

# SUBSEQUENCE SUMS OF ZERO-SUM FREE SEQUENCES II

PINGZHI YUAN

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $G$  be a finite abelian group, and let  $S$  be a sequence over  $G$ . Let  $f(S)$  denote the number of elements in  $G$  which can be expressed as the sum over a nonempty subsequence of  $S$ . In this paper, we determine all the sequences  $S$  that contains no zero-sum subsequences and  $f(S) \leq 2|S| - 1$ .

**MSC:** Primary 11B75; Secondary 11B50.

**Key words:** Zero-sum problems, Davenport's constant, zero-sum free sequences.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a finite abelian group (written additively) throughout the present paper.  $\mathcal{F}(G)$  denotes the free abelian monoid with basis  $G$ , the elements of which are called *sequences* (over  $G$ ). A sequence of not necessarily distinct elements from  $G$  will be written in the form  $S = g_1 \cdots g_k = \prod_{i=1}^k g_i = \prod_{g \in G} g^{v_g(S)} \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ , where  $v_g(S) \geq 0$  is called the *multiplicity* of  $g$  in  $S$ . Denote by  $|S| = k$  the number of elements in  $S$  (or the *length* of  $S$ ) and let  $\text{supp}(S) = \{g \in G : v_g(S) > 0\}$  be the *support* of  $S$ .

We say that  $S$  contains some  $g \in G$  if  $v_g(S) \geq 1$  and a sequence  $T \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  is a *subsequence* of  $S$  if  $v_g(T) \leq v_g(S)$  for every  $g \in G$ , denoted by  $T|S$ . If  $T|S$ , then let  $ST^{-1}$  denote the sequence obtained by deleting the terms of  $T$  from  $S$ . Furthermore, by  $\sigma(S)$  we denote the sum of  $S$ , (i.e.  $\sigma(S) = \sum_{i=1}^k g_i = \sum_{g \in G} v_g(S)g \in G$ ). By  $\Sigma(S)$  we denote the set consisting of all elements which can be expressed as a sum over a nonempty subsequence of  $S$ , i.e.

$$\Sigma(S) = \{\sigma(T) : T \text{ is a nonempty subsequence of } S\}.$$

We write  $f(S) = |\Sigma(S)|$ ,  $\langle S \rangle$  for the subgroup of  $G$  generated by all the elements of  $S$ .

Let  $S$  be a sequence over  $G$ . We call  $S$  a *zero – sum sequence* if  $\sigma(S) = 0$ , a *zero – sum free sequence* if  $\sigma(W) \neq 0$  for any subsequence  $W$  of  $S$ , and *squarefree* if  $v_g(S) \leq 1$  for every  $g \in G$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{A}^*(G)$  the set of all zero-sum free sequences in  $\mathcal{F}(G)$ .

Let  $D(G)$  be the Davenport’s constant of  $G$ , i.e., the smallest integer  $d$  such that every sequence  $S$  over  $G$  with  $|S| \geq d$  satisfies  $0 \in \sum(S)$ . For every positive integer  $r$  in the interval  $\{1, \dots, D(G) - 1\}$ , let

$$f_G(r) = \min_{S, |S|=r} f(S), \tag{1.1}$$

where  $S$  runs over all zero-sum free sequences of  $r$  elements in  $G$ . How does the function  $f_G$  behave?

In 2006, Gao and Leader proved the following result.

**Theorem A** [5] *Let  $G$  be a finite abelian group of exponent  $m$ . Then*

- (i) *If  $1 \leq r \leq m - 1$  then  $f_G(r) = r$ .*
- (ii) *If  $\gcd(6, m) = 1$  and  $G$  is not cyclic then  $f_G(m) = 2m - 1$ .*

Recently, Sun[10] showed that  $f_G(m) = 2m - 1$  still holds without the restriction that  $\gcd(6, m) = 1$ .

Using some techniques from the author [11], the author [12] proved the following two theorems.

**Theorem B** [12, 8] *Let  $S$  be a zero-sum free sequence over  $G$  such that  $\langle S \rangle$  is not a cyclic group, then  $f(S) \geq 2|S| - 1$ .*

**Theorem C** [12] *Let  $S$  be a zero-sum free sequence over  $G$  such that  $\langle S \rangle$  is not a cyclic group and  $f(S) = 2|S| - 1$ . Then  $S$  is one of the following forms*

- (i)  $S = a^x(a + g)^y$ ,  $x \geq y \geq 1$ , where  $g$  is an element of order 2.
- (ii)  $S = a^x(a + g)^y g$ ,  $x \geq y \geq 1$ , where  $g$  is an element of order 2.
- (iii)  $S = a^x b$ ,  $x \geq 1$ .

However, Theorem B is an old theorem of Olson and White [8] which has been overlooked by the author. For more recent progress on this topic, see [4, 9, 13].

The main purpose of the present paper is to determine all the sequences  $S$  over a finite abelian group such that  $S$  contains no zero-sum subsequences and  $f(S) \leq 2|S| - 1$ . To begin with, we need the notation of  $g$ -smooth.

**Definition 1.1.** [7, Definition 5.1.3] *A sequence  $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  is called smooth if  $S = (n_1g)(n_2g) \cdots (n_lg)$ , where  $|S| \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $g \in G$ ,  $1 =$*

$n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_l, n = n_1 + \dots + n_l < \text{ord}(g)$  and  $\sum(S) = \{g, \dots, ng\}$  (in this case we say more precisely that  $S$  is  $g$ -smooth).

We have

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite abelian group and let  $S$  be a zero-sum free sequence over  $G$  with  $f(S) \leq 2|S| - 1$ . Then  $S$  has one of the following forms:*

- (i)  $S$  is  $a$ -smooth for some  $a \in G$ .
- (ii)  $S = a^k b$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a, b \in G$  are distinct.
- (iii)  $S = a^k b^l$ , where  $k \geq l \geq 2$  and  $a, b \in G$  are distinct with  $2a = 2b$ .
- (iv)  $S = a^k b^l (a - b)$ , where  $k \geq l \geq 2$  and  $a, b \in G$  are distinct with  $2a = 2b$ .

For a sequence  $S$  over  $G$  we call

$$h(S) = \max\{v_g(S) | g \in G\} \in [0, |S|]$$

the maximum of the multiplicities of  $S$ .

Let  $S = a^x b^y T$  with  $x \geq y \geq h(T)$ , then Theorem 1.1(i) can be stated more precisely as that  $S$  is  $a$ -smooth or  $b$ -smooth.

## 2. SOME LEMMAS

Let  $\emptyset \neq G_0 \subseteq G$  be a subset of  $G$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define

$f(G_0, k) = \min\{f(S) : S \in \mathcal{F}(G_0) \text{ zero - sumfree, squarefree and } |S| = k\}$  and set  $f(G_0, k) = \infty$ , if there are no sequences over  $G_0$  of the above form.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite abelian group.*

- (1) *If  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $S = S_1 \cdot \dots \cdot S_k \in \mathcal{A}^*(G)$ , then*

$$f(S) \geq f(S_1) + \dots + f(S_k).$$

- (2) *If  $G_0 \subset G$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f(G_0, k) > 0$ , then*

$$f(G_0, k) \begin{cases} = 1, & \text{if } k = 1, \\ = 3, & \text{if } k = 2, \\ \geq 5, & \text{if } k = 3, \\ \geq 6, & \text{if } k = 3 \text{ and } 2g \neq 0 \text{ for all } g \in G_0, \\ \geq 2k, & \text{if } k \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* 1. See [6, Theorem 5.3.1].

2. See [6, Corollary 5.3.4]. □

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $a, b$  be two distinct elements in an abelian group  $G$  such that  $a^2b^2 \in A^*(G)$ ,  $2a \neq 2b, a \neq 2b$ , and  $b \neq 2a$ . Then  $f(a^2b^2) = 8$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $a, 2a, b, 2b, a + b, a + 2b, 2a + b, 2a + 2b$  are all the distinct elements in  $\sum(a^2b^2)$ . We are done. □

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $S = a^k b$  be a zero-sum free sequence over  $G$ . If  $S = a^k b$  is not  $a$ -smooth, then  $f(S) = 2k + 1$ .*

*Proof.* The assertion follows from the fact that  $a, \dots, ka, b, a + b, \dots, ka + b$  are all the distinct elements in  $\sum(a^k b)$ . □

**Lemma 2.4.** [10, Lemma 4] *Let  $S$  be a zero-sum free sequence over  $G$ . If there is some element  $g$  in  $S$  with order 2, then  $f(S) \geq 2|S| - 1$ .*

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $k \geq l \geq 2$  be two integers, and let  $a$  and  $b$  be two distinct elements of  $G$  such that  $a^k b^l \in A^*(G)$  and  $a^k b^l$  is not smooth. Then we have*

(i) *If  $2a \neq 2b$ , then  $f(a^k b^l) \geq 2(k + l)$ .*

(ii) *If  $2a = 2b$ , then  $f(a^k b^l) = 2(k + l) - 1$ .*

*Proof.* If  $nb \neq sa$  for any  $n$  and  $s$  with  $1 \leq n \leq l$  and  $1 \leq s \leq k$ , then  $ra + sb, r + s \neq 0, 0 \leq r \leq k, 0 \leq s \leq b$  are all the distinct elements in  $\sum(a^k b^l)$ , and so

$$f(a^k b^l) = kl + k + l \geq 2(k + l).$$

Now we assume that  $nb = sa$  for some  $n$  and  $s$  with  $1 \leq n \leq l$  and  $1 \leq s \leq k$ . Let  $n$  be the least positive integer with  $nb = sa, 1 \leq n \leq l, 1 \leq s \leq k$ . Then  $n \geq 2$  and  $s \geq 2$  by our assumptions. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} & a, \dots, ka, \dots, (k + \lfloor \frac{l}{n} \rfloor s)a, \\ & b, a + b, \dots, b + ka, \dots, b + (k + \lfloor \frac{l-1}{n} \rfloor s)a, \\ & \dots \dots \dots \\ & (n-1)b, \dots, (n-1)b + ka, \dots, (n-1)b + (k + \lfloor \frac{l-n+1}{n} \rfloor s)a \end{aligned}$$

are all the distinct elements in  $\sum(a^k b^l)$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} f(a^k b^l) &= k + \left[\frac{l}{n}\right]s + 1 + k + \left[\frac{l-1}{n}\right]s + \cdots + 1 + k + \left[\frac{l-n+1}{n}\right]s \\ &= n(k-s+1) + ls + s - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $n(k-s+1) + ls + s - 1 - 2(k+l) = (n-2)(k-s) + (l-1)(s-2) + n - 3$ , we have  $f(a^k b^l) \geq 2(k+l) - 1$  and the equality holds if and only if  $n = s = 2$ , that is  $2a = 2b$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark:** Note that if  $a^k b^l \in \mathcal{A}^*(G)$ ,  $k \geq l \geq 2$ , then the conditions that  $a^k b^l$  is smooth and  $2a = 2b$  cannot hold simultaneously. Otherwise, we may suppose that  $2a = 2b$  and  $a^k b^l$  is  $a$ -smooth (the case that  $a^k b^l$  is  $b$ -smooth is similar), then  $b = ta$ ,  $2 \leq t \leq (k+1)$ . It follows that  $b + (t-2)a = 2(t-1)a = 2b - 2a = 0$ ,  $0 < t-2 \leq k-1$ , which contradicts the fact that  $a^k b^l \in \mathcal{A}^*(G)$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** [12, Lemma 2.9] *Let  $S = a^k b^l g$ ,  $k \geq l \geq 1$  be a zero-sum free sequence over  $G$  with  $b - a = g$  and  $\text{ord}(g) = 2$ , then  $f(S) = 2(k+l) + 1$ .*

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $S_1 \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  and  $a, g \in G$  such that  $S = S_1 a \in \mathcal{A}^*(G)$ ,  $S_1$  is  $g$ -smooth and  $S$  is not  $g$ -smooth. Then  $f(S) = 2f(S_1) + 1$ .*

*Proof.* If  $a \notin \langle g \rangle$ , then  $\sum(S) = \sum(S_1) \cup \{a\} \cup (\sum(S_1) + a)$ , and so  $f(S) = 2f(S_1) + 1$ .

If  $a \in \langle g \rangle$ , we let  $\sum(S_1) = \{g, \dots, ng\}$ ,  $a = tg$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $t \geq n+2$  by our assumptions. It follows that  $\sum(S) = \{g, \dots, ng, tg, (t+1)g, \dots, (t+n)g\}$ , and so  $f(S) = 2f(S_1) + 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $k \geq 2$  be a positive integer and  $a, b, c$  three distinct elements in  $G$  such that  $a^k b c \in \mathcal{A}^*(G)$  and  $a^k b c$  is not  $a$ -smooth. Then  $f(a^k b c) \geq 2k + 4$ .*

*Proof.* Observe that  $f(a^k b c) \geq 2k + 4$  when  $a^k b c$  is  $b$  or  $c$ -smooth. We consider first the case that  $a^k b$  is  $a$ -smooth (the case that  $a^k c$  is  $a$ -smooth is similar). It is easy to see  $f(a^k b) \geq k + 2$ , and so  $f(a^k b c) = 2f(a^k b) + 1 \geq 2k + 5$  by Lemma 2.7. Therefore we may assume that both  $a^k b$  and  $a^k c$  are not  $a$ -smooth in the remaining arguments. We divide the proof into three cases.

(i) If  $a^k(b+c)$  is not  $a$ -smooth, then  $a, \dots, ka, b, b+a, \dots, b+c, b+c+a, \dots, b+c+ka$  are distinct elements in  $\sum(a^kbc)$ , and so

$$f(a^kbc) \geq k + k + 1 + k + 1 \geq 2k + 4.$$

(ii) If neither  $a^k(b-c)$  nor  $a^k(c-b)$  is  $a$ -smooth, then  $a, \dots, ka, b, b+a, \dots, c, c+a, \dots, c+ka, b+c+ka$  are distinct elements in  $\sum(a^kbc)$ , and so

$$f(a^kbc) \geq k + k + 1 + k + 1 + 1 \geq 2k + 5.$$

(iii) If  $a^k(b+c)$  is  $a$ -smooth and  $a^k(b-c)$  (or  $a^k(c-b)$ ) is  $a$ -smooth, then we have

$$b+c = sa, \quad b-c = ta, \quad 1 \leq s, t \leq k+1, \quad s \neq t.$$

It is easy to see that  $a, \dots, ka, (k+1)a, \dots, (k+s)a, c, c+a, \dots, c+(k+t)a$  are all distinct elements in  $\sum(a^kbc)$ , and so

$$f(a^kbc) = k + s + k + t + 1 \geq 2k + 4.$$

The second equality holds if and only if  $(s, t) = (1, 2)$  or  $(2, 1)$ . We are done.  $\square$

The following corollary follows immediately from Lemmas 2.1, and 2.7 and the proof of Lemma 2.9.

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $k \geq 1$  be a positive integer and  $a, b, c, d$  four distinct elements in  $G$  such that  $a^kbcd \in \mathcal{A}^*(G)$  and  $a^kbcd$  is not  $a$ -smooth. Then  $f(a^kbcd) \geq 2k + 6$ .*

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $a, b, x$  be three distinct elements in  $G$  such that  $a^kb^lx \in \mathcal{A}^*(G)$ ,  $k \geq l \geq 1$ ,  $2a = 2b$ , and  $x \neq a - b$ , then  $f(a^kb^lx) \geq 2(k+l+1) + 1$ .*

*Proof.* If there are no distinct pairs  $(m, n) \neq (0, 0), (m_1, n_1) \neq (0, 0), 0 \leq m, m_1 \leq k, 0 \leq n, n_1 \leq l$  such that  $ma + nb = m_1a + n_1b + x$ , then  $\sum(a^kb^lx) = \sum(a^kb^l) \cup \{x\} \cup (\sum(a^kb^l) + x)$ , and so  $f(a^kb^lx) = 2f(a^kb^l) + 1 = 4(k+l) - 1 \geq 2(k+l+1) + 1$ .

If there are two distinct pairs  $(m, n) \neq (0, 0), (m_1, n_1) \neq (0, 0), 0 \leq m, m_1 \leq k, 0 \leq n, n_1 \leq l$  such that  $ma + nb = m_1a + n_1b + x$ , then  $x = a - b$  or  $x = ua + b, 1 \leq u \leq (k+l-1)$  or  $x = vb, v \geq 2$  or  $x = ta, t \geq 2$ .

Let  $x = ua + b, 1 \leq u \leq (k+l-1)$ , then  $a, \dots, (k+l+u)a, b, \dots, b+(k+l+u)a$  are all distinct elements in  $\sum(a^kb^lx)$ , and so  $f(a^kb^lx) = 2(k+l+u) + 1 \geq 2(k+l+1) + 1$ .

Let  $x = vb$ ,  $2 \leq v \leq (k+l)$  (the case that  $x = ta$ ,  $t \geq 2$  is similar). If  $k$  is even, then  $b, \dots, (k+l+v)b, a, a+b, \dots, a+(k+l-2+v)b$  are all distinct elements in  $\sum(a^k b^l x)$ , and so  $f(a^k b^l x) = 2(k+l+v-1)+1 \geq 2(k+l+1)+1$ . If  $k$  is odd, then  $b, \dots, (k+l+v-1)b, a, a+b, \dots, a+(k+l-1+v)b$  are all distinct elements in  $\sum(a^k b^l x)$ , and so  $f(a^k b^l x) = 2(k+l+v-1)+1 \geq 2(k+l+1)+1$ . We are done.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.10.** *Let  $a, b, x$  be three distinct elements in  $G$  such that  $a^k b^2 x \in \mathcal{A}^*(G)$ ,  $k \geq 2$  and  $a^k b^2 x$  is not  $a$ -smooth or  $b$ -smooth, then  $f(a^k b^2 x) = 2k + 5$  if and only if  $2a = 2b$  and  $x = b - a$ .*

*Proof.* We divide the proof into four cases.

**Case 1**  $a^k b^2$  is not smooth and  $2b = sa$ ,  $2 \leq s \leq k$ . If  $x = b - a$ , then  $a, \dots, (k+s)a, b - a, b, \dots, b + (k+s-1)a$  are all the distinct elements in  $\sum(a^k b^2 x)$ , and so  $f(a^k b^2 x) = 2(k+s)+1$ . If  $x = ta$ ,  $2 \leq t \leq k$ , then  $a, \dots, (k+s+t)a, b, \dots, b + (k+t)a$  are all the distinct elements in  $\sum(a^k b^2 x)$ , and so  $f(a^k b^2 x) = 2(k+t) + s + 1$ . If  $x = ta+b$ ,  $1 \leq t \leq k$ , then  $a, \dots, (k+s+t)a, b, \dots, b + (k+t+s)a$  are all the distinct elements in  $\sum(a^k b^2 x)$ , and so  $f(a^k b^2 x) = 2(k+t+s)+1$ . Therefore  $f(a^k b^2 x) = 2k + 5$  if and only if  $2a = 2b$  and  $x = b - a$  in this case.

**Case 2**  $a^k b^2$  is not smooth and  $2b = sa$ ,  $s > k$  or  $2b \notin \langle a \rangle$ , then  $f(a^k b^2) = 3k + 2$ . If  $k \geq 3$ , then  $f(a^k b^2 x) \geq f(a^k b^2) + 1 = 3k + 3 > 2k + 5$ . If  $k = 2$  and  $f(abx) = 7$ , then  $f(a^2 b^2 x) \geq f(abx) + f(ab) = 7 + 3 > 2k + 5$ . If  $k = 2$  and  $f(abx) = 6$  (i.e.,  $x = a + b$  or  $x = a - b$  or  $x = b - a$ ), then it is easy to check that  $f(a^2 b^2 x) > 2k + 5$ .

**Case 3**  $a^k b^2$  is smooth and  $a^k b^2 x$  is not smooth. If  $a^k b^2$  is  $a$ -smooth, then  $f(a^k b^2 x) = 2f(a^k b^2) + 1 \geq 2(k + 2 \times 2) + 1 > 2k + 5$ . If  $a^k b^2$  is  $b$ -smooth, then  $f(a^k b^2 x) = 2f(a^k b^2) + 1 \geq 2(2 + 2k) + 1 > 2k + 5$ .

**Case 4**  $a^k b^2 x$  is  $x$ -smooth. We have  $f(a^k b^2 x) \geq 1 + 2k + 2 \times 3 > 2k + 5$ .

This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

### 3. PROOFS OF THE MAIN THEOREMS

To prove the main theorem of the present paper, we still need the following two obviously facts on smooth sequences.

**Fact 1** Let  $r$  be a positive integer and  $a \in G$ . If  $WT_i \in \mathcal{A}^*(G)$  is  $a$ -smooth for all  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , then  $S = T_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T_r W$  is  $a$ -smooth.

**Fact 2** Let  $r, k, l$  be three positive integers and  $a, b$  two distinct elements in  $G$ . If  $S \in \mathcal{A}^*(G)$  is  $a$ -smooth and  $a^k b^l T_i \in \mathcal{A}^*(G)$  is  $a$ -smooth or  $b$ -smooth for all  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , then the sequence  $S a^k b^l T_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T_r$  is  $a$ -smooth or  $b$ -smooth.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1:**

We start with the trivial case that  $S = a^k$  with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a \in G$ . Then  $\sum(S) = \{a, \dots, ka\}$ , and since  $S$  is zero-sum free, it follows that  $k < \text{ord}(a)$ . Thus  $S$  is  $a$ -smooth.

If  $S = S_1 g \in \mathcal{A}^*(G)$ , where  $g$  is an element of order 2, then  $f(S) \geq 2|S| - 1$  by Lemma 2.4, and  $f(S) \geq f(S_1) + 2$  since  $\sum(S) \supseteq \sum(S_1) \cup \{g, g + \sigma(S_1)\}$ . If  $S = S_1 g_1 g_2 \in \mathcal{A}^*(G)$ , where  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are two elements of order 2, then  $f(S) \geq 2|S|$  since  $\sum(S) \supseteq \sum(S_1 g_1) \cup \{g_2, g_1 + g_2, g_1 + g_2 + \sigma(S_1)\}$ . Therefore it suffices to determine all  $S \in \mathcal{A}^*(G)$  such that  $S$  does not contain any element of order 2 and  $f(S) \leq 2|S| - 1$ , and when  $f(S) \leq 2|S| - 1$ , determine all  $Sg \in \mathcal{A}^*(G)$  such that  $g$  is an element of order 2 and  $f(Sg) = 2|S| + 1$ .

To begin with, we determine all  $S \in \mathcal{A}^*(G)$  such that  $S$  does not contain any element of order 2 and  $f(S) \leq 2|S| - 1$ . Let  $S = a^x b^y c^z T$  with  $x \geq y \geq z \geq h(T)$  and  $a, b, c \notin \text{supp}(T)$ . The case that  $|\text{supp}(S)| = 2$  follows from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.5 and the remark after Lemma 2.5. Therefore we may assume that  $|\text{supp}(S)| \geq 3$  and  $S$  does not contain any element of order 2 in the following arguments.

If  $x = y = z$ , then  $S$  allows the product decomposition

$$S = S_1 \cdot \dots \cdot S_x,$$

where  $S_i = abc \cdot \dots$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, x$  are squarefree of length  $|S_i| \geq 3$ . By Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$f(S) \geq \sum_{i=1}^x f(S_i) \geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^x |S_i| = 2|S|.$$

If  $x \geq y > z \geq h(T)$ , or  $x > y \geq z \geq h(T)$ , then  $S$  allows a product decomposition

$$S = T_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T_r W$$

having the following properties:

- $r \geq 1$  and, for every  $i \in [2, r]$ ,  $S_i \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  is squarefree of length  $|S_i| = 3$ .
- $W \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  has the form  $W = a^k$ ,  $k \geq 1$  or  $W = a^k b$ ,  $k \geq 1$  or  $W = a^k b^l$ ,  $k \geq l \geq 2$ .

We choose a product decomposition such that  $k$  is the largest integer in  $W = a^k$  (or  $a^k b$  or  $a^k b^l$ ,  $k \geq l \geq 2$ ) among all such product decompositions. We divide the remaining proof into three cases.

**Case 1**  $W = a^k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . If  $T_i = xyz$  with  $a \notin \{x, y, z\}$  for some  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$  such that  $a^k xyz$  is not  $a$ -smooth whenever  $k > 1$ , then  $S$  admits the product decomposition

$$S = T_1 \cdots T_{i-1} T'_i T_{i+1} \cdots T_r,$$

where  $T_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$  have the properties described above and  $T'_i = a^k xyz$ . By Lemma 2.1, and Corollary 2.1, we get

$$f(S) \geq \sum_{j \neq i}^r f(T_j) + f(T'_i) \geq \sum_{j \neq i}^r 2|T_j| + 2|T'_i| = 2|S|.$$

If  $T_i = axy$  for some  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$  such that  $a^{k+1} xy$  is not  $a$ -smooth, then  $S$  admits the product decomposition

$$S = T_1 \cdots T_{i-1} T'_i T_{i+1} \cdots T_r,$$

where  $T_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$  have the properties described above and  $T'_i = a^{k+1} xy$ . By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.8, we get

$$f(S) \geq \sum_{j \neq i}^r f(T_j) + f(T'_i) \geq \sum_{j \neq i}^r 2|T_j| + 2|T'_i| = 2|S|.$$

Therefore we have proved that if  $S$  is not  $a$ -smooth and  $W = a^k$ , then  $f(S) \geq 2|S|$ .

**Case 2**  $W = a^k b$ ,  $k \geq 1$ .

Let  $T_i = xyz$  with  $a \notin \{x, y, z\}$  for some  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . If  $k = 1$ , then  $T_i W = abxyz$ . If  $k = 2$ , then  $T_i W = abx \cdot ayz$ . If  $k \geq 3$  and one sequence among three sequences  $a^{k-1} yz$ ,  $a^{k-1} xz$ , and  $a^{k-1} xy$ , say,  $a^{k-1} yz$  is not  $a$ -smooth, then  $T_i W = abx \cdot a^{k-1} yz$ . It follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.8 that  $f(T_i W) \geq 2|T_i| + 2|W|$ , and so  $f(S) \geq 2|S|$ .

Let  $T_i = bxy$  for some  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , then  $k \geq 2$ . If  $k = 2$ , then  $T_i W = abx \cdot aby$ . If  $k > 2$  and  $a^{k-1} by$  (or  $a^{k-1} bx$ ) is not  $a$ -smooth, then  $T_i W = abx \cdot a^{k-1} by$  (or  $T_i W = aby \cdot a^{k-1} bx$ ). It follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.8 that  $f(T_i W) \geq 2|T_i| + 2|W|$ , and so  $f(S) \geq 2|S|$ .

Let  $T_i = abx$  for some  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , then  $T_i W = a^{k+1} b^2 x$ . If  $a^{k+1} b^2 x$  is not  $a$ -smooth or  $b$ -smooth, then by Lemma 2.10 we have  $f(T_i W) \geq 2|T_i| + 2|W|$ , and so  $f(S) \geq 2|S|$ .

Therefore we have proved that if  $S$  is not  $a$ -smooth or  $b$ -smooth, then  $f(S) \geq 2|S|$  in this case.

**Case 3**  $W = a^k b^l$ ,  $k \geq l \geq 2$ . If  $2a \neq 2b$  and  $a^k b^l$  is not smooth, then by Lemma 2.5 we have  $f(W) \geq 2|W|$  and we are done. Note that the conditions that  $2a = 2b$  and  $a^k b^l$  is smooth cannot hold simultaneously. Here we omit the similar arguments as we have done in Case 1.

**Subcase 1**  $2a = 2b$ .

Let  $T_i = xyz$  with  $a \notin \{x, y, z\}$  for some  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , then  $T_i W = abxy \cdot a^{k-1} b^{l-1} z$ . It follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.9 that  $f(T_i W) \geq 2|T_i| + 2|W|$ , and so  $f(S) \geq 2|S|$ .

Let  $T_i = byz$  for some  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , then  $k \geq l + 1$ ,  $T_i W = aby \cdot a^{k-1} b^l z$ . It follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.9 that  $f(T_i W) \geq 2|T_i| + 2|W|$ , and so  $f(S) \geq 2|S|$ .

Let  $T_i = abx$  for some  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , then  $T_i W = a^{k+1} b^{l+1} x$ . If  $a^{k+1} b^{l+1} x$  is not  $a$ -smooth or  $b$ -smooth, then by Lemma 2.10 we have  $f(T_i W) \geq 2|T_i| + 2|W|$ , and so  $f(S) \geq 2|S|$ .

**Subcase 2**  $a^k b^l$  is smooth,  $a \neq 2b$ , and  $b \neq 2a$ . Then  $W = (a^2 b^2)^s W_1$ ,  $W_1 = a^{k_1}$  or  $W_1 = a^{k_1} b$ . If  $S_1 = SW^{-1}W_1$  is not  $a$ -smooth or  $b$ -smooth, then  $f(S_1) \geq 2|S_1|$ , and so by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2  $f(S) \geq sf(a^2 b^2) + f(S_1) \geq 8s + 2|S_1| = 2|S|$ . If  $S_1 = SW^{-1}W_1$  is  $a$ -smooth or  $b$ -smooth, then  $S$  is  $a$ -smooth or  $b$ -smooth.

**Subcase 3**  $a = 2b$ .

Let  $T_i = xyz$  with  $a, b \notin \{x, y, z\}$  for some  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , then it is easy to see that  $f(T_i W) = f(a^k b^l xyz) = f(b^{2k+l} xyz)$ . It follows from Corollary 2.1 that  $b^{2k+l} xyz$  is  $b$ -smooth or  $f(T_i W) \geq 2(|T_i| + |W|)$ .

Let  $T_i = bxy$  with  $a, b \notin \{x, y\}$  for some  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , then  $f(T_i W) = f(a^k b^{l+1} xy) = f(b^{2k+l+1} xyz)$ . It follows from Lemma 2.8 that  $b^{2k+l+1} xy$  is  $b$ -smooth or  $f(T_i W) \geq 2(|T_i| + |W|)$ .

Let  $T_i = abx$  with  $a \neq x, b \neq x$  for some  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , then  $f(T_i W) = f(a^{k+1} b^{l+1} x) = f(b^{2k+l+3} xyz)$ . It follows from Lemma 2.3 that  $b^{2k+l+3} x$  is  $b$ -smooth or  $f(T_i W) \geq 2(|T_i| + |W|)$ .

**Subcase 4**  $b = 2a$ . Similar to Subcase 3.

Therefore we have proved that if  $S$  is not  $a$ -smooth or  $b$ -smooth, then  $f(S) \geq 2|S| - 1$  and  $f(S) = 2|S| - 1$  if and only if  $S = a^k b$  or  $S = a^k b^l$ ,  $2a = 2b$ ,  $k \geq l \geq 2$ .

Finally, when  $f(S) \leq 2|S| - 1$ , we will determine all  $Sg \in \mathcal{A}^*(G)$  such that  $g$  is an element of order 2 and  $f(Sg) = 2|S| + 1$ .

(i) If  $S$  is  $a$ -smooth (the case that  $S$  is  $b$ -smooth is similar), we set  $\sum(S) = \{a, \dots, na\}$ ,  $n \leq 2|S| - 1$ , then  $g \notin \sum(S)$  since  $g$  is an element of order 2 and  $Sg \in \mathcal{A}^*(G)$ . It follows that  $\sum(Sg) = \sum(S) \cup$

$\{g\} \cup \{g + \sum(S)\}$ , and so  $f(Sg) = 2n + 1$ . Therefore  $f(Sg) \leq 2|S| + 1$  if and only if  $S = a^k$ .

(ii)  $S = a^k b$  is not smooth, by Lemma 2.8,  $f(a^k b g) \leq 2k + 1$  only if  $a^k b g$  is  $a$ -smooth, which is impossible since  $g$  is an element of order 2 and  $a^k b g \in \mathcal{A}^*(G)$ .

(iii)  $S = a^k b^l$ ,  $2a = 2b$ ,  $k \geq l \geq 2$ . The result follows from Lemmas 2.5 and 2.9.

Therefore we have proved that if  $S = a^x b^y \cdot \dots \in \mathcal{A}^*(G)$ ,  $x \geq y \geq \dots$ , where  $a, b, \dots$  are distinct elements of  $G$  and  $f(S) \leq 2|S| - 1$ , then  $S$  is  $a$ -smooth or  $b$ -smooth or  $S = a^k b$ ,  $b \notin \sum(a^k)$  or  $S = a^k b^l$ ,  $k \geq l \geq 2$ ,  $2a = 2b$  or  $S = a^k b^l$ ,  $k \geq l \geq 2$ ,  $2a = 2b$ ,  $g = a - b$ . Theorem 1.1 is proved. □

**Acknowledgement:** The author wishes to thank Alfred Geroldinger for sending the preprint [7] to him. He also thanks the referee for his/her valuable suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J.D. Bovey, P. Erdős, and I. Niven, *Conditions for zero sum modulo  $n$* , *Canad. Math. Bull.* **18** (1975), 27 - 29.
- [2] B. Bollobás and I. Leader, *The number of  $k$ -sums modulo  $k$* , *J. Number Theory* **78**(1999), 27-35.
- [3] S.T. Chapman and W.W. Smith, *A characterization of minimal zero-sequences of index one in finite cyclic groups*, *Integers* **5**(1) (2005), Paper A27, 5pp.
- [4] W. Gao, Y. Li, J. Peng, and F. Sun, *On subsequence sums of a zero-sum free sequence II*, *the Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* **15** (2008), #R117.
- [5] W.D. Gao and I. Leader, *sums and  $k$ -sums in an abelian groups of order  $k$* , *J. Number Theory* **120**(2006), 26-32.
- [6] A. Geroldinger and F. Halter-Koch, *Non-Unique Factorizations. Algebraic, Combinatorial and Analytic Theory*, *Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 278, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2006.
- [7] A. Geroldinger, *Additive group theory and non-unique factorizations*, to appear.
- [8] J. E. Olson and E.T.White, *sums from a sequence of group elements*, in : *Number Theory and Algebra*, Academic Press, New York, 1977, pp. 215-222.
- [9] A. Pixton, *Sequences with small subsum sets*, *J. Number Theory* **129**(2009), 806-817.
- [10] F. Sun, *On subsequence sums of a zero-sum free sequence*, *the Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* **14**(2007), #R52.
- [11] P.Z. Yuan, *On the index of minimal zero-sum sequences over finite cyclic groups*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **114**(2007), 1545-1551.

- [12] P.Z. Yuan, *Subsequence sums of a zero-sumfree sequence*, European Journal of Combinatorics, **30**(2009), 439-446.
- [13] P.Z. Yuan, *Subsequence Sums of Zero-sum-free Sequences*, to appear in the Electronic Journal of Combinatorics.

Pingzhi Yuan

School of Mathematics  
South China Normal University  
Guangdong, Guangzhou 510631  
P.R.CHINA  
e-mail:mcsypz@mail.sysu.edu.cn