

# General vertex-distinguishing total coloring of complete bipartite graphs

Hong Yang

School of Information Science & Technology,  
Chengdu University, Chengdu, 610106, China

**Abstract.** The general vertex-distinguishing total chromatic number of a graph  $G$  is the minimum integer  $k$ , for which the vertices and edges of  $G$  are colored using  $k$  colors such that there are no two vertices possessing the same color-set, where a color-set of a vertex is a set of colors of the vertex and its incident edges. In this paper, we discuss the general vertex-distinguishing total chromatic number of complete bipartite graphs  $K_{m,n}$ , and obtain the exact value of this number for some cases in terms of  $m$  and  $n$ . Particularly, we give the bounds of this number for  $K_{n,n}$ .

**Keywords.** Complete bipartite graph, general vertex-distinguishing total coloring, general vertex-distinguishing total chromatic number, color-set

## 1 Introduction

Graphs considered in this paper are simple (without loops or multiple edges), finite, and undirected. Given a graph  $G$ , we denote by  $V(G)$ ,  $E(G)$ ,  $\Delta(G)$  and  $\delta(G)$  the set of vertices, edges, maximum degree and minimum degree of  $G$ , respectively. For a vertex  $v$  of  $G$ ,  $d_G(v)$  is the degree of  $v$  in  $G$ . For any undefined terms, the reader is referred to the book [20].

Graph coloring is an important research problem. It can be widely applied in practice [1, 2, 3]. Given that many practical problems can be abstracted into coloring problems, many new colorings have been introduced [4]. In 1985, Harary [5] proposed general vertex-distinguishing edge coloring of graphs.

**Definition 1.1** [5] *Let  $G$  be a graph and  $k$  be a non-negative integer. A general vertex-distinguishing  $k$ -edge coloring of  $G$ , abbreviated as  $k$ -GVDEC, is a mapping  $f$  from  $E$  to  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  such that for  $\forall u, v \in V(G)$ ,  $C(u) \neq C(v)$ , where  $C(u) = \{f(uv) | uv \in E(G)\}$ . The general vertex-distinguishing edge chromatic number of  $G$ , denoted by  $\chi'_{gvd}(G)$ , is the minimum  $k$  such that  $G$  has a  $k$ -GVDEC.*

In [6] and [7], Horňák, Soták and Sakvi studied general vertex-distinguishing edge chromatic number of complete bipartite graphs.

In 2008, Győri, Horňák, Palmer and Woźniak [14] introduced the *general adjacent vertex-distinguishing  $k$ -edge coloring* of a graph.

**Definition 1.2** [14] *The general adjacent vertex-distinguishing  $k$ -edge coloring of a graph  $G$  is a mapping  $f$  from  $E(G)$  to  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  such that  $C(u) \neq C(v)$  for any  $uv \in E(G)$ , where  $C(u) = \{f(uv) | uv \in E(G)\}$ . The general adjacent vertex-distinguishing index of  $G$ , denoted by  $\chi_{gav}(G)$ , is the minimum  $k$  for which there exists a general adjacent vertex-distinguishing  $k$ -edge coloring of  $G$ .*

A total  $k$ -coloring of a graph  $G$  is a mapping from  $V(G) \cup E(G)$  to  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . A total coloring is called as *proper* if any two adjacent or incident elements receive distinct colors. Given a total  $k$ -coloring  $f$  of  $G$ , we denote by  $C_i^f(v)$  the set of colors of  $v$  and its incident edges under  $f$ . We also call  $C_i^f(v)$  the color set of  $v$  (under  $f$ ). In 2005, Zhang, Chen, Li, Yao, Lu and Wang [15] introduced a variant of proper total coloring.

**Definition 1.3** [15] *Let  $f$  be a proper total  $k$ -coloring of a graph  $G$ . If  $\forall uv \in E(G)$ ,  $C_i^f(u) \neq C_i^f(v)$ , then  $f$  is called an adjacent vertex-distinguishing total  $k$ -coloring of  $G$ , or a  $k$ -AVDTC of  $G$  for short. The minimum number  $k$  for which  $G$  has a  $k$ -AVDTC is the adjacent vertex-distinguishing total chromatic number of  $G$ , denoted by  $\chi_{at}(G)$ .*

Zhang et al. [15] conjectured that

**Conjecture 1.1** *For any graph  $G$ , it follows that*

$$\chi_{at}(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 3$$

In [16], [17], and [18], authors independently proved that there exist a 6-AVDTC of graphs with  $\Delta = 3$ , which indicates Conjecture 1.1 holds for such graphs.

Let  $f$  be a proper total  $k$ -coloring of a graph  $G$ . If for any two distinct vertices  $u, v$ , it has  $C_i^f(u) \neq C_i^f(v)$ , then  $f$  is referred to as a *vertex-distinguishing total  $k$ -coloring* of  $G$ , abbreviated as  $k$ -VDTC. The minimum number  $k$  such that  $G$  has a  $k$ -VDTC is called the vertex-distinguishing total chromatic number, denoted by  $\chi_{vt}(G)$  [19]. Zhang et al. [19] conjectured that

**Conjecture 1.2** For any graph  $G$ , it has that

$$\mu_t(G) \leq \chi_{vt}(G) \leq \mu_t(G) + 1$$

where  $\mu_t(G) = \min\{k | \binom{k}{i+1} \geq n_i, \delta \leq i \leq \Delta\}$ ,  $n_i$  is the number of vertices with degree  $i$  in  $G$ .

In [21], Liu and Zhu proposed the general vertex-distinguishing total coloring of graphs.

**Definition 1.4** Let  $G$  be a graph and  $k$  be a positive integer. A total coloring  $f$  of  $G$  using  $k$  colors is called a general vertex-distinguishing total  $k$ -coloring of  $G$  (or  $k$ -GVDTTC of  $G$  briefly) if  $\forall u, v \in V(G)$ ,  $C_i^f(u) \neq C_i^f(v)$ . The minimum number  $k$  for which  $G$  has a  $k$ -GVDTTC is the general vertex-distinguishing total chromatic number, denoted by  $\chi_{gvt}(G)$ .

Obviously,  $\chi_{gvt}(G)$  does exist for every graph  $G$ . In this paper, we study the general vertex-distinguishing total coloring of complete bipartite graphs  $K_{m,n}$ .

## 2 Main results

Recall that a *bipartite graph* is a graph whose vertices can be divided into two disjoint sets  $X$  and  $Y$  (that is,  $X$  and  $Y$  are each independent sets) such that every edge connects a vertex in  $X$  to one in  $Y$ . A *complete bipartite graph* is a special bipartite graph such that every vertex of the  $X$  is connected to every vertex of  $Y$ . In what follows, we denote by  $K_{m,n}$  a complete bipartite graph with partitions of size  $|X| = m$  and  $|Y| = n$ , and let  $V(K_{m,n}) = X \cup Y$ , where  $X = \{x_i | i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $Y = \{y_i | i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

We first give some simple but useful results as follows.

**Lemma 2.1** Let  $f$  be a  $k$ -GVDTTC of  $K_{m,n}$ . Then for  $\forall x \in X, y \in Y$ , it follows that

$$C_i^f(x) \cap C_i^f(y) \neq \emptyset.$$

*Proof.* The proof of Lemma 2.1 is straightforward, so we omit it. □

Let  $f$  be a  $k$ -GVDTTC of  $K_{m,n}$ , and  $v$  be a vertex of  $K_{m,n}$ . We will denote by  $\overline{C}_i^f(v)$  the set of colors not appearing at  $v$  and its incident edges, i.e.  $\overline{C}_i^f(v) = \{1, 2, \dots, k\} \setminus C_i^f(v)$ , where  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  is the set of  $k$  colors.

**Lemma 2.2** Let  $f$  be a  $k$ -GVDTTC of  $K_{m,n}$ . Denote by  $q$  the number of vertices  $v \in Y$  such that  $|C_i^f(v)| = 1$ . Then  $m \leq 2^{k-q}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{1\}, \{2\}, \dots, \{q\}$  be the  $q$  color sets appearing at vertices in  $Y$ . Then by Lemma 2.1 each vertex  $x \in X$ ,  $\{1, 2, \dots, q\} \subseteq C_i^f(x)$ . In addition, because  $C_i^f(x_i) \neq C_i^f(x_j)$  for any two distinct vertices  $x_i, x_j \in X$ , it follows that  $m \leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-q} \binom{k-q}{\ell} = 2^{k-q}$ .  $\square$

We call the  $K_{1,n}$  a *Star*. In [21], Liu and Zhu have obtained the general vertex distinguishing total chromatic number of  $K_{1,n}$ . In this paper, we mainly discuss this parameter of  $K_{m,n}$  for  $m \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 2$ .

For any set  $S$ , we denote

$$\binom{S}{r} = \text{the set of all } r\text{-subsets of } S.$$

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $n \geq 2$  be an integer. Then*

$$\chi_{gvt}(K_{2,n}) = \begin{cases} 3, & n = 2, 3, 4; \\ 4, & n = 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12; \\ k^*, & n \geq 13. \end{cases}$$

Where  $k^*$  is the minimum value satisfying  $n \leq \binom{k^*}{1} + \binom{k^*}{2} + \binom{k^*}{3} - 1$ .

*Proof.* When  $n \leq 12$ , the proof of the conclusion is straightforward. We now consider the situation for  $n \geq 13$ . Suppose  $\chi_{gvt}(K_{2,n}) = k$ . Let  $N_k = \binom{k}{1} + \binom{k}{2} + \binom{k}{3} - 1$ . Let  $f$  be a  $k$ -GVDTC of  $K_{2,n}$ . It is obvious that  $|C_i^f(x_i)| \leq n + 1$ , and  $|C(y_j)| \leq 3$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . By Lemma 2.2 there must exist some  $\{\ell\} \notin \cup_{j=1}^n C_i^f(y_j)$ ,  $\ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , so  $n \leq N_k$  which implies that  $k \geq k^*$ . In particular, when  $k = 4$ , because there exists some  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  such that  $|C_i^f(x_i)| \leq 3$ , we have  $n \leq N_4 - 1 = 12$ . When  $k \geq 5$ , in order to show  $k = k^*$ , it suffices to prove  $K_{2,n}$  has a  $k^*$ -GVDTC.

We can always assume  $n \geq k^* - 1$  because the case  $n < k^* - 1$  is trivial. Let  $K^* = \{1, 2, \dots, k^*\}$ . First, we (arbitrarily) assign a color set  $C_j$  to each  $y_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , such that (1)  $C_j \in ((\binom{K^*}{1}) \setminus \{k^*\}) \cup \binom{K^*}{2} \cup \binom{K^*}{3}$ , (2)  $C_{j_1} \neq C_{j_2}$  for any two distinct  $j_1, j_2 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , (3)  $\{\{1\}, \{2\}, \dots, \{k^* - 1\}\} \subseteq \{C_j : j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Because  $N_k = |((\binom{K^*}{1}) \setminus \{k^*\}) \cup \binom{K^*}{2} \cup \binom{K^*}{3}|$ ,  $n \leq N_k$  and  $n \geq k^* - 1$ , it follows that such  $C_j$  does exist for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Now, we define a  $k^*$ -GVDTC of  $K_{2,N_k}$  according to  $C_j$ .

Color  $x_1$  by  $k^*$  and  $x_2$  by  $k^* - 1$ ; For each  $y_j$  and its incident edges, if  $|C_j| = |\{c_1\}| = 1$ , then color  $y_j, y_j x_1, y_j x_2$  by  $c_1$ ; If  $|C_j| = |\{c_1, c_2\}| = 2$ , assume  $c_1 < c_2$ , then color  $y_j$  by  $c_2$ , color  $y_j x_1$  and  $y_j x_2$  by  $c_1$ ; If  $|C_j| = |\{c_1, c_2, c_3\}| = 3$ , assume  $c_1 < c_2 < c_3$ , then color  $y_j$  by  $c_3, y_j x_1$  by  $c_1$ , and  $y_j x_2$  by  $c_2$ . Where  $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in K^*$ .

By the above coloring, because color sets  $\{1\}, \{2\}, \dots, \{k^* - 1\}$  appear at vertices of  $Y$ , it has that the color set of  $x_1$  is  $\{1, 2, \dots, k^*\}$ , and the

color set of  $x_2$  is  $\{1, 2, \dots, k^* - 1\}$  by Lemma 2.1. Given that for any two  $j_1 \neq j_2 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$   $C_{j_1} \neq C_{j_2}$  and  $|C_j| \leq 3$ , we can see that  $x_i$  has different color set with  $y_j$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . So the coloring defined above is a  $k^*$ -GVDTTC of  $K_{2,n}$ .  $\square$

As an illustration of the above Theorem, we consider the complete bipartite graph  $K_{2,20}$ . We now define a 5-GVDTTC of  $K_{2,20}$  as follows.

First, we assign a color set  $C_j$  to  $y_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, 20$ ; See the following table.

$C_1$	$C_2$	$C_3$	$C_4$	$C_5$	$C_6$	$C_7$	$C_8$
{1}	{2}	{3}	{4}	{1,2}	{1,3}	{1,4}	{1,5}
$C_9$	$C_{10}$	$C_{11}$	$C_{12}$	$C_{13}$	$C_{14}$	$C_{15}$	$C_{16}$
{1,2,3}	{1,2,4}	{1,2,5}	{1,3,4}	{1,3,5}	{1,4,5}	{2,3}	{2,4}
$C_{17}$	$C_{18}$	$C_{19}$	$C_{20}$				
{2,5}	{2,3,4}	{2,3,5}	{3,5}				

Then, according to the  $C_j$  defined above, we color vertices and edges of  $K_{2,N_5}$  as follows:

- Color  $x_1$  by 5 and  $x_2$  by 4;
- Color  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{20}$  by 1, 2, 3, 4, 2, 3, 4, 5, 3, 4, 5, 4, 5, 5, 3, 4, 5, 4, 5, 5, respectively;
- Color  $x_1y_1, x_1y_2, \dots, x_1y_{20}$  by 1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, respectively;
- Color  $x_2y_1, x_2y_2, \dots, x_2y_{20}$  by 1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, respectively.

Under the above coloring, it follows that the color sets of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are  $\{1,2,3,4,5\}$  and  $\{1,2,3,4\}$ , respectively. So, this coloring is a 5-GVDTTC of  $K_{2,20}$ .

**Theorem 2.2** Let  $n \geq 3$  be any positive integer. Then

$$\chi_{gvt}(K_{3,n}) = \begin{cases} 4, & n = 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10; \\ 5, & n = 11, 12, \dots, 26; \\ 6, & n = 27, 28, 29; \\ k^*, & n \geq 30. \end{cases}$$

Where  $k^*(\geq 6)$  is the minimum value satisfying  $\sum_{i=1}^4 \binom{k^*-1}{i} - 1 \leq n \leq \sum_{i=1}^4 \binom{k^*}{i} - 2$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\chi_{gvt}(K_{3,n}) = k$  and let  $f$  be a  $k$ -GVDTTC of  $K_{3,n}$ . By Lemma 2.2 there must exist some  $\{\ell_1\} \notin \cup_{j=1}^n C_i^f(y_j)$  and  $\{\ell_2\} \notin \cup_{j=1}^n C_i^f(y_j)$ ,  $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.1, one can readily check that  $n \leq 10$  and  $n \leq 26$  when  $k = 4$  and  $k = 5$ ,

respectively. When  $k \geq 6$ , because  $|C_t^f(y)| \leq 4$  for each  $y \in Y$ , it follows that  $n \leq \sum_{i=1}^4 \binom{k}{i} - 2$  which implies that  $k \geq k^*$ . Additionally, we can easily give a 6-GVDTC of  $K_{3,n}$  when  $n = 27, 28, 29$ . So, it suffices to consider the case of  $k \geq 6$  and  $n \geq 30$ . In order to show  $k = k^*$  in this case, we are sufficient to show that  $K_{3,n}$  has a  $k^*$ -GVDTC.

Since the case  $n < k^* - 1$  is trivial, we assume  $n \geq k^* - 1$ . Analogously to the proof of Theorem 2.1, we first assign a color set  $C_j$  to each  $y_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , such that (1)  $C_j \in ((\binom{K^*}{1}) \setminus \{\{k^*\}, \{k^* - 1\}\}) \cup \binom{K^*}{2} \cup \binom{K^*}{3} \cup \binom{K^*}{4}$  (2)  $C_{j_1} \neq C_{j_2}$  for any two distinct  $j_1, j_2 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , (3)  $\{\{1\}, \{2\}, \dots, \{k^* - 2\}, \{k^* - 1, k^*\}\} \subseteq \{C_j : j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , where  $K^* = \{1, 2, \dots, k^*\}$ . Given that  $N_k = |((\binom{K^*}{1}) \setminus \{\{k^*\}, \{k^* - 1\}\}) \cup \binom{K^*}{2} \cup \binom{K^*}{3} \cup \binom{K^*}{4}|$  and  $n \leq N_k$ , we can see that such  $C_j$  does exist,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Now, we define a  $k^*$ -GVDTC of  $K_{2,N_k}$  according to  $C_j$ .

Color  $x_1, x_2$  by  $k^*$  and  $x_3$  by  $k^* - 1$ ; For each  $y_j$  and its incident edges, if  $|C_j| = |\{c_1\}| = 1$ , then color  $y_j, y_jx_1, y_jx_2$  and  $y_jx_3$  by  $c_1$ ; If  $|C_j| = \{c_1, c_2\} = 2$ , assume  $c_1 < c_2$ , then color  $y_j$  by  $c_2$ , color  $y_jx_1$  and  $y_jx_3$  by  $c_1$ , and color  $y_jx_2$  by  $c_2$ ; If  $|C_j| = \{c_1, c_2, c_3\} = 3$ , assume  $c_1 < c_2 < c_3$ , then color  $y_j$  by  $c_3$ , color  $y_jx_1$  and  $y_jx_3$  by  $c_2$ , and color  $y_jx_2$  by  $c_1$ ; If  $|C_j| = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\} = 4$ , assume  $c_1 < c_2 < c_3 < c_4$ , then color  $y_j$  by  $c_4$ , color  $y_jx_1$  by  $c_1, y_jx_2$  by  $c_2$ , and color  $y_jx_3$  by  $c_3$ ; Where  $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in K^*$ .

By the above coloring, because  $\{k^* - 1, k^*\}$  is the color set of some vertex of  $Y$ , it follows that  $k^* - 1$  appears at vertices  $x_1$  and also  $x_3$ . In addition, because  $\{1\}, \{2\}, \dots, \{k^* - 2\}$  are color sets of vertices of  $Y$  and  $k^* - 1$  does not appear at vertex  $x_2$ , it follows that the color set of  $x_1$  is  $\{1, 2, \dots, k^*\}$ , the color set of  $x_2$  is  $\{1, 2, \dots, k^* - 2, k^*\}$  and the color set of  $x_3$  is  $\{1, 2, \dots, k^* - 2, k^* - 1\}$ , by Lemma 2.1. Given that for any two  $j_1 \neq j_2 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$   $C_{j_1} \neq C_{j_2}$  and  $|C_j| \leq 4$ , we can see that  $x_i$  has different color set with  $y_j$  for  $i = 1, 2, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . So the coloring defined above is a  $k^*$ -GVDTC of  $K_{3,n}$ .  $\square$

We now consider the case of  $K_{n,n}$ .

**Theorem 2.3** For positive integer  $n$ , we have

$$1 + \lceil \log_2 (n + \frac{1}{2}) \rceil \leq \chi_{gut}(K_{n,n}) \leq 2 + \lceil \log_2 n \rceil.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\chi_{gut}(K_{n,n}) = k$ , and suppose that  $f$  is a  $k$ -GVDTC of  $K_{n,n}$ . Denote the set of colors by  $C = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . In order to ensure that for any two vertices  $u, v$ ,  $C_i^f(u) \neq C_i^f(v)$ , it demands that

$$2n \leq 2^k - 1.$$

So

$$k \geq 1 + \lceil \log_2(n + \frac{1}{2}) \rceil.$$

As for the upper bound, it suffices to prove that  $K_{n,n}$  has a  $(2 + \lceil \log_2 n \rceil)$ -*GVDTC*. Note that when  $k = 2 + \lceil \log_2 n \rceil$ ,  $n \leq 2^{k-2}$ . In addition, we shall assume  $n > 2^{k-3}$ .

When  $k = 2, 3, 4$ , one can readily check that  $\chi_{gut}(K_{1,1}) = 2$ ;  $\chi_{gut}(K_{2,2}) = 3$ ;  $\chi_{gut}(K_{3,3}) = 4$ ;  $\chi_{gut}(K_{4,4}) = 4$ .

When  $k \geq 5$ , it follows  $n \geq k$ . We now present a method to prove that  $K_{n,n}$  has a  $k$ -*GVDTC*. Denote by  $S$  the family of all subsets of  $C$  that contain element 1, i.e.  $S = \{\{1\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \dots, \{1, k\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \dots, \{1, 2, k\}, \dots, \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}, \{1, 2, \dots, k-2, k-1\}, \{1, 2, \dots, k-3, k-2, k-1\}, \dots, \{1, 3, 4, \dots, k\}, \{1, 2, \dots, k\}\}$ . We intend to construct a  $k$ -*GVDTC*  $f$  with the following properties, denoted by (\*)-rule:

$C_i^f(x_1) = \{1\}$ ;  $C_i^f(x_i) = \{1, i\}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ;  $C_i^f(y_j) = C \setminus \{k+1-j\}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ ;  $C_i^f(y_k) = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ ;  $C_i^f(x_i), C_i^f(y_j)$ , for  $i, j = k+1, k+2, \dots, n$  are any  $2(n-k)$  different sets in  $S \setminus (\cup_{i=1}^k \{C_i^f(x_i), C_i^f(y_i)\})$  (Since  $|S| = 2^{k-1}$ , such  $2(n-k)$  sets do exist).

If  $f$  meets the above demand, then  $f$  is a  $k$ -*GVDTC* of  $K_{n,n}$ . We now show that such  $f$  does exist.

Let  $f$  be:

(1)  $f(x_i) = i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ;  $f(x_i) = 1, i = k+1, k+2, \dots, 2^{k-2}$ ;  
 $f(y_i) = 1$ .

(2) For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $f(x_i y_j) = i$  when  $i \in C_i^f(y_j)$ , otherwise,  $f(x_i y_j) = 1$ .

Obviously, after the above two steps, all of  $C_i^f(y_j), j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  satisfy (\*)-rule. In the following, we show that edges  $x_i y_j$  for  $i = k+1, k+2, \dots, n, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  can be colored properly to make sure that each  $C_i^f(x_i)$  for  $i = k+1, k+2, \dots, n$  has the properties of (\*)-rule.

(3) For an arbitrary  $x_i, i \in \{k+1, k+2, \dots, n\}$ , denote by  $X_{ij} = C_i^f(x_i) \cap C_i^f(y_j)$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Let  $f(x_i y_1) = 1$ , and for  $j = 2, \dots, k$ , let  $f(x_i y_j)$  be the smallest number of  $X = X_{ij} \setminus \{f(x_i y_1), f(x_i y_2), \dots, f(x_i y_{j-1})\}$  when  $X \neq \emptyset$ ; Otherwise let  $f(x_i y_j) = 1$  when  $X = \emptyset$ .

By (3), it can be seen that each  $x_i, i \in \{k+1, k+2, \dots, n\}$ , has the properties of (\*)-rule. So, we need only color all the remaining edges by color 1.

To sum up,  $f$  is a  $k$ -*GVDTC* satisfying (\*)-rule, and the conclusion holds.  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

We would like to express our thanks to the anonymous referees for their helpful comments on an earlier draft of this paper. This work is supported by the Application Fundamental Fundation of Sichuan Provincial Science and Technology Department(2014JY0054), the Science Supporting Fundation of Sichuan Provincial Science and Technology Department(2014GZ0034), the Soft Science Fundation of Sichuan Provincial Science and Technology Department(2014ZR0218), the Scientific Research Fundation of SiChuan Provincial Education Department(15ZB0379), the Scientific Research Fundation of Sichuan Provincial Education Department(15ZB0390), the Soft Scientific Research Fundation of Science and Technology Bureau of Chengdu(2014-RK00-00051-ZF).

## References

- [1] F. T. Leighton. A graph coloring algorithm for large scheduling problems. *Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards*, 84 (1979), 489-506.
- [2] D. de Werra, Y. Gay. Chromatic scheduling and frequency assignment. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 49(1994), 165 - 174.
- [3] J. Gross, J. Yellen. *Graph Theory and Its Applications*. CRC press, (1999).
- [4] F. Harary. Conditional colorability in graphs, In *Graphs and Applications*, Proc. First Colorado Symp. Graph Theory, John Wiley & Sons, New York, (1985).
- [5] F. Harary, M. Plantholt. The point-distinguishing chromatic index, in: Harary F, Maybee J S(Eds). *Graphs and Applications*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, (1985), 147-162.
- [6] M. Horňák, R. Soták. The fifth jump of the point-distinguishing chromatic index of  $K_{n,n}$ . *Ars Combinatorica*, 42 (1996), 233-242.
- [7] M. Horňák, N. Z. Salvi. On the point-distinguishing chromatic index of complete bipartite graphs. *Ars Combinatorica*, 80 (2006), 75-85.
- [8] A. C. Burriss, R. H. Schelp. Vertex-distinguishing proper edge-colorings. *J. Graph Theory*, (2)26 (1997), 73-82.
- [9] C. Bazgan, A. H. Benhamdine, H. Li, M. Woźniak. On the vertex-Distinguishing Proper Edge-Coloring of Graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, (2)75 (1999), 288-301.



- [10] P. N. Balister, B. Bollobás, R. H. Schelp. Vertex Distinguishing Coloring of Graphs with  $\Delta(G) = 2$ . *Discrete Mathematics*, 252 (2002): 17-29.
- [11] Z. F. Zhang, L. Z. Liu, J. F. Wang. Adjacent Strong Edge Coloring of Graphs. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 15 (2002), 623-626.
- [12] P. N. Balister, E. Győri, J. Lehel, R. H. Schelp. Adjacent vertex-distinguishing edge-colorings. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 21 (2007), 237-250.
- [13] C. Greenhill, A. Ruciński. Neighbour-distinguishing edge colourings of random regular graphs. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, (1)13 (2006), R77.
- [14] E. Győri, M. Horňák, C. Palmer and M. Woźniak. General neighbour-distinguishing index of a graph. *Discrete Mathematics*, (5-6)308 (2008), 827-831.
- [15] Z. F. Zhang, X. E. Chen, J. W. Li, B. Yao, X. Z. Lu, J. F. Wang. On adjacent-vertex-distinguishing total coloring of graphs. *Sci. China Ser. A*, (3)48(2005), 289-299.
- [16] X. E. Chen. On the adjacent vertex distinguishing total coloring numbers of graphs with  $\Delta=3$ . *Discrete Mathematics*, 308 (2008), 4003-4007.
- [17] H. Y. Whang. On the adjacent-vertex-distinguishing total chromatic number of the graph with  $\Delta=3$ . *Journal of Combinatorial Optimization*, (1)14 (2007), 87-109.
- [18] J. Hulan. Concise proofs for adjacent vertex-distinguishing total colorings. *Discrete Mathematics*, 309 (2009), 2548-2550.
- [19] Z. F. Zhang, P. X. Qiu, B. G. Xu, etc. Vertex-distinguishing total coloring of graphs. *Ars Combinatorica*, 87 (2008), 33-45.
- [20] J. A. Bondy, U. S. R. Murty. *Graph Theory*, Springer, 2008.
- [21] C. Liu, E. Zhu. General vertex-distinguishing total coloring of graphs, *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, Volume 2014 (2014), Article ID 849748, 7 pages.