

Binding number and fractional (g, f, n', m) -critical deleted graph

Wei Gao¹, Weifan Wang^{2*}

1. Department of Information, Yunnan Normal University,
Kunming 650500, China
2. Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang Normal University,
Jinhua 321004, China

Abstract: A graph G is called a fractional (g, f, n', m) -critical deleted graph if after deleting any n' vertices of G the remaining graph is a fractional (g, f, m) -deleted graph. In this paper, we give two binding number conditions for a graph to be a fractional (g, f, n', m) -critical deleted graph.

Key words: fractional critical deleted graph; binding number

1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are finite, loopless, and without multiple edges. Let G be a graph with the vertex set $V(G)$ and the edge set $E(G)$. Let $n = |V(G)|$. For a vertex $x \in V(G)$, the degree and the neighborhood of x in G are denoted by $d_G(x)$ and $N_G(x)$, respectively. Let $\Delta(G)$ and $\delta(G)$ denote the maximum degree and the minimum degree of G , respectively. For $S \subseteq V(G)$, we denote by $G[S]$ the subgraph of G induced by S , and let $G - S = G[V(G) \setminus S]$. For two disjoint subsets S and T of $V(G)$, we use $e_G(S, T)$ to denote the number of edges with one end in S and the other in T . The *binding number* $\text{bind}(G)$ of a graph G is defined as follows:

$$\text{bind}(G) = \min\left\{\frac{|N_G(X)|}{|X|} \mid \emptyset \neq X \subseteq V(G), N_G(X) \neq V(G)\right\}.$$

*Research supported partially by NSFC (No.11071223), ZJNSF (No.Z6090150), and IP-OCNS-ZJNU

Suppose that g and f are two integer-valued functions on $V(G)$ such that $0 \leq g(x) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in V(G)$. A *fractional (g, f) -factor* is a function h that assigns to each edge of a graph G a number in $[0, 1]$ so that for each vertex x we have $g(x) \leq d_G^h(x) \leq f(x)$, where $d_G^h(x) = \sum_{e \in E(x)} h(e)$ is called

the *fractional degree* of x in G . If $g(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in V(G)$, then a fractional (g, f) -factor is a fractional f -factor. Moreover, if $g(x) = f(x) = k$ ($k \geq 1$ is an integer) for all $x \in V(G)$, then a fractional (g, f) -factor is just a fractional k -factor.

A graph G is called a *fractional (g, f, m) -deleted graph* if for each edge subset $H \subseteq E(G)$ with $|H| = m$, there exists a fractional (g, f) -factor h such that $h(e) = 0$ for all $e \in H$. That is, after removing any m edges, the resulting graph still has a fractional (g, f) -factor. A graph G is called a *fractional (g, f, n') -critical graph* if after deleted any n' vertices from G , the resulting graph still has a fractional (g, f) -factor.

The first author of this paper first introduced the concept of a fractional (g, f, n', m) -critical deleted graph [1]. A graph G is called a *fractional (g, f, n', m) -critical deleted graph* if after deleting any n' vertices from G , the resulting graph is still a fractional (g, f, m) -deleted graph. If $g(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in V(G)$, then fractional (g, f, m) -deleted graph, fractional (g, f, n') -critical graph, and fractional (g, f, n', m) -critical deleted graph are fractional (f, m) -deleted graph, fractional (f, n') -critical graph, and fractional (f, n', m) -critical deleted graph, respectively. Furthermore, if $g(x) = f(x) = k$ ($k \geq 1$ is an integer) for all $x \in V(G)$, then fractional (g, f, m) -deleted graph, fractional (g, f, n') -critical graph, and fractional (g, f, n', m) -critical deleted graph are just fractional (k, m) -deleted graph, fractional (k, n') -critical graph, and fractional (k, n', m) -critical deleted graph, respectively. Some results on degree condition for fractional (k, m) -deleted graphs can be seen in [2].

Zhou [4] gave the binding number condition for a graph to be a fractional (k, m) -deleted graph.

Theorem 1 (Zhou [4]) *Let $k \geq 2$ and $m \geq 0$ be two integers, and let G be a graph of order n with $n \geq 4k - 6 + \frac{2m}{k-1}$. If*

$$\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(2k-1)(n-1)}{k(n-2) - 2m + 2},$$

then G is a fractional (k, m) -deleted graph.

Zhou and Shen [6] obtained the binding number condition for fractional (f, n') -critical graphs.

Theorem 2 (Zhou and Shen [6]) *Let G be a graph of order n , and let a, b , and n' be non-negative integers such that $2 \leq a \leq b$, and let f be an*

integer-valued function defined on $V(G)$ such that $a \leq f(x) \leq b$ for each $x \in V(G)$. If $\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{a(n-(a+b)-bn'+2)}$ and $n \geq \frac{(a+b)(a+b-3)}{a} + \frac{bn'}{a-1}$, then G is fractional (f, n') -critical.

Zhou, Bian and Liu [5] proved that under some specific conditions, binding number condition for fractional (f, n') -critical graphs can be improved.

Theorem 3 (Zhou, Bian and Liu [5]) *Let G be a graph of order n , and let a, b , and n' be non-negative integers such that $2 \leq a \leq b$, and*

$$n \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-2)-2}{a} + \frac{bn'}{a-1}.$$

Let f be an integer-valued function defined on $V(G)$ such that $a \leq f(x) \leq b$ for each $x \in V(G)$. If G satisfies

$$\text{bind}(G) \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{a(n-1)-bn'}$$

and

$$\delta(G) \neq \lfloor \frac{(b-1)n+a+b+bn'-2}{a+b-1} \rfloor,$$

then G is a fractional (f, n') -critical graph.

In this paper, we will extend Theorems 2 and 3 to fractional (g, f, n', m) -critical deleted graphs. Our two main results as follows:

Theorem 4 *Let G be a graph of order n , and let a, b, n' , and m be non-negative integers such that $2 \leq a \leq b$. Let g, f be two integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $a \leq g(x) \leq f(x) \leq b$ for each $x \in V(G)$. If $\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{an-(a+b)-bn'-2m+2}$ and $n \geq \frac{(a+b)(a+b-3)}{a} + \frac{bn'+2m}{a-1}$, then G is a fractional (g, f, n', m) -critical deleted graph.*

Theorem 5 *Let G be a graph of order n , and let a, b, n' , and m be non-negative integers such that $2 \leq a \leq b$, $n \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-2)-2}{a} + \frac{bn'+2m}{a-1}$. Let g, f be two integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $a \leq g(x) \leq f(x) \leq b$ for each $x \in V(G)$. If G satisfies*

$$\text{bind}(G) \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{a(n-1)-bn'-2m}$$

and

$$\delta(G) \neq \lfloor \frac{(b-1)n+a+b+bn'+2m-2}{a+b-1} \rfloor,$$

then G is a fractional (g, f, n', m) -critical deleted graph.

Clearly, Theorem 5 is stronger than Theorem 4 under some conditions. We will show that Theorem 4 is best possible for certain combinations of (a, b, n', m) .

Let $m = 0$ in Theorems 4 and 5, we get two results on binding number condition for fractional (g, f, n') -critical graphs, which are an extension of Theorems 2 and 3, respectively.

Corollary 1 *Let G be a graph of order n , and let a, b , and n' be non-negative integers such that $2 \leq a \leq b$. Let g, f be two integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $a \leq g(x) \leq f(x) \leq b$ for each $x \in V(G)$. If $\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{an-(a+b)-bn'+2}$ and $n \geq \frac{(a+b)(a+b-3)}{a} + \frac{bn'}{a-1}$, then G is a fractional (g, f, n') -critical graph.*

Corollary 2 *Let G be a graph of order n , and let a, b , and n' be non-negative integers such that $2 \leq a \leq b$, $n \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-2)-2}{a} + \frac{bn'}{a-1}$. Let g, f be two integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $a \leq g(x) \leq f(x) \leq b$ for each $x \in V(G)$. If G satisfies*

$$\text{bind}(G) \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{a(n-1)-bn'}$$

and

$$\delta(G) \neq \lfloor \frac{(b-1)n + a + b + bn' - 2}{a + b - 1} \rfloor,$$

then G is a fractional (g, f, n') -critical graph.

If $n' = 0$ in Theorems 4 and 5, then we obtain binding number condition for fractional (g, f, m) -deleted graphs as follows:

Corollary 3 *Let G be a graph of order n , and let a, b , and m be non-negative integers such that $2 \leq a \leq b$. Let g, f be two integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $a \leq g(x) \leq f(x) \leq b$ for each $x \in V(G)$. If $\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{an-(a+b)-2m+2}$ and $n \geq \frac{(a+b)(a+b-3)}{a} + \frac{2m}{a-1}$, then G is a fractional (g, f, m) -deleted graph.*

Corollary 4 *Let G be a graph of order n , and let a, b , and m be non-negative integers such that $2 \leq a \leq b$, $n \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-2)-2}{a} + \frac{2m}{a-1}$. Let g, f be two integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $a \leq g(x) \leq f(x) \leq b$ for each $x \in V(G)$. If G satisfies*

$$\text{bind}(G) \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{a(n-1)-2m}$$

and

$$\delta(G) \neq \lfloor \frac{(b-1)n + a + b + 2m - 2}{a + b - 1} \rfloor,$$

then G is a fractional (g, f, m) -deleted graph.

The proof of our main results is based on the following lemma:

Lemma 1 (Gao [1]) *Let G be a graph, g, f be two integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $g(x) \leq f(x)$ for each $x \in V(G)$. Let n', m be two non-negative integers. Then G is fractional (g, f, n', m) -critical deleted graph if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} & f(S) - g(T) + d_{G-S}(T) \\ & \geq \max_{U \subseteq S, |U|=n', H \subseteq E(G-U), |H|=m} \left\{ f(U) + \sum_{x \in T} d_H(x) - e_H(T, S) \right\} \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

for all disjoint subsets S, T of $V(G)$ with $|S| \geq n'$.

2 Proof of Theorem 4

Suppose that G satisfies conditions of Theorem 4 but is not a fractional (g, f, n', m) -critical deleted graph. Obviously, $T \neq \emptyset$. Otherwise, (1) holds. By Lemma 1 and the fact $\sum_{x \in T} d_H(x) - e_H(T, S) \leq 2m$, there exist disjoint subsets S and T of $V(G)$ such that

$$f(S) - g(T) + d_{G-S}(T) \leq bn' + 2m - 1, \quad (2)$$

where $|S| \geq n'$. We choose S and T such that $|T|$ is minimum. Thus, for each $x \in T$, we have $d_{G-S}(x) \leq g(x) - 1 \leq b - 1$. Otherwise, if there exists some $x \in T$ such that $d_{G-S}(x) \geq g(x)$, then S and $T \setminus \{x\}$ also satisfy (2). This contradicts the choice of S and T .

Let $d = \min\{d_{G-S}(x) : x \in T\}$. Then $0 \leq d \leq b - 1$, and

$$f(S) + d_{G-S}(T) - f(T) \geq a|S| + d|T| - b|T|.$$

Thus,

$$bn' + 2m - 1 \geq a|S| - (b - d)|T|. \quad (3)$$

We choose $x_1 \in T$ such that $d_{G-S}(x_1) = d$. We shall get some contradictions in the following two cases.

Case 1. $1 \leq d \leq b - 1$.

Let $Y = (V(G) \setminus S) \setminus N_{G-S}(x_1)$. Then $x_1 \in Y \setminus N_G(Y)$. Thus, $Y \neq \emptyset$, $N_G(Y) \neq V(G)$, and $|N_G(Y)| \geq \text{bind}(G)|Y|$. We get

$$n - 1 \geq |N_G(Y)| \geq \text{bind}(G)|Y| = \text{bind}(G)(n - d - |S|),$$

that is to say,

$$|S| \geq n - d - \frac{n - 1}{\text{bind}(G)} > n - d - \frac{an - (a + b) - bn' - 2m + 2}{a + b - 1}. \quad (4)$$

Case 1.1. $3 \leq d \leq b - 1$.

By (3) and $|T| \leq n - |S|$, we obtain

$$bn' + 2m > a|S| - (b-d)(n - |S|) = (a+b-d)|S| - (b-d)n. \quad (5)$$

Multiplying (5) by $(a+b-1)$, and combining (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &> (a+b-1)[(a+b-d)|S| - (b-d)n - bn' - 2m] \\ &> (a+b-d)[(a+b-1)(n-d) - an + (a+b) + bn' + 2m - 2] \\ &\quad - (a+b-1)[(b-d)n + bn' + 2m] \\ &= (d-1)[an - bn' - 2m - (a+b-d)(a+b-1)] - (a+b-d) \\ &> (d-2)(a+b-d) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

However, by $3 \leq d \leq b - 1 < a + b$, (6) does not hold, a contradiction.

Case 1.2. $d = 2$.

Obviously, $b \geq 3$. We first prove the following claim.

Claim 1 $(b-2)(a+b-1)|T| \leq a[(n-2)(a+b-1) - an + a + b + bn' + 2m - 2] - (a+b-1)(bn' + 2m) + (a+b-1)$; that is, $|T| \leq \frac{a}{b-2}(n-2 - \frac{an-(a+b)-bn'-2m+2}{a+b-1}) - \frac{bn'+2m}{b-2} + \frac{1}{b-2}$.

Proof of Claim 1. If $(b-2)(a+b-1)|T| \geq a[(n-2)(a+b-1) - an + a + b + bn' + 2m - 2] - (a+b-1)(bn' + 2m) + (a+b-1) + 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |T| &\geq \frac{a}{b-2}(n-2 - \frac{an-(a+b)-bn'-2m+2}{a+b-1}) \\ &\quad - \frac{bn'+2m}{b-2} + \frac{1}{b-2} + \frac{1}{(b-2)(a+b-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (4) and $n \geq \frac{(a+b)(a+b-3)}{a} + \frac{bn'+2m}{a-1}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |S| + |T| &> n - 2 - \frac{an-(a+b)-bn'-2m+2}{a+b-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{a}{b-2}(n-2 - \frac{an-(a+b)-bn'-2m+2}{a+b-1}) \\ &\quad - \frac{bn'+2m}{b-2} + \frac{1}{b-2} + \frac{1}{(b-2)(a+b-1)} \\ &= n + \frac{an-(a+b)(a+b-2) + (a+b-2)(bn'+2m)}{(b-2)(a+b-1)} \\ &\quad - \frac{bn'+2m}{b-2} + \frac{1}{b-2} + \frac{1}{(b-2)(a+b-1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq n + \frac{(a+b)(a+b-3) + \frac{a}{a-1}(bn'+2m)}{(b-2)(a+b-1)} \\
&\quad - \frac{(a+b)(a+b-2) - (a+b-2)(bn'+2m)}{(b-2)(a+b-1)} \\
&\quad - \frac{bn'+2m}{b-2} + \frac{1}{b-2} + \frac{1}{(b-2)(a+b-1)} \\
&\geq n + \frac{(a+b-1)(bn'+2m) - (a+b)}{(b-2)(a+b-1)} - \frac{bn'+2m}{b-2} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{b-2} + \frac{1}{(b-2)(a+b-1)} \\
&= n.
\end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the fact $|S| + |T| \leq n$. □

By Claim 1, (3) and (4), we derive the following contradiction:

$$\begin{aligned}
&bn' + 2m - 1 \\
&\geq a|S| - (b-2)|T| \\
&> a\left(n-2 - \frac{an - (a+b) - bn' - 2m + 2}{a+b-1}\right) \\
&\quad - (b-2)\left(\frac{a}{b-2}\left(n-2 - \frac{an - (a+b) - bn' - 2m + 2}{a+b-1}\right) - \frac{bn'+2m}{b-2} + \frac{1}{b-2}\right) \\
&= bn' + 2m - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 1.3. $d = 1$.

Case 1.3.1. $|T| \leq \frac{a}{b-1}\left(n-1 - \frac{an - (a+b) - bn' - 2m + 2}{a+b-1}\right) - \frac{bn'+2m-1}{b-1}$.

Using (3) and (4), we get the following contradiction:

$$\begin{aligned}
&bn' + 2m - 1 \\
&\geq a|S| - (b-1)|T| \\
&> a\left(n-1 - \frac{an - (a+b) - bn' - 2m + 2}{a+b-1}\right) \\
&\quad - (b-1)\left(\frac{a}{b-1}\left(n-1 - \frac{an - (a+b) - bn' - 2m + 2}{a+b-1}\right) - \frac{bn'+2m-1}{b-2}\right) \\
&= bn' + 2m - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 1.3.2. $|T| > \frac{a}{b-1}\left(n-1 - \frac{an - (a+b) - bn' - 2m + 2}{a+b-1}\right) - \frac{bn'+2m-1}{b-1}$.

By (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |S| + |T| \\
> n - 1 - \frac{an - (a + b) - bn' - 2m + 2}{a + b - 1} \\
& + \frac{a}{b - 1} \left(n - 1 - \frac{an - (a + b) - bn' - 2m + 2}{a + b - 1} \right) - \frac{bn' + 2m - 1}{b - 1} \\
= & \frac{a + b - 1}{b - 1} \left(n - 1 - \frac{an - (a + b) - bn' - 2m + 2}{a + b - 1} \right) - \frac{bn' + 2m - 1}{b - 1} \\
= & \frac{(b - 1)n + bn' + 2m - 1}{b - 1} - \frac{bn' + 2m - 1}{b - 1} = n,
\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the fact that $|S| + |T| \leq n$.

Case 2. $d = 0$.

In this case, we first show the following claim.

Claim 2 $\frac{an - (a + b) - bn' - 2m + 2}{n - 1} > 1$.

Proof of Claim 2. Since $n \geq \frac{(a + b)(a + b - 3)}{a} + \frac{bn' + 2m}{a - 1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& an - (a + b) - bn' - 2m + 2 - (n - 1) \\
= & (a - 1)n - (a + b) - bn' - 2m + 3 \\
\geq & (a - 1) \left(\frac{(a + b)(a + b - 3)}{a} + \frac{bn' + 2m}{a - 1} \right) - (a + b) - bn' - 2m + 3 \\
= & \frac{(a - 1)(a + b)(a + b - 3)}{a} - (a + b) + 3 \\
\geq & 2(a + b - 3) - (a + b) + 3 \\
= & a + b - 3 > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get $\frac{an - (a + b) - bn' - 2m + 2}{n - 1} > 1$. \square

Let $h = |\{x : x \in T, d_{G-S}(x) = 0\}|$, and $Y = V(G) \setminus S$. By $d = 0$, we have $N_G(Y) \neq V(G)$. Also, by $T \neq \emptyset$, we have $Y \neq \emptyset$. So, $|N_G(Y)| \geq \text{bind}(G)|Y|$. Therefore,

$$n - h \geq |N_G(Y)| \geq \text{bind}(G)|Y| = \text{bind}(G)(n - |S|).$$

So,

$$|S| \geq n - \frac{n - h}{\text{bind}(G)} > n - \frac{(n - h)(an - (a + b) - bn' - 2m + 2)}{(a + b - 1)(n - 1)}. \quad (7)$$

By (2), (7), Claim 2 and the fact $|T| \leq n - |S|$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& bn' + 2m - 1 \\
& \geq f(S) + d_{G-S}(T) - g(T) \\
& \geq a|S| + |T| - h - b|T| = a|S| - (b-1)|T| - h \\
& \geq a|S| - (b-1)(n - |S|) - h = (a+b-1)|S| - (b-1)n - h \\
& > (a+b-1)\left(n - \frac{(n-h)(an - (a+b) - bn' - 2m + 2)}{(a+b-1)(n-1)}\right) \\
& \quad - (b-1)n - h \\
& = an - \frac{(n-h)(an - (a+b) - bn' - 2m + 2)}{n-1} - h \\
& \geq an - \frac{(n-1)(an - (a+b) - bn' - 2m + 2)}{n-1} - 1 \\
& = bn' + 2m + (a+b) - 3 > bn' + 2m,
\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. \square

Remark 1. The condition that $\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{(an-(a+b)-bn'-2m+2)}$ in Theorem 4 cannot be replaced by $\text{bind}(G) \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{(an-(a+b)-bn'-2m+2)}$. Let $2 \leq a = b, n' \geq 0$ be integers. Let $n = ((a+b-1)(a+b-2) + (a+b-2) + (a+2b-1)n' + (a+b+1)m)/a$, $l = ((a+b+n'+m-1))/2$, and $h = n - 2l = n - (a+b+n'+m-1) = ((a+b-1)(b-2) + (a+b-2) + (2b-1)n' + (b+1)m)/a$ be integers. Let $G = K_h \vee lK_2$. $X = V(lK_2)$, and for each $x \in X$, we have $|N_H(X \setminus x)| = n - 1$. By the definition of $\text{bind}(G)$, we have

$$\text{bind}(G) = \frac{N_G(X \setminus x)}{X \setminus x} = \frac{n-1}{2l-1} = \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{an - (a+b) - bn' - 2m + 2}.$$

Let $S = V(K_h)$, $T = V(lK_2)$, H be any subgraph of $G[T]$ with m edges. Then $|S| = h \geq n'$, $|T| = 2l$, and $\sum_{x \in T} d_H(x) - e_H(S, T) = 2m$. Since $a = b$, we have $g(x) = a = b = f(x)$ for all $x \in V(H)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(S) + d_{G-S}(T) - g(T) \\
& = a|S| - (b-1)|T| \\
& = a \frac{(a+b-1)(b-2) + (a+b-2) + (2b-1)n' + (b+1)m}{a} \\
& \quad - (b-1)(a+b+n'+m-1) \\
& = bn' + 2m - 1 < bn' + 2m \\
& = \max_{U \subseteq S, |U|=n', H \subseteq E(G-U), |H|=m} \{f(U) + \sum_{x \in T} d_H(x) - e_H(T, S)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1, G is not a fractional (g, f, n', m) -critical deleted graph.

3 Proof of Theorem 5

To show Theorem 5, we need the following lemma, which is a neighborhood condition for a graph G to be a fractional (g, f, n', m) -critical deleted graph.

Lemma 2 *Let a, b, n', n and m are non-negative integers such that $1 \leq a \leq b$. Let G be a graph with order n such that $n \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-2)+bn'+2m-2}{a}$. Let g, f be two integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $a \leq g(x) \leq f(x) \leq b$ for each $x \in V(G)$. If*

$$|N_G(X)| > \frac{(b-1)n + |X| + bn' + 2m - 1}{a + b - 1}$$

holds for each non-empty independent subset $X \subseteq V(G)$, and

$$\delta(G) > \frac{(b-1)n + a + b + bn' + 2m - 2}{a + b - 1},$$

then G is a fractional (g, f, n', m) -critical deleted graph.

If $n' = 0$ in Lemma 2, we obtain the following neighborhood condition on fractional (g, f, m) -deleted graphs.

Corollary 5 *Let a, b, n and m are non-negative integers such that $1 \leq a \leq b$. Let G be a graph with order n such that $n \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-2)+2m-2}{a}$. Let g, f be two integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $a \leq g(x) \leq f(x) \leq b$ for each $x \in V(G)$. If*

$$|N_G(X)| > \frac{(b-1)n + |X| + 2m - 1}{a + b - 1}$$

holds for each non-empty independent subset $X \subseteq V(G)$, and

$$\delta(G) > \frac{(b-1)n + a + b + 2m - 2}{a + b - 1},$$

then G is a fractional (g, f, m) -deleted graph.

Taking $m = 0$ in Lemma 2, we have the following corollary, which is stronger than Theorem 5 in [3].

Corollary 6 *Let a, b, n' and n are non-negative integers such that $1 \leq a \leq b$. Let G be a graph with order n such that $n \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-2)+bn'-2}{a}$. Let g, f be two integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $a \leq g(x) \leq f(x) \leq b$ for each $x \in V(G)$. If*

$$|N_G(X)| > \frac{(b-1)n + |X| + bn' - 1}{a + b - 1}$$

holds for each non-empty independent subset $X \subseteq V(G)$, and

$$\delta(G) > \frac{(b-1)n + a + b + bn' - 2}{a + b - 1},$$

then G is a fractional (g, f, n') -critical graph.

Proof of Lemma 2. Suppose that G satisfies conditions of Lemma 2, but is not a fractional (g, f, n', m) -critical deleted graph. Obviously, $T \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 1, there exist disjoint S and T satisfying

$$f(S) - g(T) + d_{G-S}(T) \leq bn' + 2m - 1, \quad (8)$$

where $|S| \geq n'$. We choose S and T such that $|T|$ is minimum. Thus, we have $d_{G-S}(x) \leq g(x) - 1 \leq b - 1$ for each $x \in T$.

Let $d = \min\{d_{G-S}(x) | x \in T\}$, then

$$0 \leq d \leq b - 1,$$

$$\delta(G) \leq d + |S|. \quad (9)$$

Now, we consider the following two cases according to the value of d .

Case 1. $1 \leq d \leq b - 1$.

Using (8) and (9) and noting $|S| + |T| \leq n$ and $b - d \geq 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & bn' + 2m - 1 \\ \geq & f(S) + d_{G-S}(T) - g(T) \\ \geq & a|S| + d|T| - b|T| \\ = & a|S| - (b-d)|T| \\ \geq & a|S| - (b-d)(n - |S|) \\ = & (a+b-d)|S| - (b-d)n \\ \geq & (a+b-d)(\delta(G) - d) - (b-d)n \\ \geq & (a+b-d)\left(\frac{(b-1)n + a + b + bn' + 2m - 1}{a + b - 1} - d\right) - (b-d)n. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$0 \geq (a+b-d)\left(\frac{(b-1)n + a + b + bn' + 2m - 1}{a + b - 1} - d\right) - (b-d)n - bn' - 2m + 1. \quad (10)$$

Multiplying (10) by $(a + b - 1)$, we obtain

$$0 \geq (d-1)(an - (a+b-d)(a+b-1) - bn' - 2m) + (a+b-1). \quad (11)$$

Case 1.1. $d = 1$.

Due to (11), we have $0 \geq (a+b-1)$, contrary to the fact that $1 \leq a \leq b$.

Case 1.2. $d = 2$.

As $d \leq b - 1$, we see $b \geq 3$. By (11) and $n \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-2)+bn'+2m-2}{a}$, we have the following obvious contradiction:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq (d-1)(an - (a+b-d)(a+b-1) - bn' - 2m) + (a+b-1) \\ &= (an - (a+b-2)(a+b-1) - bn' - 2m) + (a+b-1) \\ &\geq -2 + (a+b-1) \geq a \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Case 1.3. $3 \leq d \leq b - 1$.

Clearly, $b \geq 4$ since $d \leq b - 1$. By (11) and $n \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-2)+bn'+2m-2}{a}$, we derive the following contradiction:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq (d-1)(an - (a+b-d)(a+b-1) - bn' - 2m) + (a+b-1) \\ &\geq (d-1)(an - (a+b-3)(a+b-1) - bn' - 2m) + (a+b-1) \\ &\geq (d-1)(a+b-3) + (a+b-1) \geq 2(a+b-3) + (a+b-1) \\ &= 3(a+b) - 7 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $d = 0$.

Let $Y = \{x \in T \mid d_{G-S}(x) = 0\}$. Obviously, $Y \neq \emptyset$, and Y is an independent set. Thus, by Lemma 2, we have

$$\frac{(b-1)n + |Y| + bn' + 2m - 1}{a+b-1} < |N_G(Y)| \leq |S|. \quad (12)$$

In view of (12) and $|S| + |T| \leq n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &f(S) + d_{G-S}(T) - g(T) \\ &\geq a|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - b|T| \\ &\geq a|S| + |T| - |Y| - b|T| \\ &= a|S| - (b-1)|T| - |Y| \\ &\geq a|S| - (b-1)(n - |S|) - |Y| \\ &= (a+b-1)|S| - (b-1)n - |Y| \\ &> (a+b-1)\left(\frac{(b-1)n + |Y| + bn' + 2m - 1}{a+b-1}\right) - (b-1)n - |Y| \\ &= bn' + 2m - 1. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts (8). \square

Remark 2. The following example shows that the neighborhood condition $|N_G(X)| > \frac{(b-1)n+|X|+bn'+2m-1}{a+b-1}$ in Lemma 2 cannot be replaced by $|N_G(X)| \geq \frac{(b-1)n+|X|+bn'+2m-1}{a+b-1}$. Let $b = a \geq 1$, $n' \geq 0$, $t \geq 3$ be integers,

and $\frac{(t-2)a+2m-1}{2}$ is an integer. Since $a = b$, we have $g(x) = a = b = f(x)$ for all $x \in V(G)$. Let $G = K_{(b-1)t+n'+2m+1} \vee (2aK_1 \cup \frac{(t-2)a+2m-1}{2}K_2)$. Then $n = (a+b-1)t + n' + 4m$. Let $X = V(2aK_1)$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(G) &= (b-1)t + n' + 2m + 1 \\ &= \frac{(a+b-1)((b-1)t + n' + 2m + 1)}{a+b-1} \\ &= \frac{(b-1)n + a + b + bn' + 2m - 1}{a+b-1} \\ &> \frac{(b-1)n + a + b + bn' + 2m - 2}{a+b-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |N_G(X)| &= (b-1)t + n' + 2m + 1 \\ &= \frac{(b-1)n + a + b + bn' + 2m - 1}{a+b-1} \\ &= \frac{(b-1)n + 2a + bn' + 2m - 1}{a+b-1} \\ &= \frac{(b-1)n + |X| + bn' + 2m - 1}{a+b-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $|N_G(X)| \geq \frac{(b-1)n + |X| + bn' + 2m - 1}{a+b-1}$ holds for any non-empty independent set $X \subseteq V(G)$. Let $S = V(K_{(b-1)t+n'+2m+1})$, $T = V(2aK_1 \cup \frac{(t-2)a+2m-1}{2}K_2)$, H is a subgraph of $G[T]$ with m edges. Then $|S| = (b-1)t + n' + 2m + 1 > n'$, $|T| = at + 2m - 1$, $d_{G-S}(T) = (t-2)a + 2m - 1$, and $\sum_{x \in T} d_H(x) - e_H(S, T) = 2m$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &f(S) + d_{G-S}(T) - g(T) \\ &= b|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - a|T| \\ &= b((b-1)t + n' + 2m + 1) + (t-2)a + 2m - 1 - a(at + 2m - 1) \\ &= b((b-1)t + n' + 2m + 1) + (t-2)b + 2m - 1 - b(bt + 2m - 1) \\ &= bn' + 2m - 1 \\ &< \max_{U \subseteq S, |U|=n', H \subseteq E(G-U), |H|=m} \{f(U) + \sum_{x \in T} d_H(x) - e_H(T, S)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Namely, G is not a fractional (g, f, n', m) -critical deleted graph.

Now, we begin to prove Theorem 5.

Proof of Theorem 5. Suppose that G satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5, but is not a fractional (g, f, n', m) -critical deleted graph. Obviously, $T \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 1, there exist disjoint subsets S and T satisfying

$$f(S) - g(T) + d_{G-S}(T) \leq bn' + 2m - 1, \quad (13)$$

where $|S| \geq n'$. We choose S and T such that $|T|$ is minimum. Then $d_{G-S}(x) \leq g(x) - 1 \leq b - 1$ for each $x \in T$.

For each $X \subseteq V(G)$, $X \neq \emptyset$ and $N_G(X) \neq V(G)$. Let $Y = V(G) \setminus N_G(X)$. Clearly, $\emptyset \neq Y \subseteq V(G)$.

Claim 3 $X \cap N_G(Y) = \emptyset$.

Proof of Claim 3. Assume that $X \cap N_G(Y) \neq \emptyset$, say $x \in X \cap N_G(Y)$. By $x \in N_G(Y)$, we have $y \in Y$ and $xy \in E(G)$. Thus, $y \in N_G(x) \subseteq N_G(X)$, contradicting $y \in Y = V(G) \setminus N_G(X)$. \square

Claim 4 $|N_G(X)| > \frac{(b-1)n + |X| + bn' + 2m - 1}{a + b - 1}$.

Proof of Claim 4. Using Claim 3, we have

$$|X| + |N_G(Y)| \leq n \quad (14)$$

and

$$N_G(Y) \neq V(G). \quad (15)$$

According to (14), (15) and the definition of $\text{bind}(G)$, we get

$$\text{bind}(G) \leq \frac{|N_G(Y)|}{|Y|} \leq \frac{n - |X|}{|V(G) \setminus N_G(X)|} = \frac{n - |X|}{n - |N_G(X)|}. \quad (16)$$

From (16), we have

$$|N_G(X)| \geq n - \frac{n - |X|}{\text{bind}(G)}. \quad (17)$$

Let $F(t) = n - \frac{n - |X|}{t}$. Then, by $X \subseteq V(G)$, we obtain

$$F'(t) = \frac{n - |X|}{t^2} \geq 0.$$

Combining this with $\text{bind}(G) \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{a(n-1) - bn' - 2m}$, we get

$$F(\text{bind}(G)) \geq F\left(\frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{a(n-1) - bn' - 2m}\right).$$

Thus,

$$n - \frac{n - |X|}{\text{bind}(G)} \geq n - \frac{n - |X|}{\frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{a(n-1) - bn' - 2m}} = n - \frac{(n - |X|)(a(n-1) - bn' - 2m)}{(a+b-1)(n-1)}. \quad (18)$$

By (17), (18) and $n \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-2)-2}{a} + \frac{bn'+2m}{a-1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& |N_G(X)| \\
\geq & n - \frac{n - |X|}{\text{bind}(G)} \geq n - \frac{(n - |X|)(a(n-1) - bn' - 2m)}{(a+b-1)(n-1)} \\
= & \frac{(b-1)(n-1)n + (a(n-1) - bn' - 2m)|X| + (bn' + 2m)n}{(a+b-1)(n-1)} \\
= & \frac{(b-1)(n-1)n + (n-1)|X| + ((a-1)(n-1) - bn' - 2m)|X|}{(a+b-1)(n-1)} \\
& + \frac{(bn' + 2m)n}{(a+b-1)(n-1)} \\
\geq & \frac{(b-1)(n-1)n + (n-1)|X| + ((a-1)(n-1) - bn' - 2m)}{(a+b-1)(n-1)} \\
& + \frac{(bn' + 2m)n}{(a+b-1)(n-1)} \\
= & \frac{(b-1)(n-1)n + (n-1)|X| + (a-1)(n-1) + (bn' + 2m)(n-1)}{(a+b-1)(n-1)} \\
= & \frac{(b-1)n + |X| + bn' + 2m + a - 1}{a+b-1} \\
> & \frac{(b-1)n + |X| + bn' + 2m - 1}{a+b-1}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Claim 4 holds. \square

Since each $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq V(G)$ satisfies $|N_G(X)| \geq \frac{(b-1)n + |X| + bn' + 2m + a - 1}{a+b-1}$, we get

$$\delta(G) \geq \frac{(b-1)n + a + bn' + 2m}{a+b-1}. \quad (19)$$

Claim 5 $\delta(G) > \frac{(b-1)n + a + b + bn' + 2m - 2}{a+b-1}$.

Proof of Claim 5. Suppose that $\delta(G) \leq \frac{(b-1)n + a + b + bn' + 2m - 2}{a+b-1}$. By (19),

$$\left\lceil \frac{(b-1)n + a + bn' + 2m}{a+b-1} \right\rceil \leq \delta(G) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(b-1)n + a + b + bn' + 2m - 2}{a+b-1} \right\rfloor.$$

That is,

$$\left\lceil \frac{(b-1)n + a + bn' + 2m}{a+b-1} \right\rceil = \delta(G) = \left\lfloor \frac{(b-1)n + a + b + bn' + 2m - 2}{a+b-1} \right\rfloor.$$

This contradicts the condition of Theorem 5. \square

Now, the result follows from Claim 4, Claim 5 and Lemma 2. \square

References

- [1] W. Gao, Some results on fractional deleted graphs, Doctoral disdertation of Soochow university, 2012.
- [2] W. Gao, W. Wang, Degree conditions for fractional (k, m) -deleted graphs, *Ars. Combin.*, accepted for publication.
- [3] S. Zhou, A sufficient condition for a graph to be a fractional (f, n) -critical graph, *Glasg. Math. J.*, 52(2010) 409-415.
- [4] S. Zhou, A sufficient condition for graphs to be fractional (k, m) -deleted graphs, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 24(2011) 1533-1538.
- [5] S. Zhou, Q. Bian, H. Liu, A remark about fractional (f, n) -critical graphs, *An. St. Univ. Ovidius Constanta. Ser. Mat.*, 19(2011) 365-372.
- [6] S. Zhou, Q. Shen, On fractional (f, n) -critical graphs, *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 109(2009) 811-815.