On α -labeling of disconnected graphs

Maged Z. Youssef 1,2

¹Department of Mathematics & Statistics, College of Science,

Al Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University, P.O. Box 90950 Riyadh 11623, Saudi Arabia

² Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University,

Abbassia 11566, Cairo, Egypt

Abstract

In this paper, we give a general result which enlarge the class of graphs known to have α -labeling.

Keywords: Graceful labeling; α -labeling.

AMS Subject Classification (2010): 05C78.

1. Introduction

All graphs in this paper are finite, simple and undirected. We follow the basic notation and terminology of graph theory as in [3]. Most graph labeling methods trace their origin to one introduced by Rosa[15] in 1967, or one given by Graham and Sloane[10] in 1980. Despite the unabated procession of papers, there are few general results on graph labelings. Indeed, the papers focus on particular classes of graphs and methods. In this paper we give a general result which enlarge the class of graphs known to have α -labeling. Terms and notations not defined in the paper can be found in [7]. This reference surveyed the known results to all variations of graph labelings appearing in this paper.

¹ Current address, ² Permanent address.

Rosa [15] called a function f a β -valuation of a graph G with q edges if f is an injection from the vertices of G to the set $\{0,1,\ldots,q\}$ such that, when each edge xy is assigned the label |f(x)-f(y)|, the resulting edge labels are distinct. Golomb [8] subsequently called such labeling graceful and this is now the popular term. Rosa[15] has shown that if every vertex has even degree and the number of edges is congruent to 1 or 2 (mod 4) then the graph is not graceful. In particular, the cycles C_{4n+1} and C_{4n+2} are not graceful. There are few general results on graceful labeling. In particular the following results have been obtained K_n is graceful if and only if $n \leq 4$, $K_{m,n}$ is graceful for all m and n. Rosa [15] conjectured that all trees are graceful. Among the trees known to be graceful are: caterpillars (a caterpillar is a tree with the property that the removal of its endpoints leaves a path); trees with at most 4 end-vertices and ; trees with diameter at most 5; symmetrical trees (i.e., a rooted tree in which every level contains vertices of the same degree). Despite the efforts of many, the graceful tree conjecture remains open even for trees with maximum degree 3 (see [7]). In 1966 Rosa [15] defined an α -labeling (or α -valuation) as a graceful labeling f with the additional property that there exists an integer k so that for each edge xy either $f(x) \le k < f(y)$ or $f(y) \le k < f(x)$. (Other names for such labeling are balanced, interlaced, and strongly graceful.) It follows that such a kmust be the smaller of the two vertex labels that yield the edge labeled 1. Also, a graph with an α-labeling is necessarily bipartite and therefore cannot contain a cycle of odd length. Graphs with α -labelings have proved to be useful in the development of the theory of graph decompositions.

Harmonious graphs naturally arose in the study by Graham and Sloane [10] of modular versions of additive bases problems stemming from error-correcting codes. They defined a graph G with q edges to be harmonious if there is an injection f from the vertices of G to the group of integers modulo q such that when each edge xy is assigned the label $(f(x) + f(y)) \pmod{q}$, the resulting edge labels are distinct. Analogous to the necessity condition for graceful graphs, Graham and Sloane [10] proved that if a harmonious graph has an even number of edges q and the degree of every vertex is divisible by 2^k then q is divisible by 2^{k+1} .

Lee, Schmeichel and Shee in [14] gave a generalization of harmonious labelings called felicitous labelings. An injective function f from the vertices of a graph G with q edges to the set $\{0,1,\ldots,q\}$ is called felicitous if the edge labels induced by $(f(x)+f(y))(\operatorname{mod} q)$ for each edge xy are distinct. In contrast to the situation for felicitous labelings, we remark that C_{4n} and $K_{m,n}$ where m,n>1 are felicitous but not harmonious.

In 1981 Chang, Hsu and Rogers [2] call a graph G with q edges strongly c-elegant if there exists an injective function f from V(G) to the set $\left\{0,1,\ldots,q\right\}$ such the induced function $f^*: E(G) \to \left\{c,c+1,\ldots,c+q-1\right\}$ defined as $f^*(xy) = f(x) + f(y)$ for each edge $xy \in E(G)$ is a bijection for some positive integer c. We will call a strongly c-elegant labeling a c-consecutive labeling. By taking the edge labels of a c-consecutive labeled graph with q edges modulo q, we obviously obtain a felicitously labeled graph. It is not known if there is a graph that can be felicitously labeled but not c-consecutively labeled. Figueroa-Centeno, Ichishima and Muntaner-Batle [5] define a felicitous graph to be strongly felicitous if there exists an integer k so that for every edge uv, $\min\{f(u),f(v)\} \le k < \max\{f(u),f(v)\}$.

For (p,q)-graph G with p=q+1, Frucht [6] invented a variation of graceful labeling. He calls a graph G pseudograceful if there exists an injective function $f:V(G)\to \left\{0,1,2,...,q-1,q+1\right\}$, called a pseudograceful labeling of G, such that the induced function $f^*:E(G)\to \left\{0,1,2,...,q\right\}$ defined by $f^*(xy)=\left|f(x)-f(y)\right|$ for all $xy\in E(G)$ is a bijection. The image of f (= Im(f)) is called the corresponding set of vertex labels . Seoud and Youssef [16] extended the definition of pseudograceful to all graphs with $p\leq q+1$. They proved that K_m is pseudograceful if and only if m=1,3 or 4; $K_{m,n}$ is pseudograceful when $m,n\geq 2$. They also proved that if G is pseudograceful, then $G\cup K_{m,n}$ is graceful for $m,n\geq 2$ and $G\cup K_{m,n}$ is pseudograceful for $m,n\geq 2$, $(m,n)\neq (2,2)$. They ask if $G\cup K_{2,2}$ is pseudograceful whenever G is, and observed that if G is a pseudograceful Eulerian graph with q edges, then $q\equiv 0$ or $3\pmod 4$. Youssef [19] has shown that C_n is pseudograceful if and only if $n\equiv 0$ or $3\pmod 4$, and

for n>8 and $n\equiv 0$ or $3\pmod 4$, $C_n\cup K_{p,q}$ is pseudograceful for all $p,q\geq 2$ except (p,q)=(2,2). Youssef [18] has shown that if H is pseudograceful and G has an α -labeling with k being the smaller vertex label of the edge labeled with 1 and if either k+2 or k-1 is not a vertex label of G, then $G\cup H$ is graceful.

2. Pseudo α -labelings

Analogue of graphs admit an α -labeling we call f a pseudo α -labeling of a graph G if f is a pseudograceful labeling of G such that there exists an integer k_j so that for each edge xy of G either $f(x) \leq k_j < f(y)$ or $f(y) \leq k_j < f(x)$. It follows that such a k_j must be the smaller of the two vertex labels yield the edge label 1. Also, a graph with a pseudo α -labeling is necessarily bipartite with vertex partition $\left\{x \in V(G): f(x) \leq k_j\right\}$ and $\left\{x \in V(G): f(x) > k_j\right\}$. We note that any graph of size q that has an α -labeling f such that either q-1 or $1 \notin \mathrm{Im}(f)$ has a pseudo α -labeling $\overline{f} = f+1$ or $\overline{f} = q+1-f$ respectively.

In this section, we generalize the results of Youssef [18] and give a new families of disconnected graphs that having an α -labeling. The following result enlarge the class of disconnected graphs that admit an α -labeling and as well as pseudo α -labeling.

Theorem 2.1. Let G be a graph of size q and having an α -labeling f and let H be a graph of size t and having a pseudo α -labeling g.

(i) If $k_i + 2$ or $k_i - 1 \not\in \operatorname{Im}(f)$, then $G \cup H$ has an α -labeling, and

(ii) If
$$(\{k_f + 2, q - 1\} \cap \text{Im}(f) = \Phi \text{ and } k_f + 2 \neq q - 1)$$
 or

 $(\left\{1,k_{_{f}}-1\right\}\cap\operatorname{Im}(f)=\Phi\ \text{ and }\ k_{_{f}}-1\neq1)\text{, then }\ G\cup H\ \text{ has a pseudo }\alpha\text{-labeling}.$

Proof Since f is an α -labeling of G, then V(G) can be partitioned into two independent sets $V_1 = \left\{u \in V(G): f(u) \leq k_f\right\}$ and $V_2 = \left\{v \in V(G): f(v) > k_f\right\}$ and similarly as g is a pseudo α -labeling of H, then V(H) can be partitioned into two independent sets

$$\boldsymbol{U}_{_{1}}=\left\{\boldsymbol{u}\in V(H):g(\boldsymbol{u})\leq k_{_{g}}\right\} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{U}_{_{2}}=\left\{\boldsymbol{v}\in V(H):g(\boldsymbol{v})>k_{_{g}}\right\}.$$

(i) If $k_j + 2 \not\in \text{Im}(f)$. Define a labeling function $h: V(G \cup H) \to \Big\{0,1,\dots,q+t\Big\}$ as follows:

$$h \begin{vmatrix} v_i = f | v_i \\ h | v_i = f | v_i + t \\ h | v_{(H)} = k_f + 1 + g \end{vmatrix}$$

We note that as $k_f+2\not\in \mathrm{Im}(f)$, then $k_f+t+2\not\in h(V_2)$ also as $t\not\in \mathrm{Im}(g)$ then $k_f+t+1\not\in h(V(H))$. Now the vertex labels of $G\cup H$ is partitioned into two independent subsets of $\left\{0,1,\ldots,k_f,\ldots,k_f+k_g+1\right\}$ and $\left\{k_f+k_g+2,\ldots,k_f+t+1,k_f+t+2,\ldots,q+t\right\}$. Hence h is injective and $h^*(V(H))=\left\{1,2,\ldots,t\right\},\ h^*(V(G))=\left\{t+1,t+2,\ldots,t+q\right\}$ and h is an α -labeling.

If $k_f-1\not\in {\rm Im}(f)$, then $\overline{f}=q-f$ is an α -labeling of G with $k_{\overline{f}}=q-k_f-1$ so that $k_{\overline{f}}+2\not\in {\rm Im}(\overline{f})$ and $G\cup H$ has an α -labeling by the above argument.

(ii) If $\left\{k_f+2,q-1\right\}\cap \mathrm{Im}(f)=\Phi$ and $k_f+2\neq q-1$. Define a labeling function

$$h:V(G\cup H) \to \left\{0,1,\ldots,q+t-1,q+t+1\right\}$$

88

$$\begin{aligned} h \Big|_{V_{i}} &= f \Big|_{V_{i}} + 1 \\ h \Big|_{V_{2}} &= f \Big|_{V_{2}} + t + 1 \\ h \Big|_{V(H)} &= k_{\ell} + g + 2 \end{aligned}$$

Note that h and h^* are both injectives and $q+t+1 \not\in \operatorname{Im}(h^*)$ as in (i) since $k_f+2 \not\in \operatorname{Im}(f)$. Observe also that $q+t \not\in h(V(G))$ since $q-1 \not\in \operatorname{Im}(f)$ and $q+t \not\in h(V(H))$ since $q-1 \not= k_f+2$ and $k_f \not= q-1$, hence $q+t \not\in \operatorname{Im}(h)$ and h is a pseudo α -labeling of $G \cup H$ as desired.

If $\{1,k_f-1\}\cap \operatorname{Im}(f)=\Phi$ and $k_f-1\neq 1$, then as in (i) $\overline{f}=q-f$ is an α -labeling of G and $k_f=q-k_f-1$ so that $\{k_{\overline{f}}+2,q-1\}\cap \operatorname{Im}(\overline{f})=\Phi$ and $k_{\overline{f}}+2\neq q-1$. Then $G\cup H$ has a pseudo α -labeling by the above argument. \square

Corollary 2.1. In the above theorem, if moreover $k_g + 2$ or $k_g - 1 \notin \text{Im}(g)$, then $G \cup pH$ has an α -labeling for every positive integer p.

Again, in the above theorem part (i) we have the following result Corollary 2.2. If $(\{k_j+2,q-1\}\cap \operatorname{Im}(f)=\Phi \text{ and } k_j+2\neq q-1)$ or $(\{1,k_j-1\}\cap \operatorname{Im}(f)=\Phi \text{ and } k_j-1\neq 1)$, then pG has an α -labeling for every positive integer p.

Proof If $(k_f + 2 \text{ and } q - 1 \not\in \operatorname{Im}(f))$, then g = f + 1 is a pseudo α -labeling of G, and by induction pG has an α -labeling. Similarly the other case, it will be g = q + 1 - f is a pseudo α -labeling of $G . \square$

In [11] Ichishima and Oshima proved that if m,s and t are integers with $m \ge 1, s \ge 2$ and $t \ge 2$, then the graph $mK_{s,t}$ has an α -labeling if and only if $(m,s,t) \ne (3,2,2)$. However we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. $mK_{s,t}$ has an α -labeling for $s,t \geq 2, m \geq 1$ and $(s,t) \neq (2,2)$

We excluded the case (s,t)=(2,2) because in any α -labeling f of $K_{2,2}$ we have either $k_f+2=q-1=3$ or $k_f-1=1$ and of course does not satisfy the hypotheses of the corollary. The case $mK_{2,2}=mC_4$ is already known to have α -labeling if and only if $m\geq 1, m\neq 3$ by Abrham and Kotzig [1]

There are many families of graphs satisfy the conditions of our above results specially Corollary 2.2. From which, Jungreis and Reid [12] showed that $C_{4m} \times P_n$, $C_{4m} \times C_{4n}$ and $C_{4m} \times C_{4n+2}$ has an α -labeling f and $\left\{k_f + 2, q - 1\right\} \cap \operatorname{Im}(f) = \Phi$ and $k_f + 2 \neq q - 1$, where q is the size of the graph in each case. Kaneria and Makadia [13] showed that the graph $P_m \times P_n \cup P_r \times P_s$ is graceful, but their proof showing that the graph has an α -labeling and we may deduce that $P_m \times P_n$ has an α -labeling f with $q - 1 \not\in \operatorname{Im}(f)$ and either $k_f - 1$ or $k_f + 2 \not\in \operatorname{Im}(f)$. For other families of graphs having α -labeling see [7].

3. c-consecutive labeling

The following result is similar to one given by Grace [9] for proving that if a tree T has an α -labeling then T is sequential, the sequential labeling is the same as the c-consecutive labeling except for the vertex label is from $\left\{0,1,\ldots,q-1\right\}$ instead of $\left\{0,1,\ldots,q\right\}$, and another given by Figueroa-Centeno, Ichishima and Muntaner-Batle [5]. For a graph with p vertices and q edges with $q \geq p-1$ they show that G is strongly felicitous if and only if G has an α -labeling.

Lemma 3.1. Every graph which has an α -labeling is c-consecutive.

Proof Let G be a graph of size q and has an α -labeling f, then there exists an integer k such that G is a bipartite with partition $V_1 = \{u \in V(G) : f(u) \le k\}$ and $V_2 = \{v \in V(G) : f(v) > k\}$. Define a labeling $g : V(G) \to \{0,1,\ldots,q\}$ as $g\Big|_{V_1} = f\Big|_{V_1}$ and $g\Big|_{V_2} = q+1+k-f$. Cleary that g is injective and moreover

$$\begin{split} g(u)+g(v)&=q+1+k-(f(v)-f(u))\quad\text{where}\quad uv\in E(G)\,,\quad u\in V_1, v\in V_2\quad\text{and}\quad\text{as}\\ 1&\leq f(v)-f(u)\leq q\,,\quad\text{then}\quad k+1\leq g(u)+g(v)\leq k+q\quad\text{and}\quad G\text{ is}\quad (k+1)\text{-consecutive.}\ \Box \end{split}$$

Given two bipartite graphs G_1 and G_2 with partite sets H_1 , L_1 for G_1 and H_2 , L_2 for G_2 . Snevily [17] defines their weak tensor product $G_1 \ \overline{\otimes} \ G_2$ as the bipartite graph with vertex set $(H_1 \times H_2, L_1 \times L_2)$ and with edge $(h_1, h_2)(l_1, l_2)$ if $h_1 l_1 \in E(G_1)$ and $h_2 l_2 \in E(G_2)$. He proves that if G_1 and G_2 have α -labelings then so does $G_1 \ \overline{\otimes} \ G_2$. This result considerably enlarges the class of graphs known to have α -labelings. According to Lemma 3.1 again, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.1 If G_1 and G_2 have α -labelings then $G_1 \otimes G_2$ is c-consecutive.

El-Zanati, Kenig, and Vanden Eynden [4] called f a near α -labeling of a graph G if f is a graceful labeling of G such that V(G) has a partition V_1, V_2 with the property that each edge of G has the form uv where $u \in V_1$, $v \in V_2$ and f(u) < f(v). They further prove that if G and H have near α -labelings, then so

does their weak tensor product. We define a similar definition for c-consecutive labeling. We call f a sharply (or strictly) c-consecutive labeling if f is a c-consecutive labeling of G such that V(G) has a partition V_1, V_2 with the property that each edge of G has the form uv where $u \in V_1$, $v \in V_2$ and f(u) < f(v). Clearly that G is a bipartite graph. Note that the graphs which admit α -labelings are admit near α -labelings, but the graphs have sharply c-consecutive labelings are having c-consecutive labelings. Although we could not find a relationship between near α -labeling and sharply c-consecutive labeling but the result of El-Zanati et al. [4] still works in case of sharply c-consecutive labelings. We show a similar result for sharply c-consecutive labelings.

Theorem 3.1. If G and H have sharply c-consecutive labelings, then so does their weak tensor product.

Proof Let f and g be sharply c_1 -consecutive and c_2 -consecutive labelings of G and H respectively and then G and H are bipartite graphs with vertex bipartitions V_1, V_2 and W_1, W_2 respectively.

First, we show that h is injective. Suppose $h(v_1, w_1) = h(v_1', w_1')$ where $(v_1, w_1), (v_1', w_1') \in V_1 \times W_1$, then $q_1g(w_1) + f(v_1) = q_1g(w_1') + f(v_1')$ and $f(v_1) - f(v_1') = q_1(g(w_1') - g(w_1))$. So $q_1 \mid f(v_1) - f(v_1')$ and we get $f(v_1) = f(v_1')$ and as f injective we get $v_1 = v_1'$ and also we can get $w_1 = w_1'$. Similarly for the second branch of h. Also we can show that $h(v_1, w_1) \neq h(v_2, w_2)$ for every $(v_1, w_1) \in V_1 \times W_1$ and $(v_2, w_2) \in V_2 \times W_2$. Second, we show that h^* is injective. Suppose $h^*((v_1, w_1)(v_2, w_2)) = h^*((v_1', w_1')(v_2', w_2'))$,

then $q_1(g(w_1)+g(w_2)-1)+f(v_1)+f(v_2)=q_1(g(w_1')+g(w_2')-1)+f(v_1')+f(v_2')$ and $f(v_1)+f(v_2)-(f(v_1')+f(v_2'))=q_1(g(w_1')+g(w_2')-(g(w_1)+g(w_2)))$. Then $q_1|f(v_1)+f(v_2)-(f(v_1')+f(v_2'))$ and as $f(v_1)+f(v_2)<2q_1$, $f(v_1')+f(v_2')>0$, then $f(v_1)+f(v_2)-(f(v_1')+f(v_2'))<2q_1$, so $f(v_1)+f(v_2)-(f(v_1')+f(v_2'))$ either equal 0 or q_1 . If $f(v_1)+f(v_2)-(f(v_1')+f(v_2'))=q_1$, we get a contradiction, then $f(v_1)+f(v_2)-(f(v_1')+f(v_2'))=0$ that is $f^*(v_1v_2)=f^*(v_1'v_2')$ and $v_1v_2=v_1'v_2'$. Similarly we get $w_1w_2=w_1'w_2'$. Hence all edge labels of $G\ \overline{\otimes}\ H$ are distinct. Finally, as G is sharply c_1 -consecutive and H is sharply c_2 -consecutive. We can check this inequality easily $q_1(c_2-1)+c_1\le h^*(e)\le q_1(c_2-1)+c_1+c_1+c_1$ -consecutive \square

References

- [1] J. Abrham and A. Kotzig, All 2-regular graphs consisting of 4-cycles are graceful, Discrete Math., 135 (1994) 1-14.
- [2] G. J. Chang, D. F. Hsu, and D. G. Rogers, Additive variations on a graceful theme: some results on harmonious and other related graphs, Congr. Numer., 32 (1981) 181-197.
- [3] G. Chartrand and L. Lesniak, Graphs and Digraphs (3rd edition) CRC Press 1996.
- [4] S. El-Zanati, M. Kenig and C. Vanden Eynden, Near α -labelings of bipartite graphs, Australas. J. Combin., 21 (2000) 275-285.
- [5] R. Figueroa-Centeno, R. Ichishima and F. Muntaner-Batle, Labeling the vertex amalgamation of graphs, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory, 23 (2003) 129-139.
- [6] R. Frucht, On mutually graceful and pseudograceful labelings of trees, Scientia Series A, 4 (1990/1991) 31-43.
- [7] J. A. Gallian, A dynamic survey of graph labeling, Elect. J. Combin., 16 edition, #DS6 (2014) 1-384.

- [8] S. W. Golomb, How to number a graph, in Graph Theory and Computing, R.C. Read, ed., Academic Press, New York (1972) 23-37
- [9] T. Grace, On sequential labelings of graphs, J. Graph Theory, 7 (1983) 195-201.
- [10] R. L. Graham and N. J. A. Sloane, On additive bases and harmonious graphs, SIAM J. Alg. Discrete Meth., 1 (1980) 382-404.
- [11] R. Ichishima and A. Oshima, On the super edges-magic deficiency and α -valuations of graphs, J. Indones. Math. Soc., Special Edition (2011) 59-69
- [12] D. Jungreis and M. Reid, Labeling grids, Ars Combin., 34 (1992) 167-182.
- [13] V. J. Kaneria and H. M. Makadia, Some graceful graphs, J. Math. Research 4, No. 1 (2012) 54-57.
- [14] S. M. Lee, E. Schmeichel and S.C. Shee, On felicitous graphs, Discrete Math., 93 (1991) 201-209.
- [15] A. Rosa, On certain valuations of the vertices of a graph, Theory of Graphs (Internat. Symposium, Rome, July 1966), Gordon and Breach, N. Y. and Dunod Paris (1967) 349-355
- [16] M. A. Seoud and M. Youssef, New families of graceful disconnected graphs, Ars Combin., 57 (2000) 233-245.
- [17] H. Snevily, New families of graphs that have α -labelings, Discrete Math., 170 (1997) 185-194.
- [18] M. Z. Youssef, New families of graceful graphs, Ars Combin., 67 (2003) 303-311.
- [19] M. Z. Youssef, Pseudograceful labelings of cycles, Ars Combin., 76 (2005) 241-247.