) \geq k+m$ cannot be replaced by k+m-1. Otherwise, choose a vertex v such that d(v) = k+m-1. Delete m edges incident to v, then the resulting graph has $\delta(G) = k-1$, which has no fractional k-factor by the definition.

Let $G = K_{4k+2m-4} \vee (4k+2m-3)K_1$. Then n = 8k+4m-7, $\delta(G) = 4k+2m-4 > k+m$, but $|N_G(x_1) \cup N_G(x_2)| = 4k+2m-4 < \frac{n}{2}$ for each non-adjacent vertex x_1 and x_2 in $(4k+2m-3)K_1$. Let $S = K_{4k+2m-4}$ and $T = (4k+2m-3)K_1$. Then $d_{G-S}(T) = 0$ and $\sum_{x \in T} d_H(x) - e_H(S,T) = 0$. We have $k|S| + \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) - k|T| - (\sum_{x \in T} d_H(x) - e_H(S,T)) = -k < 0$. Thus, G is not a fractional (k,m)-deleted graph, and the condition $|N_G(x_1) \cup N_G(x_2)| \geq \frac{n}{2}$ is sharp.

Let $G = K_{4k+2m-6} \lor (2k+m-1)K_2$. Then n = 8k + 4m - 8, $\delta(G) = 4k + 2m - 6 \ge k + m$ and $|N_G(x_1) \cup N_G(x_2)| = 4k + 2m - 4 = \frac{n}{2}$ for any non-adjacent vertices x_1 and x_2 in G. Let $S = K_{4k+2m-6}$ and $T = (2k+m-1)K_2$. Let H be the set of m edges such that $H \subseteq (2k+m-1)K_2$, then $\sum_{x \in T} d_H(x) - e_H(S,T) = 2m$ and $\sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) = 4k + 2m - 2$. We have, $k|S| + \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) - k|T| - (\sum_{x \in T} d_H(x) - e_H(S,T)) = k(4k + 2m - 6) - k(4k + 2m - 2) + (4k + 2m - 2) - 2m = -2 < 0$. Thus, G is not fractional (k, m)-deleted graph. Therefore, the condition $n \ge 8k + 4m - 7$

is sharp.

References

- [1] J. A. Bondy, U. S. R. Mutry, Graph Theory, Spring, Berlin, 2008.
- [2] T. Iida, T. Nishimura, An Ore-type condition for the existence of k-factors in graphs, Graphs Combin. 7 (1991) 353-361.
- [3] G. Liu, L. Zhang, Toughness and the existence of fractional k-factors of graphs, Discrete Math. 308 (2008) 1741-1748.
- [4] J. Yu, G. Liu, M. Ma, B. Cao, A degree condition for graphs to have fractional factors, Adv. Math. (China) 35 (2006) 621-628.
- [5] S. Zhou, A minimum degree condition of fractional (k, m)-deleted graphs, Comptes Rendus Math. 347 (2009) 1223-1226.
- [6] S. Zhou, A neighborhood condition for graphs to be fractional (k, m)-deleted graphs, Glasgow Math. J. 52 (2010) 33-40.
- [7] S. Zhou, A sufficient condition for a graph to be a fractional (f, n)critical graph, Glasgow Math. J. 52 (2010) 409-415.