

SOME IDENTITIES OF SYMMETRY FOR q -BERNOULLI POLYNOMIALS UNDER SYMMETRIC GROUP OF DEGREE n

DAE SAN KIM AND TAEKYUN KIM

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give some new identities of symmetry for q -Bernoulli polynomials under the symmetric group of degree n arising from p -adic q -integrals on \mathbb{Z}_p .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be a fixed prime number. Throughout this paper \mathbb{Z}_p , \mathbb{Q}_p and \mathbb{C}_p will denote the ring of p -adic integers, the field of p -adic rational numbers and the completion of the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p . The p -adic norm is normalized as $|p|_p = \frac{1}{p}$. Let q be an indeterminate in \mathbb{C}_p such that $|1 - q|_p < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$. The q -analogue of the number x is defined as $[x]_q = \frac{1-q^x}{1-q}$. Note that $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} [x]_q = x$. Let $UD(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ be the space of uniformly differentiable functions on \mathbb{Z}_p . For $f \in UD(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, the p -adic q -integral on \mathbb{Z}_p is defined by Kim as

$$(1.1) \quad I_q(f) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) d\mu_q(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{[p^N]_q} \sum_{x=0}^{p^N-1} f(x) q^x, \quad (\text{see [7]}).$$

From (1.1), we have

$$(1.2) \quad qI_q(f_1) - I_q(f) = (q-1)f(0) + \frac{q-1}{\log q} f'(0), \quad \text{where } f_1(x) = f(x+1).$$

As is well known, the Bernoulli numbers are defined by

$$B_0 = 1, \quad (B+1)^n - B_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 1, \end{cases}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B68, 11S80, 05A19, 05A30.

Key words and phrases. Identities of symmetry, q -Bernoulli polynomial, Symmetric group of degree n , p -adic q -integral.

with the usual convention about replacing B^n by B_n (see [1-12]). The Bernoulli polynomials are given by

$$(1.3) \quad B_n(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} B_l x^{n-l}, \quad (n \geq 0), \quad (\text{see [12]}).$$

In [3], L. Carlitz considered the q -analogue of Bernoulli numbers as follows:

$$(1.4) \quad \beta_{0,q} = 1, \quad q(q\beta_q + 1)^n - \beta_{n,q} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 1, \end{cases}$$

with the usual convention about replacing β_q^n by $\beta_{n,q}$.

He also defined q -Bernoulli polynomials as follows:

$$(1.5) \quad \beta_{n,q}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} q^{lx} [x]_q^{n-l} \beta_{l,q} \quad (\text{see [1-3, 9]}).$$

In [7], Kim proved the following integral representation related to Carlitz q -Bernoulli polynomials:

$$(1.6) \quad \beta_{n,q}(x) = \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p} [x+y]_q^n d\mu_q(x), \quad (n \geq 0).$$

From (1.2), we note that

$$(1.7) \quad q \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p} [x+1]_q^n d\mu_q(x) - \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p} [x]_q^n d\mu_q(x) = \begin{cases} q-1 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases}$$

By (1.7), we get

$$\beta_{0,q} = 1, \quad q\beta_{n,q}(1) - \beta_{n,q} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases}.$$

The purpose of this paper is to give identities of symmetry for Carlitz's q -Bernoulli polynomials under the symmetric group of degree n arising from p -adic q -integrals on \mathbf{Z}_p .

2. SYMMETRIC IDENTITIES OF $\beta_{n,q}(x)$ UNDER S_n

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p} e^{\left[(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j)y + (\prod_{j=1}^n w_j)x + w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j \right]} d\mu_{q^{w_1 w_2 \dots w_{n-1}}}(y) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{[p^N]_{q^{w_1 w_2 \dots w_{n-1}}}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \sum_{y=0}^{p^N-1} e^{\left[(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j) y + (\prod_{j=1}^n w_j) x + w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j \right]_q t} \\
& \times q^{(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j) y} \\
& = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{[w_n p^N]_{q^{w_1 w_2 \dots w_{n-1}}}} \sum_{m=0}^{w_n-1} \sum_{y=0}^{p^N-1} \\
& \quad \times e^{\left[(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j)(m+w_n y) + (\prod_{j=1}^n w_j) x + w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j \right]_q t} \\
& \quad \times q^{w_1 w_2 \dots w_{n-1} (m+w_n y)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.2) \quad & \frac{1}{\left[\prod_{l=1}^{n-1} w_l \right]_q} \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k_l=0}^{w_l-1} q^{w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j} \\
& \times \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p} e^{\left[(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j) y + \prod_{j=1}^n w_j x + w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j \right]_q t} d\mu_{q^{w_1 w_2 \dots w_{n-1}}} (y) \\
& = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left[\prod_{l=1}^n w_l p^N \right]_q} \\
& \quad \times \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k_l=0}^{w_l-1} \sum_{m=0}^{w_n-1} \sum_{y=0}^{p^N-1} q^{(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j)(m+w_n y) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j w_n} \\
& \quad \times e^{\left[(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j)(m+w_n y) + (\prod_{j=1}^n w_j) x + w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j \right]_q t}.
\end{aligned}$$

We note that (2.2) is invariant under any permutation $\sigma \in S_n$. Therefore, by (2.2), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *For $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n \in \mathbb{N}$, the following expressions*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\left[\prod_{l=1}^{n-1} w_{\sigma(l)} \right]_q} \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k_l=0}^{w_{\sigma(l)}-1} q^{w_{\sigma(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_{\sigma(i)} \right) k_j} \\
& \quad \times \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p} e^{\left[(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} w_{\sigma(j)}) y + \prod_{j=1}^n w_j x + w_{\sigma(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_{\sigma(i)} \right) k_j \right]_q t}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times d\mu_{q^{w_{\sigma(1)} \cdots w_{\sigma(n-1)}}}(y)$$

are the same for any $\sigma \in S_n$.

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.3) \quad & \left[\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j \right) y + \left(\prod_{j=1}^n w_j \right) x + w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j \right]_q \\
 & = \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j \right]_q \left[y + w_n x + \frac{w_n}{w_1} k_1 + \cdots + \frac{w_n}{w_{n-1}} k_{n-1} \right]_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}} \\
 & = \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j \right]_q \left[y + w_n x + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{w_n}{w_j} k_j \right]_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (2.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4) \quad & \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p} e^{\left[(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j) y + (\prod_{j=1}^n w_j) x + w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j \right]_q t} d\mu_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}}(y) \\
 & = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j \right]_q^m \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \left[y + w_n x + w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{k_j}{w_j} \right]_q^m d\mu_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}}(y) \frac{t^m}{m!} \\
 & = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j \right]_q^m \beta_{m, q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}} \left(w_n x + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{w_n}{w_j} k_j \right) \frac{t^m}{m!}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For $m \geq 0$, from (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.5) \quad & \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \left[\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j \right) y + \left(\prod_{j=1}^n w_j \right) x + w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j \right]_q^m \\
 & \quad \times d\mu_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}}(y) \\
 & = \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j \right]_q^m \beta_{m, q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}} \left(w_n x + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{w_n}{w_j} k_j \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.1 and (2.5), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. For $m \geq 0$, $w_1, \dots, w_n \in \mathbb{N}$, the following expressions

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} w_{\sigma(j)} \right]_q^{m-1} \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k_l=0}^{w_{\sigma(l)}-1} q^{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_{\sigma(i)} \right) k_j w_{\sigma(n)}} \\ & \times \beta_{m, q^{w_{\sigma(1)} \cdots w_{\sigma(n-1)}}} \left(w_{\sigma(n)} x + w_{\sigma(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{k_j}{w_{\sigma(j)}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

are the same for any $\sigma \in S_n$.

It is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.6) \quad & \left[y + w_n x + w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{k_j}{w_j} \right]_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}} \\ & = \frac{[w_n]_q}{\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j \right]_q} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j \right]_{q^{w_n}} \\ & + q^{w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j} [y + w_n x]_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.6), we can derive the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} (2.7) \quad & \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \left[y + w_n x + w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{k_j}{w_j} \right]_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}}^m d\mu_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}} (y) \\ & = \sum_{l=0}^m \binom{m}{l} \left(\frac{[w_n]_q}{\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j \right]_q} \right)^{m-l} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j \right]_{q^{w_n}}^{m-l} \\ & \quad \times q^{l w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j} \\ & \quad \times \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p} [y + w_n x]_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}}^l d\mu_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}} (y) \\ & = \sum_{l=0}^m \binom{m}{l} \left(\frac{[w_n]_q}{\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j \right]_q} \right)^{m-l} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j \right]_{q^{w_n}}^{m-l} \\ & \quad \times q^{l w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j} \beta_{l, q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}} (w_n x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (2.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j \right]_q^{m-1} \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k_l=0}^{w_l-1} q^{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j} \\
& \times \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \left[y + w_n x + w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{k_j}{w_j} \right]_q^m d\mu_{q^{w_1 \dots w_{n-1}}} (y) \\
& = \sum_{l=0}^m \binom{m}{l} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j \right]_q^{l-1} [w_n]_q^{m-l} \beta_{l,q^{w_1 \dots w_{n-1}}} (w_n x) \\
& \times \prod_{s=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k_s=0}^{w_s-1} q^{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j w_n (l+1)} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j \right]_q^{m-l} \\
& = \sum_{l=0}^m \binom{m}{l} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j \right]_q^{l-1} [w_n]_q^{m-l} \beta_{l,q^{w_1 \dots w_{n-1}}} (w_n x) \\
& \times T_{m,q^{w_n}} (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1} \mid l),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
& T_{m,q} (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1} \mid l) \\
& = \prod_{s=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k_s=0}^{w_s-1} q^{(l+1) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j \right]_q^{m-l}.
\end{aligned}$$

As this expression is invariant under any permutation in S_n , we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *For $m \geq 0$, $n, w_1, \dots, w_n \in \mathbb{N}$, the following expressions*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{l=0}^m \binom{m}{l} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} w_{\sigma(j)} \right]_q^{l-1} [w_{\sigma(n)}]_q^{m-l} \beta_{l,q^{w_{\sigma(1)} \dots w_{\sigma(n-1)}}} (w_{\sigma(n)} x) \\
& \times T_{m,q^{w_{\sigma(n)}}} (w_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, w_{\sigma(n-1)} \mid l)
\end{aligned}$$

are all the same for $\sigma \in S_n$.

REFERENCES

1. M. Acikgoz and S. Araci, *A note on the Frobenius-Euler numbers and polynomials associated with Bernstein polynomials.*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. **22** (2012), no. 3, 399–406.
2. A. Bayad and T. Kim, *Identities involving values of Bernstein q -Bernoulli, and q -Euler polynomials*, Russ. J. Math. Phys. **18** (2011), no. 2, 133–143.
3. L. Carlitz, *q -Bernoulli numbers and polynomials*, Duke Math. J. **15** (1948), 987–1000.
4. S. G. Gaboury, R. Tremblay, and B.-J. Fugere, *Some explicit formulas for certain new classes Bernoulli, Euler and Genocchi polynomials*, Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc. **17** (2014), no. 1, 115–123.
5. Y. He, *Symmetric identities for Carlitz's q -Bernoulli numbers and polynomials*, Adv. Difference Equ. (2013), 2013:246, 10pp.
6. D. S. Kim, T. Kim, *q -Bernoulli polynomials and q -umbra calculus*, Sci. China Math. **57** (2014), no. 9, 1867–1874.
7. T. Kim, *q -Volkenborn integration*, Russ. J. Math. Phys. **9** (2002), no. 3, 288–299.
8. ———, *Symmetric p -adic invariant integral on \mathbb{Z}_p for Bernoulli and Euler polynomials*, J. Difference Equ. **14** (2008), no. 12, 1267–1277.
9. ———, *Symmetry of power sum polynomials and multivariate fermionic p -adic invariant integral on \mathbb{Z}_p* , Russ. J. Math. Phys. **16** (2009), no. 1, 93–96.
10. H. Ozden, I. N. Cangul, and Y. Simsek, *Remarks on q -Bernoulli numbers associated with Daehee numbers*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. **18** (2009), no. 1, 41–48.
11. E. Sen, *Theorems on Apostol-Euler polynomials of higher-order arising from Euler basis*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. **23** (2013), no. 2, 337–345.
12. Y. Simsek, *Generating functions of the twisted Bernoulli numbers and polynomials associated with their interpolation functions*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. **16** (2008), no. 2, 251–278.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOGANG UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 121-742, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: dskim@sogang.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KWANGWOON UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 139-701, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: tkkim@kw.ac.kr