

# Periodicity of A Partition Function Related to Making Change Modulo Prime Powers

Shi-Chao Chen

Institute of Contemporary Mathematics  
Department of Mathematics and Information Sciences,  
Henan University, Kaifeng, 475001, China  
schen@henu.edu.cn

## Abstract

Let  $p_c(n)$  be the number of ways to make change for  $n$  cents using pennies, nickels, dimes, and quarters. By manipulating the generating function for  $p_c(n)$ , we prove that the sequence  $\{p_c(n) \pmod{\ell^j}\}$  is periodic for every prime power  $\ell^j$ .

MSC: 11P83

keywords: Partitions, periodicity.

## 1. Introduction

For a certain amount of money, how many ways are there to make change using pennies, nickels, dimes, and quarters? This problem was popularized by Polya [5] in 1956 where half-dollars are included. Let  $m$  be the number of money,  $p$  the number of pennies,  $n$  the number of nickels,  $d$  the number of dimes, and  $q$  the number of quarters. The problem is to find solutions to  $m = p * 1 + n * 5 + d * 10 + q * 25$ . Let  $p_c(n)$  be the number of ways to make change for  $n$  cents using pennies, nickels, dimes, and quarters. Graham, Knuth, and Patashnik [3] showed that the problem can be solved by writing the generating function for  $p_c(n)$  as a product of known closed formulas for other series. Recently, following this method, Costello and Osborne [2] established the generating function and a closed formula for  $p_c(n)$ . Moreover, based on a recurrence for  $p_c(5n)$ , they proved that the parity of the sequence  $\{p_c(n)\}$  is periodic, and that the period length is 200.

The main purpose of the present paper is to study periodicity of  $\{p_c(n)\}$  modulo powers of a prime. We will prove a simpler recurrent formula for  $p_c(5n)$ . For a prime power  $\ell^j$ , we show that the sequence  $\{p_c(n) \pmod{\ell^j}\}$  is periodic and  $p_c(n) \pmod{\ell^j}$  are the coefficients in anti-reciprocal polynomials (see the last section for definitions). As consequences, we extend the results obtained by Costello and Osborne in [2]. We remark that, in

contrast to the recurrences for  $p_c(5n)$  used in [2], the generating function for  $p_c(n)$  plays a crucial role in our proofs.

## 2. The generating function and recurrences for $p_c(n)$

The method to find the generating function for  $p_c(n)$  was suggested by Graham, Knuth and Patashnik in [3]. Following this, Costello and Osborne proved in [2] that the generating function for  $p_c(n)$  is

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_c(n)z^n = \frac{1}{1-z} \cdot \frac{1}{1-z^5} \cdot \frac{1}{1-z^{10}} \cdot \frac{1}{1-z^{25}},$$

where  $|z| < 1$ . We adopt the convention that  $p_c(n) = 0$  if  $n < 0$ . Using Sage, we illustrate the first 60 values of  $p_c(n)$  as follow:

Table 1. Values of  $p_c(n)$  for  $0 \leq n \leq 59$ .

$n$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
$p_c(n)$	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	4	4	4	4	4
	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
	6	6	6	6	6	9	9	9	9	9	13	13	13	13	13
	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44
	18	18	18	18	18	24	24	24	24	24	31	31	31	31	31
	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59
	39	39	39	39	39	49	49	49	49	49	60	60	60	60	60

From Table 1, it is easy to find that for  $0 \leq n \leq 11$ ,

$$(2) \quad p_c(5n) = p_c(5n + 1) = p_c(5n + 2) = p_c(5n + 3) = p_c(5n + 4).$$

Indeed, this is true for all  $n \geq 0$ . Because after making change for  $5n$ , you must use pennies for the remaining 1, 2, 3, 4 cents. Note that the identity (2) is mentioned in [2] without mathematical proofs. Here, using the generating function for  $p_c(n)$ , we give two proofs of this fact.

The first proof is based on the following observation from (1):

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (p_c(n) - p_c(n-1))z^n = (1-z) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_c(n)z^n = \frac{1}{1-z^5} \cdot \frac{1}{1-z^{10}} \cdot \frac{1}{1-z^{25}}.$$

Since the expansion of the right hand side of the identity has the form  $\sum_n c_n z^{5n}$ , we conclude that  $p_c(n) - p_c(n-1) = 0$  for all  $n$  coprime to 5. This implies (2).

For the second proof, we define an operator  $U_5 : \mathbb{Z}[[z]] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[[z]]$  as follows:

$$\left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \right) |U_5 := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{5n} z^n.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\left( \frac{1}{1-z} \right) |U_5 = \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \right) |U_5 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n = \frac{1}{1-z}.$$

For  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ , we observe that

$$\left( \frac{z^i}{1-z} \right) |U_5 = \left( \sum_{n=i}^{\infty} z^n \right) |U_5 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n = \frac{z}{1-z}.$$

By (1.1) of [1], we get

$$\left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^{5n} \right) |U_5 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{5n} z^n \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n.$$

Now multiplying  $z^i$  on both sides of (1) and using  $U_5$ , we have

$$\left( \frac{z^i}{1-z} \cdot \frac{1}{1-z^5} \cdot \frac{1}{1-z^{10}} \cdot \frac{1}{1-z^{25}} \right) |U_5 = \frac{z}{1-z} \cdot \frac{1}{1-z} \cdot \frac{1}{1-z^2} \cdot \frac{1}{1-z^5}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_c(n) z^{n+i} \right) |U_5 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_c(5n-i) z^n.$$

It follows that for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ ,

$$(3) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_c(5n-i) z^n = \frac{z}{1-z} \cdot \frac{1}{1-z} \cdot \frac{1}{1-z^2} \cdot \frac{1}{1-z^5}.$$

This proves (2).

In view of (2), to determine the values of  $p_c(n)$ , it suffices to compute  $p_c(5n)$ . In section 5 of [2], Costello and Osborne proved a recurrence for  $p_c(5n)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} p_c(5n) &= 2p_c(5n-5) - 2p_c(5n-15) + p_c(5n-20) + p_c(5n-25) \\ &\quad - 2p_c(5n-30) + 2p_c(5n-40) - p_c(5n-45). \end{aligned}$$

This recurrence is crucial for their proof of the periodicity of the parity of  $p_c(n)$ . Here we give a shorter recurrence for  $p_c(5n)$ . We remark that, following the arguments of section 7 of [2], we can also prove  $p_c(n)$  modulo 2 is periodic. In section 3, we will give a simple proof of the periodicity of the parity of  $p_c(n)$  independent on this recurrence. Our recurrence states that

$$(4) \quad p_c(5n) = n + 1 + p_c(5n - 10) + p_c(5n - 25) - p_c(5n - 35), \quad n \geq 0.$$

To prove this, we take  $i = 5$  in (3) and obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_c(5n - 5)z^n = \frac{z}{(1 - z)^2(1 - z^2)(1 - z^5)}.$$

Multiplying by  $(1 - z^2)(1 - z^5)$  on both sides, we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (p_c(5n - 5) - p_c(5n - 15) - p_c(5n - 30) + p_c(5n - 40))z^n = \frac{z}{(1 - z)^2}.$$

Since

$$\frac{z}{(1 - z)^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nz^n,$$

we deduce that for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$p_c(5n - 5) - p_c(5n - 15) - p_c(5n - 30) + p_c(5n - 40) = n.$$

This yields the desired recurrence (4).

We remark that the recurrence (4) holds for all  $n \geq 0$ , and with the aid of (2), all values of  $p_c(n)$  are determined.

### 3. Periodicity of $p_c(n)$ modulo 2

The periodicity of the sequence  $\{p_c(n) \pmod{2}\}$  was proved in [2] by recurrence. In this section, employing the generating function, we give a simple proof. Moreover, we find that  $p_c(n) \pmod{2}$  possesses certain symmetrical properties.

Firstly, we show  $\{p_c(n) \pmod{2}\}$  is periodic with period length 200. By (1) we find that

$$(5) \quad \frac{1 - z^{200}}{(1 - z)(1 - z^5)(1 - z^{10})(1 - z^{25})} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (p_c(n) - p_c(n - 200))z^n.$$

It is easy to verify that  $(1 - z^{25})^8 \equiv (1 - z^{200}) \pmod{2}$  by the binomial theorem. Hence

$$(6) \quad \frac{1 - z^{200}}{(1 - z)(1 - z^5)(1 - z^{10})(1 - z^{25})} \equiv \frac{(1 - z^{25})^8}{(1 - z)(1 - z^5)(1 - z^{10})(1 - z^{25})} \pmod{2}.$$

Since the right hand side of (6) is a polynomial of degree 159, it follows by (5) that for  $n > 159$ ,

$$(7) \quad p_c(n) - p_c(n - 200) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

This shows that  $p_c(n) \pmod{2}$  has period 200.

Using the recurrence (4), we compute  $p_c(5n) \pmod{2}$  for  $0 \leq n \leq 39$  to observe an additional interesting property mod 2.

Table 2. Values of  $p_c(5n) \pmod{2}$  for  $0 \leq n \leq 39$ .

$n$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
$p_c(5n) \pmod{2}$	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0
26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39
1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Observing the entries in Table 2, we find that the values of  $p_c(5n) \pmod{2}$  indicate an interesting symmetrical property. In particular,

$$(8) \quad p_c(5(31 - n)) \equiv p_c(5n) \pmod{2}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq 31.$$

[Note that because of the zeroes from 32 to 39 and (2), we have  $p_c(n) \pmod{2}$  for all  $160 \leq n \leq 199$ .] To prove the congruence (8), we denote by  $h(z)$  the right hand side of (6). Then  $h(z)$  is a polynomial of degree 159, and we may write

$$(9) \quad h(z) := \frac{(1 - z^{25})^8}{(1 - z)(1 - z^5)(1 - z^{10})(1 - z^{25})} = \sum_{i=0}^{159} a_i z^i.$$

Now we have, on the one hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 z^{159}h\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) &= \frac{z^{159}\left(1 - \frac{1}{z^{25}}\right)^8}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{z}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{z^5}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{z^{10}}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{z^{25}}\right)} \\
 &= \frac{\left(z^{25}\left(1 - \frac{1}{z^{25}}\right)\right)^8}{z\left(1 - \frac{1}{z}\right) \cdot z^5\left(1 - \frac{1}{z^5}\right) \cdot z^{10}\left(1 - \frac{1}{z^{10}}\right) \cdot z^{25}\left(1 - \frac{1}{z^{25}}\right)} \\
 &= \frac{1 - z^{200}}{(1 - z)(1 - z^5)(1 - z^{10})(1 - z^{25})} \\
 &= -h(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$z^{159}h\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{159} a_{159-i}z^i.$$

Thus for  $0 \leq n \leq 159$ ,

$$a_n = -a_{159-n}.$$

Since  $p_c(n) = 0$  for  $n < 0$ , by (5), (6) and (9), we get

$$p_c(n) \equiv a_n \pmod{2}.$$

Hence we conclude that for  $0 \leq n \leq 159$ ,

$$p_c(n) \equiv p_c(159 - n) \pmod{2}.$$

In particular, by (2) we establish the observation (8).

Combining (7), we deduce that for  $0 \leq n \leq 79$  and any integer  $k \geq 0$ ,

$$p_c(n) \equiv p_c(159 - n) \equiv p_c(n + 200k) \equiv p_c(159 - n + 200k) \pmod{2}.$$

#### 4. Periodicity of $\{p_c(n)\}$ modulo powers of a prime

In this section,  $\ell$  is denoted by a prime. We shall show that  $\{p_c(n)\}$  is periodic modulo any powers of  $\ell$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *The sequence  $\{p_c(n) \pmod{\ell}\}$  is periodic. Moreover, let  $L(\ell)$  be the period length. Then  $L(2)=200$ ,  $L(3)=450$  and  $L(\ell) = 50\ell$  for  $\ell \geq 5$ .*

**Proof.** We have

$$\frac{1 - z^d}{(1 - z)(1 - z^5)(1 - z^{10})(1 - z^{25})} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (p_c(n) - p_c(n - d))z^n.$$

It follows that  $\{p_c(n) \pmod{\ell}\}$  is periodic if and only if the left hand side is a polynomial in  $z$ , and the smallest  $d$  is  $L(\ell)$ .

For any integers  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $\beta \geq 1$ , the binomial theorem gives

$$(1 - z^\beta)^{\ell^\alpha} = (1 + (-1)^{\ell^\alpha} z^{\beta\ell^\alpha}) + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell^\alpha-1} \binom{\ell^\alpha}{i} (-z^\beta)^i.$$

Note that  $\binom{\ell^\alpha}{i} \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \ell^\alpha - 1$ . We obtain

$$(1 - z^\beta)^{\ell^\alpha} \equiv (1 - z^{\beta\ell^\alpha}) \pmod{\ell}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{1 - z^{50\ell^\alpha}}{(1 - z)(1 - z^5)(1 - z^{10})(1 - z^{25})} \equiv \frac{(1 - z^{50})^{\ell^\alpha}}{(1 - z)(1 - z^5)(1 - z^{10})(1 - z^{25})} \pmod{\ell}.$$

Since  $(1 - z^i)|(1 - z^{50})$  for  $i = 1, 5, 10$  and  $25$ , we deduce that if  $\ell^\alpha \geq 4$ , then the right hand side of the identity above is a polynomial. If  $\alpha_0$  is the smallest  $\alpha$  such that  $\ell^\alpha \geq 4$ , then it is clear that  $L(\ell) = 50\ell^{\alpha_0}$ . Lemma 4.1 follows immediately.

The next lemma allows us to obtain the periodicity of  $\{p_c(n)\}$  modulo powers of  $\ell$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $d \geq 1$ ,  $j \geq 1$  be integers and  $f(z)$  be a polynomial. If  $(1 - z^d)/f(z)$  is a polynomial modulo  $\ell$ , then  $(1 - z^{d^j})/f(z)$  is a polynomial modulo  $\ell^{j+1}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $g(z)$  be a polynomial such that

$$(10) \quad \frac{1 - z^d}{f(z)} \equiv g(z) \pmod{\ell}.$$

We have

$$\frac{(1 - z^d)^\ell}{f^\ell(z)} \equiv g^\ell(z) \pmod{\ell^2}.$$

Therefore

$$(11) \quad \frac{(1 - z^d)^\ell}{f(z)} \equiv f^{\ell-1}(z)g^\ell(z) \pmod{\ell^2}.$$

Note that

$$(1 - z^d)^\ell - (1 - z^{d\ell}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \binom{\ell}{i} (-z^d)^i \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell(1 - z^d)}.$$

Hence we can find a polynomial  $h(z)$  such that

$$(1 - z^d)^\ell - (1 - z^{d\ell}) = \ell(1 - z^d)h(z).$$

It follows from (10) and (11) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - z^{d\ell}}{f(z)} &= \frac{(1 - z^d)^\ell}{f(z)} - \frac{\ell(1 - z^d)h(z)}{f(z)} \\ &\equiv f(z)^{\ell-1}g^\ell(z) - \ell g(z)h(z) \pmod{\ell^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the right hand side is a polynomial, Lemma 4.2 follows by induction.

Now taking  $d = L(\ell)$  and  $f(z) = (1 - z)(1 - z^5)(1 - z^{10})(1 - z^{50})$  in Lemma 4.2, we find that  $\{p_c(n) \pmod{\ell^{j+1}}\}$  is periodic. Following the arguments in section 3 for  $\ell = 2, j = 0$ , one can easily prove that for  $0 \leq n \leq L(\ell)\ell^j - 41$ ,

$$p_c(n) \equiv -p_c(L(\ell)\ell^j - 41 - n) \pmod{\ell^{j+1}}.$$

We omit the details here. According to [4], a polynomial  $P(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 z + a_0$  of degree  $n$  is called anti-reciprocal if for each  $0 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $a_i = -a_{n-i}$ . Hence the  $p_c(n) \pmod{\ell^{j+1}}$  values are coefficients in anti-reciprocal polynomials. In conclusion, we establish the following **Main theorem.** *For any prime powers  $\ell^j$ , the sequence  $\{p_c(n) \pmod{\ell^j}\}$  is periodic and the  $p_c(n) \pmod{\ell^j}$  values are coefficients in anti-reciprocal polynomials.*

**Acknowledgements** The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for a careful reading of the paper and for several corrections and suggestions. The author also thanks NSF of China (11101123) for its generous support.

## References

- [1] H. H. Chan and R. P. Lewis, Partition identities and congruences associated with the Fourier coefficients of the Euler products, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **160** (2003), 69-75.
- [2] P. Costello, M. Osborne, Periodicity of the parity of a partition function related to making change, *Math. of Comput.* **77** (2008), 1749-1754.
- [3] R. Graham, D. Knuth, and O. Patashnik, *Concrete Mathematics*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1990.



- [4] B. Kronholm, On congruence properties of  $p(n, m)$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **133** (2005), 2891-2895.
- [5] G. Polya, On picture-writing, *American Mathematical Monthly*, **63** (1956), 689-697.