

The Linear 2-Arboricity of Some Planar Graphs *

Changqing Xu Jingjing Chang

Department of Applied Mathematics, Hebei University of Technology,

Tianjin 300401 P. R. China

chqxu@hebut.edu.cn

Abstract

Let G be a planar graph with maximum degree $\Delta(G)$. The least integer k such that G can be partitioned into k edge disjoint forests, whose each component is a path of length at most 2, is called the linear 2-arboricity of G , which is denoted by $la_2(G)$. we give new upper bound of the linear 2-arboricity of some planar graphs.

Keywords: planar graph, linear arboricity, linear 2-arboricity.

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider finite simple graphs. Let G be a planar graph with maximum degree $\Delta(G)$ and minimum degree $\delta(G)$. The linear 2-arboricity of G is the least integer k such that G can be partitioned into k edge disjoint forests, whose component trees are paths of length at most 2. It is denoted by $la_2(G)$.

Lih, Tong and Wang [4] studied the linear 2-arboricity of a planar graph G with maximum degree Δ and girth g . For planar graph they gave the following upper bound of the linear 2-arboricity.

Theorem 1. [4] *If G is a planar graph, then $la_2(G) \leq \lceil (\Delta + 1)/2 \rceil + 12$.*

Theorem 2. [4] *Let G be a planar graph with girth g . If $g \geq 4$, then $la_2(G) \leq \lceil (\Delta + 1)/2 \rceil + 6$.*

For a planar graph without 5-cycles or without 6-cycles, Ma, Wu and Yu [5] got the following result.

*This research was supported by NSFC(11301135), HNSF(A2011202071) and HNSF(A2012202067) of China

Theorem 3. [5] *If G is a planar graph without 5-cycles or without 6-cycles, then $la_2(G) \leq \lceil (\Delta + 1)/2 \rceil + 6$.*

For planar graphs without adjacent short cycles, Chen, Tan and Wu [3] got the following results.

Theorem 4. [3] *If G is a planar graph without adjacent 3-cycles, then $la_2(G) \leq \lceil (\Delta)/2 \rceil + 8$.*

Theorem 5. [3] *If G is a planar graph without adjacent 4-cycles, then $la_2(G) \leq \lceil (\Delta)/2 \rceil + 10$.*

Theorem 6. [3] *If G is a planar graph that any 3-cycle is not adjacent to a 4-cycle, then $la_2(G) \leq \lceil (\Delta)/2 \rceil + 6$.*

In [7], the upper bound of $la_2(G)$ is improved to $la_2(G) \leq \lceil \Delta/2 \rceil + 8$ if $\Delta = 0, 3 \pmod{4}$ and $la_2(G) \leq \lceil \Delta/2 \rceil + 7$ if $\Delta = 1, 2 \pmod{4}$. In this note, we improve the upper bound of $la_2(G)$ in Theorem 2 ~ Theorem 6.

2 The Main Results

To get our results, we need the following Lemmas.

Lemma 7. [4] *If a graph G can be edge-partitioned into m subgraphs G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m , then $la_2(G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m la_2(G_i)$.*

Lemma 8. [2] *For a forest T , we have $la_2(T) \leq \lceil (\Delta(T) + 1)/2 \rceil$.*

Lemma 9. [1] *For a graph G , we have $la_2(G) \leq \Delta(G)$.*

For $s \geq 2$, an even cycle $C = v_1v_2 \dots v_{2s}v_1$ is called a 2-alternating cycle if $d_G(v_1) = d_G(v_3) = \dots = d_G(v_{2s-1}) = 2$.

Definition 10. A planar graph G is called a $(k, 1)$ -graph if for each of its nontrivial components H , one of the following holds:

- (1) $\delta(H) = 1$;
- (2) $\delta(H) \geq 2$ and there exists an edge $xy \in E(H)$ such that $d_G(x) + d_G(y) \leq k$ or there exists a 2-alternating cycle.

If G is a $(k, 1)$ -graph and each subgraph of G is also a $(k, 1)$ -graph, then G is called a $(k, 1)$ -hereditary graph.

Lemma 11. *Let G be a $(9, 1)$ -hereditary planar graph. Then G has an edge-partition into two forests T_1, T_2 and a subgraph H such that, for every $v \in V(G)$:*

- (1) *If $d_G(v) > 4$, then $d_{T_i}(v) \leq \lceil d_G(v)/2 \rceil - 1$ ($i = 1, 2$), and $d_H(v) \leq 4$.*
- (2) *If $d_G(v) \leq 4$, then $d_{T_i}(v) \leq \min\{2, \lceil d_G(v)/2 \rceil\}$ ($i = 1, 2$).*

Proof. We prove the result by induction on $|V(G)| + |E(G)|$. If $|V(G)| + |E(G)| \leq 5$, the result holds trivially. Now let $|V(G)| + |E(G)| \geq 6$. If $\Delta(G) \leq 4$, then let $H = G, T_1 = T_2 = \phi$, the result holds.

Suppose that $\Delta(G) \geq 5$, without loss of generality assume that G is connected. By induction, for any proper subgraph G' of G , G' has an edge-partition into two forests T'_1, T'_2 and a subgraph H' , for every $v \in V(G')$, $d_{H'}(v) \leq 4$ and if $d_{G'}(v) > 4$, then $d_{T'_i}(v) \leq \lceil d_{G'}(v)/2 \rceil - 1 (i = 1, 2)$, if $d_{G'}(v) \leq 4$, then $d_{T'_i}(v) \leq \min\{2, \lceil d_{G'}(v)/2 \rceil\} (i = 1, 2)$.

Case 1. $\delta(G) = 1$. Let $uv \in E(G)$ with $d(u) = 1$. Define $G' = G - uv$.

Subcase 1.1. $d_{H'}(v) \leq 3$. Let $H = H' + uv, T_i = T'_i (i = 1, 2)$.

If $d_G(v) > 5$, then $d_{G'}(v) > 4$, we get that $d_{T_i}(v) = d_{T'_i}(v) \leq \lceil d_{G'}(v)/2 \rceil - 1 \leq \lceil d_G(v)/2 \rceil - 1, i = 1, 2$.

If $d_G(v) \leq 4$, then $d_{G'}(v) \leq 3$, we have $d_{T_i}(v) = d_{T'_i}(v) \leq \min\{2, \lceil d_{G'}(v)/2 \rceil\} \leq \min\{2, \lceil d_G(v)/2 \rceil\}, i = 1, 2$.

If $d_G(v) = 5$, then $d_{G'}(v) = 4$, we have $d_{T_i}(v) = d_{T'_i}(v) \leq \min\{2, \lceil 4/2 \rceil\} = 2 = \lceil 5/2 \rceil - 1, i = 1, 2$.

Subcase 1.2. $d_{H'}(v) = 4$. We may suppose that $d_{T'_1}(v) \leq d_{T'_2}(v)$. Since $d_{G'}(v) = d_G(v) - 1 = d_{T'_1}(v) + d_{T'_2}(v) + 4$, we have $d_{T'_1}(v) \leq (d_G(v) - 5)/2$. Let $T_1 = T'_1 + uv, T_2 = T'_2$ and $H = H'$. Note that $d_G(v) \geq 5$.

If $d_G(v) > 5$, then $d_{T_1}(v) = 1 + d_{T'_1}(v) \leq 1 + (d_G(v) - 5)/2 \leq \lceil d_G(v)/2 \rceil - 1$.

If $d_G(v) = 5$, then $d_{T'_1}(v) = 0, d_{T_1}(v) = 1 < \lceil d_G(v)/2 \rceil - 1$.

Since $d_G(u) = 1, d_{T_1}(u) = 1 = \min\{2, \lceil d_G(u)/2 \rceil\}$. Obviously, for all $x \in V(G), x \neq \{u, v\}, d_{T_1}(x) = d_{T'_1}(x)$; and for all $x \in V(G), d_{T_2}(x) = d_{T'_2}(x), d_H(x) = d_{H'}(x)$.

Case 2. $\delta(G) \geq 2$. Based on the definition of (9,1)-hereditary graph, we consider two subcases.

Subcase 2.1. There is an edge $xy \in E(G)$ such that $d_G(x) + d_G(y) \leq 9$.

Define $G' = G - xy$, and assume that $d_{H'}(x) \leq d_{H'}(y)$.

If $d_{H'}(y) \leq 3$, let $H = H' + xy, T_i = T'_i, i = 1, 2$. Then with the same discussion as in $\delta(G) = 1$, the lemma holds.

If $d_{H'}(y) = 4$, then $1 \leq d_{G'}(x) \leq 3$ and $d_{G'}(x) + d_{T'_1}(y) + d_{T'_2}(y) \leq 3$. We may assume that $d_{T'_1}(x) \leq d_{T'_2}(x)$. Based on the degree of $d_{G'}(x)$ we consider three cases.

(a) $d_{G'}(x) = 3$, then $y \notin T'_1, y \notin T'_2$. Let $T_1 = T'_1 + xy, T_2 = T'_2, H = H'$. Now $d_G(x) = 4, d_{T_1}(x) \leq 2 = \min\{2, \lceil d_G(x)/2 \rceil\}, d_G(y) = 5, d_{T_1}(y) = 1 < \lceil d_G(y)/2 \rceil - 1$.

(b) $d_{G'}(x) = 2$, then $d_{T'_i}(x) \leq \min\{2, \lceil d_{G'}(x)/2 \rceil\} = 1 (i = 1, 2)$. Since $d_{T'_1}(y) + d_{T'_2}(y) \leq 1$, then $y \notin T'_1$ or $y \notin T'_2$. Suppose that $y \notin T'_1$ (the proof of the case $y \notin T'_2$ is similar). Let $T_1 = T'_1 + xy, T_2 = T'_2, H = H'$. T_1 is a forest, $d_{T_1}(x) \leq 2 = \min\{2, \lceil d_G(x)/2 \rceil\}, d_G(y) \geq 5, d_{T_1}(y) = 1 < \lceil d_G(y)/2 \rceil - 1$.

(c) $d_{G'}(x) = 1$, then $x \notin T'_1$. Let $T_1 = T'_1 + xy$, $T_2 = T'_2$, $H = H'$. Obviously, T_1 is a forest and $d_{T_1}(x) = 1 = \min\{2, \lceil d_G(x)/2 \rceil\}$, $d_{T'_1}(y) \leq 2$, $d_{T_1}(y) = d_{T'_1}(y) + 1$, and $5 \leq d_G(y) \leq 7$. If $d_{T'_1}(y) \leq 1$, then $d_{T_1}(y) \leq 2 \leq \lceil d_G(y)/2 \rceil - 1$. If $d_{T'_1}(y) = 2$, then $d_G(y) = 7$, $d_{T_1}(y) = 3 = \lceil 7/2 \rceil - 1$.

From the above discussion the lemma holds in case 2.1. In the following we assume that for all $xy \in E(G)$, $d_G(x) + d_G(y) > 9$.

Subcase 2.2. There is a 2-alternating cycle $C = v_1v_2 \dots v_{2s}v_1$, $s \geq 2$, such that $d_G(v_1) = d_G(v_3) = \dots = d_G(v_{2s-1}) = 2$.

Define $G' = G - E(C)$. Let $T_1 = T'_1 + \{v_1v_2, v_3v_4, \dots, v_{2s-1}v_{2s}\}$, $T_2 = T'_2 + \{v_2v_3, v_4v_5, \dots, v_{2s}v_1\}$, and $H = H'$. Note that T_1 and T_2 are forests. For each $x \in V(C)$, $d_G(x) = d_{G'}(x) + 2$, and $d_{T_1}(v_j) = d_{T_2}(v_j) = 1 = \min\{2, \lceil d_G(v_j)/2 \rceil\}$, $j = 1, 3, \dots, 2s - 1$. Since $d_G(v_j) > 7$, $d_{G'}(v_j) > 5$, $j = 2, 4, \dots, 2s$, we have $d_{T_i}(v_j) = d_{T'_i}(v_j) + 1 \leq \lceil d_{G'}(v_j)/2 \rceil - 1 + 1 = \lceil d_G(v_j)/2 \rceil - 1$, $i = 1, 2$.

Form the above discussion the result holds. \square

Similarly, we can prove that.

Lemma 12. *Let G be a $(k, 1)$ -hereditary planar graph ($10 \leq k \leq 14$). Then G has an edge-partition into two forests T_1, T_2 and a subgraph H such that, for every $v \in V(G)$:*

(1) *If $d_G(v) > k - 5$, then $d_{T_i}(v) \leq \lceil d_G(v)/2 \rceil - \lfloor k/2 \rfloor + 4$ ($i = 1, 2$), and $d_H(v) \leq k - 5$.*

(2) *If $d_G(v) \leq k - 5$, then $d_{T_i}(v) \leq \min\{2, \lceil d_G(v)/2 \rceil\}$ ($i = 1, 2$).*

By Lemma 11 and Lemma 12, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 13. *Let G be a $(k, 1)$ -hereditary planar graph ($9 \leq k \leq 14$) and $\Delta(G) > k - 5$. Then G has an edge-partition into two forests T_1, T_2 and a subgraph H such that $\Delta(T_i) \leq \lceil \Delta(G)/2 \rceil - \lfloor k/2 \rfloor + 4$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $\Delta(H) \leq k - 5$.*

Theorem 14. *Let G be a $(k, 1)$ -hereditary planar graph ($9 \leq k \leq 14$), then*

$$la_2(G) \leq \lceil \Delta(G)/2 \rceil + \lfloor k/2 \rfloor + 1.$$

Proof. If $\Delta(G) \leq k - 5$, the result hold trivially. Now suppose that $\Delta(G) \geq k - 4$. By Corollary 13, and combining Lemma 7, 8, and 9, we get that:

$$\begin{aligned} la_2(G) &\leq la_2(T_1) + la_2(T_2) + la_2(H) \\ &\leq \lceil (\Delta(T_1) + 1)/2 \rceil + \lceil (\Delta(T_2) + 1)/2 \rceil + \Delta(H) \\ &\leq 2\lceil (\lceil \Delta(G)/2 \rceil - \lfloor k/2 \rfloor + 4 + 1)/2 \rceil + k - 5 \\ &\leq \lceil \Delta(G)/2 \rceil - \lfloor k/2 \rfloor + 6 + k - 5 \\ &= \lceil \Delta(G)/2 \rceil + \lfloor k/2 \rfloor + 1. \end{aligned}$$

\square

It is proved in [6], [5] and [3] that a planar graph without 3-cycles, or without 5-cycles or without 6-cycles, or a planar graph that any 3-cycle is not adjacent to a 4-cycle are (9,1)-hereditary graphs. So we have

Corollary 15. *Let G be a planar graph without 3-cycles, then $la_2(G) \leq \lceil \Delta/2 \rceil + 5$.*

Corollary 16. *If G is a planar graph without 5-cycles or without 6-cycles, then $la_2(G) \leq \lceil \Delta/2 \rceil + 5$.*

Corollary 17. *If G is a planar graph that any 3-cycle is not adjacent to a 4-cycle, then $la_2(G) \leq \lceil (\Delta)/2 \rceil + 5$.*

It is proved in [3] that a planar graph without adjacent 3-cycles is a (11,1)-hereditary graph and a planar graph without adjacent 4-cycles is a (13,1)-hereditary graph. So we have

Corollary 18. *If G is a planar graph without adjacent 3-cycles, then $la_2(G) \leq \lceil (\Delta)/2 \rceil + 6$.*

Corollary 19. *If G is a planar graph without adjacent 4-cycles, then $la_2(G) \leq \lceil (\Delta)/2 \rceil + 7$.*

References

- [1] J.C. Bermond, J.L. Fouquet, M. Habib, B. Péroche. On linear k-arboricity. *Discrete Math.*, 52 (1984), 123-132
- [2] B.L. Chen, H.L. Fu, K.C. Huang. Decomposing graphs into forests of paths with size less than three. *Austral J Combin.*, 3 (1991), 55-73
- [3] H.Y. Chen, X. Tan, J. Wu. The Linear 2-Arboricity of planar graphs without adjacent short cycles. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc*, 49 (2012), 145-154
- [4] K.W. Lih, L.D. Tong, W.F. Wang. The Linear 2-Arboricity of planar Graphs. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 19 (2003), 241-248
- [5] Q. Ma, J.L. Wu, X. Yu. Planar graphs without 5-cycles or without 6-cycles. *Discrete Math.*, 309 (2009), 2998-3005
- [6] J.L. Wu. On the linear arboricity of planar graphs. *Graphs Theory*, 31(2) (1999), 129-134
- [7] C.Q. Xu, L.S. An. An Upper Bound of the Linear 2-Arboricity of Planar Graph, submitted