

Some new results on k -cordial labeling

Maged Z. Youssef and Naseam A. AL-Kuleab

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University, Abbassia 11566, Cairo, Egypt.

and

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,
King Faisal University, Al-Hasa, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Abstract

Hovey [11] called a graph G is A -cordial where A is an additive Abelian group and $f: V(G) \rightarrow A$ is a labeling of the vertices of G with elements of A such that when the edges of G are labeled by the induced labeling $f: E(G) \rightarrow A$ by $f^*(xy) = f(x) + f(y)$ then the number of vertices (resp. edges) labeled with a and the number of vertices (resp. edges) labeled with b differ by at most one for all $a, b \in A$. When $A = \mathbb{Z}_k$, we call a graph G is k -cordial instead of \mathbb{Z}_k -cordial. In this paper, we give a sufficient condition for the join of two k -cordial graphs to be k -cordial and we give also a necessary condition for certain Eulerian graphs to be k -cordial when k is even and finally we complete the characterization of the 4-cordiality of the complete tripartite graph.

Keywords: Cordial labeling, k -cordial labeling.

AMS Subject Classification: 05C78.

* Current address: Department of Mathematics, Teachers College, King Saud University, P.O. Box 4341, Riyadh 11491, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

1. Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are finite, simple and undirected. For most of the graph theory terminology and notation, we follow [6] and especially of graph labeling [8].

Let G be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$, and let A be an Abelian group (with addition). A vertex labeling $f : V(G) \rightarrow A$ induces an edge labeling $f^* : E(G) \rightarrow A$, defined by $f^*(xy) = f(x) + f(y)$, for all edges $xy \in E(G)$. For $a \in A$, let $n_a(f) = |f^{-1}(a)|$ and $m_a(f) = |f^{*-1}(a)|$. A labeling f of a graph G is said to be A -cordial labeling if $|n_a(f) - n_b(f)| \leq 1$ and $|m_a(f) - m_b(f)| \leq 1$ for all $a, b \in A$. A graph G is called A -cordial if it admits an A -cordial labeling. When $A = \mathbb{Z}_k$, we use the term k -cordial labeling instead of \mathbb{Z}_k -cordial labeling, and we say that G is k -cordial if G is \mathbb{Z}_k -cordial.

The notion of A -cordial labeling was first introduced by Hovey [11] who introduced a simultaneous generalization of harmonious [10] and cordial [3] labelings and he observed that a (p, q) graph G is harmonious [10] if and only if G is q -cordial. However, Youssef [14] observed that one direction of this result is not strictly true. The result may be restated as every harmonious (p, q) graph is q -cordial and the converse is true if $q \geq p - 1$. Hovey also observed that a (p, q) graph G is elegant [5] if and only if G is p -cordial and finally a graph G is cordial [3] if and only if G is 2-cordial. See [1-2, 4-5, 7, 9, 12] for other related topics.

In the previously cited paper by Hovey [11], he has obtained the following results: caterpillars are k -cordial for all k ; all trees are k -cordial for $k = 2, 3, 4$ and 5; odd cycles with pendant edges attached

are k -cordial for all k ; cycles are k -cordial for all odd k ; for k even C_{2mk+j} is k -cordial when $0 \leq j < \frac{k}{2} + 2$ and when $k < j < 2k$; $C_{(2m+1)k}$ is not k -cordial for even k ; K_n is 3-cordial; and K_{nk} is k -cordial if and only if $n = 1$ and he also advanced the following conjectures: all trees are k -cordial for all k ; all connected graphs are 3-cordial; and C_{2mk+j} is k -cordial if and only if $j \neq k$, where k and j are even and $0 \leq j < 2k$. The last conjecture was verified by Tao [13]. Youssef [14] proved some necessary conditions for a graph to be k -cordial and gave some new families of k -cordial graphs. The reference [8] surveys the current state of knowledge for k -cordial labeling and many other labelings.

In this section we mention some results which are referred to throughout the paper. In [11] Hovey showed that

Lemma 1. If f is a k -cordial labeling of G so is $af + b$ for any unit $a \in \mathbb{Z}_k$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}_k$.

In [14] Youssef proved the following results

Lemma 2. If G is a (p, q) k -cordial graph with $p \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$, then $G + \bar{K}_n$ is k -cordial for all positive integer n .

Theorem 1. $K_{m,n}$ is 4-cordial if and only if m or $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

In the next section of this paper we give a sufficient condition for the join of two k -cordial graphs to be k -cordial and we give another sufficient condition in case of 4-cordial graphs. In Section 3, we give a necessary condition for certain Eulerian graphs to be k -cordial for even k and we give the complete characterization of the 4-cordiality of complete tripartite graphs.

2. Further results of k -cordial graphs

In this section, we prove that the join of two k -cordial graphs is k -cordial under certain conditions

Theorem 2.1 Let G and H be (p_1, q_1) and (p_2, q_2) k -cordial graphs. If $(p_1$ or $p_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{k})$ and $(q_1$ or $q_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{k})$, then $G + H$ is k -cordial.

Proof Let g (resp. h) be a k -cordial labeling of G (resp. H). Define the labeling $f : V(G + H) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_k$ as $f|_{V(G)} = g$ and $f|_{V(H)} = h$. Since,

$$p_1 \text{ or } p_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{k}, \quad \text{then} \quad n_i(g) = \frac{p_1}{k} \quad \text{or} \quad n_i(h) = \frac{p_2}{k} \quad \text{for}$$

all $0 \leq i \leq k - 1$. Similarly, Since, q_1 or $q_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$, $m_j(g) = \frac{q_1}{k}$ or

$$m_j(h) = \frac{q_2}{k} \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq j \leq k - 1.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } |n_i(f) - n_j(f)| &= |(n_i(g) + n_i(h)) - (n_j(g) + n_j(h))| \\ &= |(n_i(g) - n_j(g)) + (n_i(h) - n_j(h))| \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} &|m_i(f) - m_j(f)| \\ &= \left| (m_i(g) + m_i(h) + \frac{p_1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} n_i(h)) - (m_j(g) + m_j(h) + \frac{p_1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} n_j(h)) \right| \\ &= \left| (m_i(g) - m_j(g)) + (m_i(h) - m_j(h)) + \frac{p_1}{k} 0 \right| \leq 1. \text{ This completes the} \end{aligned}$$

proof. \square

Lemma 2 above is a straightforward corollary of Theorem 2.1. The following is another straightforward corollary.

Corollary 1 If G is k -cordial, then $G + \bar{K}_n$ is k -cordial for every $n \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$.

Theorem 2.2 Let G and H be (p_1, q_1) and (p_2, q_2) 4-cordial graphs with q_1 and $q_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. If p_1 or $p_2 \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then $G + H$ is 4-cordial.

Proof Without any loss of generality, we take the case $p_1 \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. If $p_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then $G + H$ is 4-cordial by Theorem 2.1. Now, let g (resp. h) be a 4-cordial labeling of G (resp. H). We have two other cases to consider:

Case 1: $p_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

Since G is 4-cordial, then $n_i(g) = n_j(g) = n_k(g) = n_t(g) - 1$, where $\{i, j, k, t\} = \mathbb{Z}_4$ and since H is 4-cordial, then by Lemma 1, there exist $a \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ such that $h + a$ is a 4-cordial labeling with $n_s(h + a) \leq n_t(h + a)$ for every $s \in \{i, j, k\}$. Hence, $G + H$ is 4-cordial with the labeling $f: V(G + H) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_4$ which is defined as $f|_{V(G)} = g$ and $f|_{V(H)} = h + a$.

Case 2: $p_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$

Similarly, since G is 4-cordial, then $n_i(g) = n_j(g) = n_k(g) = n_t(g) + 1$, where $\{i, j, k, t\} = \mathbb{Z}_4$, again since H is 4-cordial, then by Lemma 1, there exist $b \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ such that $h + b$ is a 4-cordial labeling with $n_s(h + b) \geq n_t(h + b)$ for every $s \in \{i, j, k\}$. As in case 1, $G + H$ is 4-cordial. \square

Note that, in the above theorem, if p_1 and $p_2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then the graph $G + H$ may be not 4-cordial for example the graph $\bar{K}_2 + \bar{K}_2 = K_{2,2}$ is not 4-cordial by Theorem 1.

3. 4-cordiality of complete multipartite graphs

The following lemma shows that adding a number of isolated vertices congruent to $0 \pmod{k}$ to one partition (then to many partitions) of complete multipartite k -cordial graph produces another complete multipartite k -cordial graph.

Lemma 3.1 If K_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r} is k -cordial so is $K_{m_1+t, m_2, \dots, m_r}$ for all $t \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$.

Proof Label the t vertices by $0, 1, \dots, k-1; 0, 1, \dots, k-1; \dots; 0, 1, \dots, k-1$. So every vertex of the partitions of m_2, m_3, \dots, m_r vertices join all the t vertices which gives an equal number of edges labeled $0, 1, \dots, k-1$. \square

Lemma 3.2 Let $k = 4(2t-1)$ be an integer where $t \geq 1$. If $r \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $m_i \equiv 2(2t-1) \pmod{k}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, then the graph K_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r} is not k -cordial.

Proof Let $G = K_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r}$, $V(G) = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \{u_{i,j} : 1 \leq j \leq m_i\}$,
 $p = |V(G)| = \sum_{i=1}^r m_i$, $q = |E(G)| = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} m_i m_j$ and suppose that G is
 k -cordial with labeling f , then $\sum_{e \in E(G)} f^*(e) \equiv$
 $(\sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg(v)f(v)) \pmod{k}$ and as $p, q \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$, (all next
congruencies are taken \pmod{k})

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{q}{k} i \equiv \sum_{i=1} m_i \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} f(u_{1,j}) + \sum_{i=2} m_i \sum_{j=1}^{m_2} f(u_{2,j}) + \dots + \sum_{i=r} m_i \sum_{j=1}^{m_r} f(u_{r,j})$$

,

$$\frac{q}{2}(k-1) \equiv \sum_{i=1} m_i \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} f(u_{1,j}) + \sum_{i=2} m_i \sum_{j=1}^{m_2} f(u_{2,j}) + \dots +$$

$$\left(\sum_{i=r} m_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{p}{k} i - \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} f(u_{i,j}) \right),$$

$$\frac{q}{2}(k-1) \equiv (m_r - m_1) \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} f(u_{1,j}) + (m_r - m_2) \sum_{j=1}^{m_2} f(u_{2,j}) + \dots$$

$$(m_r - m_{r-1}) \sum_{j=1}^{m_{r-1}} f(u_{r-1,j}) + \frac{p}{2}(k-1) \sum_{i=r} m_i. \text{ Thus}$$

$$\frac{q}{2}(k-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{k}, \text{ so } q \equiv 0 \pmod{2k} \text{ a contradiction. } \square$$

Note that if G is Eulerian graph with number of edges $q \equiv k \pmod{2k}$, then the graph may or may not be k -cordial when k is even. For example C_n is not k -cordial when $n \equiv k \pmod{2k}$ [11,14] also C_{4n+2}^2 is not 4-cordial for all n [14] but on the other hand the friendship graph $C_3^{(4)} = K_1 + 4K_2$, the graph consisting of 4 copies of the cycle C_3 with one vertex in common, is 4-cordial (by labeling the vertex of K_1 by 0, the first component of K_2 by 1, 1, the second by 2, 2, the third by 1, 3 and the fourth by 0, 3). The following proposition determines a necessary condition for certain Eulerian graphs to be k -cordial for even k .

Proposition 3.3 Let $k = 2^s(2t - 1)$ be an integer where $s, t \geq 1$ and G be a graph of $q \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ edges such that 2^s divides the degree of every vertex of G . If $q \equiv k \pmod{2k}$, then G is not k -cordial.

Proof Let $q \equiv k \pmod{2k}$ and suppose that G is k -cordial with labeling f , then $\sum_{e \in E(G)} f^*(e) \equiv (\sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg(v)f(v)) \pmod{k}$ and hence

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{q}{k} i \equiv \sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg(v)f(v), \quad \text{and as } 2^s \mid \sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg(v)f(v), \quad \text{then}$$

$$\frac{k}{2} \equiv 2^s x \pmod{k} \quad \text{where} \quad \sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg(v)f(v) = 2^s x. \quad \text{That is,}$$

$$2^s x \equiv \frac{k}{2} \pmod{k} \text{ a contradiction since } \gcd(2^s, k) \text{ does not divide } \frac{k}{2}. \quad \square$$

The following corollary is straightforward.

Corollary 2 If $k = 4(2t - 1)$, $r \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $m_i \equiv 2(2t - 1) \pmod{k}$, then the graph K_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r} is not k -cordial.

Let $K_{m,n,p}$ be the complete tripartite graph with $V(K_{m,n,p}) = \{u_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\} \cup \{v_j : 1 \leq j \leq n\} \cup \{w_k : 1 \leq k \leq p\}$ and $E(K_{m,n,p}) = \{u_i v_j : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\} \cup \{u_i w_k : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq p\} \cup \{v_j w_k : 1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq p\}$.

Youssef [14] completed the characterization of the 4-cordiality of the complete bipartite graphs. However, the following theorem extends this result to complete tripartite graphs. Using the symmetry of $K_{m,n,p}$, we may assume that $m \pmod{4} \leq n \pmod{4} \leq p \pmod{4}$.

Theorem 3.4 $K_{m,n,p}$ is 4 – cordial if and only if $(m, n, p) \pmod{4} \neq (0, 2, 2), (2, 2, 2)$.

Proof Necessity, if $m, n, p \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then $K_{m,n,p}$ is not 4 – cordial by Corollary 2 . If $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $n, p \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, let $q = |E(K_{m,n,p})|$ and suppose that $K_{m,n,p}$ is 4 – cordial with labeling f , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{e \in E(K_{m,n,p})} f^*(e) &\equiv \left(\sum_{v \in V(K_{m,n,p})} \deg(v)f(v) \right) \pmod{4} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{6q}{4} &\equiv \left((n + p) \sum_{i=1}^m f(u_i) + (m + p) \sum_{j=1}^n f(v_j) + (m + n) \sum_{k=1}^p f(w_k) \right) \\ &\pmod{4} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \frac{3q}{2} &\equiv \left((n + p) \sum_{i=1}^m f(u_i) + m \left(\sum_{j=1}^n f(v_j) + \sum_{k=1}^p f(w_k) \right) + p \sum_{j=1}^n f(v_j) \right. \\ &\left. + n \sum_{k=1}^p f(w_k) \right) \pmod{4} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{3q}{2} = \left(p \sum_{j=1}^n f(v_j) + n \sum_{k=1}^p f(w_k) \right) \pmod{4}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{3q}{2} = p \left((0 + 1 + 2 + 3) \frac{m + n + p}{4} - \sum_{i=1}^m f(u_i) - \sum_{k=1}^p f(w_k) \right)$$

$$+ n \sum_{k=1}^p f(w_k) \pmod{4}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{3q}{2} = \frac{6p}{4} (m + n + p) + (n - p) \sum_{k=1}^p f(w_k) - p \sum_{i=1}^m f(u_i) \pmod{4}.$$

Then $\sum_{i=1}^m f(u_i) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and hence $\sum_{j=1}^n f(v_j) + \sum_{k=1}^p f(w_k) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

Without any loss of generality we may assume that $\sum_{k=1}^p f(w_k) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

Now, let O_1 (resp. O_2 , resp. O_3) be the number of vertices whose label is odd in the m -set (resp n -set, resp. p -set) and E_1 (resp. E_2 , resp. E_3) be the number of vertices whose label is even in the m -set (resp n -set, resp. p -set). Since $\sum_{i=1}^m f(u_i) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, then O_1 is odd and hence E_1 is odd too. Also, as $\sum_{k=1}^p f(w_k) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, then O_3 is odd and hence E_3 is odd too. So, we can deduce that both of O_2 and E_2 are even. We calculate $m_0(f) + m_2(f)$ and $m_1(f) + m_3(f)$.

$$m_0(f) + m_2(f) = O_1(O_2 + O_3) + E_1(E_2 + E_3) + E_2E_3 + O_2O_3,$$

$$m_1(f) + m_3(f) = O_1(E_2 + E_3) + E_1(O_2 + O_3) + O_2E_3 + E_2O_3$$

Put $x = O_2 + O_3$, $y = E_2 + E_3$ and subtract $m_0(f) + m_2(f)$ from $m_1(f) + m_3(f)$, we get:

$$m_1(f) + m_3(f) - (m_0(f) + m_2(f)) = (O_1 - E_1)(y - x) + (E_3 - O_3)(O_2 - E_2). \text{ As } x + y = n + p \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \text{ and since both } x \text{ and } y \text{ are odd, then } y - x \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{8}. \text{ Similarly both of } O_1 \text{ and } E_1 \text{ are odd and } O_1 + E_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \text{ then } O_1 - E_1 \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{8}. \text{ Note also, } O_2 - E_2 \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{8} \text{ and } E_3 - O_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

Hence, $m_1(f) + m_3(f) - (m_0(f) + m_2(f)) \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ which a contradiction, since $m_i(f) = \frac{q}{4}$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}_4$.

Sufficiency, if two of m, n or p , say m and n , satisfy that $m + n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and m or $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then $K_{m,n}$ is 4-cordial by Theorem 1 and hence $K_{m,n,p} = K_{m,n} + \bar{K}_p$ is 4-cordial by Lemma 1. This case showed that $K_{m,n,p}$ is 4-cordial when $(m, n, p) \pmod{4} \equiv (0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 2), (0, 0, 3), (0, 1, 3), (1, 1, 3), (1, 2, 3)$ and $(1, 3, 3)$. If one of m, n or $p \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, say m , and another one $\not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, say p , then $K_{m,n,p} = K_{m,n} + \bar{K}_p$ is 4-cordial by Theorem 2.2. This case covers the more cases of $(m, n, p) \pmod{4} \equiv (0, 1, 1), (0, 1, 2), (0, 2, 3)$ and $(0, 3, 3)$. Finally, as $K_{1,1,1}, K_{1,1,2}, K_{1,2,2}, K_{2,2,3}, K_{2,3,3}$ and $K_{3,3,3}$ are 4-cordial by the labeling f where $f(V(K_{m,n,p}))$ for each graph is given as the following respectively $\{0;1;2\}, \{0;1;2,3\}, \{0;1,2;2,3\}, \{0,1;0,3;1,2,3\}, \{0,1;0,2,3;1,2,3\}$, and $\{0,1,2;0,1,3;0,2,3\}$, then $K_{m,n,p}$ is 4-cordial in the remaining cases by Lemma 3.1. \square

References

[1] B. D. Acharya and S. M. Hegde, Arithmetic graphs, *J. Graph Theory*, **14** (1990) 275-299.

[2] M. Baca, B. Baskoro, M. Miller, J. Ryan, R. Simanjuntack and K. Sugeng, Survey of edge antimagic labelings of graphs, *J. Indonesian Math. Soc.*, **12** (2006) 113-130.

[3] I. Cahit, Cordial graphs : a weaker version of graceful and harmonious graphs, *Ars Combin.*, **23** (1987) 201-207.

[4] I. Cahit, On cordial and 3-equitable labelings of graphs, *Utilitas Math.*, **37** (1990) 189-198.

- [5] **G. J. Chang, D. F. Hsu and D. G. Rogers**, Additive variations on a graceful theme: some results on harmonious and other related graphs, *Congress. Numer.*, **32** ((1981) 181-197.
- [6] **G. Chartrand and L. Lesniak-Foster**, *Graphs and Digraphs* (3rd Edition) CRC Press, 1996.
- [7] **H. Enomoto, A. S. Llado, T. Nakaamigawa and G. Ringel**, Super edge-magic graphs, *SUT J. Math.* **34** (1998)105-109.
- [8] **J. A. Gallian**, A dynamic survey of graph labeling, *The Electronic J. of Combin.***17** (2010), # DS6, 1-246.
- [9] **T. Grace**, On sequential labelings of graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **7** (1983) 195-201.
- [10] **R. L. Graham and N. J. A. Sloane**, On additive bases and harmonious graphs, *SIAM J. Alg. Discrete Method*, **1** (1980) 382-404.
- [11] **M. Hovey**, A-cordial graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **93** (1991) 183-194.
- [12] **S. M. Lee, E. Schmeichel, and S. C. Shee**, On felicitous graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **93** (1991)201-209.
- [13] **R. Tao**, On k-cordiality of cycles, crowns and wheels, *System Sci. Math. Sci.* **11** (1998) 227-229.
- [14] **M. Z. Youssef**, On k-cordial labeling, *Australas. J. Combin.* **43** (2009) 31-37.