

Paired-domination in claw-free graphs with minimum degree at least four*

Xinguo Cao¹, Erfang Shan^{1,2†}

¹School of Management, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China

²Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China

ABSTRACT. A paired-dominating set of a graph G is a dominating set of vertices whose induced subgraph has a perfect matching. The paired-domination number is the minimum cardinality of a paired-dominating set of G . In this paper we investigate the paired-domination number in claw-free graphs with minimum degree at least four. We show that a connected claw-free graph G with minimum degree at least four has paired-domination number at most four-sevenths its order.

Keywords: Paired-domination; Claw-free; Minimum degree

MSC: 05C69

1 Introduction

In this article, we continue the study of paired-domination in graphs. Paired-domination in graphs is now well studied in graph theory. The literature on this subject has been surveyed and detailed in the two books by Haynes et al. [8, 9].

A *matching* in a graph G is a set of independent edges in G . A *perfect matching* M is a matching such that every vertex of G is incident with an edge of M . A *paired-dominating set*, abbreviated PDS, of G is a set S of vertices of G such that every vertex is adjacent to some vertex in S and the subgraph $G[S]$ induced by S contains a perfect matching. (not necessarily induced). Clearly, every graph without isolated vertices has a PDS, since the end-vertices of any maximal matching form such a PDS.

*Research was partially supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11426144, 11571222).

† *Corresponding author. Email address: efshan@shu.edu.cn*

The *paired-domination number* is the minimum cardinality of a paired-dominating set of G , denoted by $\gamma_{pr}(G)$. Paired-domination was introduced by Haynes and Slater [10, 11] as a model for assigning backups to guards for security purposes. Recent progress on this subject can be found in [2, 3, 5, 6, 12, 13, 16] and elsewhere.

The decision problem to determine the paired-domination number of a graph is known to be NP-complete [10]. Hence it is of interest to determine bounds on the paired-domination number of graphs. Upper bounds on the paired-domination number of graphs in terms of their order under the minimum degree condition have been investigated in recent years (see, [1, 7, 10, 12]). In [7] Goddard and Henning posed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. (Goddard and Henning [7]) *If $G \neq P$ is a connected graph of order n with minimum degree $\delta(G) \geq 3$, then $\gamma_{pr}(G) \leq \frac{4}{7}n$, where P is the Petersen graph.*

In this paper we show that Conjecture 1 is true for claw-free graphs with minimum degree at least four. Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 2. *If G is a connected claw-free graph of order n with minimum degree $\delta(G) \geq 4$, then $\gamma_{pr}(G) \leq \frac{4}{7}n$.*

For notation and graph theory terminology we in general follow [8]. Specifically, let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with *vertex set* V and *edge set* E . For a set $S \subseteq V$, the subgraph induced by S is denoted by $G[S]$. We denote the *degree* of a vertex v in G by $d_G(v)$, and the *minimum degree* among the vertices of G is denoted by $\delta(G)$. The *open neighborhood* of a vertex $v \in V$ is denoted by $N(v) = \{u \in V | uv \in E\}$, and the *closed neighborhood* of v is denoted by $N[v] = \{v\} \cup N(v)$. For a set $S \subseteq V$, the open neighborhood of S is $N(S) = \bigcup_{v \in S} N(v)$ and the closed neighborhood of S is $N[S] = S \cup N(S)$. For a vertex $x \in V$, we define $N_S(x) = N(x) \cap S$. If X and Y are two subsets of V , we say that X *dominates* Y if $Y \subseteq N[X]$, and denote by $[X, Y]$ the set of edges between X and Y . A graph is called *claw-free* if it does not contain the complete bipartite graph $K_{1,3}$ as an induced subgraph. Let P_n denote a *path* on n vertices. A component of a disconnected graph H is called an *F-component* of H if it is isomorphic to a given graph F .

We shall proceed as follows. In Section 2, we start with some preliminary results that will help to prove our main result, and define a weight function on which our proof is based. In Section 3, we prove our main result.

2 Preliminary results

In this section we first describe the structure of a special minimum PDS S of G . Secondly, we define a weight function and give some properties of

this function.

2.1 The paired-dominating set S

From now on, we begin to consider a connected claw-free graph with minimum degree at least four. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a connected claw-free graph of order n with $\delta(G) \geq 4$. For a subset $T \subseteq V$, let $\lambda(T)$ be the number of edges in $G[T]$. Among all the minimum paired-dominating sets of G , let us choose a PDS S of G so that $\lambda(S)$ is minimized.

Fix some perfect matching M in $G[S]$. For each $v \in S$, let \bar{v} denote the vertex such that $v\bar{v} \in M$. We define \bar{v} as the *partner* of v . Let $S_v = \{v, \bar{v}\}$. We will refer S_v as a pair in S . For a vertex $v \in S$, the *S -private neighborhood* of v is the set $pn(v, S) = N[v] \setminus N[S \setminus \{v\}]$. We call a vertex $u \in pn(v, S)$ an *S -private neighbor* of v . Obviously, $pn(v, S) \subseteq V \setminus S$.

We partition S into four subsets as follows:

$A = \{v \in S \mid \text{both } v \text{ and } \bar{v} \text{ have an } S\text{-private neighbor}\},$

$B = \{v \in S \mid v \text{ has an } S\text{-private neighbor and } \bar{v} \text{ has no } S\text{-private neighbor}\},$

$C = \{v \in S \mid \bar{v} \in B\},$

$D = \{v \in S \mid \text{neither } v \text{ nor } \bar{v} \text{ has an } S\text{-private neighbor}\}.$

Furthermore, we define a pair of vertices in S (that are partners) to be as follows:

- an *A -pair* if both belong to A ;
- a *BC -pair* if one belongs to B and the other to C ; and
- a *D -pair* if both belong to D .

According to the definition above, we can see that each pair of S is either an A -pair or a BC -pair or a D -pair. By the choice of S and the claw-freeness of G , the following Claims 1-5 are given by Huang and Shan in [15], which are useful in our proof.

Claim 1. *Each vertex in C is a degree-1 vertex in $G[S]$.*

Claim 2. *Each vertex in B is a degree-1 vertex in $G[S]$.*

By Claims 1 and 2, every BC -pair is a P_2 -component in $G[S]$.

Claim 3. *At least one vertex from every D -pair is a degree-1 vertex in $G[S]$.*

Claim 4. *If there is an edge joining vertices from two distinct D -pairs, then the set of these four vertices forms a P_4 -component in $G[S]$.*

By Claim 4, we see that if a D -pair is joined to another D -pair of S , then it must be joined to such a unique D -pair. We define a D -pair to be a *linked D -pair* if it is joined to some other D -pair by an edge; and a *solo D -pair* if it is not a linked D -pair.

For two disjoint vertex subsets X and Y of V , we call that X is adjacent to Y if $[X, Y] \neq \emptyset$. Clearly, if an A -pair is adjacent to D -pairs, then it is only adjacent to solo D -pairs by Claim 4. More precisely, we have

Claim 5. *Each A -pair is adjacent to at most one solo D -pair.*

2.2 Weight functions

Now by the claw-freeness of G and the Claims above, we can give the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *For each $x \in V \setminus S$, we have*

(1) $|N_C(x)| \leq 2$. If $|N_C(x)| = 2$, then x has no neighbor in D ; and if $|N_C(x)| = 1$, then x has at most two neighbors in D , actually, if x has two neighbors in D , the two neighbors must be adjacent vertices.

(2) $|N_{C \cup D}(x)| \leq 4$. If $|N_{C \cup D}(x)| = 4$, then x has no neighbor in C .

(3) If x has at least three neighbors in some P_4 -component H in $G[S]$ formed by two linked D -pairs, then $N_S(x) \subseteq V(H)$.

(4) If x is adjacent to some vertex $v \in D$ of degree 1 in $G[S]$ but not to \bar{v} , then $|N_{C \cup D}(x)| \leq 3$.

Proof. Let x be a vertex in $V \setminus S$.

(1) Suppose that $|N_C(x)| > 2$. Then x has at least three neighbors in C , say a, b and c . By Claim 1, each one of a, b and c has degree 1 in $G[S]$, thus a claw occurs at x , a contradiction. Similar reasoning shows that if $|N_C(x)| = 2$, then x has no neighbor in D ; and if $|N_C(x)| = 1$, then x has a neighbor or two adjacent neighbors in D .

(2) Suppose that $|N_{C \cup D}(x)| > 4$. By Claims 1, 3, 4 and the result in (1), there would be a claw at x , a contradiction. Specially, if $|N_{C \cup D}(x)| = 4$, the four neighbors of x must be two linked D -pairs.

(3) The result follows directly from (2).

(4) The result follows directly from the claw-freeness of G . \square

We are going to prove our main result by using the weight function given below. We begin to define a weight function ω on all the edges between S and $V \setminus S$. The weight function is defined so that for each vertex in $V \setminus S$, the total weight of the edges incident with it sums to 1. Hence the total weight of all edges incident with vertices in $V \setminus S$ is equal to $n - |S|$. At the same time, we calculate the weight of edges incident with each pair in S . If we can prove that the sum of the weight of edges incident with each pair in S is at least $\frac{3}{2}$, then the total weight of edges between S and $V \setminus S$ is at least $\frac{3}{4}|S|$. Since the total weight is exactly $n - |S|$, it follows that $|S| \leq \frac{4}{7}n$.

Now we begin to formally define our weight function $\omega: [S, V \setminus S] \rightarrow [0, 1]$. For each vertex $x \in V \setminus S$, the weights of the edges that from x to S are defined as follows:

(1) If x is an S -private neighbor, then assign the weight 1 to the unique edge from x to S .

(2) Assume that x is not an S -private neighbor. If x has no neighbor in $C \cup D$, share the weight 1 equally among the edges from x to $A \cup B$.

(3) Assume that x has at least one neighbor in $C \cup D$. If $|N_{C \cup D}(x)| = 1$, assign the weight 1 to the unique edge from x to C or D ; and assign the weight 0 to each edge from x to $A \cup B$.

(4) Assume that x has at least two neighbors in $C \cup D$. If $|N_C(x)| = 2$, assign the weight $\frac{1}{2}$ to each edge from x to C ; if $|N_C(x)| = 1$, then assign the weight $\frac{1}{6}$ to the edge from x to C , and share the weight $\frac{5}{6}$ equally among the edges (one or two, by Lemma 1 (1)) from x to D . If $|N_C(x)| = 0$, then share the weight 1 equally among the edges from x to D . In these three cases, assign the weight 0 to each edge from x to $A \cup B$.

From the definition of the weight function ω , we can straightly get the following claim:

Claim 6. *Let $x \in V \setminus S$ and let e be an edge from x to S . Then the following properties hold:*

(1) *The sum of the weights assigned to the edges from x to S is 1.*

(2) *If e joins x to C , then $\omega(e) \in \{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}, 1\}$, and $\omega(e) = \frac{1}{6}$ if and only if $|N_{C \cup D}(x)| \geq 2$ and $|N_C(x)| = 1$.*

(3) *If e joins x to D , then $\omega(e) \in \{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{5}{12}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{6}, 1\}$, and $\omega(e) = \frac{1}{4}$ if and only if $|N_D(x)| = 4$.*

We next define a function f that assigns to each subset $S' \subseteq S$ the sum of the weights of the edges from S' to $V \setminus S$; that is,

$$f(S') = \sum_{e \in [S', V \setminus S]} \omega(e).$$

Specially, if $S' = S$, then $f(S)$ is the sum of the weight of all edges in $[S, V \setminus S]$ (namely, $|V \setminus S|$).

Finally, we define another function g that assigns to each pair $S_v = \{v, \bar{v}\}$ in S the weight as follows:

$$g(S_v) = \begin{cases} f(S_v) - \frac{1}{4}|[S_v, D]| & \text{if } v \in A; \\ f(S_v) + \frac{1}{4}|[S_v, A]| & \text{if } v \in D; \\ f(S_v) & \text{if } v \in B \text{ or } v \in C. \end{cases}$$

3 Proof of main result

In this section we are going to prove our main result. Before the proof of Theorem 2, we need to establish a lemma by the definition of weight function g .

Lemma 2. *Let S be a minimum PDS of G such that it is chosen and partitioned into four groups A, B, C and D in the same way as we did in the last section. Then for each pair S_v of vertices in S , we have $g(S_v) \geq \frac{3}{2}$.*

Proof. We consider each type of the pair S_v .

Suppose that the pair S_v is an A -pair. Then by Claim 5, we have $||[S_v, D]|| \leq 2$. So $g(S_v) = f(S_v) - \frac{1}{4}||[S_v, D]|| \geq 2 - 2 \times \frac{1}{4} = \frac{3}{2}$.

Suppose that the pair S_v is a BC -pair. Without loss of generality, let $v \in B$ and $\bar{v} \in C$. Since $\delta(G) \geq 4$ and \bar{v} is a degree-1 vertex in $G[S]$, \bar{v} has at least three neighbors in $V \setminus S$. By Claim 6(2), $g(S_v) = f(S_v) \geq 1 + 3 \times \frac{1}{6} = \frac{3}{2}$.

Suppose that the pair S_v is a solo D -pair, by Claim 3, we may assume that \bar{v} is a degree-1 vertex in $G[S]$. Thus \bar{v} has at least three neighbors outside S . By Claim 6(3), we have $\omega(e) \geq \frac{1}{4}$ for each edge $e \in [S_v, V \setminus S]$. We know that v is only adjacent to vertices of A -pairs in S . If v is adjacent to at least two A -pairs, then $||[S_v, A]|| \geq 4$. So $g(S_v) = f(S_v) + \frac{1}{4}||[S_v, A]|| \geq 3 \times \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \times 4 > \frac{3}{2}$. If S_v is adjacent to exactly one A -pair, then $||[S_v, A]|| = 2$. Since $\delta(G) \geq 4$, v has at least one neighbor outside S . So $g(S_v) = f(S_v) + \frac{1}{4}||[S_v, A]|| \geq 4 \times \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \times 2 = \frac{3}{2}$. If S_v has no neighbor in $G[S]$, that is, $||[S_v, A]|| = 0$, then we have that $g(S_v) = f(S_v)$. Since $\delta(G) \geq 4$, both v and \bar{v} have at least three neighbors in $V \setminus S$. So $g(S_v) = f(S_v) \geq 6 \times \frac{1}{4} = \frac{3}{2}$.

Suppose that S_v and S_u are linked D -pairs such that $uv \in E$. Recall that a linked D -pair forms a P_4 -component of $G[S]$. We consider the set $S' = S \setminus \{\bar{v}, \bar{u}\}$. Since $|S'| < |S|$, S' is not a PDS of G , so there exists at least one vertex $x \in V \setminus S$ such that $N_S(x) \subseteq \{\bar{v}, \bar{u}\}$. Furthermore, since neither \bar{v} nor \bar{u} has an S -private neighbor, there exists one vertex $x \in V \setminus S$ such that $N_S(x) = \{\bar{v}, \bar{u}\}$. By the definition of the function f , we have that $\omega(\bar{v}x) = \omega(\bar{u}x) = \frac{1}{2}$. Since $\delta(G) \geq 4$, both v and u have at least two neighbors in $V \setminus S$, and both \bar{v} and \bar{u} have at least two neighbors in $V \setminus S$ other than x . So $g(S_v) = f(S_v) \geq \frac{1}{2} + 4 \times \frac{1}{4} = \frac{3}{2}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Let S_P be a subset of S that consists of one vertex from each pair in S . By Lemma 2, we have

$$\sum_{v \in S_P} g(S_v) \geq \frac{3}{2}|S_P| = \frac{3}{2} \times \frac{|S|}{2} = \frac{3}{4}|S|.$$

Since

$$\bigcup_{v \in A} [S_v, D] = [A, D] = \bigcup_{v \in D} [S_v, A],$$

we have that

$$\sum_{v \in S_P} g(S_v) = \sum_{v \in S_P} f(S_v) - \frac{1}{4}|[A, D]| + \frac{1}{4}|[A, D]| = f(S) = n - |S|.$$

Therefore, $n - |S| \geq \frac{3}{4}|S|$, that is, $\gamma_{pr}(G) = |S| \leq \frac{4}{7}n$. \square

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for valuable comments.

References

- [1] X.G. Chen, L. Sun and H.M. Xing, Paired-domination numbers of cubic graphs (Chinese), *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. A Chin. Ed.* 27 (2007) 166–170.
- [2] X.G. Chen, C.S. Wai, H.C. Wai, Upper bounds on the paired-domination number, *Applied Mathematics Letters* 21 (2008) 1194–1198.
- [3] T.C.E. Cheng, L.Y. Kang and C.T. Ng, Paired domination on interval and circular-arc graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 155 (2007) 2077–2086.
- [4] P. Dorbec, M.A. Henning, Upper paired-domination in claw-free graphs, *Journal of Combinatorial Optimization* 22 (2011) 235–251.
- [5] P. Dorbec, S. Gravier, M.A. Henning, Paired-domination in generalized claw-free graphs, *Journal of Combinatorial Optimization* 14 (2007) 1–7.
- [6] O. Favaron, M.A. Henning, Paired domination in claw-free cubic graphs, *Graph and Combinatorics* 20 (2004) 447–456.
- [7] W. Goddard, M.A. Henning, A characterization of cubic graphs with paired-domination number three-fifths their order, *Graph and Combinatorics* 25 (2009) 675–692.
- [8] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, P.J. Slater, *Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [9] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, P.J. Slater, *Domination in Graphs: Advanced Topics*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [10] T.W. Haynes, P.J. Slater, Paired-domination in graphs. *Networks* 32 (1998) 199–206.
- [11] T.W. Haynes, P.J. Slater, Paired-domination and the paired-domatic number, *Congr. Numer.* 109 (1995) 65–72.
- [12] M.A. Henning, Graphs with large paired-domination number, *Journal of Combinatorial Optimization* 13 (2007) 61–78.

- [13] M.A. Henning, M.D. Plummer, Vertices contained in all or in no minimum paired-dominating set of a tree, *Journal of Combinatorial Optimization* 10 (2005) 283–294.
- [14] S.W. Huang, E.F. Shan, A note on the upper bound for the paired-domination number of a graph with minimum degree at least two, *Networks* 57 (2) (2011) 115–116.
- [15] S.W. Huang, E.F. Shan, Paired-domination in claw-free graphs, manuscript.
- [16] H. Qiao, L.Y. Kang, M. Cardei and D.Z. Du, Paired-domination of trees, *Journal of Global Optimization* 25 (2003) 43–54.