

The goodness of path or cycle with respect to multiple copies of complete graphs of order three

I Wayan Sudarsana

Combinatorial and Applied Mathematics Research Group, Tadulako University
Jalan Sukarno-Hatta Km. 9 Tondo, Palu 94118, Indonesia.
email: sudarsanaiwayan@yahoo.co.id

Abstract

The notation tK_3 represents a graph with t copies of complete graph K_3 . In this note we discuss the goodness of path P_n or cycle C_n with respect to tK_3 . Furthermore, this result provides the computation of Ramsey number $R(G, tK_3)$ when G is a set of disjoint paths or cycles.

Keywords: *cycle, (G, H) -free, H -good, path, Ramsey number.*

1 Introduction

All graphs in this paper are finite, undirected and simple. Let G and H be two graphs, where H is a subgraph of G , we define $G - H$ as a graph obtained from G by deleting the vertices of H and all edges incident to them. Let t be a natural number and G_i be a connected graph with the vertex set V_i and the edge set E_i for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$. The disjoint union of graphs, $\bigcup_{i=1}^t G_i$, has the vertex set $\bigcup_{i=1}^t V_i$ and the edge set $\bigcup_{i=1}^t E_i$. Furthermore, if each G_i is isomorphic to a connected graph G then we denote by tG the disjoint union of t copies of G .

For graphs G and H , the Ramsey number $R(G, H)$ is the minimum n such that in every coloring of the edges of the complete graph K_n with two colors, say red and blue, there is a red copy of G or a blue copy of H . A graph F is called (G, H) -free if F contains no subgraph isomorphic to G and its complement \bar{F} contains no subgraph isomorphic to H . The Ramsey number $R(G, H)$ can be equivalently defined as the smallest natural number n such that no (G, H) -free graph on n vertices exists.

Determining $R(G, H)$ is a notoriously hard problem. Burr [7] showed that the problem of determining whether $R(G, H) \leq n$ for a given n is

NP-hard. Furthermore in Shaeffer [19] one can find a rare natural example of a problem higher than NP-hard in the polynomial hierarchy of computational complexity theory, that is, Ramsey arrowing is \prod_2^P -complete. The few known values of $R(G, H)$ are collected in the dynamic survey of Radziszowski [16].

Burr [6] proved the general lower bound

$$R(G, H) \geq (|V(G)| - 1)(\chi(H) - 1) + s(H), \quad (1)$$

where G is a connected graph, $\chi(H)$ denotes the chromatic number of H and $s(H)$ is its *chromatic surplus*, namely, the minimum cardinality of a color class taken over all proper colorings of H with $\chi(H)$ colors. Motivated by this inequality, the graph G is said to be H -good if equality holds in (1). Chvátal [11] proved that trees are K_m -good graphs.

Faudree and Schelp [12] conjectured that C_n is K_m -good for $n \geq m \geq 3$, except for $n = m = 3$. The conjecture has been verified for $n \geq m^2 - 2$ (Bondy and Erdős [4]), for $m = 3$ (Chartrand and Schuster [8]), $m = 4$ (Yang, Huang and Zhang [18]), $m = 5$ (Bollobás, Jayawardene, Yang, Huang, Rousseau and Zhang [2]), $m = 6$ (Schiermeyer [17]) and $m = 7$ (Chen, Cheng and Zhang [9]). More recently, Nikiforov [15] proved the conjecture for all $m \geq 3$ and $n \geq 4m + 2$. Other result concerning the goodness of graphs with the chromatic surplus one can be found in Lin et al. [14]. However, the goodness of path P_n or cycle C_n with respect to tK_m for $t \geq 2$ is still open.

In this paper we establish the goodness of P_n or C_n with respect to tK_3 for $t \geq 2$ and sufficiently large n .

Theorem 1 *Let $t \geq 2$ be an integer and $f(t) = 6t^2 - 15t + 9$. If $n \geq f(t)$ then $R(P_n, tK_3) = 2n + t - 2$.*

Theorem 2 *Let $t \geq 2$ be an integer and $g(t) = 6t^2 - 3t + 1$. If $n \geq g(t)$ then $R(C_n, tK_3) = 2n + t - 2$.*

For the proof of Theorem 2 we use the following result of Bondy and the above mentioned result of Nikiforov.

Lemma 1 (Bondy, 1975 [3]) *Let G be a graph of order n . If the minimum degree of G satisfies $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n}{2}$ then either G is pancyclic or n is even and $G \simeq K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.*

Theorem 3 (Nikiforov, 2005 [15]) *Let $m \geq 3$ be an integer. If $n \geq 4m + 2$ then $R(C_n, K_m) = (n - 1)(m - 1) + 1$.*

By extending previous results of Baskoro [1] and Stahl [20], Bielak [5] and Sudarsana et al. [21] recently proved a formula for $R(G, H)$ when every connected component of G is a H -good graph. This result motivates the study of general families of H -good graphs. In particular, Theorems 1 and 2 provide the following computation of $R(G, tK_3)$ when G is a set of disjoint paths or cycles.

Corollary 1 *Let $t \geq 2$ be an integer and $g(t) = 6t^2 - 3t + 1$. Let $G \simeq \bigcup_{i=1}^k l_i G_i$, where $l_i \geq 1$ and each G_i is a path or cycle of order n_i .*

If $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq g(t)$ then

$$R(G, tK_3) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \left\{ n_i + \sum_{j=1}^i l_j n_j \right\} + t - 2. \tag{2}$$

2 Proof of Theorems

We first show Theorem 1 for the case $t = 2$.

Lemma 2 *Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer. Then, $R(P_n, 2K_3) = 2n$.*

Proof. The lower bound $R(P_n, 2K_3) \geq 2n$ follows from the fact that $2K_{n-1} \cup K_1$ is a $(P_n, 2K_3)$ -free graph on $2n - 1$ vertices.

Now we will prove that $R(P_n, 2K_3) \leq 2n$. Let F be an arbitrary graph of order $2n$ that contains no P_n . Select a path $P = x_1 x_2 \dots x_m$ of maximal length in F , delete the vertices of P and select a second maximal length path $Q = y_1 y_2 \dots y_k$ in $F - P$. Paths P and Q have $m < n$ and $k < n$ vertices, respectively, so deleting P and Q leaves at least two vertices z_1, z_2 . Maximality of path length then shows that $\{x_1, y_1, z_1\}$ is an independent set and so is $\{x_m, y_k, z_2\}$. Therefore, we have a copy of $2K_3$ in \bar{F} . \square

We are now ready to prove the first theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1. The graph $2K_{n-1} \cup K_{t-1}$ shows the lower bound $R(P_n, tK_3) \geq 2n + t - 2$.

In order to prove the upper bound $R(P_n, tK_3) \leq 2n + t - 2$ we use induction on t . For $t = 2$, Lemma 2 gives $R(P_n, 2K_3) = 2n$ and hence the assertion holds for $n \geq f(2) = 3$. Assume that the assertion is true for $n \geq f(t - 1)$, that is $R(P_n, (t - 1)K_3) \leq 2n + t - 3$. We shall show that the theorem is also valid for $n \geq f(t)$. Let F be an arbitrary graph

on $2n + t - 2$ vertices. We will show that F contains P_n or \bar{F} contains tK_3 . Since $2n + t - 2 > 2n - 1 = R(P_n, K_3)$ (Chvátal [11]), it follows that F contains P_n or \bar{F} contains K_3 . If F contains P_n then we are done. If \bar{F} contains K_3 then the subgraph $F - \bar{K}_3$ of F has $2(n - 1) + t - 3$ vertices. Note that, since $t \geq 3$, we have $n \geq f(t) > f(t - 1) + 1$. By the induction on t , $F - \bar{K}_3$ contains P_{n-1} or the complement of $F - \bar{K}_3$ contains $(t - 1)K_3$. If the complement of $F - \bar{K}_3$ contains $(t - 1)K_3$ then we have a tK_3 in \bar{F} and the proof is done. Thus we may assume that $F - \bar{K}_3$ contains P_{n-1} . Note that, since $R(P_n, P_m) = n + \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 1$ for $n \geq m \geq 2$ (Gerencsér and Gyárfás [13]), we have $R(P_n, tK_2) = n + t - 1$ for $n \geq 2t$. Therefore, since $n \geq f(t) > 2t$ for $t \geq 3$, it follows that the subgraph $F - P_{n-1}$ of order $n + t - 1$ contains P_n or the complement of $F - P_{n-1}$ contains tK_2 . If $F - P_{n-1}$ contains P_n then we are done. Hence F contains P_{n-1} , — say $P_{n-1} = p_1 p_2 \dots p_{n-2} p_{n-1}$ —, and that \bar{F} contains tK_2 , — say $a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2, \dots, a_t b_t$. It is clear that the graphs P_{n-1} and tK_2 have no vertices in common.

Assume that F contains no P_n . We will show that \bar{F} contains tK_3 . Thus the end vertices p_1 and p_{n-1} of path P_{n-1} must not be adjacent to any vertices in tK_2 . Therefore the set $D = \{p_1, a_1, b_1\} \cup \{p_{n-1}, a_2, b_2\}$ forms a $2K_3$ in \bar{F} . Let us now consider the relation between the vertices in $A = \{p_2, p_3, \dots, p_{n-2}\}$ and in $B = \{a_3, b_3, a_4, b_4, \dots, a_t, b_t\}$.

Since there is no P_n in F , it follows that every two consecutive vertices p_i, p_{i+1} in A can not be adjacent to any vertices in B for every $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, n - 2\}$. Suppose that the neighborhood $N_A(u)$ in A of a vertex $u \in B$ satisfies $|N_A(u)| \geq 3t - 1$. Let $p_i, p_j \in N_A(u)$ with $i < j$. Note that $j - i > 1$ since otherwise we can extend P_{n-1} to a path of length $n - 1$ containing u . If $p_{i+1} p_{j+1}$ is an edge for a pair p_i, p_j in A then $P' = p_1 p_2 \dots p_i u p_j p_{j-1} p_{j-2} \dots p_{i+1} p_{j+1} p_{j+2} \dots p_{n-1}$ is a new path of order n in F . If $p_{i+1} p_{j+1}$ is not an edge for every pair p_i, p_j in A then $\{p_{i+1} : p_i \in N_A(u)\} \cup \{u\}$ is a set of $3t$ independent vertices in F and we obtain a tK_3 in \bar{F} . Hence, for each $u \in B$ we have $|N_A(u)| \leq 3t - 2$. Therefore,

$$\left| A \setminus \bigcup_{u \in B} N_A(u) \right| \geq n - 3 - (3t - 2)2(t - 2).$$

Since $n \geq f(t)$, it follows that there are at least $t - 2$ vertices in A which are adjacent to no vertex in B and hence together with D we have a tK_3 in \bar{F} . This concludes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

We next prove that the following lemma deals with the goodness of cycle C_n with respect to tK_2 .

Lemma 3 *Let $n \geq 3$ and $t \geq 1$ be integers. Then,*

$$R(C_n, tK_2) = \begin{cases} n+t-1, & t \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor; \\ 2t + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1, & t > \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We consider two cases.

Case 1: $t \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

Observe that $K_{n-1} \cup K_{t-1}$ is a (C_n, tK_2) -free graph on $n+t-2$ vertices and hence $R(C_n, tK_2) \geq n+t-1$.

To prove the upper bound $R(C_n, tK_2) \leq n+t-1$ we use induction on t . For $t = 1$, the assertion holds from the fact that $R(C_n, K_2) = n$. Assume that the lemma is true for $t-1$. Let F be an arbitrary graph on $n+t-1$ vertices containing no C_n . We will show that its complement \overline{F} contains tK_2 . By the induction hypothesis, \overline{F} contains $(t-1)K_2$. Let $\{a_1b_1, \dots, a_{t-1}b_{t-1}\}$ be a set of independent edges in \overline{F} and denote by $B = \{a_1, b_1, \dots, a_{t-1}, b_{t-1}\}$.

Suppose on the contrary that \overline{F} contains no tK_2 . Let us consider the subgraph $F[A]$ of F induced by $A = V(F) \setminus B$, which has $n-t+1$ vertices. If there are two non adjacent vertices in $F[A]$, say x and y , then the subgraph of \overline{F} induced by $\{x, y\} \cup B$ contains tK_2 . Therefore, $F[A]$ is a complete graph of order $n-t+1$.

We now consider the relation between the vertices in $F[A]$ and in B . For every i , the neighborhood in F of $\{a_i, b_i\}$ has at most one vertex in $F[A]$, since otherwise we can replace the edge $a_i b_i$ in \overline{F} by two independent edges which, together with $\{a_j b_j, 1 \leq j \leq t-1, j \neq i\}$ produce a copy of tK_2 in \overline{F} . Thus we may assume that each b_i is adjacent in F to all but at most one vertex in $F[A]$. Now, let us consider the subgraph $F[D]$ of F induced by $D = A \cup \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{t-1}\}$. The graph $F[D]$ has order n and minimum degree $\delta(F[D]) \geq n-t$. Since $t \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, it follows that $\delta(F[D]) \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil \geq \frac{n}{2}$. Lemma 1 now implies that $F[D]$ contains a cycle of order n , contradicting our assumption on F . Hence \overline{F} contains a copy of tK_2 as claimed.

Case 2. $t > \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

The lower bound $R(C_n, tK_2) \geq 2t + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1$ is obtained from the fact that $K_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1} + \overline{K}_{2t-1}$ is a (C_n, tK_2) -free graph on $2t + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 2$ vertices.

To show the upper bound $R(C_n, tK_2) \leq 2t + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1$ we argue as follows. Let F be a graph of order $2t + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1$ containing no C_n . By induction on t , we will show that \overline{F} contains t independent edges. For $t = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, we obtain Case 1. Therefore, $t > \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. By deleting a pair of non adjacent

vertices u and v from F , the subgraph $F - \{u, v\}$ of F has $2(t-1) + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1$ vertices, contains no C_n and $t-1 \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. By the induction hypothesis, the complement of $F - \{u, v\}$ contains $(t-1)K_2$ and, together with the edge uv , we have a tK_2 in \overline{F} . \square

We next prove the following weaker form of Theorem 2.

Lemma 4 *Let $t \geq 2$ be an integer and $g(t) = 6t^2 - 3t + 1$. If F is a graph of order $2n + t - 2$ containing C_{n-1} and $n \geq g(t)$ then F contains C_n or \overline{F} contains tK_3 .*

Proof. Let F be a graph on $2n + t - 2$ vertices containing C_{n-1} . We will prove that F contains C_n or \overline{F} contains tK_3 .

Since F contains C_{n-1} , it follows that the subgraph $F - C_{n-1}$ of F has $n+t-1$ vertices. Note that if $t \geq 2$ then $n \geq g(t) > 2t$, and hence Lemma 3 implies that the subgraph $F - C_{n-1}$ contains C_n or the complement of $F - C_{n-1}$ contains tK_2 . If $F - C_{n-1}$ contains C_n then we are done.

Thus let F be a graph of order $n \geq g(t)$ containing C_{n-1} with vertex set, say c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1} and edges $c_i c_{i+1}$ (subscripts modulo $(n-1)$), and that \overline{F} contains t disjoint copies $K_2^1, K_2^2, \dots, K_2^t$ of the complete graph with two vertices. It is clear that the subgraphs C_{n-1} and tK_2 have no vertices in common.

Assume that F contains no C_n . We will show that \overline{F} contains tK_3 . Let us consider the relation between the vertices in $A = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}\}$ and in $B = V(K_2^1) \cup V(K_2^2) \cup \dots \cup V(K_2^t)$. Suppose that the neighborhood $N_A(u)$ in A of a vertex $u \in B$ satisfies $|N_A(u) \cap V(C_{n-1})| \geq 3t - 1$. Let $c_i, c_j \in N_A(u) \cap V(C_{n-1})$ with $i < j$. Note that $j - i > 1$ since otherwise we can extend C_{n-1} to a cycle of length n containing u . If c_{i+1} and c_{j+1} are adjacent in F then we also have the cycle $\{c_i u c_j c_{j-1} \dots c_{i+1} c_{j+1} c_{j+2} \dots c_{n-1} c_1 c_2 \dots c_i\}$ of length n in F . If $c_{i+1} c_{j+1}$ is not an edge for every pair $c_i, c_j \in N_A(u) \cap V(C_{n-1})$ then $\{c_{i+1} : c_i \in N_A(u) \cap V(C_{n-1})\} \cup \{u\}$ is a set of $3t$ independent vertices in F so that \overline{F} contains tK_3 . Hence, for each $u \in B$ we have $|N_F(u) \cap V(C_{n-1})| \leq 3t - 2$. Therefore,

$$\left| A \setminus \bigcup_{u \in B} N_A(u) \right| \geq n - 1 - 2t(3t - 2).$$

Since $n \geq g(t)$, it follows that there are at least t vertices in A which are adjacent to no vertex in B and hence \overline{F} contains tK_3 . This concludes the proof of lemma. \square

The following lemma provides the cases $n = 19$ and $t = 2$ of Theorem 2.

Lemma 5 $R(C_{19}, 2K_3) = 38$.

Proof. The graph $2K_{18} \cup K_1$ provides $R(C_{19}, 2K_3) \geq 38$.

We will prove that $R(C_{19}, 2K_3) \leq 38$. Let F be a graph on 38 vertices. We shall show that F contains C_{19} or \overline{F} contains $2K_3$. Theorem 3 guarantees that F contains C_{19} or \overline{F} contains K_3 . If F contains C_{19} then we are done. Thus we may assume that \overline{F} contains K_3 . Then the subgraph $F - \overline{K}_3$ of F has 35 vertices. Again, Theorem 3 implies that the subgraph $F - \overline{K}_3$ contains C_{18} or the complement of $F - \overline{K}_3$ contains K_3 . If the complement of $F - \overline{K}_3$ contains K_3 then we obtain $2K_3$ in \overline{F} and the proof is done. Therefore F contains C_{18} . Now by taking $n = 19$ and $t = 2$, Lemma 4 gives that F contains C_{19} or \overline{F} contains $2K_3$. \square

Our last Lemma handles the case $t = 2$ of Theorem 2.

Lemma 6 *Let $n \geq 19$ be an integer. Then, $R(C_n, 2K_3) = 2n$.*

Proof. The graph $2K_{n-1} \cup K_1$ gives $R(C_n, 2K_3) \geq 2n$.

We will prove the upper bound $R(C_n, 2K_3) \leq 2n$ by induction on n . For $n = 19$, the assertion holds by Lemma 5. Assume that the assertion is true for $n - 1$, that is $R(C_{n-1}, 2K_3) \leq 2(n - 1)$. We shall show that the lemma is also valid for n . Let F be an arbitrary graph of order $2n$. We will show that F contains C_n or \overline{F} contains $2K_3$. By induction on n , we have that F contains C_{n-1} or \overline{F} contains $2K_3$. If \overline{F} contains $2K_3$ then the proof is done. This concludes that F contains C_{n-1} . For $t = 2$, Lemma 4 now guarantees that we have a cycle C_n in F or a copy of $2K_3$ in \overline{F} . \square

We are now ready to prove the second theorem.

Proof of Theorem 2. The graph $2K_{n-1} \cup K_{t-1}$ provides the lower bound $R(C_n, tK_3) \geq 2n + t - 2$.

In order to show the upper bound $R(C_n, tK_3) \leq 2n + t - 2$ we use induction on t . For $t = 2$, Lemma 6 gives $R(C_n, 2K_3) = 2n$ and hence the assertion holds for $n \geq g(2) = 19$. Let us assume that the assertion is true for $n \geq g(t - 1)$, that is $R(C_n, (t - 1)K_3) \leq 2n + t - 3$. We shall show that the theorem is also valid for $n \geq g(t)$. Let F be a graph of order $2n + t - 2$. We will show that F contains C_n or \overline{F} contains tK_3 . Since $2n + t - 2 > 2n - 1$, it follows that F contains C_n or \overline{F} contains K_3 . If F contains C_n then we are done. If \overline{F} contains K_3 then the subgraph $F - \overline{K}_3$ of F has $2(n - 1) + t - 3$ vertices. Note that, since $t \geq 2$, we have $n \geq g(t) > g(t - 1) + 1$. By induction on t , the subgraph $F - \overline{K}_3$ contains C_{n-1} or the complement of $F - \overline{K}_3$ contains $(t - 1)K_3$. If the complement

of $F - \overline{K}_3$ contains $(t - 1)K_3$ then we have a tK_3 in \overline{F} and hence the proof is done. Thus we conclude that F contains C_{n-1} . Lemma 4 now implies that F contains C_n or \overline{F} contains tK_3 . The proof of Theorem 2 is now complete. \square

Acknowledgements

The author gratefully acknowledges to Professor Oriol Serra from the Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya (UPC) for helpful discussion while doing this research, and the Directorate General of Higher Education (DIKTI), Indonesian State Ministry of Education for financial support under grant Penelitian Fundamental: 189/SP2H/PL/Dit. Litabmas/IV/2011.

References

- [1] E. T. Baskoro, Hasmawati and H. Assiyatun, Note. The Ramsey number for disjoint unions of trees, *Discrete Mathematics* **306** (2006), 3297–3301.
- [2] B. Bollobás, C. J. Jayawardene, J. S. Yang, Y. R. Huang, C. C. Rousseau and K. M. Zhang, On a conjecture involving cycle-complete graph Ramsey numbers, *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, **22** (2000), 63–71.
- [3] J. A. Bondy, Pancyclic graph, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series B*, **11** (1971), 80–84.
- [4] J. A. Bondy and P. Erdős, Ramsey numbers for cycles in graphs, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series B*, **14** (1973), 46–54.
- [5] H. Bielak, Ramsey numbers for a disjoint union of good graphs, *Discrete Mathematics*, **310** (2010), 1501–1505.
- [6] S. A. Burr, Ramsey numbers involving long suspended paths, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* **24:2** (1981), 405–413.
- [7] S. A. Burr, Determining generalized Ramsey numbers is NP-hard, *Ars Combinatoria* **17** (1984), 21–25.
- [8] G. Chartrand and S. Schuster, On the existence of specified cycles in complementary graphs, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, **77** (1971), 995–998.

- [9] Y. Chen, T. C. E. Cheng and Y. Zhang, The Ramsey numbers $R(C_m, K_7)$ and $R(C_7, K_8)$, *European Journal of Combinatorics*, **29** (2008), 1337–1352.
- [10] V. Chvátal and F. Harary, Generalized Ramsey theory for graphs, III: small off-diagonal numbers, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, **41** (1972), 335–345.
- [11] V. Chvátal, Tree-complete graph Ramsey number, *Journal of Graph Theory* **1** (1977), 93.
- [12] R. J. Faudree and R. H. Schelp, Some problems in Ramsey theory, in theory and applications of graphs, (conference proceedings, Kalamazoo, MI 1976), *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **642**, Springer, Berlin, (1978), 500–515.
- [13] L. Gerencsér and A. Gyárfás, On Ramsey-type problems, *Annales Universitatis Scientiarum Budapestinensis, Eotvos Section Mathematics* **10** (1967), 167–170.
- [14] Q. Lin, Y. Li and L. Dong, Ramsey goodness and generalized stars, *European Journal of Combinatorics*, **31** (2010), 1228–1234.
- [15] V. Nokiforov, The cycle-complete graphs Ramsey numbers, *Journal of Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, **14** (2005), 349–370.
- [16] S. P. Radziszowski, Small Ramsey numbers, *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* (2009), DS1.12, (<http://www.combinatorics.org>).
- [17] I. Schiermeyer, All cycle-complete graph Ramsey numbers $r(C_m, K_6)$, *Journal of Graph Theory*, **44** (2003), 251–260.
- [18] J. S. Yang, Y. R. Huang and K. M. Zhang, The value of the Ramsey number $R(C_n, K_4)$ is $3(n - 1) + 1$ ($n \geq 4$), *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, **20** (1999), 205–206.
- [19] M. Schaefer, Graph Ramsey theory and the polynomial hierarchy, *Journal of Computer and System Sciences* **62** (2001), 290–322.
- [20] S. Stahl, On the Ramsey number $r(F, K_m)$ where F is a forest, *Canadian Journal of Mathematics* **27** (1975), 585–589.
- [21] I W. Sudarsana, E. T. Baskoro, H. Assiyatun and S. Uttunggadewa, The Ramsey numbers for the union graph with H -good components, *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences (FJMS)* **39:1** (2010), 29–40.