

Toughness for the existence of k -Hamiltonian $[a, b]$ -factors ^{*†}

Sizhong Zhou [†]

School of Mathematics and Physics, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, Mengxi Road 2, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu 212003, P. R. China

Yang Xu

Department of Mathematics, Qingdao Agricultural University, Qingdao, Shandong 266109, P. R. China

Fan Yang

School of Mathematics and Physics, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, Mengxi Road 2, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu 212003, P. R. China

Abstract

Let a, b and k be three nonnegative integers with $a \geq 2$ and $b \geq a(k+1) + 2$. A graph G is called a k -Hamiltonian graph if $G - U$ contains a Hamiltonian cycle for every subset $U \subseteq V(G)$ with $|U| = k$. An $[a, b]$ -factor F of G is called a Hamiltonian $[a, b]$ -factor if F contains a Hamiltonian cycle. If $G - U$ has a Hamiltonian $[a, b]$ -factor for every subset $U \subseteq V(G)$ with $|U| = k$, then we say that G admits a k -Hamiltonian $[a, b]$ -factor. Suppose that G is a k -Hamiltonian graph of order n with $n \geq a + k + 2$. In this paper, it is proved that G includes a k -Hamiltonian $[a, b]$ -factor if $\delta(G) \geq a + k$ and $t(G) \geq a - 1 + \frac{(a-1)(k+1)}{b-2}$.

Keywords: toughness, k -Hamiltonian graph, k -Hamiltonian $[a, b]$ -factor.

*Receive date: June 3, 2015

[†]This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11371009, 11501256, 61503160) and the National Social Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 14AGL001) and the Natural Science Foundation of the Higher Education Institutions of Jiangsu Province (Grant No. 14KJD110002), and is sponsored by 333 Project of Jiangsu Province.

[‡]Corresponding author. E-mail address: zsz_cumt@163.com (S. Zhou)

1 Introduction

We consider finite undirected graphs which do not include loops and multiple edges. Let G be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. The degree of a vertex x of a graph G is defined as the number of edges which are incident to x , and is denoted by $d_G(x)$. The neighborhood $N_G(x)$ of a vertex x is defined as $N_G(x) = \{y \in V(G) : xy \in E(G)\}$. More generally $N_G(S) = \cup_{x \in S} N_G(x)$ for a subset $S \subseteq V(G)$. For a subset $S \subseteq V(G)$, we denote by $G[S]$ the subgraph of G induced by S , and write $G - S$ for $G[V(G) \setminus S]$. We use $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$ to denote the minimum degree and the maximum degree of G , respectively. We denote by $\omega(G)$ the number of connected components of G . A vertex subset S of G is called an independent set (a covering set) of G if each edge of G is incident with at most (at least) one vertex of S . It is not very difficult to deduce that a vertex subset S of G is an independent set of G if and only if $V(G) \setminus S$ is a covering set of G . The toughness $t(G)$ of a graph G was first defined by Chvátal in [2] as follows.

$$t(G) = \min\left\{\frac{|S|}{\omega(G - S)} : S \subseteq V(G), \omega(G - S) \geq 2\right\},$$

if G is not complete; otherwise, $t(G) = +\infty$.

Let a and b be two nonnegative integers with $1 \leq a \leq b$. Then a spanning subgraph F of G is called an $[a, b]$ -factor if F satisfies $a \leq d_F(x) \leq b$ for each $x \in V(G)$. In particular, an $[r, r]$ -factor is an r -factor. If for any $U \subseteq V(G)$ with $|U| = k$, $G - U$ admits a Hamiltonian cycle, then we say that G is a k -Hamiltonian graph. An $[a, b]$ -factor is Hamiltonian if it includes a Hamiltonian cycle. If for any subset $U \subseteq V(G)$ with $|U| = k$, $G - U$ contains a Hamiltonian $[a, b]$ -factor, then we say that G has a k -Hamiltonian $[a, b]$ -factor. A k -Hamiltonian $[r, r]$ -factor is a k -Hamiltonian r -factor. In particular, a 0-Hamiltonian graph is a Hamiltonian graph; a 0-Hamiltonian $[a, b]$ -factor is said to be a Hamiltonian $[a, b]$ -factor. Hamiltonian factors in graphs attract a great deal of attention [1, 4, 8, 9, 10].

The relationships between toughness and graph factors are investigated in [3, 5]. In this paper, we study k -Hamiltonian $[a, b]$ -factors in graphs and obtain a toughness condition for graphs to have k -Hamiltonian $[a, b]$ -factors. Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1 *Let a, b, k be nonnegative integers with $a \geq 2$ and $b \geq a(k + 1) + 2$, and let G a k -Hamiltonian graph of order n with $n \geq a + k + 2$. If*

$\delta(G) \geq a+k$ and $t(G) \geq a-1 + \frac{(a-1)(k+1)}{b-2}$, then G admits a k -Hamiltonian $[a, b]$ -factor.

2 The Proof of Theorem 1

The proof of Theorem 1 relies heavily on the following lemmas. Lemma 2.1 is a well-known necessary and sufficient for a graph to have an $[a, b]$ -factor, which is a special case of Lovász's (g, f) -factor theorem [7].

Lemma 2.1 (Lovász [7]). *Let G be a graph, and let a and b be two non-negative integers with $a < b$. Then G contains an $[a, b]$ -factor if and only if for each subset S of $V(G)$,*

$$a|T| - d_{G-S}(T) \leq b|S|,$$

where $T = \{x : x \in V(G) \setminus S, d_{G-S}(x) \leq a-1\}$ and $d_{G-S}(T) = \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x)$.

Lemma 2.2 (Katerinis [5]). *If a graph G is not complete, then $t(G) \leq \frac{1}{2}\delta(G)$.*

Lemma 2.3 (Liu and Zhang [6]). *Let G be a graph and let $H = G[T]$ such that $d_{G-S}(x) = a-1$ for each $x \in V(H)$ and no component of H is isomorphic to K_a where $T \subseteq V(G)$ and $a \geq 2$. Then there exist an independent set I and the covering set $C = V(H) - I$ of H such that*

$$|V(H)| \leq (a - \frac{1}{a+1})|I|$$

and

$$|C| \leq (a - 1 - \frac{1}{a+1})|I|.$$

Lemma 2.4 (Liu and Zhang [6]). *Let G be a graph. Set $H = G[T]$ with $\delta(H) \geq 1$ and $1 \leq d_G(x) \leq a-1$ for each $x \in V(H)$, where $T \subseteq V(G)$ and $a \geq 2$ is an integer. Let T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{a-1} be a partition of $V(H)$ satisfying $d_G(x) = j$ for $\forall x \in T_j$ (where T_j may be empty sets), $j = 1, 2, \dots, a-1$. Suppose that each component of H has at least one vertex of degree no more than $a-2$ in G . Then there exist a maximal independent set I and a covering set $C = V(H) - I$ of H satisfying*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{a-1} (a-j)c_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} (a-2)(a-j)i_j,$$

where $i_j = |I \cap T_j|$, $c_j = |C \cap T_j|$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, a-1$.

Lemma 2.5 (Zhou [10]). *Let a and b be two integers with $2 \leq a < b$, and let G be a graph of order n with $n \geq a + 2$. If G is complete, then G includes a Hamiltonian $[a, b]$ -factor.*

Proof of Theorem 1. For any $U \subseteq V(G)$ with $|U| = k$, we write $G' = G - U$. In terms of the hypothesis of Theorem 1 and the definition of k -Hamiltonian graph, G' admits a Hamiltonian cycle C . Let $H = G' - E(C)$. Note that $V(H) = V(G') = V(G) \setminus U$ and $\delta(H) = \delta(G') - 2 \geq \delta(G) - k - 2$.

It is obvious that C is a k -Hamiltonian $[2, b]$ -factor of G , and so Theorem 1 holds for $a = 2$. In the following, we may assume that $a \geq 3$.

If G is complete, then G' also is complete. According to Lemma 2.5, G' includes a Hamiltonian $[a, b]$ -factor, and so G has a k -Hamiltonian $[a, b]$ -factor. Hence, we may assume that G is not a complete graph. Obviously, G contains the desired factor if and only if H admits an $[a - 2, b - 2]$ -factor. By way of contradiction, we assume that H has no $[a - 2, b - 2]$ -factor. Then by Lemma 2.1, there exists some subset S' of $V(H)$ such that

$$(a - 2)|T| - d_{H-S'}(T) > (b - 2)|S'|, \quad (1)$$

where $T = \{x : x \in V(H) \setminus S', d_{H-S'}(x) \leq a - 3\}$. Note that $H = G' - E(C) = G - U - E(C)$. Thus, we obtain

$$d_{H-S'}(x) \geq d_{G'-S'}(x) - 2 = d_{G-U-S'}(x) - 2$$

for any $x \in T$. Let $S = S' \cup U$. Thus, we have

$$d_{G-S}(x) \leq d_{H-S'}(x) + 2 \leq (a - 3) + 2 = a - 1, \quad (2)$$

for any $x \in T$. In terms of (1), (2), $|U| = k$ and $S = S' \cup U$, we obtain

$$a|T| - d_{G-S}(T) > (b - 2)|S| - (b - 2)k. \quad (3)$$

Claim 1. $|S| \geq k + 1$.

Proof. Assume that $|S| \leq k$. Note that $\delta(G) \geq a + k$. We have $d_{G-S}(x) \geq d_G(x) - |S| \geq \delta(G) - |S| \geq a$ for each $x \in T$. This contradicts (2). The proof of Claim 1 is complete. \square

Claim 2. $(b - 2)|S| - (b - 2)k \geq \frac{(b-2)|S|}{k+1}$.

Proof. In view of Claim 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (b - 2)|S| - (b - 2)k &= \frac{(b - 2)|S|}{k + 1} + (b - 2 - \frac{b - 2}{k + 1})|S| - (b - 2)k \\ &\geq \frac{(b - 2)|S|}{k + 1} + (b - 2 - \frac{b - 2}{k + 1})(k + 1) - (b - 2)k \\ &= \frac{(b - 2)|S|}{k + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Claim 2. \square

It follows from (3) and Claim 2 that

$$a|T| - d_{G-S}(T) > \frac{(b-2)|S|}{k+1}. \quad (4)$$

Let m be the number of the components of $R' = G[T]$ which are isomorphic to K_a and set $T_0 = \{x : x \in V(R'), d_{G-S}(x) = 0\}$. Let R be the subgraph obtained from $R' - T_0$ by deleting those m components isomorphic to K_a . We shall consider two cases by the value of $|V(R)|$ and derive a contradiction in each case.

Case 1. $|V(R)| \geq 1$.

It is obvious that $\delta(R) \geq 1$. Set $R = R_1 \cup R_2$, where R_1 is the union of components of R which satisfy $d_{G-S}(x) = a-1$ for each $x \in V(R_1)$ and $R_2 = R - R_1$. According to Lemma 2.3, there exist a maximal independent set I_1 and the covering set $C_1 = V(R_1) - I_1$ in R_1 satisfying

$$|V(R_1)| \leq \left(a - \frac{1}{a+1}\right)|I_1| \quad (5)$$

and

$$|C_1| \leq \left(a - 1 - \frac{1}{a+1}\right)|I_1|. \quad (6)$$

Note that $\delta(R_2) \geq 1$ and $\Delta(R_2) \leq a-1$. We write $T_j = \{x : x \in V(R_2), d_{G-S}(x) = j\}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, a-1$. In terms of the definitions of R and R_2 , it is obvious that each component of R_2 has at least one vertex of degree no more than $a-2$ in $G-S$. Using Lemma 2.4, R_2 has a maximal independent set I_2 and the covering set $C_2 = V(R_2) - I_2$ satisfying

$$\sum_{j=1}^{a-1} (a-j)c_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} (a-2)(a-j)i_j, \quad (7)$$

where $i_j = |I_2 \cap T_j|$, $c_j = |C_2 \cap T_j|$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, a-1$. Set $W = G - (S \cup T)$, $Q = S \cup C_1 \cup C_2 \cup (N_G(I_2) \cap V(W))$. Then since $|C_2| + |N_G(I_2) \cap V(W)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} j i_j$, we obtain

$$|Q| \leq |S| + |C_1| + \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} j i_j \quad (8)$$

and

$$\omega(G-Q) \geq |T_0| + m + |I_1| + \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} i_j. \quad (9)$$

Claim 3. $|Q| \geq t(G)\omega(G - Q)$.

Proof. Claim 3 is true for $\omega(G - Q) = 0$. If $\omega(G - Q) = 1$, then it follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$|Q| \geq d_{G-S}(x) + |S| \geq d_G(x) \geq \delta(G) \geq 2t(G) \geq t(G)\omega(G - Q)$$

for any $x \in T$. If $\omega(G - Q) \geq 2$, then from the definition of $t(G)$, we obtain

$$|Q| \geq t(G)\omega(G - Q).$$

This completes the proof of Claim 3. □

In terms of (8), (9) and Claim 3, we obtain

$$|S| + |C_1| + \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} j i_j \geq t(G)(|T_0| + m + |I_1| + \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} i_j). \quad (10)$$

According to (4), we have

$$a|T_0| + am + |V(R_1)| + \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} (a - j) i_j + \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} (a - j) c_j > \frac{(b - 2)|S|}{k + 1}. \quad (11)$$

It follows from (10) and (11) that

$$\begin{aligned} & a|T_0| + am + |V(R_1)| + \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} (a - j) i_j + \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} (a - j) c_j \\ & > \frac{b - 2}{k + 1} (t(G)(|T_0| + m + |I_1| + \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} i_j) - |C_1| - \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} j i_j), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} (a - j) c_j + |V(R_1)| + \frac{b - 2}{k + 1} |C_1| & > \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} \left(\frac{(b - 2)t(G)}{k + 1} - \frac{(b - 2)j}{k + 1} - a + j \right) i_j \\ & + \frac{(b - 2)t(G)}{k + 1} |I_1| + \left(\frac{(b - 2)t(G)}{k + 1} - a \right) (|T_0| + m). \end{aligned}$$

By the conditions of Theorem 1, it is easy to see that $\frac{(b-2)t(G)}{k+1} - a > 0$. Thus, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{a-1} (a - j) c_j + |V(R_1)| + \frac{b - 2}{k + 1} |C_1| > \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} \left(\frac{(b - 2)t(G)}{k + 1} - \frac{(b - 2)j}{k + 1} - a + j \right) i_j$$

$$+ \frac{(b-2)t(G)}{k+1} |I_1|. \quad (12)$$

In terms of (5), (6), (7) and (12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} (a-2)(a-j)i_j + \left(\left(a - \frac{1}{a+1} \right) + \frac{b-2}{k+1} \left(a-1 - \frac{1}{a+1} \right) \right) |I_1| \\ & > \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} \left(\frac{(b-2)t(G)}{k+1} - \frac{(b-2)j}{k+1} - a + j \right) i_j + \frac{(b-2)t(G)}{k+1} |I_1|. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

It follows from (13) that at least one of the following two cases must hold.

Subcase 1.1. There exists at least one j satisfying

$$(a-2)(a-j) > \frac{(b-2)t(G)}{k+1} - \frac{(b-2)j}{k+1} - a + j,$$

which implies

$$\frac{(b-2)t(G)}{k+1} < a(a-1) - (a-1)j + \frac{(b-2)j}{k+1}. \quad (14)$$

Note that $b \geq a(k+1) + 2$. Thus, we have

$$\frac{b-2}{k+1} > a-1. \quad (15)$$

Combining $j \leq a-1$ with (14) and (15), we obtain

$$\frac{(b-2)t(G)}{k+1} < a(a-1) - (a-1)(a-1) + \frac{(b-2)(a-1)}{k+1} = a-1 + \frac{(b-2)(a-1)}{k+1},$$

that is,

$$t(G) < a-1 + \frac{(a-1)(k+1)}{b-2},$$

which contradicts $t(G) \geq a-1 + \frac{(a-1)(k+1)}{b-2}$.

Subcase 1.2. $a - \frac{1}{a+1} + \frac{b-2}{k+1} \left(a-1 - \frac{1}{a+1} \right) > \frac{(b-2)t(G)}{k+1}$.

In terms of $t(G) \geq a-1 + \frac{(a-1)(k+1)}{b-2}$, we have

$$\frac{(b-2)t(G)}{k+1} \geq \frac{b-2}{k+1} \cdot \left(a-1 + \frac{(a-1)(k+1)}{b-2} \right) = a-1 + \frac{(a-1)(b-2)}{k+1}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$a - \frac{1}{a+1} + \frac{b-2}{k+1} \left(a - 1 - \frac{1}{a+1} \right) > a - 1 + \frac{(a-1)(b-2)}{k+1},$$

that is,

$$a(k+1) - (b-2) > 0,$$

which contradicts $b \geq a(k+1) + 2$.

Case 2. $|V(R)| = 0$.

It follows from (4) that

$$a|T_0| + am > \frac{(b-2)|S|}{k+1},$$

which implies

$$|S| < \frac{a(k+1)(|T_0| + m)}{b-2}. \quad (16)$$

According to (16), $b \geq a(k+1) + 2$ and Claim 1, we have

$$|T_0| + m > \frac{b-2}{a} \geq k+1 \geq 1. \quad (17)$$

Note that $\omega(G-S) \geq |T_0| + m$. Then using (17), we obtain $\omega(G-S) \geq |T_0| + m > 1$. In view of (16) and the definition of $t(G)$, we have

$$a - 1 + \frac{(a-1)(k+1)}{b-2} \leq t(G) \leq \frac{|S|}{\omega(G-S)} \leq \frac{|S|}{|T_0| + m} < \frac{a(k+1)}{b-2},$$

that is,

$$a - 1 < \frac{k+1}{b-2}.$$

Combining this with $b \geq a(k+1) + 2$ and $a \geq 2$, we obtain

$$1 \leq a - 1 < \frac{1}{a} \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

which is a contradiction. Theorem 1 is proved. \square

Finally, we present the following problem.

Problem. Let a, b, k be nonnegative integers with $a \geq 2$ and $b \geq a(k+1) + 2$, and let G a k -Hamiltonian graph of order n with $n \geq a + k + 2$ and $\delta(G) \geq a + k$. For any little positive real ϵ , $t(G) \geq a - 1 + \frac{(a-1)(k+1)}{b-2} - \epsilon$. Does G contain a k -Hamiltonian $[a, b]$ -factor?

References

- [1] J. Cai, G. Liu, J. Hou, Binding number and Hamiltonian (g, f) -factors in graphs II, *International Journal of Computer Mathematics* 85(9)(2008)1325–1331.
- [2] V. Chvátal, Tough graphs and Hamiltonian Circuits, *Discrete Mathematics* 5(1973)215–228.
- [3] H. Enomoto, B. Jackson, P. Katerinis, A. Saito, Toughness and the existence of k -factors, *Journal of Graph Theory* 9(1985)87–95.
- [4] Y. Gao, G. Li, X. Li, Degree conditions for the existence of a k -factor containing a given Hamiltonian cycle, *Discrete Mathematics* 309(2009)2373–2381.
- [5] P. Katerinis, Toughness of graphs and the existence of factors, *Discrete Mathematics* 80(1990)81–92.
- [6] G. Liu, L. Zhang, Toughness and the existence of fractional k -factors of graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 308(2008)1741–1748.
- [7] L. Lovász, Subgraphs with prescribed valencies, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory* 8(1970), 391–416.
- [8] H. Matsuda, Degree conditions for Hamiltonian graphs to have $[a, b]$ -factors containing a given Hamiltonian cycle, *Discrete Mathematics* 280(2004)241–250.
- [9] B. Wei, Y. Zhu, Hamiltonian k -factors in graphs, *Journal of Graph Theory* 25(1997)217–227.
- [10] S. Zhou, Toughness and the existence of Hamiltonian $[a, b]$ -factors of graphs, *Utilitas Mathematica* 90(2013)187–197.