

# Bounds of eigenvalues of a nontrivial bipartite graph

Hong-Jian Lai\*, Bolian Liu† and Ju Zhou‡

November 7, 2008

**Abstract:** Let  $G$  be a simple graph with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges, and let  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  denote the largest and second largest eigenvalues of  $G$ . For a nontrivial bipartite graph  $G$ , we prove that,

- (i)  $\lambda_1 \leq \sqrt{m - \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2}}$ , where equality holds if and only if  $G \cong P_4$ ;
- (ii) If  $G \not\cong P_n$ , then  $\lambda_1 \leq \sqrt{m - \frac{5 - \sqrt{17}}{2}}$ , where equality holds if and only if  $G \cong K_{2,3} - e$ ;
- (iii) If  $G$  is connected, then  $\lambda_2 \leq \sqrt{m - 4 \cos^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{n+1} \right)}$ , where equality holds if and only if  $G \cong P_n$ ,  $2 \leq n \leq 5$ ;
- (iv)  $\lambda_2 \geq \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{2}$ , where equality holds if and only if  $G \cong P_4$ ;
- (v) If  $G$  is connected and  $G \not\cong P_n$ , then  $\lambda_2 \geq \sqrt{\frac{5 - \sqrt{17}}{2}}$ , where equality holds if and only if  $G \cong K_{2,3} - e$ .

---

\*Department of Mathematics, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26506

†Department of Mathematics, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, 510631, P. R. China

‡Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Bridgewater State College, Bridgewater, MA, 02325

**Key words:** eigenvalues of a graph, bipartite graph, nontrivial bipartite graph.

## 1 Introduction

Graphs considered in this note are finite and simple. Undefined notation and terminology will follow those in [1]. Throughout this note,  $G$  denotes a simple graph with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges,  $\Delta(G)$  denotes the maximum degree of  $G$  and  $A(G)$  denotes the adjacency matrix of  $G$ . The eigenvalues of  $A(G)$  are called the eigenvalues of  $G$ . Since  $A(G)$  is symmetric, all of its eigenvalues are real. We assume, without loss of generality, that they are ordered in decreasing order, i.e.,  $\lambda_1(G) \geq \lambda_2(G) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(G)$ . When the graph  $G$  is understood in the context, we may omit  $G$  and simply use  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$  to denote the eigenvalues of  $G$ . For a graph  $G$  that is not a forest, the *girth* of  $G$  is the length of the shortest cycle of  $G$ .

A bipartite graph without isolated vertices is *nontrivial* if it is not isomorphic to a complete bipartite graph. In 1970, Nosal [7] proved that if  $\lambda_1 > \sqrt{m}$ , then  $G$  contains a triangle. This yields an upper bound for  $\lambda_1$ , among bipartite graphs, as stated in Theorem 1.1. We list several other bounds on the eigenvalues of a bipartite graphs below.

**Theorem 1.1** (*Nosal [7], also Theorem 3.9 of [3]*) *If  $G$  is a bipartite graph, then  $\lambda_1 \leq \sqrt{m}$ .*

**Theorem 1.2** (*Collatz and Sinogowitz, [2]*) *If  $G$  is a tree, then  $\lambda_1 \leq \sqrt{n} - 1$ .*

**Theorem 1.3** (*Peterović, [8]*) *A connected bipartite graph  $G$  has the property  $\lambda_2 \leq 1$  if and only if  $G$  is an induced subgraph of any of the graphs  $G_1 - G_7$  (see Fig. 1 of [8]).*

Aside from the bounds above, little is known on the second and the third largest eigenvalues of a nontrivial bipartite graph. In this note, we shall investigate new bounds for the first, second, and third largest eigenvalues of a nontrivial bipartite graph.

## 2 Lower Bounds

In this section, we present some lemmas needed in the proofs of our main results, and several lower bounds of the second eigenvalue of a bipartite graph.

We denote a path of order  $n$  by  $P_n$ , a cycle of order  $n$  by  $C_n$ , the vertex disjoint union of two graphs  $G$  and  $H$  by  $G \cup H$ , the disjoint union of  $k$  copies of the same graph  $H$  by  $kH$ . Let  $K'_{1,3}$  be the tree of order 5 with exactly three vertices of degree 1. The *joint* of two vertex disjoint graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , denoted by  $G \vee H$ , is the graph obtained from  $G \cup H$  by adding all the edges joining a vertex in  $V(G)$  to a vertex in  $V(H)$ . For a graph  $G$ ,  $\overline{G}$  denotes the *complement* of  $G$ . If  $X \subseteq V(G)$ , we write  $G[X]$  for the subgraph of  $G$  induced by the vertices in  $X$ .

**Theorem 2.1** (*Collatz and Simogowitz, [2]*) *Let  $G$  be a connected graph of order  $n$ . Then  $\lambda_1 \geq 2 \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{n+1} \right)$ , where equality holds if and only if  $G \cong P_n$ .*

**Theorem 2.2** (*Interlacing Theorem, Theorem 0.10 of [3]*) *For  $1 \leq i \leq n - k$ ,  $V' \subseteq V(G)$  with  $|V'| = k$ ,*

$$\lambda_i(G) \geq \lambda_i(G - V') \geq \lambda_{i+k}(G).$$

**Lemma 2.3** *Let  $G$  be a connected bipartite simple graph of order  $n$ . Then one of the following holds.*

- (i)  $G \in \{K_1, K_{1,n-1}, K_{2,n-2}, P_n\}$ .
- (ii)  $G$  contains one member in  $\mathcal{F}$  as an induced subgraph, where  $\mathcal{F} = \{C_6, K_2 \cup P_4, K_2 \cup K_2 \cup P_3, K_{2,3} - e, K'_{1,3}, K_{3,3}\}$ .

**Proof.** We assume that (i) fails to establish (ii). If  $n \leq 4$ , then since  $G$  is connected, simple and bipartite,  $G$  must be one of the graphs listed in (i). Therefore we assume  $n \geq 5$ .

Suppose first that  $G$  is a tree. Since (i) fails,  $G$  is not a path and so  $\Delta(G) \geq 3$ . Let  $v_0 \in V(G)$  with  $d(v_0) = t = \Delta(G)$  and let  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_t$  be the vertices adjacent to  $v_0$ . If  $V(G) = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_t\}$ , then  $G = K_{1,n-1}$  and so (i) must hold. Thus there exists  $u \in V(G) -$

$\{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_t\}$  such that  $uv_i \in E(G)$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ . This implies that  $K'_{1,3}$  is an induced subgraph of  $G$ , and so (ii) holds.

Now assume that  $G$  is not a tree. Let  $g(G)$  be the girth of  $G$ . Since  $G$  is a connected simple bipartite and not a tree,  $g(G) \in \{4, 6, 8, 10, \dots\}$ . If  $g(G) \geq 10$ , then  $K_2 \cup K_2 \cup P_3$  is an induced subgraph. If  $g(G) = 8$ , then  $K_2 \cup P_4$  is an induced subgraph. If  $g(G) = 6$ , then  $C_6$  is an induced subgraph. If  $g(G) = 4$ , then  $G$  contains a proper subgraph isomorphic to a  $K_{2,p}$  for some  $p \geq 2$ .

Let  $H$  be a maximal  $K_{2,p}$  subgraph of  $G$  (that is,  $H \cong K_{2,p}$ , but  $G$  does not have a subgraph isomorphic to  $K_{2,p+1}$  which properly contains  $H$  as a subgraph). Let  $v_0, v'_0$  be the two nonadjacent vertices of degree  $p$  in  $H$  and let  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p$  be the vertices of degree 2, which are adjacent to  $v_0, v'_0$  in  $H$ . By the assumption that (i) fails,  $G \not\cong K_{2,n-2}$ , and so there must be a vertex  $u \in V(G) \setminus V(H)$ . If  $uv_0$  (or  $uv'_0$ )  $\in E(G)$ , then by the maximality of  $H$ ,  $uv'_0$  (respectively,  $uv_0$ )  $\notin E(G)$ , and so  $K_{2,3} - e$  is an induced subgraph of  $G$ . Now suppose that  $uv_0 \notin E(G)$  and  $uv'_0 \notin E(G)$ . Since  $G$  is connected, we may assume that  $uv_p \in E(G)$ . If  $uv_1, uv_2 \notin E(G)$ , then  $G[\{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_p, u\}] \cong K'_{1,3}$  is an induced subgraph of  $G$ . If  $uv_1 \notin E(G)$  but  $uv_i \in E(G)$ , for each  $i = 2, 3, \dots, p$ , then  $G[\{v_0, v'_0, v_1, v_p, u\}] \cong K_{2,3} - e$  is an induced subgraph of  $G$ . If  $uv_i \in E(G)$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, p$ , then when  $p \geq 3$ ,  $G[\{v_0, v'_0, v_1, v_2, v_p, u\}] \cong K_{3,3}$  is an induced subgraph of  $G$ ; and when  $p = 2$ ,  $G[\{v_0, v_1, v_2, v'_0, u\}] \cong K_{2,3}$ , contrary to the maximality of  $H = K_{2,p} = K_{2,2} \subseteq K_{2,3}$ . ■

**Corollary 2.4** *Let  $G$  be a connected nontrivial bipartite graph. If  $G \not\cong P_n$ , then  $G$  has an induced subgraph in  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

**Proof.** This follows directly from Lemma 2.3. ■

**Lemma 2.5** *Let  $G$  be a graph with  $n \geq 5$  vertices and with  $m \geq 1$  edges. The following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $G$  is a complete bipartite graph.
- (ii) If  $H$  is an induced subgraph of  $G$  with 5 vertices, then  $H \in \{\overline{K}_5, K_{1,4}, K_{2,3}\}$ .

**Proof.** It suffices to prove that (ii) implies (i), and so we assume that (ii) holds. First, we shall show that  $G$  must be bipartite.

Define  $g_1(G)$  to be the length of the shortest odd cycle of  $G$ , if  $G$  has an odd cycle; and  $g_1(G) = 1$  if  $G$  does not have an odd cycle. Note that  $g_1(G) = 1$  if and only if  $G$  is bipartite. We shall prove that  $g_1(G) = 1$ .

Suppose that  $g_1(G) > 1$ . If  $g_1(G) \leq 5$ , then let  $X \subseteq V(G)$  be a set of 5 vertices that contains the vertices of a 3-cycle or a 5-cycle of  $G$ . Then  $H = G[X]$  has an odd cycle, and cannot be any of the three graphs listed in Lemma 2.5 (ii). Thus  $g_1(G) = 2k + 1 \geq 7$ , for some integer  $k \geq 3$ . Let  $C = v_1v_2 \cdots v_{2k}v_{2k+1}v_1$  be an odd cycle of  $G$  with length  $2k + 1$ . Let  $H_1 = G[\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}]$ . Then by Lemma 2.5(ii),  $H_1 \cong K_{2,3}$ . Since the path  $v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5$  is a spanning path in  $H_1$ ,  $v_1v_4, v_2v_5 \in E(G)$ . Therefore,  $G$  contains an odd cycle  $v_1v_4v_5 \cdots v_{2k+1}v_1$  with length  $2k - 1$ , contrary to the assumption that  $g_1(G) = 2k + 1$ . This proves that we must have  $g_1(G) = 1$ , that is,  $G$  must be bipartite.

Now let  $V(G) = V_1 \cup V_2$ , where every edge of  $G$  has one end in  $V_1$  and the other end in  $V_2$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $|V_1| \leq |V_2|$ . We shall show that  $G$  is a complete bipartite graph.

By contradiction, suppose that  $G$  is not complete. If  $|V_1| = 1$ , then Lemma 2.5(ii) trivially implies that  $G \cong K_{1,n+1}$ , contrary to the assumption that  $G$  is not complete. Hence we assume that  $|V_1| \geq 2$ . Since  $G$  is not a complete bipartite graph, we may assume that there are  $x \in V_1$  and  $y \in V_2$  such that  $xy \notin E(G)$ . Since  $m \geq 1$ , we can find  $x' \in V_1$  and  $y' \in V_2$  such that  $x'y' \in E(G)$ . Note that it is possible that  $x = x'$  or  $y = y'$ , but they cannot occur simultaneously.

Assume first that  $x = x'$ . Then  $y \neq y'$ . Since  $|V_2| \geq |V_1| \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 5$ , we can find  $x'' \in V_1 - \{x\}$  and  $y'' \in V_2 - \{y, y'\}$ . Let  $H_2 = G[\{x, x'', y, y', y''\}]$ . Since  $E(H_2) \neq \emptyset, H_2 \not\cong \bar{K}_5$ . Since  $G$  is a bipartite graph with bipartition  $(V_1, V_2)$  and  $|V(H_2) \cap V_1| = 2$  and  $|V(H_2) \cap V_2| = 3, H_2 \not\cong K_{1,4}$ . Since  $xy \notin E(G), H_2 \not\cong K_{2,3}$ . Therefore,  $x \neq x'$ . Similarly,  $y \neq y'$ . Hence we may assume that  $x \neq x'$  and  $y \neq y'$ . Choose  $y''$  and argue as above with  $x'$  replacing  $x''$ . We also get a contradiction. Thus  $G$  must be a complete bipartite graph. ■

**Theorem 2.6** *If  $G$  is a nontrivial bipartite graph with order  $n \geq 4$ , then  $\lambda_2(G) \geq \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{2}$ , where equality holds if and only if  $G \cong P_4$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $G$  is a nontrivial bipartite graph,  $G$  has no isolated ver-

tices. Thus the degree of each vertex of  $G$  is at least one and  $G$  has at least two edges by the fact that  $n \geq 4$ . So  $G$  contains an induced subgraph isomorphic to  $2K_2$  or  $P_4$  because  $G$  is not a complete bipartite graph. By Theorem 2.2,  $\lambda_2(G) \geq \min\{\lambda_2(2K_2), \lambda_2(P_4)\} = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$ .

If  $n = 4$  and if  $G$  is not isomorphic to  $P_4$ , it is routine to check that  $\lambda_2(G) > \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$ . If  $n \geq 5$ , then by Lemma 2.5,  $G$  has an induced subgraph  $H$  of order 5 which is not isomorphic to  $K_{2,3}$ . It follows from the appendix in [3] that  $\lambda_2(H) > \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$ , and so by Theorem 2.2,  $\lambda_2(G) \geq \lambda_2(H) > \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$ . This proves that the equality holds if and only if  $G \cong P_4$ . ■

**Theorem 2.7** *Let  $G$  be a connected nontrivial bipartite graph with order  $n$ . If  $G \not\cong P_n$ , then  $\lambda_2 \geq \sqrt{\frac{5-\sqrt{17}}{2}}$ , where equality holds if and only if  $G \cong K_{2,3} - e$ .*

**Proof.** By Corollary 2.4,  $G$  contains an induced subgraph in  $\mathcal{F}$ . By Theorem 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2 &\geq \min\{\lambda_2(C_6), \lambda_2(K_2 \cup P_4), \lambda_2(K_2 \cup K_2 \cup P_4), \lambda_2(K_{2,3} - e), \lambda_2(K'_{1,3})\} \\ &= \lambda_2(K_{2,3} - e) = \sqrt{\frac{5-\sqrt{17}}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $G \not\cong K_{2,3} - e$ . Then  $n \geq 5$  and by the appendix in [4],  $\lambda_2 \geq \sqrt{\frac{5-\sqrt{17}}{2}}$ . By the appendix in [4] again,  $\lambda_2 > \sqrt{\frac{5-\sqrt{17}}{2}}$  for all connected nontrivial bipartite graphs of order 6. Therefore,  $\lambda_2 > \sqrt{\frac{5-\sqrt{17}}{2}}$  for  $n > 5$ . Hence the equality holds if and only if  $G \cong K_{2,3} - e$ . ■

### 3 Upper Bounds

In this section, we will consider upper bounds of  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_3$  for a bipartite graph  $G$  with reasonably large number of vertices.

**Lemma 3.1** (*Theorem 3.4 of [9]*) *If  $G$  is a bipartite graph, then for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \lceil n/2 \rceil$ ,*

$$\lambda_i(G) = -\lambda_{n+1-i}(G).$$

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that if  $G$  is a bipartite graph with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges, then

$$2m = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 = 2\lambda_1^2 + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \lambda_i^2. \quad (1)$$

By Theorem 1.3 and (1), the following corollary follows.

**Corollary 3.2** *If a connected bipartite graph  $G$  is not an induced subgraph of any of the graphs  $G_1 - G_7$  in [8] (see Fig. 1 of [8]), then  $\lambda_1 < \sqrt{m-1}$ .*

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $G$  be a nontrivial bipartite graph with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges. Each of the following holds.*

(i)  $\lambda_1(G) \leq \sqrt{m - \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2}}$ , where equality holds if and only if  $G \cong P_4$ .

(ii) If  $G \not\cong P_n$ , then  $\lambda_1(G) \leq \sqrt{m - \frac{5 - \sqrt{17}}{2}}$ , where equality holds if and only if  $G \cong K_{2,3} - e$ .

**Proof.** We argue by contradiction and assume that

$$\lambda_1 > \sqrt{m - \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2}}. \quad (2)$$

Since  $G$  is a bipartite graph, it follows by (1) and by (2) that

$$\lambda_2^2 \leq \sum_{i=2}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \lambda_i^2 = m - \lambda_1^2 < \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2},$$

and so  $\lambda_2 < \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$ , contrary to Theorem 2.6. Therefore, the inequality of Theorem 3.3(i) must hold.

To prove the case when equality holds, we first note that  $\lambda_1(P_4) = \sqrt{m - \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2}}$ . If  $G$  is not isomorphic to  $P_4$ , then by Theorem 2.6,  $\lambda_2 > \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$ . It follows that  $m - \lambda_1^2 \geq \lambda_2^2 > \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2}$ , and so  $\lambda_1 < \sqrt{m - \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2}}$ . This proves Theorem 3.3(i).

The proof for Theorem 3.3(ii) is similar, using Theorem 2.7 instead of Theorem 2.6. ■

Corollary 3.4 and Corollary 3.5 below immediately follow from Theorem 3.3. They improve Theorem 1.2 for trees which are not isomorphic to stars.

**Corollary 3.4** *If  $G$  is a forest of order  $n$  that is not a star, then  $\lambda_1 \leq \sqrt{n - 1 - \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2}}$ , where equality occurs if and only if  $G$  is a path of order 4.*

**Corollary 3.5** *If  $G$  is a forest of order  $n$  that is neither a path  $P_n$  nor a star, then  $\lambda_1 < \sqrt{n - 1 - \frac{5 - \sqrt{17}}{2}}$ .*

We now consider the upper bounds of the second largest eigenvalue of a bipartite graph. As far as we know, little has been done in this direction. We need one more lemma.



**Lemma 3.6** Let  $G$  be a graph with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges. Let  $a > 0$  and  $b > 0$  be two numbers, and let  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(G)$ ,  $\lambda_2 = \lambda_2(G)$  and  $\lambda_3 = \lambda_3(G)$ . Then each of the following holds.

- (i) If  $n \geq 2$  and if  $\lambda_1 \geq a$ , then  $\lambda_2 \leq \sqrt{m - a^2}$ .
- (ii) If  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\lambda_1 \geq a$  and  $\lambda_2 \geq b$ , then  $\lambda_3 \leq \sqrt{m - a^2 - b^2}$ .

**Proof.** By (1), we have

$$\lambda_2^2 \leq m - \lambda_1^2, \text{ and } \lambda_3^2 \leq m - \lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2. \tag{3}$$

Thus Lemma 3.6 follows directly from (3). ■

**Corollary 3.7** Let  $G$  be a graph with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges. Let  $\Delta(G)$  denote the maximum degree of  $G$ ,  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n$  the degree sequence of  $G$ , and  $\chi(G)$  the chromatic number of  $G$ . Then each of the following holds.

- (i)  $\lambda_2 \leq \sqrt{m - \Delta(G)}$ .
- (ii)  $\lambda_2 \leq \sqrt{m - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2}$ .
- (iii)  $\lambda_2 \leq \sqrt{m - (\chi(G) - 1)^2}$ .

**Proof.** Homfmeister [5] showed that  $\lambda_1(G) \geq \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2}$ ; Nosal [7],

Lovás and Pelikán [6] proved that  $\lambda_1(G) \geq \sqrt{\Delta(G)}$ ; and Wilf [9] showed that  $\lambda_1(G) \geq \chi(G) - 1$ . Thus Corollary 3.7 follows from Lemma 3.6(i) and these prior results. ■

**Theorem 3.8** Let  $G$  be a connected bipartite graph with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges. Then

$$\lambda_2 \leq \sqrt{m - 4 \cos^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{n+1} \right)},$$

where equality holds if and only if  $G \cong P_n$  where  $2 \leq n \leq 5$ .

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.1,  $\lambda_1 \geq 2 \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{n+1} \right)$ . Thus the inequality of Theorem 3.8 is proved by applying Lemma 3.6(i) with  $a = 2 \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{n+1} \right)$ .

To prove equality part of the theorem, we first observe that if  $G$  is not isomorphic to  $P_n$ , then by Theorem 2.1,  $\lambda_1 > 2 \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)$ . It follows by Lemma 3.6(i) again that  $\lambda_2 < \sqrt{m - 4 \cos^2 \frac{\pi}{n+1}}$ . Direct computation of  $\lambda_2(P_n)$  shows that  $\lambda_2(P_n) = \sqrt{m - 4 \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)}$  if and only if  $2 \leq n \leq 5$ . This completes the proof. ■

As when  $n \geq 2$ ,  $4 \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) > 1$ , it follows that the bound of Theorem 3.8 is better than  $\lambda_2 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \sqrt{m}$  from Theorem 1.1.

Turning to upper bounds of  $\lambda_3(G)$  for a bipartite graph, we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.9** *Let  $G$  be a nontrivial bipartite graph with  $n \geq 6$  vertices and  $m$  edges. Then*

$$\lambda_3(G) \leq \sqrt{m - \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2} - 4 \cos^2 \frac{\pi}{n+1}}.$$

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.1,  $\lambda_1 \geq 2 \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)$ ; by Theorem 2.6,  $\lambda_2 \geq \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$ . Therefore Theorem 3.9 follows from Lemma 3.6(ii) with  $a = 2 \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)$  and  $b = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$ . ■

## References

- [1] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, *Graph theory with applications*, Macmillan, London and Elsevier, New York, 1976.
- [2] L. Collatz and U. Sinogowitz, *Spektren endlicher Grafen*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 21 (1957) 63-67.
- [3] D. M. Cvetkovic, M. Dob and H. Sachs, *Spectra of Graphs*, Academic Press, New York (1980).

- [4] D. M. Cvetkovic and M. Petric, A table of connected graphs on six vertices, *Disc. Math.* 50 (1984), 37-49.
- [5] M. Hofmmeister, Spectral radius and degree sequence, *Math. Nachr.* 139 (1988), 37-44.
- [6] L. Lovász and J. Pelikán, On the eigenvalues of trees, *Periodica Math. Hung.* 3 (1973), 175-182.
- [7] E. Nosal, Eigenvalus of graphs, Master's Thesis, University of Calgary (1970).
- [8] M. Petrović, On graphs with exactly one eigenvalue less than  $-1$ , *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 52 (1991), 102-112.
- [9] H. S. Wilf, The eigenvalues of a graph and its chromatic number, *J. London Math. Soc.* 42 (1967), 330-335.