# Combinatorial proofs of a kind of binomial and q-binomial coefficient identities\*

Victor J. W. Guo<sup>a</sup> and Jing Zhang<sup>b</sup>

Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University Shanghai 200062, People's Republic of China ajwguo@math.ecnu.edu.cn, http://math.ecnu.edu.cn/~jwguo bjzhang1984@yahoo.cn

Abstract. We give combinatorial proofs of some binomial and q-binomial identities in the literature, such as

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{(9k^2+3k)/2} {2n \brack n+3k} = (1+q^n) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (1+q^k+q^{2k}) \quad (n \ge 1),$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} {3n \choose 2k} (-3)^k = (-8)^n.$$

Two related conjectures are proposed at the end of this paper.

#### 1 Introduction

There are many different q-analogues of the following binomial coefficient identity

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k \binom{2n}{n+2k} = 2^n, \tag{1.1}$$

<sup>\*</sup>This work was supported in part by Shanghai "Chenguang" Project (#2007CG29) and the National Science Foundation of China (#10801054).

in the literature. Here is a list of such identities:

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{2k^2} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n+2k \end{bmatrix} = (-q; q^2)_n, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{2k^2 + k} {2n \brack n+2k} = (1+q^n)(-q^2; q^2)_{n-1}, \tag{1.3}$$

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{2k^2 + 2k} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n+2k \end{bmatrix} = (1+q)(-q;q^2)_{n-1} q^{n-1}, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{3k^2 + k} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n+2k \end{bmatrix} = (-q;q)_n, \tag{1.5}$$

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{(5k^2+k)/2} {2n \brack n+2k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{k^2} {n \brack k}, \tag{1.6}$$

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{(3k^2+k)/2} {2n \brack n+2k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{nk} {n \brack k}, \tag{1.7}$$

where the q-shifted factorials are defined by  $(a;q)_n=(1-a)(1-aq)\cdots(1-aq^{n-1})$  and the q-binomial coefficients are defined as

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} \frac{(q;q)_n}{(q;q)_k (q;q)_{n-k}}, & \text{if } 0 \le k \le n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Identities (1.2)–(1.4) can be proved by using the q-binomial theorem and  $i^2 = -1$  or other methods. For (1.2), see Ismail, Kim and Stanton [5, Proposition 2(2)], Berkovich and Warnaar [2, §7], and Sills [6, (3.3)]. For (1.3), see [5, Proposition 2(3)]. The identity (1.5) corresponds to Slater's Bailey pair C(1). Identities (1.6) and (1.7) were discovered by Bressoud [3, (1.1) and (1.5)], and the former is usually known as a finite form of the first Rogers-Ramanujan identity.

For each of the identities (1.2)-(1.7), one can change q to  $q^{-1}$  to find a new identity of the same type. The identities (1.2)-(1.4) are "self-dual," (1.6) and (1.7) are dual, and the dual of (1.5) is as follows:

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{k^2+k} {2n \brack n+2k} = q^{\binom{n}{2}} (-q;q)_n.$$

This identity is known as the Bailey pair C(5) in Slater's list.

An identity similar to (1.1) is

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k \binom{2n}{n+3k} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n=0, \\ 2 \cdot 3^{n-1}, & \text{if } n \ge 1, \end{cases}$$
 (1.8)

which also has two different q-analogues as follows:

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{(9k^2+3k)/2} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n+3k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n=0, \\ (1+q^n) \frac{(q^3; q^3)_{n-1}}{(q; q)_{n-1}}, & \text{if } n \ge 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{(9k^2+9k)/2} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n+3k \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n=0, \\ 1+q, & \text{if } n=1, \\ (1+q+q^2)(1+q^n) \frac{(q^3; q^3)_{n-2}}{(q; q)_{n-2}} q^{n-2}, & \text{if } n \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

$$(1.10)$$

Like (1.2)–(1.4), Identities (1.9) and (1.10) can be proved by the q-binomial theorem. Identity (1.9) is equivalent to the Bailey pair J(2) in [8], and can also be found in [5, Proposition 2(5)]. This identity was utilized by Berkovich and Warnaar [2] to prove a 'perfect' Rogers-Ramanujan identity.

There exists another not-so-famous binomial coefficient identity similar to (1.1) and (1.8) as follows:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{2k} (-3)^k = \begin{cases} (-2)^n, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ (-2)^{n-1}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ (-2)^{n-1}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$
(1.11)

The main purpose of this paper is to give combinatorial proofs of the identities (1.1)–(1.4), (1.8)–(1.11), and some of their companions which appeared in the literature, such as

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k \binom{2n+1}{n+3k} = 3^n. \tag{1.12}$$

However, we are unable to give combinatorial proofs of (1.5)-(1.7).

# 2 Proofs of (1.1)–(1.4)

*Proof of* (1.1). Let  $S = \{a_1, \ldots, a_{2n}\}$  be a set of 2n elements, and let

$$\mathscr{F} = \{ A \subseteq S \colon \#A \equiv n \pmod{2} \},$$

$$\mathscr{G} = \{ A \subseteq S \colon \#(A \cap \{a_{2i-1}, a_{2i}\}) = 1 \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n \}.$$

It is easy to see that  $\mathscr{G} \subseteq \mathscr{F}$  and  $\#\mathscr{G} = 2^n$ . For any  $A \in \mathscr{F}$ , we associate A with a  $sign \operatorname{sgn}(A) = (-1)^{(\#A-n)/2}$ . It is clear that

$$\sum_{k=-\lfloor n/2\rfloor}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor} (-1)^k \binom{2n}{n+2k} = \sum_{A \in \mathscr{F}} \operatorname{sgn}(A) = \sum_{A \in \mathscr{F} \setminus \mathscr{G}} \operatorname{sgn}(A) + \sum_{A \in \mathscr{G}} \operatorname{sgn}(A).$$

Clearly,  $\operatorname{sgn}(A) = 1$  for  $A \in \mathcal{G}$ . What remains is to construct a sign-reversing involution on the set  $\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{G}$ .

For any  $A \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{G}$ , choose the first number i such that  $\#(A \cap \{a_{2i-1}, a_{2i}\}) \neq 1$ , i.e., A contains both  $a_{2i-1}$  and  $a_{2i}$  or none of them. Let A' be a subset of S obtained from A as follows:

$$A' = \begin{cases} A \cup \{a_{2i-1}, a_{2i}\}, & \text{if } \{a_{2i-1}, a_{2i}\} \cap A = \emptyset, \\ A \setminus \{a_{2i-1}, a_{2i}\}, & \text{if } \{a_{2i-1}, a_{2i}\} \subseteq A. \end{cases}$$
 (2.1)

It is obvious that  $A' \in \mathscr{F} \setminus \mathscr{G}$ , and  $A \mapsto A'$  is the desired involution.  $\square$ 

For  $A \in S$ , we associate it with a weight  $||A|| = \sum_{a \in A} a$ . By the q-binomial theorem (cf. Andrews [1, Theorem 3.3])

$$(z;q)_N = \sum_{j=0}^N {N \brack j} (-1)^j z^j q^{\binom{j}{2}},$$

we have

$$\sum_{\substack{A\subseteq[n]\\\#A=k}} q^{||A||} = {n \brack k} q^{{k+1 \choose 2}}.$$
 (2.2)

Here and in what follows  $[n] := \{1, ..., n\}$ . Now we can give proofs of (1.2)–(1.4).

Proof of (1.2). Let  $\{a_{2i-1}, a_{2i}\} = \{-(2i-1)/2, (2i-1)/2\}$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . Since  $a_{2i-1} + a_{2i} = 0$ , the involution in the proof of (1.1) is indeed weight-preserving and sign-reversing. It follows that

$$\sum_{A \in \mathscr{F}} \operatorname{sgn}(A) q^{||A||} = \sum_{A \in \mathscr{F} \setminus \mathscr{G}} \operatorname{sgn}(A) q^{||A||} + \sum_{A \in \mathscr{G}} \operatorname{sgn}(A) q^{||A||}$$

$$= \sum_{A \in \mathscr{G}} \operatorname{sgn}(A) q^{||A||}. \tag{2.3}$$

It is easy to see that S is obtained from [2n] by a shift -(2n+1)/2. By (2.2), the left-hand of (2.3) equals

$$\sum_{k=-\lfloor n/2\rfloor}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor} \sum_{\substack{A\subseteq S\\ \#A=n+2k}} \operatorname{sgn}(A) q^{||A||}$$

$$= \sum_{k=-\lfloor n/2\rfloor}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor} (-1)^k {2n \brack n+2k} q^{\binom{n+2k+1}{2}} q^{-(n+2k)(2n+1)/2}. \tag{2.4}$$

On the other hand, the right-hand side of (2.3) is given by

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} (q^{-(2i-1)/2} + q^{(2i-1)/2}) = (-q; q^{2})_{n} q^{-n^{2}/2}.$$

After simplification, we obtain (1.2).

Proof of (1.3). Note that the index i in (2.1) is always less than n. Otherwise,  $\#(A \cap \{a_{2i-1}, a_{2i}\}) = 1$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$  and  $\#(A \cap \{a_{2n-1}, a_{2n}\}) \neq 1$ , which is contradictory to the condition  $\#A \equiv n \pmod{2}$ . Thus, if we take  $\{a_{2i-1}, a_{2i}\} = \{-i, i\}$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$  and  $\{a_{2n-1}, a_{2n}\} = \{0, n\}$ , then the involution in the proof of (1.1) is also weight-preserving and sign-reversing, and (2.3) still holds. Similarly as before, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=-\lfloor n/2\rfloor}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor} (-1)^k {2n \brack n+2k} q^{\binom{n+2k+1}{2}} q^{-n(n+2k)} = (q^0+q^n) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (q^{-i}+q^i),$$

which is equivalent to (1.3).

Proof of (1.4). Let  $\{a_{2i-1}, a_{2i}\} = \{-(2i-1)/2, (2i-1)/2\}$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$  and  $\{a_{2n-1}, a_{2n}\} = \{(2n-1)/2, (2n+1)/2\}$ . Then  $S = \{i-(2n-1)/2 : i \in [2n]\}$  and the previous involution yields

$$\sum_{k=-\lfloor n/2\rfloor}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor} (-1)^k {2n \brack n+2k} q^{\binom{n+2k+1}{2}} q^{-(n+2k)(2n-1)/2}$$

$$= (q^{(2n-1)/2} + q^{(2n+1)/2}) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (q^{-(2i-1)/2} + q^{(2i-1)/2}),$$

which, after simplification, leads to (1.4).

Similarly, if we set  $S = \{a_1, \ldots, a_{2n+1}\}$  be a set of 2n+1 elements, and again let

$$\mathscr{F} = \{A \subseteq S \colon \#A \equiv n \pmod{2}\},$$
  
 $\mathscr{G} = \{A \subseteq S \colon \#(A \cap \{a_{2i-1}, a_{2i}\}) = 1 \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n\}.$ 

then the same argument implies that

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k \binom{2n+1}{n+2k} = 2^n.$$

Furthermore, letting  $\{a_{2i-1}, a_{2i}\} = \{-(2i-1)/2, (2i-1)/2\}, i = 1, \ldots, n$ , and  $a_{2n+1} = (2n+1)/2$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{2k^2} \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1\\ n+2k \end{bmatrix} = (-q; q^2)_n \tag{2.5}$$

(see [5, Proposition 2(2)]); while letting  $\{a_{2i-1}, a_{2i}\} = \{-i, i\}, i = 1, \ldots, n$ , and  $a_{2n+1} = 0$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{2k^2 - k} \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ n+2k \end{bmatrix} = (-q^2; q^2)_n.$$

Moreover, replacing q by  $q^{-1}$  in (2.5) and using the relation

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^{-1}} = q^{k(k-n)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$$

vields

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{2k^2 - 2k} {2n+1 \brack n+2k} = (-q; q^2)_n q^n.$$

### **3 Proofs** (1.8)–(1.10)

Recall that the *symmetric difference* of two sets A and B, denoted by  $A\Delta B$ , is the set of elements belonging to one but not both of A and B (cf. [4, p. 3]). In other words,

$$A\Delta B := A \cup B \setminus (A \cap B) = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A).$$

It is easy to see that  $(A\Delta B)\Delta B=A$ . Here we shall use the notation  $A\Delta B$  to polish our description of certain involution.

*Proof of* (1.8). Let 
$$S = \{a_1, \ldots, a_{2n}\}\ (n \ge 1)$$
, and let

$$\mathscr{P} := \{ A \subseteq S \colon \#A \equiv n \pmod{3} \}. \tag{3.1}$$

For any  $A \in \mathcal{P}$ , we associate A with a  $sign \operatorname{sgn}(A) = (-1)^{(\#A-n)/3}$ . Then

$$\sum_{k=-\lfloor n/3\rfloor}^{\lfloor n/3\rfloor} (-1)^k \binom{2n}{n+3k} = \sum_{A \in \mathscr{P}} \operatorname{sgn}(A).$$

We define a subset of  $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{Q} := \{ A \in \mathcal{P} \colon \#(A \cap \{a_1, \dots, a_{2i+1}\}) \notin \{i-1, i+2\} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n-1 \}.$$
(3.2)

We will show that the elements of  $\mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{Q}$  cancel pairwise, i.e.,

$$\sum_{A \in \mathscr{P} \setminus \mathscr{Q}} \operatorname{sgn}(A) = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

For any  $A \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{Q}$ , there exist some numbers  $i \leq n-1$  such that  $\#(A \cap \{a_1, \ldots, a_{2i+1}\}) \in \{i-1, i+2\}$ . Choose the smallest such i and let

$$A' = A\Delta\{a_1, \dots, a_{2i+1}\}. \tag{3.4}$$

Then  $\#A' = \#A \pm 3$  and  $A' \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{Q}$ . It is easy to see that  $A \mapsto A'$  is a sign-reversing involution, and therefore (3.3) holds. It remains to evaluate the following summation

$$\sum_{A\in\mathscr{Q}}\operatorname{sgn}(A).$$

For any  $A \in \mathcal{Q}$ , we claim that

$$\#(A \cap \{a_1, \dots, a_{2i+1}\}) \in \{i, i+1\}, \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$
 (3.5)

Indeed, by definition, the statement (3.5) is obviously true for i = 1. Suppose it holds for i = 1, i.e.,

$$\#(A \cap \{a_1,\ldots,a_{2i-1}\}) \in \{i-1,i\},\$$

Then

$$\#(A \cap \{a_1,\ldots,a_{2i+1}\}) \in \{i-1,i,i+1,i+2\}.$$

By (3.2), we confirm our claim. In particular,

$$\#(A \cap \{a_1, \dots, a_{2n-1}\}) \in \{n-1, n\}.$$
 (3.6)

Thus by (3.1), we must have #A = n and so  $\operatorname{sgn}(A) = 1$ . Note that we have 2 possible choices for  $A \cap \{a_1\}$ . By (3.5), we have 3 possible choices for each  $A \cap \{a_{2i}, a_{2i+1}\}, i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ . Finally, we only have one choice

for  $A \cap \{a_{2n}\}$  according to (3.6) and #A = n. This proves that  $\#\mathcal{Q} = 2 \cdot 3^n$  and therefore completes the proof of (1.8).

For  $A \in S$ , recall that its weight is defined by  $||A|| = \sum_{a \in A} a$ . In order to prove (1.9) and (1.10), we need to consider the following weighted sum

$$\sum_{A \in \mathscr{P}} \operatorname{sgn}(A) q^{||A||}$$

on a particular  $\mathscr{P}$ . As one might have seen, the involution  $A \mapsto A'$  in (3.4) is in general not weight-preserving. Nevertheless, a little modification will fix this problem. For any  $A \in \mathscr{P} \setminus \mathscr{Q}$ , choose the same i as in (3.4), and let A'' be constructed as follows:

- $a_1 \in A''$  if and only if  $a_1 \notin A$ ;
- $a_{2i}, a_{2i+1} \in A''$  if  $a_{2i}, a_{2i+1} \notin A$  (j = 1, ..., i-1);
- $a_{2j}, a_{2j+1} \notin A''$  if  $a_{2j}, a_{2j+1} \in A \ (j = 1, ..., i-1)$ ;
- $a_{2j} \in A''$  and  $a_{2j+1} \notin A''$  if  $a_{2j} \in A$  and  $a_{2j+1} \notin A$  (j = 1, ..., i-1);
- $a_{2j} \notin A''$  and  $a_{2j+1} \in A''$  if  $a_{2j} \notin A$  and  $a_{2j+1} \in A$  (j = 1, ..., i-1);
- $a_k \in A''$  if and only if  $a_k \in A$   $(2i + 2 \le k \le 2n)$ .

It is clear that  $\#A'' = \#A' = \#A \pm 3$ . Furthermore, if we putting  $a_1 = a_{2j} + a_{2j+1} = 0$  then  $A \mapsto A''$  is a weight-preserving and sign-reversing involution. Now we can give proofs of (1.9) and (1.10) by selecting the set  $\{a_1, \ldots, a_{2n}\}$  properly.

Proof of (1.9). Let  $a_1=0$ ,  $a_{2n}=n$  and  $\{a_{2i},a_{2i+1}\}=\{-i,i\}$  for  $i=1,\ldots,n-1$ . Then the above involution  $A\mapsto A''$  gives

$$\sum_{A \in \mathscr{P} \setminus \mathscr{Q}} \operatorname{sgn}(A) q^{||A||} = 0,$$

or

$$\sum_{A \in \mathscr{P}} \operatorname{sgn}(A)q^{||A||} = \sum_{A \in \mathscr{Q}} \operatorname{sgn}(A)q^{||A||}.$$
 (3.7)

By (2.2), the left-hand of (3.7) may be written as

$$\sum_{k=-\lfloor n/3\rfloor}^{\lfloor n/3\rfloor} \sum_{\substack{A\subseteq S\\ \#A=n+3k}} \operatorname{sgn}(A)q^{||A||} = \sum_{k=-\lfloor n/3\rfloor}^{\lfloor n/3\rfloor} (-1)^k {2n\brack n+3k} q^{\binom{n+3k+1}{2}} q^{-(n+3k)n}.$$

Let

$$\mathscr{Q}^* := \{A \subseteq \{a_1, \dots, a_{2n-1}\}: \ \#(A \cap \{a_1, \dots, a_{2i+1}\}) \notin \{i-1, i+2\}, \ i = 1, \dots, n-1\}.$$

Then (3.5) also holds for  $A \in \mathcal{Q}^*$ . Moreover, for  $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ , we have three choices for each  $A \cap \{a_{2i}, a_{2i+1}\}$ , namely,  $\{a_{2i}\}, \{a_{2i+1}\}, \{a_{2i}, a_{2i+1}\}$  if  $\#(A \cap \{a_1, \ldots, a_{2i-1}\}) = i-1$ , and  $\emptyset, \{a_{2i}\}, \{a_{2i+1}\}$  if  $\#(A \cap \{a_1, \ldots, a_{2i-1}\}) = i$ . Noticing that  $a_{2i} + a_{2i+1} = 0$ , we have

$$\sum_{A \in \mathcal{Q}^*} q^{||A||} = \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{Q}^* \\ \#A = n - 1}} q^{||A||} + \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{Q}^* \\ \#A = n}} q^{||A||} = 2 \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (q^i + q^{-i} + q^0).$$

It is not hard to image that there should exist a bijection from  $\{A \in \mathcal{Q}^* : \#A = n-1\}$  to  $\{A \in \mathcal{Q}^* : \#A = n\}$  which preserves the weight. Indeed, our definition of the involution  $A \mapsto A''$  on  $\mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{Q}$  can be simultaneously applied to  $\mathcal{Q}^*$ , which yields the desired bijection. It follows that

$$\sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{Q}^* \\ \#A = n - 1}} q^{||A||} = \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{Q}^* \\ \#A = n}} q^{||A||} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (q^i + q^{-i} + q^0).$$

Since

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{ A \in \mathcal{Q}^* : \#A = n \} | + | \{ A \cup \{a_{2n}\} : A \in \mathcal{Q}^*, \#A = n - 1 \} | + | \{ A \cup \{a_{2n}\} : A \in \mathcal{Q}^*, \#A = n - 1 \} | + | \{ A \cup \{a_{2n}\} : A \in \mathcal{Q}^*, \#A = n - 1 \} | + | \{ A \cup \{a_{2n}\} : A \in \mathcal{Q}^*, \#A = n - 1 \} | + | \{ A \cup \{a_{2n}\} : A \in \mathcal{Q}^*, \#A = n - 1 \} | + | \{ A \cup \{a_{2n}\} : A \in \mathcal{Q}^*, \#A = n - 1 \} | + | \{ A \cup \{a_{2n}\} : A \in \mathcal{Q}^*, \#A = n - 1 \} | + | \{ A \cup \{a_{2n}\} : A \in \mathcal{Q}^*, \#A = n - 1 \} | + | \{ A \cup \{a_{2n}\} : A \in \mathcal{Q}^*, \#A = n - 1 \} | + | \{ A \cup \{a_{2n}\} : A \in \mathcal{Q}^*, \#A = n - 1 \} | + | \{ A \cup \{a_{2n}\} : A \in \mathcal{Q}^*, \#A = n - 1 \} | + | \{ A \cup \{a_{2n}\} : A \in \mathcal{Q}^*, \#A = n - 1 \} | + | \{ A \cup \{a_{2n}\} : A \in \mathcal{Q}^*, \#A = n - 1 \} | + | \{ A \cup \{a_{2n}\} : A \in \mathcal{Q}^*, \#A = n - 1 \} | + | \{ A \cup \{a_{2n}\} : A \in \mathcal{Q}^*, \#A = n - 1 \} | + | \{ A \cup \{a_{2n}\} : A \in \mathcal{Q}^*, \#A = n - 1 \} | + | \{ A \cup \{a_{2n}\} : A \in \mathcal{Q}^*, \#A = n - 1 \} | + | \{ A \cup \{a_{2n}\} : A \in \mathcal{Q}^*, \#A = n - 1 \} | + | \{ A \cup \{a_{2n}\} : A \in \mathcal{Q}^*, \#A = n - 1 \} | + | \{ A \cup \{a_{2n}\} : A \in \mathcal{Q}^*, \#A = n - 1 \} | + | \{ A \cup \{a_{2n}\} : A \cup \{a_{2n}\} : A \in \mathcal{Q}^*, \#A = n - 1 \} | + | \{ A \cup \{a_{2n}\} : A \cup \{a_$$

 $(a_{2n} = n \text{ in this proof})$ , the right-hand of (3.7) equals

$$\sum_{A \in \mathcal{D}} q^{||A||} = \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{D}^* \\ \#A = n}} q^{||A||} + q^n \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{D}^* \\ \#A = n-1}} q^{||A||} = (1+q^n) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (q^i + q^{-i} + q^0).$$

The proof then follows after simplification.

*Proof of* (1.10). Suppose  $n \ge 3$ . Let  $a_1 = 0$ ,  $a_{2n-2} = n-1$ ,  $a_{2n-1} = n$ ,  $a_{2n} = n+1$  and  $\{a_{2i}, a_{2i+1}\} = \{-i, i\}$  for i = 1, ..., n-2. For any  $A \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{Q}$ , we claim that

$$\#(A \cap \{a_1,\ldots,a_{2n-1}\}) \notin \{n-2,n+1\}.$$

Otherwise, we have

$$\#A \in \{n-2, n-1, n+1, n+2\},\$$

which is contrary to the definition (3.1). Therefore, the index i we choose for (3.4) is indeed less than n-1. Since  $a_{2i}+a_{2i+1}=0$   $(1 \le i \le n-2)$  here, the previous involution  $A \mapsto A''$  is still weight-preserving and sign-reversing, and thus (3.7) holds again. In this case, the left-hand of (3.7) equals

$$\sum_{k=-\lfloor n/3\rfloor}^{\lfloor n/3\rfloor} (-1)^k {2n \brack n+3k} q^{\binom{n+3k+1}{2}} q^{-(n+3k)(n-1)}.$$

To evaluate the right-hand side of (3.7), we introduce

$$\mathcal{Q}^* := \{ A \subseteq \{a_1, \dots, a_{2n-3}\} : \\ \#(A \cap \{a_1, \dots, a_{2i+1}\}) \notin \{i-1, i+2\}, \ i = 1, \dots, n-2 \}.$$

Then the same argument as  $2^*$  implies that

$$\sum_{A \in \mathcal{Q}^*} q^{||A||} = \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{Q}^* \\ \#A = n - 1}} q^{||A||} + \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{Q}^* \\ \#A = n - 2}} q^{||A||} = 2 \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} (q^i + q^{-i} + q^0). \quad (3.8)$$

Moreover, our definition for the involution  $A \mapsto A''$  on  $\mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{Q}$  can also be applied to  $\mathcal{Q}^*$ , and we have

$$\sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{Z}^* \\ \#A = n - 1}} q^{||A||} = \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{Z}^* \\ \#A = n - 2}} q^{||A||} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} (q^i + q^{-i} + q^0). \tag{3.9}$$

It is easy to see that the right-hand of (3.7) equals

$$\begin{split} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{Q}} q^{||A||} &= \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{Q}^* \\ \#A = n-1}} q^{||A||} \left( q^{a_{2n-2}} + q^{a_{2n-1}} + q^{a_{2n}} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{Q}^* \\ \#A = n-2}} q^{||A||} \left( q^{a_{2n-2} + a_{2n-1}} + q^{a_{2n-2} + a_{2n}} + q^{a_{2n-1} + a_{2n}} \right). \end{split}$$

Substituting (3.8) and  $\{a_{2n-2}, a_{2n-1}, a_{2n}\} = \{n-1, n, n+1\}$  into the above equation, we complete the proof of (1.10).

No doubt that we may define the involution  $A \mapsto A''$  on the set  $\{a_1, \ldots, a_{2n+1}\}$ . Let  $\{a_1, \ldots, a_{2n}\}$  be as in the proof of (1.9). Then putting  $a_{2n+1} = -n$  we obtain

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{(9k^2 - 3k)/2} \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ n+3k \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(q^3; q^3)_n}{(q; q)_n}, \tag{3.10}$$

while putting  $a_{2n+1} = n+1$  we get

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{(9k^2+3k)/2} {2n+1 \brack n+3k} = \frac{(q^3; q^3)_{n-1}}{(q; q)_{n-1}} (1+q^n+q^{n+1}) \quad (n \ge 1).$$
(3.11)

Both (3.10) and (3.11) are q-analogues of (1.12). Finally, we point out that the following two identities:

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{(9k^2+9k)/2} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n+3k+1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(q^3; q^3)_{n-1}}{(q; q)_{n-1}} q^{n-1} \chi(n > 0),$$

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{(9k^2+3k)/2} \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ n+3k+1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(q^3; q^3)_n}{(q; q)_n}$$

appearing in [5] can also be proved in the same way.

# 4 Proofs of (1.11)

First Proof. By the binomial theorem, we have

$$\left(\sqrt{3} + i\right)^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} 3^{k/2} i^{n-k}$$

$$= i^n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{2k} (-3)^k + i^{n-1} \sqrt{3} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{2k+1} (-3)^k.$$
 (4.1)

On the other hand, there holds

$$\left(\sqrt{3} + i\right)^n = 2^n \left(\cos\frac{\pi}{6} + i\sin\frac{\pi}{6}\right)^n = 2^n \left(\cos\frac{n\pi}{6} + i\sin\frac{n\pi}{6}\right). \tag{4.2}$$

Comparing (4.1) and (4.2), we immediately get (1.11) and its companion

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{2k+1} (-3)^k = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ (-2)^{n-1}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ (-1)^n 2^{n-1}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$
(4.3)

Second Proof. Let  $\Gamma = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$  denote an alphabet. For a word  $w = w_1 \cdots w_n \in \Gamma^*$ , its length n is denoted by |w|. For any  $x \in \Gamma$ , let  $|w|_x$  be the number of x's appearing in the word w. Let  $W_n$  denote the set of words  $w = w_1 \cdots w_n \in \Gamma^*$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $|w|_a + |w|_b + |w|_c = |w|_d$ .
- (ii) If we remove all e's from w, then each d is in the even position.

It is easy to see that there are  $\binom{n}{2k}3^k$  words  $w \in W_n$  such that  $|w|_d = k$ , and so

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{2k} (-3)^k = \sum_{w \in W_n} (-1)^{|w|_d}.$$

We call  $(-1)^{|w|_d}$  the sign of the word w. In what follows, we shall construct an involution on  $W_n$  which is sign-reversing for all non-fixed points.

For any word  $w = w_1 \cdots w_n \in W_n$ , let  $u_i = w_{3i-2}w_{3i-1}w_{3i}$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, \lfloor n/3 \rfloor$ . According to the conditions (i) and (ii), the subwords  $u_i$  have at most 43 cases. Let us classify them into three types as follows:

X: ade, bde, cde, aed, bed, ced, ead, ebd;

Y: eee, aee, bee, cee, eae, ebe, ece, eea, eeb, eec, dee, ede, eed, ecd, ada, adb, adc, bda, bdb, bdc, cda, cdb, cdc, dad, dbd, dcd;

Z: eda, edb, edc, dea, deb, dec, dae, dbe, dce.

We claim that all the words in  $W_n$  with a  $u_i$  of type Y cancel pairwise. Indeed, for such a word w, choose the smallest number i such that  $u_i$  is of type Y. Then we obtain a word w' by replacing  $u_i$  by  $u_i'$ , where  $u_i \longleftrightarrow u_i'$  is determined by the following table:

$eee \longleftrightarrow ecd$				
$ebe \longleftrightarrow bdb$	$ece \longleftrightarrow bdc$	$eea \longleftrightarrow cda$	$eeb \longleftrightarrow cdb$	$eec \longleftrightarrow cdc$
$dee \longleftrightarrow dad$	$ede \longleftrightarrow dbd$	$eed \longleftrightarrow dcd$		

It is clear that  $w' \in W_n$ ,  $|w'|_d = |w|_d \pm 1$ , and hence  $w \mapsto w'$  is a sign-reversing involution.

On the other hand, for any word  $w \in W_n$ , we claim that if no  $u_i$  in w is of type Y, then no  $u_i$  in w is of type Z. In fact, by the definition of w,  $u_1$  must be of type X or Y. By the condition (ii), none of dd, ded, deed can appear in w and therefore no  $u_i$  of type X in w can be followed by a  $u_j$  of type Z. This proves the claim. It follows that the remained words in  $W_n$  are just those all  $u_i$  are of type X, and vice versa. Namely,

$$\sum_{w \in W_n} (-1)^{|w|_d} = \sum_{\substack{w \in W_n \\ \text{all } u_i \text{ is of type } X}} (-1)^{|w|_d}. \tag{4.4}$$

Consider the right-hand side of (4.4) (RHS(4.4)) for short). Note that each  $u_i$  has 8 possible choices. We have the following three cases:

- If  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , then  $|w|_d = n/3$  and  $RHS(4.4) = (-8)^{n/3}$ .
- If  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , then w must be ended by a letter e,  $|w|_d = (n-1)/3$ , and  $RHS(4.4) = (-8)^{(n-1)/3}$ .
- If  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , then w may be ended by ee, ad, bd, or cd, and

$$RHS(4.4) = (-8)^{(n-2)/3} + 3(-1)^{(n+1)/3}8^{(n-2)/3} = (-2)^{n-1}.$$

This completes the proof.

The combinatorial proof of (4.3) is exactly analogous. We need only to replace the condition (i) by  $|w|_a + |w|_b + |w|_c = |w|_d - 1$ , and change "even" to "odd" in the condition (ii).

It is difficult to find q-analogues of (1.11) and (4.3). However, the mathematics software MAPLE hints us to propose the following two interesting conjectures.

Conjecture 4.1 Let  $l, m, n \ge 0$  and  $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$ . Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^k q^{2lk^2 + 2mk} {n \brack 2k + \epsilon} (1 + q + q^2)^k$$

is divisible by  $(1+q)^{\lfloor (n+2)/4 \rfloor} (1+q^2)^{\lfloor (n+4)/8 \rfloor}$ .

Conjecture 4.2 Let  $m, n \ge 0$  and  $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$ . Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^k q^{2k^2 + 2mk} \binom{n}{2k+\epsilon} (1+q+q^2)^k$$

is divisible by  $(1+q)^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (1+q^2)^{\lfloor n/4 \rfloor}$ .

## References

- G. E. Andrews, The Theory of Partitions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [2] A. Berkovich and S.O. Warnaar, Positivity preserving transformations for q-binomial coefficients, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 357 (2005), 2291-2351.
- [3] D. M. Bressoud, Some identities for terminating q-series, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 89 (1981), 211–223.
- [4] J. W. Harris, and H. Stocker, Handbook of Mathematics and Computational Science, New York, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [5] M. E. H. Ismail, D. Kim, and D. Stanton, Lattice paths and positive trigonometric sums, Constr. Approx. 15 (1999), 69-81.

- [6] A. V. Sills, Finite Rogers-Ramanujan type identities, Electron. J. Combin. 10 (2003), #R13.
- [7] L. J. Slater, A new proof of Rogers's transformations of infinite series, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 53 (1951), 460-475.
- [8] L. J. Slater, Further identities of the Rogers-Ramanujan type, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 54 (1952), 147-167.