

Some sharp bounds for the spectral radius and energy of digraphs

Min-Hui Liu^a, Gui-Xian Tian^{a*}, Shu-Yu Cui^b

^aCollege of Mathematics, Physics and Information Engineering,
Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, Zhejiang, 321004, P.R. China

^bXingzhi College, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, Zhejiang, 321004, P.R. China

Abstract

For a simple digraph D with n vertices, the energy of D is defined as $E(D) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\operatorname{Re}(z_i)|$, where z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n are the eigenvalues of D . This paper first gives an improved lower bound on the spectral radius of D , which is used to obtain some upper bounds for the energy $E(D)$. These results improve and generalize some known results on upper bounds of the energy of digraphs.

AMS classification: 05C35 05C50 05C90

Keywords: Spectral radius of a digraph; Energy of a digraph; Upper bound

1. Introduction

Assume that D is a simple digraph with n vertices and Γ is the set of arcs, which consisting of ordered pairs of distinct vertices. Two vertices u and v of D are adjacent if they are connected by an arc. If the arc is from u to v , then we write the arc by (u, v) .

A walk of length 2 from vertex u to vertex v is a sequence of vertices $\pi : u, w, v$, where (u, w) and (w, v) are arcs of D . If $u = v$, then π is called a closed walk of length 2. We denote the number of all closed walks of length 2 associated with vertex $v_i \in V$ by $c_2^{(i)}$. The sequence $(c_2^{(1)}, c_2^{(2)}, \dots, c_2^{(n)})$ is called closed walk sequence of length 2 of D . Thus $c_2 = c_2^{(1)} + c_2^{(2)} + \dots + c_2^{(n)}$ is the number of all closed walks of length 2 of D [12].

A digraph D is *symmetric* if for any $(u, v) \in \Gamma$ also $(v, u) \in \Gamma$, where $u, v \in V$. A one-to-one correspondence between a graph and a symmetric

*Corresponding author. Email: gxtian@zjnu.cn or guixiantian@163.com (G.-X. Tian).

digraph is given by $G \rightsquigarrow \overleftrightarrow{G}$, where \overleftrightarrow{G} and G has the same vertex set, every edge uv of G is replaced by a pair of symmetric arcs (u, v) and (v, u) . Hence, a graph can be identified with a symmetric digraph, see [5, 12].

A graph G is called *regular* if every vertex of G has equal degree. A bipartite graph is called *semiregular* if each vertex in the same part of a bipartition has the same degree. A graph G is called *pseudo-regular* if every vertex of G has equal average-degree. A bipartite graph is called *pseudo-semiregular* if each vertex in the same part of a bipartition has the same average-degree, see [10].

The adjacency matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ of D is the $n \times n$ matrix, defined by $a_{ij} = 1$ for $(v_i, v_j) \in \Gamma$; 0 otherwise. The eigenvalues z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n of A are called the *eigenvalues* of D and form the *spectrum* of D . The eigenvalues of D are in general complex numbers because of the adjacency matrix A of D is not necessarily a symmetric matrix. The *spectral radius* of D , denoted by $\rho(D)$, equals to the largest absolute value of the eigenvalues of D , see [2, 7]. The energy of a digraph (see [7]) is defined by $E(D) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\operatorname{Re}(z_i)|$ where z_i is the eigenvalue of the digraph D and $\operatorname{Re}(z_i)$ denotes the real part of z_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. For more details about the spectral radius and the energy of digraphs, see [2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 12] and the references therein.

In this paper, we first give an improved lower bound for the spectral radius of a digraph D . Applying this result, we obtain some sharp upper bounds on the energy of D and characterize some extreme digraphs which attain these upper bounds. We also show that our results improve and generalize some known results in [5, 9, 12]. For the remaining basic terminology and notation used throughout the paper we refer the book [1].

2. A lower bound of the spectral radius of digraphs

Recall that for an $n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$, its geometric symmetrization, denoted by $S = (s_{ij})$, is a matrix with entries $s_{ij} = \sqrt{a_{ij}a_{ji}}$ for any $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Obviously, $c_2^{(j)} = \sum_{i=1}^n s_{ij}$ for any vertex v_j of V for a digraph D .

Theorem 1. *Let D be a simple digraph with n vertices and at least a closed walk of length 2. Also let $(c_2^{(1)}, c_2^{(2)}, \dots, c_2^{(n)})$ be the closed walk sequence of length 2 of D . Assume that $t_j = \sum_{i=1}^n c_2^{(i)} s_{ij}$ for any $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then*

$$\rho(D) \geq \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}} \quad (1)$$

with equality in (1) if and only if $D = \overleftrightarrow{G} + \{\text{possibly some arcs that do not belong to cycles}\}$, where each connected component of G is either an r -pseudo-regular graph or an (r_1, r_2) -pseudo-semiregular bipartite graph, satisfying $r^2 = r_1 r_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}$.

Proof. Let A be the adjacency matrix of the digraph D and $S(A) = (s_{ij})$. Observe that $A \geq S(A) \geq 0$. From Corollary 2.15 in [1], $\rho(A) \geq \rho(S(A))$. By the Rayleigh-Ritz Theorem, it must be that

$$\rho(A) \geq \rho(S(A)) = \sqrt{\rho(S(A)^2)} = \sqrt{\max_{x \neq 0} \frac{x^T S(A)^2 x}{x^T x}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{c^T S(A)^2 c}{c^T c}}, \quad (2)$$

where $c = (c_2^{(1)}, c_2^{(2)}, \dots, c_2^{(n)})^T$. By a simple calculation,

$$S(A)c = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_2^{(i)} s_{i1}, \sum_{i=1}^n c_2^{(i)} s_{i2}, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n c_2^{(i)} s_{in} \right)^T = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)^T.$$

Hence,

$$\rho(D) = \rho(A) \geq \rho(S(A)) = \sqrt{\rho(S(A)^2)} \geq \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}}. \quad (3)$$

If the equality in (1) holds, then the above equalities in both (2) and (3) hold. Thus c is a positive eigenvector of $S(A)^2$ corresponding to $\rho(S(A)^2)$, which implies that the multiplicity of $\rho(S(A)^2)$ is either one or two. Note that the following proof is similar to these of Theorem 1 in [12] and Theorem 2.1 in [5]. Next we consider three cases.

Case (i): D is strongly connected, in consequence A is an irreducible matrix. Moreover, if $A > S(A)$, then $\rho(A) > \rho(S(A))$, which is a contradiction with $\rho(A) = \rho(S(A))$. Hence $A = S(A)$, which implies that $D = \overleftrightarrow{G}$ and G is a connected simple graph. From the proof of Theorem 4 in [10], we have G is either an r -pseudo-regular graph or an (r_1, r_2) -pseudo-semiregular bipartite graph, satisfying $r^2 = r_1 r_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}$.

Case (ii): D is the direct sum of its t disjoint strongly connected components D_1, D_2, \dots, D_t . Let A_k be the $n_k \times n_k$ adjacency matrix of D_k such that $\sum_{k=1}^t n_k = n$. In this case $A = A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \dots \oplus A_t$. Since the equality in (2) holds. Then we have

$$\rho(A) = \rho(S(A)) = \sqrt{\frac{c^T S(A)^2 c}{c^T c}} = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^t \frac{c_{n_k}^T S(A_k)^2 c_{n_k}}{c_{n_k}^T c_{n_k}} \frac{c_{n_k}^T c_{n_k}}{c^T c}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^t \frac{\rho(S(A_k))^2 c_{n_k}^T c_{n_k}}{c_{n_k}^T c_{n_k}}} \leq \sqrt{\max_k \rho(S(A_k))^2} \\ &= \max_k \rho(S(A_k)) = \rho(S(A)) = \rho(A), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that, for every $k = 1, 2, \dots, t$,

$$\rho(A) = \rho(A_k) = \rho(S(A_k)) = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^t \frac{c_{n_k}^T S(A_k)^2 c_{n_k}}{c_{n_k}^T c_{n_k}}}.$$

It follows, from Case (i), that each $D_k = \overleftrightarrow{G}_k$, where each connected component G_k is either an r -pseudo-regular graph or an (r_1, r_2) -pseudo-semiregular bipartite graph, satisfying $r^2 = r_1 r_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}$.

Case (iii): In the general case, let \tilde{D} be the digraph obtained from D by deleting those arcs of D that do not belong to any cycle. Then $S(A) = S(A(\tilde{D}))$. Noting that \tilde{D} and D have the same cycle structure. From Theorem 1.2 in [4], \tilde{D} and D have equal characteristic polynomial and the same eigenvalues. Since \tilde{D} is direct sum of its some disjoint strongly connected components. Then above Case (ii) implies that $\tilde{D} = \overleftrightarrow{G}$ and each connected component of G is either an r -pseudo-regular graph or an (r_1, r_2) -pseudo-semiregular bipartite graph, satisfying $r^2 = r_1 r_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}$.

Hence, $D = \overleftrightarrow{G} + \{\text{possibly some arcs that do not belong to cycles}\}$.

Conversely, suppose that $D = \overleftrightarrow{G} + \{\text{possibly some arcs that do not belong to cycles}\}$, where each connected component of G is either an r -pseudo-regular graph or an (r_1, r_2) -pseudo-semiregular bipartite graph, satisfying $r^2 = r_1 r_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}$. From the proof of Theorem 4 in [10], the equality in (1) holds. The proof is completed. \square

The following Corollary 1 indicates that Theorem 1 is an improvement on Theorem 2.1 in [12].

Corollary 1[12]. *Let D be a simple digraph with n vertices, at least a closed walk of length 2 and $(c_2^{(1)}, c_2^{(2)}, \dots, c_2^{(n)})$ the closed walk sequence of length 2 of D . Then*

$$\rho(D) \geq \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}{n}}$$

with equality if and only if $D = \overleftrightarrow{G} + \{\text{possibly some arcs that do not belong to cycles}\}$, where G is an r -regular graph or an (r_1, r_2) -semiregular bipartite

graph, satisfying $r = \sqrt{r_1 r_2} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}{n}}$.

Proof. By Theorem 1 and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(D) &\geq \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i)^2}{n \sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}} = \sqrt{\frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2)^2}{n \sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}{n}}, \end{aligned}$$

with the equality holds if and only if G is either a pseudo-regular graph or a pseudo-semiregular bipartite graph with $t_1 = t_2 = \dots = t_n$. From the proof of Corollary 6 in [10], it must be that G is a regular graph or a semiregular bipartite graph, satisfying $r = \sqrt{r_1 r_2} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}{n}}$. \square

3. Some upper bounds of the energy of digraphs

In this section, applying the lower bound (1), we obtain some upper bounds on the energy of a digraph D and characterize some graphs which attain these upper bounds. Now we state the following lemma about the eigenvalues of digraphs.

Lemma 1[9]. *Let D be a simple digraph with n vertices, a arcs, and c_2 closed walks of length 2. If z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n are the eigenvalues of D , then*

$$(i) \sum_{i=1}^n (\operatorname{Re}(z_i))^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (\operatorname{Im}(z_i))^2 = c_2; \quad (ii) \sum_{i=1}^n (\operatorname{Re}(z_i))^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (\operatorname{Im}(z_i))^2 \leq a.$$

Theorem 2. *Let D be a simple digraph with n vertices, a arcs and at least a closed walk of length 2. Also let $(c_2^{(1)}, c_2^{(2)}, \dots, c_2^{(n)})$ be closed walk sequence of length 2 of D and $t_j = \sum_{i=1}^n c_2^{(i)} s_{ij}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then*

$$E(D) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}} + \sqrt{(n-1) \left(a - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2} \right)}. \quad (4)$$

The equality holds in (4) if and only if $D = \vec{G}$, where G is either $\frac{n}{2}K_2, K_n$ or a non-bipartite connected ρ -pseudo-regular graph with three distinct eigenvalues $(\rho, \sqrt{\frac{a-\rho^2}{n-1}}, -\sqrt{\frac{a-\rho^2}{n-1}})$, where $\rho = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}}$.

Proof. Let $\rho = z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n$ be the eigenvalues of D such that $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) \geq \operatorname{Re}(z_2) \geq \dots \geq \operatorname{Re}(z_n)$. From the (ii) of Lemma 1, it must be that

$$\sum_{i=2}^n (\operatorname{Re}(z_i))^2 \leq a - \rho^2. \quad (5)$$

Thus, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\sum_{i=2}^n |\operatorname{Re}(z_i)| \leq \sqrt{(n-1) \sum_{i=2}^n (\operatorname{Re}(z_i))^2} \leq \sqrt{(n-1)(a - \rho^2)}.$$

Hence, $E(D) \leq \rho + \sqrt{(n-1)(a - \rho^2)}$. Take the function $f(x) = x + \sqrt{(n-1)(a - x^2)}$, for $x \in [0, \sqrt{a}]$. First assume that $\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{a}{n}}$.

Then by Theorem 1 and (5),

$$\sqrt{\frac{a}{n}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}} \leq \rho \leq \sqrt{a}.$$

It is easy to verify that the function $f(x)$ decreases strictly on the interval $[\sqrt{\frac{a}{n}}, \sqrt{a}]$. Thus $f(\rho) \leq f\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}}\right)$, which implies that the inequality (4) holds.

Now assume that the equality in (4) holds. Then $f(\rho) = f\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}}\right)$,

which implies $\rho = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}}$ because of $f(x)$ decreases strictly in $[\sqrt{\frac{a}{n}}, \sqrt{a}]$. Again by Theorem 1, $D = \vec{G} + \{\text{possibly some arcs that do not belong to cycles}\}$, where each connected component of G is either an r -pseudo-regular graph or an (r_1, r_2) -pseudo-semiregular bipartite graph, satisfying $r^2 = r_1 r_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}$.

Since $c_2 \leq a$, Theorem 2.1 in [11] implies

$$\begin{aligned} E(D) = E(G) &\leq \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}} + \sqrt{(n-1) \left(c_2 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2} \right)} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}} + \sqrt{(n-1) \left(a - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2} \right)} = E(D), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a = c_2$ and

$$E(G) = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}} + \sqrt{(n-1) \left(c_2 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2} \right)}.$$

Hence, from the equality conditions of Theorem 2.1 in [11], we obtain the required results.

If $\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}} < \sqrt{\frac{a}{n}}$, then by the proof of Corollary 1,

$$0 \leq \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}{n}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}} < \sqrt{\frac{a}{n}},$$

which implies that $f\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}{n}}\right) \leq f\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}}\right)$ because of

$f(x)$ increases strictly in the interval $[0, \sqrt{\frac{a}{n}}]$. Therefore, by Theorem 2 in [12], the inequality (4) holds. If the equality in (4) holds, then

$$E(D) = f\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}{n}}\right) = f\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}}\right).$$

Hence, from the equality conditions of Theorem 2 in [12], we obtain the required results. \square

Remark 1. If $na \leq c_2^2$, then

$$\sqrt{\frac{a}{n}} \leq \frac{c_2}{n} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}{n}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}} \leq \rho \leq \sqrt{a}.$$

Since the function $f(x) = x + \sqrt{(n-1)(a-x^2)}$ decreases strictly in the interval $[\sqrt{\frac{a}{n}}, \sqrt{a}]$, we get

$$E(D) \leq f(\rho) \leq f\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}}\right) \leq f\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}{n}}\right).$$

Hence the bound (4) improves that of Theorem 2 in [12], for some cases.

Theorem 3. Let D be a simple digraph with n vertices, a arcs and at least a closed walk of length 2. Also let $(c_2^{(1)}, c_2^{(2)}, \dots, c_2^{(n)})$ be closed walk

sequence of length 2 of D and $t_j = \sum_{i=1}^n c_2^{(i)} s_{ij}$ for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If $\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{a+c_2}{2n}}$, then

$$E(D) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}} + \sqrt{(n-1) \left(\frac{a+c_2}{2} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2} \right)}. \quad (6)$$

The equality holds in (6) if and only if $D = \overleftrightarrow{G}$, where G is either $\frac{n}{2}K_2, K_n$ or a non-bipartite connected ρ -pseudo-regular graph with three distinct eigenvalues $\left(\rho, \sqrt{\frac{a+c_2-\rho^2}{n-1}}, -\sqrt{\frac{a+c_2-\rho^2}{n-1}} \right)$, where $\rho = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}}$.

Proof. Let $\rho = z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n$ be the eigenvalues of D such that $\text{Re}(z_1) \geq \text{Re}(z_2) \geq \dots \geq \text{Re}(z_n)$. It follows from Lemma 1 that

$$\sum_{i=2}^n (\text{Re}(z_i))^2 \leq \frac{a+c_2}{2} - \rho^2. \quad (7)$$

From the inequality (7) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, it must be that

$$\sum_{i=2}^n |\text{Re}(z_i)| \leq \sqrt{(n-1) \sum_{i=2}^n (\text{Re}(z_i))^2} \leq \sqrt{(n-1) \left(\frac{a+c_2}{2} - \rho^2 \right)}.$$

Hence,

$$E(D) \leq \rho + \sqrt{(n-1) \left(\frac{a+c_2}{2} - \rho^2 \right)}. \quad (8)$$

Consider the function $g(x) = x + \sqrt{(n-1) \left(\frac{a+c_2}{2} - x^2 \right)}$, $x \in [0, \sqrt{\frac{a+c_2}{2}}]$.

Since $\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{a+c_2}{2n}}$. Then by Theorem 1,

$$\sqrt{\frac{a+c_2}{2n}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}} \leq \rho \leq \sqrt{\frac{a+c_2}{2}}.$$

Since $f(x)$ decreases strictly in the interval $\left[\sqrt{\frac{a+c_2}{2n}}, \sqrt{\frac{a+c_2}{2}} \right]$. Then $g(\rho) \leq$

$g\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}}\right)$, which implies that the inequality (6) holds.

If the equality in (6) holds, then $g(\rho) = g\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}}\right)$. Hence,

$\rho = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}}$ because of the function $g(x)$ decreases strictly. At this point we continue using the same techniques used in the proof of Theorem 2, we obtain the required results. \square

Remark 2. Observe that $c_2 \leq a$, the upper bound (6) in Theorem 3 is an improvement on the upper bound (4) in Theorem 2 whenever $\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{a+c_2}{2n}}$. On the other hand, from the proof of Theorem 3,

$$E(D) \leq g(\rho) \leq g\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}}\right) \leq g\left(\sqrt{\frac{a+c_2}{2n}}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}n(a+c_2)}.$$

Hence, the bound (6) in Theorem 3 is also an improvement on the generalized McClelland bound given in ([9], see Theorem 2.3) for some cases.

Corollary 2. Let D be a digraph with n vertices, a arcs and c_2 closed walks of length 2. If $\frac{a+c_2}{2} \leq \frac{c_2^2}{n}$, then

$$E(D) \leq \frac{c_2}{n} + \sqrt{(n-1)\left(\frac{a+c_2}{2} - \left(\frac{c_2}{n}\right)^2\right)}. \quad (9)$$

Equality in (9) holds if and only if $D = \vec{G}$, where G is either $\frac{n}{2}K_2$, K_n or a non-bipartite connected strongly regular graph with two non-trivial eigenvalues both with absolute value $\sqrt{\left(\frac{a+c_2}{2} - \left(\frac{c_2}{n}\right)^2\right)/(n-1)}$, or nK_1 .

Proof. If $\frac{a+c_2}{2} \leq \frac{c_2^2}{n}$. By Corollary 1 and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\sqrt{\frac{a+c_2}{2n}} \leq \frac{c_2}{n} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}{n}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}} \leq \rho \leq \sqrt{\frac{a+c_2}{2}}.$$

From the proof of Theorem 3, we obtain

$$E(D) \leq g(\rho) \leq g\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}}\right) \leq g\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_2^{(i)})^2}{n}}\right) \leq g\left(\frac{c_2}{n}\right),$$

which implies that the inequality (9) holds. The rest of proof is similar to Theorem 2 in [12], omitted. \square

Remark that if $c_2 \leq a$, the upper bound (9) of Corollary 2 improves that of Theorem 3.1 in [5] whenever $\frac{a+c_2}{2} \leq \frac{c_2^2}{n}$.

Let D be a digraph with n vertices, a arcs and c_2 closed walks of length 2. The *symmetry index* of D , denoted by s , is defined as $s = a - c_2$. In [5], Gudiño and Rada proved that

$$E(D) \leq \frac{n}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{n + \frac{4s}{n}} \right).$$

The following Theorem 4 is a slight improvement on above result.

Theorem 4. *Let D be a digraph with n vertices, c_2 closed walks of length 2 and symmetry index s . If $s \leq 2 \left(\frac{c_2^2}{n} - c_2 \right)$, then*

$$E(D) \leq \frac{n}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{n + \frac{2s}{n}} \right). \quad (10)$$

The equality holds in (10) if and only if $D = \overrightarrow{G}$ where G is a strongly regular graph with parameters $\left(n, \frac{n+\sqrt{n}}{2}, \frac{n+2\sqrt{n}}{4}, \frac{n+2\sqrt{n}}{4} \right)$.

Proof. The following proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.3 in [5]. Consider the function

$$h(x) = \frac{x}{n} + \sqrt{(n-1) \left(x + \frac{s}{2} - \left(\frac{x}{n} \right)^2 \right)}, \quad x \in \left[0, \frac{n^2}{2} + \frac{n}{2} \sqrt{n^2 + 2s} \right].$$

It is easy to see that $h(x)$ attains its maximum in $x_0 = \frac{n^2}{2} + \frac{n}{2} \sqrt{n^2 + 2s}$. Observe that $c_2 \leq n(n-1) \leq \frac{n^2}{2} + \frac{n}{2} \sqrt{n^2 + 2s}$. By Corollary 2, we obtain

$$E(D) \leq h(c_2) \leq h(x_0) = \frac{n}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{n + \frac{2s}{n}} \right), \quad (11)$$

which proves (10).

Now assume that the equality in (10) holds. Then by (11), we have

$$E(D) = h(c_2) = \frac{c_2}{n} + \sqrt{(n-1) \left(\frac{a+c_2}{2} - \left(\frac{c_2}{n} \right)^2 \right)}.$$

Corollary 2 implies that $D = \overrightarrow{G}$, that is, $s = 0$. Therefore, by (11)

$$E(G) = E(D) = \frac{n}{2} (1 + \sqrt{n}).$$

The result follows from the equality conditions of Theorem 3 in [6]. \square

Acknowledgements The authors are grateful to the referee(s) for some valuable suggestions which improved the presentation of this paper. This work was in part supported by NNSFC (No. 11371328, No. 11271334) and by the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province, China (No. LY15A010011).

References

- [1] A. Berman, R.J. Plemmons. *Nonnegative matrices in the mathematical sciences*. Academic, New York, 1979 (SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1994).
- [2] R. Brualdi. Spectra of digraphs. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 432 (2010) 2181-2213.
- [3] Ş.B. Bozkurt, D. Bozkurt, X.D. Zhang. On the spectral radius and the energy of a digraph. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra.* 63 (2015) 2009-2016.
- [4] D. Cvetković, M. Doob, H. Sachs, *Spectra of Graphs: Theory and Application*, Academic press, New York, 1980.
- [5] E. Gudiño, J. Rada. A lower bound for the spectral radius of a digraph. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 433 (2010) 233-240.
- [6] J.H. Koolen, V. Moulton. Maximal energy graphs. *Adv. Appl. Math.* 26 (2001) 47-52.
- [7] I. Peña, J. Rada. Energy of digraphs. *Linear Multilinear Algebra.* 56 (2008) 565-579.
- [8] J. Rada. Bounds for the energy of normal digraphs. *Linear Multilinear Algebra.* 60 (2012) 323-332.
- [9] J. Rada. The McClelland inequality for the energy of digraphs. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 430 (2009) 800-804.
- [10] A.M. Yu, M. Lu, F. Tian. On the spectral radius of graphs. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 387 (2004) 41-49.
- [11] A.M. Yu, M. Lu, F. Tian. New upper bounds for the energy of graphs. *Match Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 53 (2005) 441-448.
- [12] G.X. Tian, S.Y. Cui. On upper bounds for the energy of digraphs. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 438 (2013) 4742-4749.