

*Article*

# Properties of Cubic Fuzzy Competition Graphs with Applications

## Afeefa Maryam $^1$ , M. Tariq Rahim $^{1,\ast},$  and F. Hussain $^1$

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Abbottabad University of Science & Technology, Havelian, Abbottabad, KPK Pakistan
- \* Correspondence: tariqsms@gmail.com

Abstract: This study extends the concept of competition graphs to cubic fuzzy competition graphs by introducing additional variations including cubic fuzzy out-neighbourhoods, cubic fuzzy inneighbourhoods, open neighbourhood cubic fuzzy graphs, closed neighbourhood cubic fuzzy graphs, cubic fuzzy (k) neighbourhood graphs and cubic fuzzy [k]-neighbourhood graphs. These variations provide further insights into the relationships and competition within the graph structure, each with its own defined characteristics and examples. These cubic fuzzy CMGs are further classified as cubic fuzzy k-competition graphs that show competition in the *k*th order between components, *p*competition cubic fuzzy graphs that concentrate on competition in terms of membership degrees, and *m*-step cubic fuzzy competition graphs that analyze competition in terms of steps. Further, some related results about independent strong vertices and edges have been obtained for these cubic fuzzy competition graph classes. Finally, the proposed concept of cubic fuzzy competition graphs is supported by a numerical example. This example showcases how the framework of cubic fuzzy competition graphs can be practically applied to the predator-prey model to illustrate the representation and analysis of ambiguous information within the graph structures.

Keywords: Cubic graphs, Cubic fuzzy competition graphs, out-neighbourhoods,

In-neighbourhoods, Cubic fuzzy k-competition graphs, p-competition cubic fuzzy graphs, m-step cubic fuzzy competition graphs

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C72

## 1. Introduction

Graphs are mathematical structures that are used to represent pairwise relationships between items. The term 'graph' was first used by Euler in the 18th century while working to solve the Königsberg Bridge Problem. The first directed graph was vocally exploited by Aristotle to develop persuasive arguments. Numerous industries, including data mining, image sensing, and clustering. The main purpose of a graph is to create a relationship between distinct members of a set.

Zadeh [\[1\]](#page-17-0) introduced the innovative concept of fuzzy sets (FSs) to deal with uncertainties a fuzzy set  $\mu$  is a mapping  $\mu : \mathcal{V} \to [0, 1]$ .) The term fuzzy graphs (FGs) was announced by Rosenfeld [\[2\]](#page-17-1) and Gehrke [\[3\]](#page-17-2) et al. is defined as let  $G = (\mathcal{V}, \mu, \lambda)$  on universe of discourse  $\mathcal{V}$ , is a FG if  $\mu$  is a fuzzy set in V and  $\lambda$  is a fuzzy relation on  $\mu$  such that  $\lambda(t, u) \le \min{\{\mu(t), \mu(u)\}}$  for all  $t, u \in V$ . A fuzzy directed graph on a non-empty set V is of the form  $\vec{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mu, \lambda)$ , where  $\mu$  is a fuzzy set on V and  $\lambda$ is a fuzzy relation on V such that  $\lambda(t, u) \le \min\{\mu(t), \mu(u)\}$  for all  $t, u \in V$ .

To cope with the problem of ambiguous data in different variations, Jun et al. [\[4\]](#page-17-3) introduced the idea of cubic sets, an extended form of fuzzy sets. A CS is basically a combination of two sets, IVFSs and FSs. The term cubic graphs with its applications was introduced by Rashid et al. [\[5\]](#page-17-4). Cohen [\[6\]](#page-17-5), originate the idea of competition graphs (CMGs) as the competition between species in ecology. The CMG  $C(\vec{G})$  of a directed graph  $\vec{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathfrak{E})$  is defined as an undirected graph  $C(\vec{G}) = (\mathcal{V}, \mathfrak{E})$  which has the same vertex set V and contains an edge between two distinct vertices  $t, u \in V$  if a vertex *z* ∈ *V* and arcs  $(\overline{t}, \overline{z})$  and  $(\overline{u}, \overline{z}) \in \mathfrak{E}$  exist in  $\vec{G}$ . A graph G is said to be a CMG if a directed graph  $\vec{G}$  exists such that  $C(\vec{G}) - C$ . Cohen's theory was founded on the idea that if two sp exists such that  $C(\vec{G}) = G$ . Cohen's theory was founded on the idea that if two species share the same prey, they will fight over it. Akram et al. [\[7\]](#page-17-6) described extension of competition graphs under complex fuzzy environment and purposed the concept of bipolar fuzzy competition graphs and discussed their further properties in [\[8,](#page-17-7) [9\]](#page-17-8). Borzooei et al. introduced new concepts of vague competition graphs in [\[10\]](#page-17-9).

Additionally, CMGs in ecosystems have numerous uses in a variety of domains, including modelling economic centre structures, coding, energy efficiency, fuzzy logic, and fuzzy inference. The literature has developed several distinctions for these graphs, including p-CMGs of digraph [\[11–](#page-17-10)[15\]](#page-18-0), common enemy graphs of digraph [\[16\]](#page-18-1), competition hypergraphs [\[17\]](#page-18-2), tolerance CMGs [\[18\]](#page-18-3) and m-step CMGs [\[19\]](#page-18-4).

Much work has been done on CMGs whose vertices and edges are assumed to be fully specified, drawing heavily on food web models of natural communities. Whatever the case, this presumption is insufficient to represent competition in some genuine scenarios, such as a biological system where species can be weak, strong, vegetarian omnivorous, etc., and prey can be dangerous, edible, tasty, etc. It is natural to plan a fuzzy model of the CMG because species, prey, and their associations are not accurately shown.

In addition, Samanta and Pal proposed the idea of different categories of CMGs [\[20–](#page-18-5)[22\]](#page-18-6) such as fuzzy out-neighbourhood and in-neighbourhood of a vertex and of a graph are defined as follows. Let  $\vec{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mu, \lambda)$  be a directed FG. Then the fuzzy out-neighbourhood of a vertex *t* is the fuzzy set  $N^+(t) = (V_t^+, s_t^+)$ , where  $V_t^+ = \{u | \lambda(\overline{t}, u) > 0\}$  and  $s_t^+ : V_t^+ \to [0, 1]$  defined by  $s_t^+ = \lambda(\overline{t}, u)$ . In similar way the factor is noishbourhood of a vertex t is the CS  $N^-(t) - (V_t^-, s_t^-)$  where  $V_t^- = \{u | \lambda(\overline{t}, u) > 0\}$ way the fuzzy in-neighbourhood of a vertex *t* is the CS  $N^{-}(t) = (V_t^{-}, s_t^{-})$ , where  $V_t^{-} = \{u | \lambda(t, u) > 0\}$ and  $s_t^- : V_t^- \to [0, 1]$  defined by  $s_t^- = \lambda(t, u)$ . The fuzzy neighbourhood of a vertex  $t \in V$  of a directed fuzzy create  $\vec{C} = (X, u)$  is defined as the fuzzy set  $\lambda(t) = (X, s)$  where  $V_t = (u + \lambda(t, s)) > 0$ . fuzzy graph  $\vec{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mu, \lambda)$  is defined as the fuzzy set  $\mathcal{N}(t) = (V_t, s_t)$ , where  $V_t = \{w \mid \lambda(\vec{t}, w) > 0\}$ <br>and  $s : V \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is given by  $s(w) = \lambda(\vec{t}, w)$ . The *m*-step fuzzy out-peighbourhood of a vertex and  $s_t : V_t \to [0, 1]$  is given by  $s_t(w) = \lambda(\overline{t}, w)$ . The *m*-step fuzzy out-neighbourhood of a vertex  $t \in \mathcal{N}$  of a fuzzy directed graph  $\overrightarrow{A} = (C, \overline{A})$  is defined as the fuzzy set  $\Lambda^+(t) = (V^+, s^+)$ , where *t* ∈ *V* of a fuzzy directed graph  $\vec{G} = (C, D)$  is defined as the fuzzy set  $\mathcal{N}_m^+(t) = (V_t^+, s_t^+)$ , where  $V_t^+ = (v_t \mid n, \vec{F_t}) = min\{i(\vec{t}, \vec{r})\}$ ,  $\vec{F_t} = (v_t \mid n, \vec{F_t}) = min\{i(\vec{t}, \vec{r})\}$ ,  $\vec{F_t} = (v_t \mid n, \vec{F_t}) = (v_t \mid n, \vec$  $V_t^+ = \{u \mid p_m(\overline{t}, u) = \min\{\lambda(\overline{t}, u_1), \lambda(\overline{u_1}, u_2), \dots, \lambda(\overline{u_m}, u)\} > 0\}$ , with  $tu_1u_2 \dots u_mu$  being a path from the used of  $v_1V_t^+$ . to *u* and  $s_t^+ : V_t^+ \to [0, 1]$  is defined by  $s_t^+(u) = p_m(\overline{t}, u)$ . If there are multiple fuzzy paths of length *m*, the minimum degree of membership path  $p_m(t, u)$  should be taken.

Parvathi et al. [\[23\]](#page-18-7) proposed intuitionistic fuzzy graphs and Sahoo and Pal [\[24\]](#page-18-8) converted them into intuitionistic fuzzy competition graphs. Nasir et al. [\[25\]](#page-18-9) discussed their further properties. Pal et al. [\[26\]](#page-18-10) discuss further properties of fuzzy graphs in the book "Modern trends in fuzzy graph theory". Pramanik discussed an extension of fuzzy competition graph and its uses in manufacturing industries in [\[27\]](#page-18-11). Further, Talebi et al. [\[28\]](#page-18-12) recognized the notion of interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy CMGs. Akram and Nasir [\[29\]](#page-18-13) discussed interval-valued neutrosophic CMGs. Rashid et al. [\[5\]](#page-17-4) introduced the graphical structures of CSs with real-world applications. Further, Muhiuddin et al. [\[30\]](#page-18-14) discussed cubic graphs with their properties and applications. Moreover, different extensions of cubic graphs have been discussed in [\[31](#page-18-15)[–33\]](#page-18-16). The limitations of existing models, such as traditional fuzzy graphs and interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy graphs, lie in their inability to effectively manage the multifaceted nature of real-world data. These models fall short in scenarios where the data exhibits both fuzziness and interval uncertainty simultaneously. Particularly, in ecological and biological systems, species interactions are often characterized by various degrees of uncertainty and vagueness, which cannot be captured by a single type of fuzzy set. Cubic fuzzy graphs provide a robust framework that combines both fuzzy membership functions and interval-valued fuzzy membership functions, allowing for a more comprehensive representation of these interactions. This dual capability enables cubic fuzzy graphs to model a wider range of real-world scenarios more effectively. This motivates us to the occurrence of cubic fuzzy CMGs. Following is a description of this study's major goal:

Cubic FS is a useful extension of FS and IVFS which can indicate the issue with ambiguity in a single set. The cubic FS not only grasp the fuzzy information but also deals with interval-valued fuzzy data.

The proposed CMGs under cubic fuzzy environment overcome the limitations of other existing CMGs. We introduce the idea of cubic fuzzy CMGs in the current study, which deal with both fuzzy membership functions and interval-valued fuzzy membership functions. Further, we provide cubic fuzzy k-competition and cubic fuzzy p-CMGs, two extensions of cubic fuzzy CMGs. Also, we define m-step cubic fuzzy CMGs, m-step fuzzy neighbourhood graphs, and cubic fuzzy neighbourhood graphs. Finally, to emphasise the cubic fuzzy CMG's importance in the actual world, we present an application.

This paper is classified as follows: Section [2,](#page-2-0) includes a few elementary definitions which have a key role in the development of cubic fuzzy CMGs. Furthermore, the innovative concept of cubic fuzzy CMGs with its two valuable expansions namely, cubic fuzzy k-CMGs and p-competition cubic FGs was demonstrated. Section [3](#page-10-0) contains the notion of cubic fuzzy neighbourhood graphs in addition to some related results. Section [4,](#page-12-0) m-step cubic fuzzy CMGs defined and related results are obtained. Section [5](#page-15-0) includes the usefulness of cubic fuzzy CMGs to highlight the significance of these graphs in a practical manner.

### <span id="page-2-0"></span>2. Cubic Fuzzy Competition Graphs

In this section, preliminary definitions and properties of cubic CMGs and its different variations like cubic Fuzzy k-CMGs and p-Competition Cubic FGs have been explored.

Definition 1. [\[4\]](#page-17-3) *Let* V *be a universe set. A CS* C *is a structure;*

$$
C = \{ (t, \mu(t), \lambda(t)) \mid t \in \mathcal{V} \},\tag{1}
$$

*where*  $\mu(t) = [\mu^L(t), \mu^U(t)]$ , *represents the interval valued fuzzy membership over*  $V$ , *while*  $\lambda(t)$  *represents simple fuzzy degree of membership.*

**Definition 2.** *The support of CS*  $C = \{(t, \mu(t), \lambda(t)) | t \in \mathcal{V}\}\)$ , *in* V *is basically a subset*  $C_o$  *of* V *defined by*  $C_o = \{t \in \mathcal{V} : \mu_C(t) \neq 0 \text{ and } \lambda_C(t) \neq 0\}$  *and*  $|supp(C_0)|$  *is the total number of elements present in the set.*

Definition 3. *The height of CS* C *in* V *is defined as:*

$$
h(C) = (\left[\sup_{t \in V} \mu_C^L(t, u), \sup_{t \in V} \mu_C^U(t, u)\right], \sup_{t \in V} \lambda_C(t, u)),
$$

*for all*  $t \in \mathcal{V}$ *.* 

The concept of cubic sets can be extended to the concept of a cubic fuzzy graph, which combines cubic sets with graph theory. A cubic fuzzy graph extends the fuzzy graph concept by incorporating interval-valued memberships, providing a richer framework for modeling uncertainty and vagueness in graph structures.

**Definition 4.** [\[5\]](#page-17-4) *A cubic fuzzy graph*  $G = (C, D)$  *of a graph*  $G = (V, \mathfrak{E})$ , *where*  $C = (\mu_C, \lambda_C)$ *represents the vertex set and*  $\mathcal{D} = (\mu_{\mathcal{D}}, \lambda_{\mathcal{D}})$  *represents an edge set, holds the following conditions:* 

*1) The functions*  $\mu_C : \mathcal{V} \to [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  *and*  $\lambda_C : \mathcal{V} \to [0, 1]$  *represent the interval-valued membership degrees and simple fuzzy valued membership degrees, respectively.*

*2) The functions*  $\mu_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \to [0,1] \times [0,1]$  *and*  $\lambda_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \to [0,1]$  *are denoted by:* 

$$
\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^L(t, u) \le \mu_C^L(t) \wedge \mu_C^L(u),
$$
  

$$
\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^U(t, u) \le \mu_C^U(t) \wedge \mu_C^U(u),
$$
  

$$
\lambda_{\mathcal{D}}(t, u) \le \lambda_C(t) \wedge \lambda_C(u).
$$

for all  $(t, u) \in \mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$ , where  $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^L$  and  $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^U$  are the lower and upper values of the interval valued<br>membership, respectively. *membership, respectively.*

Definition 5. *The edge of a cubic graph denoted as* (*t*, *<sup>u</sup>*) *is called independent strong if:*

$$
\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^L(t, u) \geq \frac{\mu_C^L(t) \wedge \mu_C^L(u)}{2},
$$
  

$$
\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^U(t, u) \geq \frac{\mu_C^U(t) \wedge \mu_C^U(u)}{2},
$$
  

$$
\lambda_{\mathcal{D}}(t, u) \geq \frac{\lambda_C(t) \wedge \lambda_C(u)}{2}.
$$

Definition 6. *The cubic graph is said to be complete if:*

$$
\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^L(t, u) = \frac{\mu_C^L(t) \wedge \mu_C^L(u)}{2},
$$
  
\n
$$
\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^U(t, u) = \frac{\mu_C^U(t) \wedge \mu_C^U(u)}{2},
$$
  
\n
$$
\lambda_{\mathcal{D}}(t, u) = \frac{\lambda_C(t) \wedge \lambda_C(u)}{2}.
$$

Further, we define a cubic directed graph (CuDG), a directed version of the cubic fuzzy graph.

**Definition 7.** A cubic directed graph (CuDG) on a set V is defined as  $\vec{G} = (C, D)$ , where  $C = (\mu_C, \lambda_C)$ *represents the vertex set and*  $\mathcal{D} = (\mu_{\mathcal{D}}, \lambda_{\mathcal{D}})$  *represents the edge set, such that:* 

$$
\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^L(\overrightarrow{t,u}) \leq \mu_C^L(t) \wedge \mu_C^L(u),
$$
  

$$
\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^U(\overrightarrow{t,u}) \leq \mu_C^U(t) \wedge \mu_C^U(u),
$$
  

$$
\lambda_{\mathcal{D}}(\overrightarrow{t,u}) \leq \lambda_C(t) \wedge \lambda_C(u).
$$

To understand the interaction between vertices in a CuDG, the notions of cubic fuzzy outneighborhood and in-neighborhood describing the set of vertices that are directly connected to a given vertex through directed edges is defined as follows.

**Definition 8.** *Consider a CuDG*  $\vec{G} = (C, D)$ *. The cubic fuzzy out-neighbourhood for any vertex t is* defined as the CS  $N^+(t) = (V_t^+, r_t^+, s_t^+)$ , where  $V_t^+ = \{u \mid \mu_D^L(\vec{t}, u) > 0, \lambda_D(\vec{t}, u) > 0\}$  and  $r_t^+ : V_t^+ \rightarrow$ [0, 1]  $\times$  [0, 1] is given by  $r_t^+(u) = [\mu_D^L(\overline{t}, u), \mu_D^U(\overline{t}, u)]$  and  $s_t^+ : V_t^+ \to [0, 1]$  is given by  $s_t^+(u) = \lambda_D(\overline{t}, u)$ .<br>Similarly, the cubic fuzzy in neighbourhood for any vertex t is defined as the CS  $N^{-}(t)$ 

*Similarly, the cubic fuzzy in-neighbourhood for any vertex t is defined as the CS*  $N^{-}(t)$  =  $(V_t^-, r_t^-, s_t^-)$ , where  $V_t^- = \{u \mid \mu_D^L(\vec{u}, t) > 0, \lambda_D(\vec{u}, t) > 0\}$  and  $r_t^- : V_t^- \to [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  is given by  $r_t^-(u) = [\mu_D^L(u,t), \mu_D^U(u,t)]$  and  $s_t^- : V_t^- \to [0, 1]$  is given by  $s_t^-(u) = \lambda_D(u,t)$ .

The theoretical basis of cubic CMGs like cubic fuzzy in-neighbourhood and cubic fuzzy outneighbourhood for any vertex sets are obtained. Next, we will see practical example to illustrate these definitions.

**Example [1.](#page-4-0)** Let  $\vec{G} = (C, D)$  be a CuDG on the vertex set  $V = \{p, q, r, s, t\}$  as shown in Figure 1. The *degree of memberships of the vertices as well as edges are chosen arbitrarily as described in Figure [1.](#page-4-0) The cubic fuzzy out neighbourhood and cubic fuzzy in neighbourhood are shown in Table [1:](#page-4-1)*

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Figure 1. cubic Digraph

<span id="page-4-1"></span>

|   | $N^+(t)$  | $N^{-}(t)$  |
|---|---|---|
| n | $\{(t, [0.4, 0.5], 0.4), (q, [0.3, 0.5], 0.4)\}\$ |   |
|   |   | $\{(p, [0.3, 0.5], 0.4), (q, [0.3, 0.4], 0.6)\}\$ |
|   | $\{(s, [0.5, 0.6], 0.4), (q, [0.3, 0.4], 0.6)\}\$ |   |
| c |   | $\{(t, [0.4, 0.6], 0.3), (r, [0.5, 0.6], 0.4)\}\$ |
|   | $\{(s, [0.4, 0.6], 0.3)\}\$                       | $\{(p, [0.4, 0.5], 0.4)\}\$                       |

Table 1. cubic fuzzy out neighbourhoods and cubic fuzzy in neighbourhoods

Now, we are going to define the definition of cubic fuzzy CMG.

**Definition 9.** *The cubic fuzzy CMG C*( $\vec{G}$ ) *of CuDG*  $\vec{G} = (C, \mathcal{D})$  *is an undirected graph*  $G = (C, \mathcal{D})$ *with*  $C(\mathbb{G}) = C(\vec{\mathbb{G}})$  *and*  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}) = \{(t, u); N^+(t) \cap N^+(u) > 0\}$ . *The degree of memberships of the edge*  $(t, u)$  in  $C(\vec{\mathbb{G}})$  are:  $(t, u)$  *in*  $C(\vec{\mathbb{G}})$  *are:* 

$$
\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^L(t, u) = (\mu_C^L(t) \wedge \mu_C^L(u))h^L(\mathcal{N}^+(t) \cap \mathcal{N}^+(u)),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^U(t, u) = (\mu_C^U(t) \wedge \mu_C^U(u))h^U(\mathcal{N}^+(t) \cap \mathcal{N}^+(u)),
$$
  
\n
$$
\lambda_{\mathcal{D}}(t, u) = (\lambda_C(t) \wedge \lambda_C(u))h(\mathcal{N}^+(t) \cap \mathcal{N}^+(u)).
$$

<span id="page-4-2"></span>**Example [2.](#page-4-2)** *Consider a CuDG*  $\vec{G} = (C, D)$  *on vertex set*  $V = \{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$  *as shown in Figure 2.* 



Figure 2. cubic digraph

*The degree of memberships of the vertices and edges are taken arbitrarily as described in Figure* [2.](#page-4-2) *The cubic fuzzy out neighbourhood vertices are:*  $N^+(a) = \{(b, [0.3, 0.5], 0.4), (c, [0.5, 0.7], 0.4)\},$ <br> $N^+(b) = \emptyset$ ,  $N^+(c) = \{(b, [0.4, 0.6], 0.3), (d, [0.4, 0.5], 0.4), (f, [0.4, 0.6], 0.4)\},$  $N^+(b) = \emptyset$ ,  $N^+(c) = \{(b, [0.4, 0.6], 0.3), (d, [0.4, 0.5], 0.4), (f, [0.4, 0.6], 0.4)\}, N^+(d) = \{(c, [0.5, 0.6], 0.5), N^+(c) = \emptyset$ ,  $N^+(f) = \{(c, [0.7, 0.8], 0.6)\}$  $\{(e, [0.5, 0.6], 0.5], \mathcal{N}^+(e) = \emptyset, \mathcal{N}^+(f) = \{(e, [0.7, 0.8], 0.6)\}.$ 

*Since,*

$$
\mathcal{N}^+(a) \cap \mathcal{N}^+(c) = \{ (b, [0.3, 0.5], 0.3) \},\
$$

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Figure 3. cubic fuzzy CMG

*and*

$$
\mathcal{N}^+(d) \cap \mathcal{N}^+(f) = \{ (e, [0.5, 0.6], 0.5) \}.
$$

*Therefore,*  $h^L(N^+(a) \cap N^+(c)) = 0.3$ ,  $h^U(N^+(a) \cap N^+(c)) = 0.5$ ,  $h(N^+(a) \cap N^+(c)) = 0.3$ <br>and  $h^L(N^+(d) \cap N^+(f)) = 0.5$ ,  $h^U(N^+(d) \cap N^+(f)) = 0.6$ ,  $h(N^+(d) \cap N^+(f)) = 0.5$ *and*  $h^L(N^+(d) \cap N^+(f)) = 0.5$ ,  $h^U(N^+(d) \cap N^+(f)) = 0.6$ ,  $h(N^+(d) \cap N^+(f)) = 0.5$ .<br>*Thus, there exist edges grong vertices a c and d f in cubic fuzzy CMG C(* $\vec{G}$ *).* 

*Thus, there exist edges among vertices a, c and d, f in cubic fuzzy CMG C(* $\vec{G}$ *), whose degree of memberships are given by:*  $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^L(a, c) = 0.15$ ,  $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^U(a, c) = 0.30$ ,  $\lambda_{\mathcal{D}}(a, c) = 0.12$  *and*  $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^L(d, f) = 0.30$ ,  $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^U(d, f) = 0.30$ ,  $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^U(d, f) = 0.30$ ,  $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^U(d, f) = 0.30$ ,  $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^U(d,$ µ *cubic fuzzy CMG is shown in Figure [3.](#page-5-0)*  $U_D^U(d, f) = 0.48$ ,  $\lambda_D(d, f) = 0.30$ . *For all remaining edges*  $\mu_D^L = \mu_D^U = \lambda_D = 0$ . *The corresponding*<br>which was CMG is shown in Figure 3

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\vec{G} = (C, D)$  be a CuDG and  $G = (C, D)$  be its CMG. An edge  $(t, u)$  in  $C(\vec{G})$  is *independent strong if and only if*  $h^L(N^+(t) \cap N^+(u)) > 0.5$ ,  $h^U(N^+(t) \cap N^+(u)) > 0.5$  *and h*( $N^+(t) \cap N^+(u)$ ) > 0.5 *and h*( $N^+(t) \cap N^+(u)$ ) as one and only one element  $N^+(u)$  > 0.5 *provided that*  $N^+(t) \cap N^+(u)$  *has one and only one element.* 

*Proof.* Since,  $\mathcal{N}^+(t) \cap \mathcal{N}^+(u)$  has one and only one element. Let  $\mathcal{N}^+(t) \cap \mathcal{N}^+(u) = \{ (m, [a, \check{a}], c) \}$ , where  $[a, \check{a}]$  are interval valued fuzzy membership of the vertex  $m$ . Then  $h^L(\mathcal{N}^+(t) \cap \mathcal{N}^+(u$ where  $[a, \tilde{a}]$  are interval-valued fuzzy membership of the vertex *m*. Then  $h^L(N^+(t) \cap N^+(u)) = a$ ,<br> $h^U(N^+(t) \cap N^+(u)) = a$  and  $h(N^+(t) \cap N^+(u)) = c$ .  $h^U(N^+(t) \cap N^+(u)) = \tilde{a}$  and  $h(N^+(t) \cap N^+(u)) = c$ . So,

$$
\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^{L}(t, u) = (\mu_{C}^{L}(t) \wedge \mu_{C}^{L}(u))h^{L}(N^{+}(t) \cap N^{+}(u)) = a \times (\mu_{C}^{L}(t) \wedge \mu_{C}^{L}(u)) > \frac{1}{2}(\mu_{C}^{L}(t) \wedge \mu_{C}^{L}(u),
$$
  

$$
\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^{U}(t, u) = (\mu_{C}^{U}(t) \wedge \mu_{C}^{U}(u))h^{U}(N^{+}(t) \cap N^{+}(u)) = a \times (\mu_{C}^{U}(t) \wedge \mu_{C}^{U}(u)) > \frac{1}{2}(\mu_{C}^{U}(t) \wedge \mu_{C}^{U}(u),
$$
  

$$
\lambda_{\mathcal{D}}(t, u) = (\lambda_{C}(t) \wedge \lambda_{C}(u))h(N^{+}(t) \cap N^{+}(u)) = c \times (\lambda_{C}(t) \wedge \lambda_{C}(u)) > \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{C}(t) \wedge \lambda_{C}(u)).
$$

Hence, the edge  $(t, u)$  in  $C(\vec{G})$  is independent strong if and only if  $a > 0.5$ ,  $\breve{a} > 0.5$  and  $c > 0.5$ . □

**Theorem 2.** If all the edges of a CuDG  $\vec{G} = (C, \mathcal{D})$  be independent strong, then  $\frac{\mu_D^L(t, u)}{(\mu_C^L(t) \wedge \mu_C^L(t))}$  $\frac{\mu_{\mathcal{D}}(t, u)}{(\mu_{\mathcal{C}}^L(t) \wedge \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^L(u))^2} > 0.5,$  $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^U(t,u)$  $\frac{\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^{U(t,u)}}{(\mu_{\mathcal{U}}^{U(t)\wedge\mu_{\mathcal{U}}^{U}(u))^2}} > 0.5$  and  $\frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{D}}(t,u)}{(\lambda_{\mathcal{C}}(t)\wedge\lambda_{\mathcal{C}}(u))^2} > 0.5$  for all  $t,u \in \mathcal{V}$  in  $C(\vec{G})$ , provided  $(\mu_C^L(t) \wedge \mu_C^L(u)) \neq 0$ ,  $(\mu_C^U(t) \wedge \mu_C^U(u)) \neq 0$  and  $\lambda_C(t) \wedge \lambda_C(u)) \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* Since, all the edges of  $\vec{G} = (C, D)$  be independent strong, then  $\mu_D^L(\vec{t}, \vec{u}) \geq \frac{\mu_G^L(t) \wedge \mu_G^L(\vec{u})}{2}$  $\frac{\wedge \mu_C^L(u)}{2}, \mu_D^U(\overrightarrow{t,u}) \geq$  $\frac{\mu_C^U(t) \wedge \mu_C^U(u)}{2}$  $\frac{\lambda \mu_c^U(u)}{2}$  and  $\lambda_D(\vec{t}, \vec{u}) \geq \frac{\lambda_C(t) \wedge \lambda_C(u)}{2}$ , i.e  $\frac{\mu_D^L(\vec{t}, \vec{u})}{\mu_C^L(t) \wedge \mu_C^L(t)}$  $\frac{\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^L(\vec{t},\vec{u})}{\mu_C^L(t) \wedge \mu_C^L(u)} \ge 0.5, \frac{\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^U(\vec{t},\vec{u})}{\mu_C^U(t) \wedge \mu_C^U}$  $\frac{\mu_U^U(\vec{t},\vec{u})}{\mu_C^U(t) \wedge \mu_C^U(u)} \geq 0.5$  and  $\frac{\lambda_D(\vec{t},\vec{u})}{\lambda_C(t) \wedge \lambda_C}$  $\frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{D}}(t,u)}{\lambda_{\mathcal{C}}(t)\wedge\lambda_{\mathcal{C}}(u)} \geq 0.5$ , for all *t*, *u* ∈ *V* such that  $\mu_D^L(t, u) \neq 0$ ,  $\mu_D^U(t, u) \neq 0$  and  $\lambda_D(t, u) \neq 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}^+(t) \cap \mathcal{N}^+(u)$  has at least one element. Let

$$
\mathcal{N}^+(t) \cap \mathcal{N}^+(u) = \{ (m_i, [a_i, \breve{a}_i], c_i) | i = 1, \dots k \},\tag{2}
$$

where  $[a_i, \check{a}_i]$  is interval-valued membership and  $c_i$  is simple fuzzy degree of membership of  $m_i$ ,  $(i = 1, \ldots, k)$ . This shows that  $1, \ldots k$ ). This shows that

$$
[a_i, \breve{a}_i] = [\min\{\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^L(\overrightarrow{t,m_i}), \mu_{\mathcal{D}}^L(\overrightarrow{u,m_i})\}, \min\{\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^U(\overrightarrow{t,m_i}), \mu_{\mathcal{D}}^U(\overrightarrow{u,m_i})\}]
$$

 $c_i = \min\{\lambda_{\mathcal{D}}(\overrightarrow{t,m_i}), \lambda_{\mathcal{D}}(\overrightarrow{u,m_i})\}.$ 

Therefore,

$$
h^{L}(N^{+}(t) \cap N^{+}(u)) = \max\{a_{1}, a_{2}, ..., a_{k}\} = a_{\max},
$$

$$
h^{U}(N^{+}(t) \cap N^{+}(u)) = \max\{\breve{a}_{1}, \breve{a}_{2}, ..., \breve{a}_{k}\} = \breve{a}_{\max},
$$

$$
h(N^{+}(t) \cap N^{+}(u)) = \max\{c_{1}, c_{2}, ..., c_{k}\} = c_{\max}.
$$

Obviously,  $a_{\text{max}} > \mu_{\mathcal{D}}^L(\vec{t}, \vec{u})$   $\vec{a}_{\text{max}} > \mu_{\mathcal{D}}^U(\vec{t}, \vec{u})$  and  $c_{\text{max}} > \lambda_{\mathcal{D}}(\vec{t}, \vec{u})$ , shows that:

$$
\frac{a_{\max}}{\mu_C^L(t) \land \mu_C^L(u)} > \frac{\mu_D^L(\overrightarrow{t}, u)}{\mu_C^L(t) \land \mu_C^L(u)} \ge 0.5
$$

$$
\frac{\breve{a}_{\max}}{\mu_C^U(t) \land \mu_C^U(u)} > \frac{\mu_D^U(\overrightarrow{t}, u)}{\mu_C^U(t) \land \mu_C^U(u)} \ge 0.5
$$

$$
\frac{c_{\max}}{\lambda_C(t) \land \lambda_C(u)} > \frac{\lambda_D(\overrightarrow{t, u})}{\lambda_C(t) \land \lambda_C(u)} \ge 0.5.
$$

As,

$$
\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^L(t, u) = (\mu_C^L(t) \wedge \mu_C^L(u))h^L(\mathcal{N}^+(t) \cap \mathcal{N}^+(u)) \text{ or } \frac{\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^L(t, u)}{\mu_C^L(t) \wedge \mu_C^L(u)} = a_{\text{max}}
$$
  

$$
\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^U(t, u) = (\mu_C^U(t) \wedge \mu_C^U(u))h^U(\mathcal{N}^+(t) \cap \mathcal{N}^+(u)) \text{ or } \frac{\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^U(t, u)}{\mu_C^U(t) \wedge \mu_C^U(u)} = \breve{a}_{\text{max}}
$$
  

$$
\lambda_{\mathcal{D}}(t, u) = (\lambda_C(t) \wedge \lambda_C(u))h(\mathcal{N}^+(t) \cap \mathcal{N}^+(u) \text{ or } \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{D}}(t, u)}{\lambda_C(t) \wedge \lambda_C(u)} = c_{\text{max}}.
$$

Then,

$$
\frac{(\mu_D^L(\vec{t}, \vec{u}))}{(\mu_C^L(t) \land \mu_C^L(u))^2} = \frac{a_{\text{max}}}{\mu_C^L(t) \land \mu_C^L(u)} \ge 0.5
$$

$$
\frac{(\mu_D^U(\vec{t}, \vec{u}))}{(\mu_C^U(t) \land \mu_C^U(u))^2} = \frac{\breve{a}_{\text{max}}}{\mu_C^U(t) \land \mu_C^U(u)} \ge 0.5
$$

$$
\frac{(\lambda_D(\vec{t}, \vec{u}))}{(\lambda_C(t) \land \lambda_C(u))^2} = \frac{c_{\text{max}}}{\lambda_C(t) \land \lambda_C(u)} \ge 0.5.
$$

It is noted that, an independent strong edge may or may not exist in the corresponding cubic fuzzy CMGs if all of a CuDG's edges are independent strong.

#### *2.1. Cubic Fuzzy k-Competition Graphs*

In this section, the concept of fuzzy k-CMGs derived from CuDGs has been explored. Fuzzy k-CMGs extend the idea of common membership by introducing a fuzzy degree to the edges, reflecting the strength of connection based on shared neighborhoods. This approach allows for a more nuanced understanding of the relationships between vertices, especially in scenarios where connections are not merely binary but have degrees of intensity.

□

**Definition 10.** *Take*  $\vec{G} = (C, D)$  *be a CuDG and k be a non-negative number. The fuzzy k-CMG*  $C_k(\vec{G})$  *for the CuDG is an undirected graph*  $G = (C, \mathcal{D})$  *with*  $C(C_k(G)) = C(\vec{G})$  *and*  $\mathcal{D}(C_k(\vec{G})) = C(\vec{G})$ {(*t*, *u*);  $N^+(t) \cap N^+(u) > k$ }. The membership degree of the edge (*t*, *u*) ∈  $V \times V$  in  $C_k(\vec{G})$  is calculated by: *by:*

$$
\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^L(t, u) = \frac{\check{k} - k}{k} (\mu_C^L(t) \wedge \mu_C^L(u)) h^L(\mathcal{N}^+(t) \cap \mathcal{N}^+(u)),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^U(t, u) = \frac{\check{k} - k}{k} (\mu_C^U(t) \wedge \mu_C^U(u)) h^U(\mathcal{N}^+(t) \cap \mathcal{N}^+(u)),
$$
  
\n
$$
\lambda_{\mathcal{D}}(t, u) = \frac{\check{k} - k}{k} (\lambda_C(t) \wedge \lambda_C(u)) h(\mathcal{N}^+(t) \cap \mathcal{N}^+(u)),
$$

 $where |N^+(t) \cap N^+(u)| = \check{k}.$ 

To better understand this concept, let's consider an example of CuDG and how the fuzzy k-CMG is constructed from it.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Example 3.** Let  $\vec{G} = (C, D)$  be a CuDG on vertex set  $V = \{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$  as shown in Figure [4.](#page-7-0)



Figure 4. Cubic Digraph

<span id="page-7-1"></span>

Figure 5. Cubic Fuzzy 0.2-CMG

*The degree of memberships of the vertices and edges are taken arbitrarily as de-scribed in Figure [4.](#page-7-0)* The cubic fuzzy out neighbourhood vertices are:  $N^+(a)$  = {(*b*, [0.4, <sup>0</sup>.6], <sup>0</sup>.5), (*f*, [0.3, <sup>0</sup>.4], <sup>0</sup>.6), (*c*, [0.2, <sup>0</sup>.4], <sup>0</sup>.2), (*e*, [0.3, <sup>0</sup>.6], <sup>0</sup>.3)}, <sup>N</sup><sup>+</sup>  $N^+(b)$  $\{(c, [0.5, 0.6], 0.3), (d, [0.6, 0.7], 0.4)\}, N^+(c) = \emptyset, N^+(d) = \emptyset, N^+(e) = \emptyset, N^+(f) = \{(d, [0.4, 0.9], 0.7), (e, [0.4, 0.8], 0.3)\}, Sinee$ {(*d*, [0.4, <sup>0</sup>.9], <sup>0</sup>.7), (*e*, [0.4, <sup>0</sup>.8], <sup>0</sup>.3)}. *Since,*

$$
\mathcal{N}^+(a) \cap \mathcal{N}^+(b) = \{ (c, [0.2, 0.4], 0.2) \},
$$
  

$$
\mathcal{N}^+(b) \cap \mathcal{N}^+(f) = \{ (d, [0.4, 0.7], 0.4) \},
$$

Properties of Cubic Fuzzy Competition Graphs with Applications 149

$$
\mathcal{N}^+(a) \cap \mathcal{N}^+(f) = \{ (e, [0.3, 0.6], 0.3) \}.
$$

*Therefore, h*<sup>L</sup>( $N^+(a) \cap N^+(b)$ ) = 0.2,  $h^U(N^+(a) \cap N^+(b))$  = 0.4,  $h(N^+(b) \cap N^+(f))$  = 0.2,  $h^L(N^+(b) \cap N^+(f))$  = 0.4,  $h^U(N^+(b) \cap N^+(f))$  = 0.4,  $h^L(N^+(f))$  = 0.3  $N^+(f)$  = 0.4,  $h^U(N^+(b) \cap N^+(f)) = 0.7$ ,  $h(N^+(a) \cap N^+(b)) = 0.4$ ,  $h^L(N^+(a) \cap N^+(f)) = 0.3$ ,<br> $h^U(N^+(a) \cap N^+(f)) = 0.6$ ,  $h(N^+(a) \cap N^+(f)) = 0.3$  $h^U(N^+(a) \cap N^+(f)) = 0.6$ ,  $h(N^+(a) \cap N^+(f)) = 0.3$ .<br> *Thus, there exist edges (a, b)* (*b, f)* and (a, f) in

*Thus, there exist edges*  $(a, b)$   $(b, f)$  *and*  $(a, f)$  *in cubic fuzzy* 0.2*-CMG*  $C_k(\vec{G})$ *, whose degree of memberships are given by:*  $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^L(a,b) = 0.10$ ,  $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^U(a,b) = 0.28$ ,  $\lambda_{\mathcal{D}}(a,b) = 0.10$   $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^L(b,f) = 0.16$ ,<br> $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^U(b,f) = 0.49$ ,  $\lambda_{\mathcal{D}}(b,f) = 0.20$ ,  $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^L(a,f) = 0.12$ ,  $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^U(a,f) = 0.42$ ,  $\lambda_{$  $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^{(2)}$ ,  $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^{(1)} = \mu_{\mathcal{D}}^{U} = \lambda_{\mathcal{D}} = 0$  *The corresponding cubic fuzzy* 0.2*-CMG is shown in Figure*<br>5  $U_{\mathcal{D}}^{U}(b, f) = 0.49, \lambda_{\mathcal{D}}(b, f) = 0.20, \mu_{\mathcal{D}}^{L}(a, f) = 0.12, \mu_{\mathcal{D}}^{U}(a, f) = 0.42, \lambda_{\mathcal{D}}(a, f) = 0.24$ . For all re-<br>
values  $abas u^L = u^U = \lambda_{\mathcal{D}} = 0$ . The corresponding cubic fuzzy 0.2 CMG is shown in Figure *[5.](#page-7-1)*

We now proceed to a theorem that provides conditions under which an edge in the fuzzy k-CMG is considered independent and strong.

**Theorem 3.** Suppose  $\vec{G} = (C, D)$  be a CuDG. If  $|N^+(t) \cap N^+(u)| > 2k$  and  $h^L(N^+(t) \cap N^+(u)) = 1$ ,  $h^U(N^+(t) \cap N^+(u)) = 1$ , then this implies that the edge  $(t, u)$  is independent  $h^U(N^+(t) \cap N^+(u)) = 1$ ,  $h(N^+(t) \cap N^+(u)) = 1$ , then this implies that the edge (*t*, *u*) is independent strong in C.( $\overrightarrow{A}$ ) *strong in*  $C_k(\vec{G})$ .

*Proof.* Consider a CuDG  $\vec{G} = (C, \mathcal{D})$  and  $C_k(\vec{G}) = (\mathcal{V}, C, \mathcal{D})$  be the corresponding cubic fuzzy k-CMG. Also, if  $h^L(N^+(t) \cap N^+(u)) = 1$  and  $|N^+(t) \cap N^+(u)| > 2k$ , then,  $\check{k} > 2\check{k}$  and so,  $\frac{\check{k} - k}{\check{k}} > \check{k} - |N^+(t) \cap N^+(u)|$ . Hence 1  $\frac{1}{2}$ , where  $\check{k} = |\mathcal{N}^+(t) \cap \mathcal{N}^+(u)|$ . Hence,

$$
\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^{L}(t, u) = \frac{\check{k} - k}{k} (\mu_{C}^{L}(t) \wedge \mu_{C}^{L}(u)) h^{L}(N^{+}(t) \cap N^{+}(u)) > \frac{1}{2} (\mu_{C}^{L}(t) \wedge \mu_{C}^{L}(u)),
$$

we can write it as

$$
\frac{\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^L(t, u)}{\mu_C^L(t) \land \mu_C^L(u)} > \frac{1}{2}
$$

In similar steps, it can be proved that:  $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^U(t, u) > \frac{1}{2}$ <br>Using the state  $(t, \cdot)$  is independent the same in  $G/\sqrt{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}(\mu_C^L(t) \wedge \mu_C^U(u))$ , and  $\lambda_D(t, u) > \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_C(t) \wedge \lambda_C(u))$ . Hence, the edge  $(t, u)$  is independent strong in  $C_k(\vec{G})$ .

#### *2.2. p-Competition Cubic Fuzzy Graphs*

In this section, the concept of p-competition cubic FGs, which extend the classical notion of CuDGs has been introduced. This extension allows to model uncertainty and partial information in the relationships between vertices. We start by defining a p-competition cubic FG  $C^p(\vec{G})$  associated with a CuDG  $\vec{G}$ :

**Definition 11.** *Consider a positive integer p, a p-competition cubic FG C<sup><i>p*</sup>( $\vec{G}$ ) of a CuDG  $\vec{G} = (C, D)$ <br>is an undirected graph C<sup>*p*</sup>( $\vec{G}$ ) – (C<sub>n</sub>O) with C(C<sup>*p*</sup>( $\vec{G}$ )) –  $\mathcal{N}(G)$  and  $\mathcal{D}(C^p(\vec{G}))$  *is an undirected graph*  $C^p(\vec{G}) = (C, \mathcal{D})$  *with*  $C(C^p(\vec{G})) = \mathcal{V}(G)$  *and*  $\mathcal{D}(C^p(\vec{G})) = \{(t, u); N(t) \cap N(u) \ge$ <br>*p*). The degree of membership of  $(t, u) \in \mathcal{U}$  in  $C^p(\vec{G})$  is calculated as: *p*}. *The degree of membership of*  $(t, u) \in V$  *in C<sup>p</sup>*( $\vec{G}$ ) *is calculated as:* 

$$
\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^L(t, u) = \frac{(n-p)+1}{n} [\mu_C^L(t) \wedge \mu_C^L(u)] h^L(N^+(t) \cap N^+(u))
$$
  
\n
$$
\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^U(t, u) = \frac{(n-p)+1}{n} [\mu_C^U(t) \wedge \mu_C^U(u)] h^U(N^+(t) \cap N^+(u))
$$
  
\n
$$
\lambda_{\mathcal{D}}(t, u) = \frac{(n-p)+1}{n} [\lambda_C(t) \wedge \lambda_C(u)] h(N^+(t) \cap N^+(u))
$$

 $where |supp(N^+(t) \cap N^+(u))| = n.$ 

**Example 4.** *Consider a CuDG*  $\vec{G} = (C, D)$  *on vertex set*  $V = \{p, q, r, a, b, c\}$  *as shown in Figure [6.](#page-9-0)* 

<span id="page-9-1"></span><span id="page-9-0"></span>

Figure 7. 3-Competition Cubic FG

*The degree of memberships of the vertices and edges are taken arbitrarily as described in Figure [6.](#page-9-0) The cubic fuzzy out neighbourhood vertices are:*

$$
\mathcal{N}^+(p) = \{ (b, [0.2, 0.3], 0.2), (c, [0.3, 0.6], 0.3) \},
$$

$$
\mathcal{N}^+(q) = \{ (a, [0.5, 0.7], 0.3), (c, [0.6, 0.7], 0.4) \},
$$

$$
\mathcal{N}^+(r) = \{ (a, [0.3, 0.5], 0.2), (b, [0.4, 0.7], 0.4) \}.
$$

*Since,*

$$
N(p) \cap N(q) = \{(c, [0.3, 0.6], 0.3)\},\
$$

$$
N(p) \cap N(r) = \{(d, [0.2, 0.3], 0.2)\},\
$$

$$
N(q) \cap N(r) = \{(a, [0.3, 0.5], 0.2\}.
$$

*Therefore, for p* <sup>=</sup> <sup>3</sup>, *the corresponding* <sup>3</sup>*-competition cubic FG is shown in Figure [7.](#page-9-1)*

**Theorem 4.** *Consider a CuDG*  $\vec{G} = (C, \mathcal{D})$ . *If h*<sup>L</sup>( $N^+(t) \cap N^+(u)$ ) = 1,  $h^U(N^+(t) \cap N^+(u)) = 1$ ,  $h^U(N^+(t) \cap N^+(u)) = 1$ ,  $h^U(N^+(t) \cap N^+(u)) = 1$ *h*( $N^+(t)$  ∩  $N^+(u)$ ) = 1, *in*  $C^{[\frac{n}{2}]}(\vec{G})$  *then the edge* (*t*, *u*) *is independent strong, where n* = |*supp*( $N^+(t)$  ∩  $N^+(u)$ )| *in*  $C(\vec{G})$  ([n] – greatest integer not exceeding n)  $\mathcal{N}^+(u)$ )|, *in*  $C_k(\vec{\mathbb{G}}).$  ([n]= greatest integer not exceeding n).

*Proof.* Let  $\vec{G} = (C, D)$  be a CuDG and  $C^p(\vec{G}) = (C, D)$  be the corresponding  $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{n}{2} \end{bmatrix}$ -competition cubic  $FG$  where  $p = |g(n)p(M^+(t) \cap M^+(u))|$ . Also, if  $h^L(M^+(t) \cap M^+(u)) = 1$ , then FG, where *n* = |*supp*( $N^+(t)$  ∩  $N^+(u)$ )|. Also, if  $h^L(N^+(t) ∩ N^+(u)) = 1$ , then

$$
\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^{L}(t, u) = \frac{n - \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] + 1}{n} [\mu_{C}^{L}(t) \wedge \mu_{C}^{L}(u)] \times 1,
$$

this implies

$$
\frac{\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^L(t, u)}{[\mu_C^L(t) \land \mu_C^L(u)]} = \frac{n - \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] + 1}{n} > 0.5.
$$

In similar steps, we can show that:  $\frac{\mu_D^U(t,\mu)}{L(U(t),\mu)U(t)}$  $\frac{\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^U(t, u)}{[\mu_{\mathcal{C}}^U(t) \wedge \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^U(u)]} = \frac{n - \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] + 1}{n}$  $\frac{n}{\sqrt[n]{2}}$  = 0.5 and  $\frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{D}}(t, u)}{[\lambda_{\mathcal{C}}(t) \wedge \lambda_{\mathcal{C}}(u)]} = \frac{n - \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1}{n}$  $\frac{\bar{z}}{n}$  > 0.5. Hence  $(t, u)$  is independent strong in  $C^p$  $(\vec{G}).$ 

## <span id="page-10-0"></span>3. Cubic Fuzzy Neighbourhood Graphs

This section explores p-competition cubic FGs, provides illustrative examples, and establishes essential theorems. The cubic fuzzy open and the cubic fuzzy closed neighbourhoods of any vertex in a cubic FG are defined as:

**Definition 12.** *Let*  $G = (C, D)$  *be a cubic FG. The cubic fuzzy open-neighbourhood of a vertex t*  $\in V$ is the CS  $N(t) = (V_t, r_t, s_t)$ , where  $V_t = \{u | \mu_D^L(t, u) > 0, \lambda_D(t, u) > 0\}$  and  $r_t : V_t \to [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ <br>specified by  $r_t = [u^L(t, u), u^U(t, u)]$  and  $s : V \to [0, 1]$  specified by  $s_t = \lambda_0(t, u)$ . The cubic fuzzy specified by  $r_t = [\mu_D^L(t, u), \mu_D^U(t, u)]$  and  $s_t : V_t \to [0, 1]$  specified by  $s_t = \lambda_D(t, u)$ . The cubic fuzzy<br>singleton set for vertex  $t \in V$  is a set of the form  $C = (1, C)$  with  $C : \{t \to [0, 1] \}$  and  $C(t) = C(t)$ . *singleton set for vertex t*  $\in \mathcal{V}$ , *is a set of the form,*  $C_t = (\{t\}, C)$  *with*  $C : \{t\} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  *and*  $C(t) = C(t)$ . *The cubic fuzzy closed-neighbourhood of any vertex t in*  $N[t] = N(t) \cup C_t$ 

Now, we are going to define cubic fuzzy open-neighbourhood graphs and cubic fuzzy closedneighbourhood graphs.

**Definition 13.** Let  $G = (C, D)$  be a cubic FG. The cubic fuzzy open-neighbourhood graph of  $G$  is *a cubic FG*  $N(\mathbb{G}) = (C, \mathcal{D})$  *with*  $C(N(\mathbb{G})) = C(\mathbb{G})$  *and*  $\mathcal{D}(N(\mathbb{G})) = \{(t, u): N(t) \cap N(u) \neq o\}$  *and*  $\mu_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \to [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  and  $\lambda_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \to [0, 1]$  such that:

$$
\mu_D^L(t, u) = (\mu_C^L(t) \wedge \mu_C^L(u))h^L(N(t) \cap N(u))
$$
  
\n
$$
\mu_D^U(t, u) = (\mu_C^U(t) \wedge \mu_C^U(u))h^U(N(t) \cap N(u))
$$
  
\n
$$
\lambda_D(t, u) = (\lambda_C(t) \wedge \lambda_C(u))h(N(t) \cap N(u)).
$$

**Definition 14.** Let  $\mathbb{G} = (C, \mathcal{D})$  be a cubic FG. The cubic fuzzy closed-neighbourhood graph of  $\mathbb{G}$  is *a cubic FG*  $N[\mathbb{G}] = (C, \mathcal{D})$  *with*  $C(N(\mathbb{G})) = C(\mathbb{G})$  *and*  $\mathcal{D}(N(\mathbb{G})) = \{(t, u): N(t) \cap N(u) \neq o\}$  *and*  $\mu_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \to [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  and  $\lambda_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \to [0, 1]$  such that:

$$
\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^L(t, u) = [\mu_C^L(t) \wedge \mu_C^L(u)]h^L(\mathcal{N}[t] \cap \mathcal{N}[u])
$$
  
\n
$$
\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^U(t, u) = [\mu_C^U(t) \wedge \mu_C^U(u)]h^U(\mathcal{N}[t] \cap \mathcal{N}[u])
$$
  
\n
$$
\lambda_{\mathcal{D}}(t, u) = [\lambda_C(t) \wedge \lambda_C(u)]h(\mathcal{N}[t] \cap \mathcal{N}[u]).
$$

**Example 5.** *Consider a cubic FG*  $G = (C, D)$  *on set of vertices*  $V = \{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$  *as shown in Figure [4.](#page-7-0) The degree of memberships of the vertices and edges are taken arbitrarily as described in Figure [1.](#page-4-0) The cubic fuzzy open-neighbourhood and cubic fuzzy closed-neighbourhood are shown in Table [2:](#page-10-1)*

<span id="page-10-1"></span>

| $\mathcal{X}$ | $\mathcal{N}(t)$                                  | N[t]  |
|---------------|---|---|
| a             | $\{(b, [0.3, 0.5], 0.4), (c, [0.5, 0.6], 0.4)\}\$ | $\{(b, [0.3, 0.5], 0.4), (c, [0.5, 0.6], 0.4)\} \cup \{(a, [0.6, 0.7], 0.8)\}$  |
| b             | $\{(a,[0.3,0.5],0.4),(c,[0.4,0.6],0.3)\}\$        | $\{(a,[0.3,0.5],0.4),(c,[0.4,0.6],0.3)\}\cup\{(b,[0.4,0.7],0.5)\}\$             |
| $\mathbf{c}$  | $\{(d, [0.4, 0.5], 0.4), (f, [0.4, 0.6], 0.4),$   | $\{(d, [0.4, 0.5], 0.4), (f, [0.4, 0.6], 0.4), (a, [0.5, 0.6], 0.4),$           |
|               | (a,[0.5,0.6],0.4),(b,[0.4,0.6],0.3)]              | $(b,[0.4,0.6],0.3)] \cup \{(c,[0.5,0.6],0.4)\}\$                                |
| d             | $\{(c, [0.4, 0.5], 0.4), (e, [0.5, 0.6], 0.5)\}\$ | $\{(c, [0.4, 0.5], 0.4), (e, [0.5, 0.6], 0.5)\} \cup \{(d, [0.6, 0.8], 0.7)\}\$ |
| e             | $\{(d, [0.5, 0.6], 0.5), (f, [0.7, 0.8], 0.6)\}\$ | $\{(d, [0.5, 0.6], 0.5), (f, [0.7, 0.8], 0.6)\} \cup \{(e, [0.8, 0.9], 0.8)\}\$ |
|               | $\{(c, [0.4, 0.6], 0.4), (e, [0.7, 0.8], 0.6)\}\$ | ${((c,[0.4,0.6],0.4),(e,[0.7,0.8],0.6))\cup((f,[0.7,0.9],0.6))}$                |

Table 2. Cubic Fuzzy Open-Neighbourhoods and Cubic Fuzzy Closed-Neighbourhoods

*The cubic fuzzy open-neighbourhood graph* N(G) *in Figure [9](#page-11-0) and the cubic fuzzy closedneighbourhood graph* N[G] *in Figure [10](#page-11-1) of the cubic graph* G *in Figure [8](#page-11-2) are shown.*

<span id="page-11-2"></span>

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

Figure 9. N(G)

<span id="page-11-1"></span>

Figure 10.  $N[G]$ 

**Definition 15.** Let  $G = (C, D)$  be a cubic FG. The (open) (k)-neighbourhood graph of G is a cubic *FG*  $N_{(k)}(\mathbb{G}) = (C, \mathcal{D})$  *with*  $C(N_{(k)}(\mathbb{G})) = C(\mathbb{G})$  *and*  $\mathcal{D}(N_{(k)}(\mathbb{G})) = \{(t, u); N(t) \cap N(u) > k\}$  *and*  $\mu_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \to [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  and  $\lambda_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \to [0, 1]$  such that:

$$
\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^{L}(t, u) = \frac{\check{k} - k}{k} [\mu_{C}^{L}(t) \wedge \mu_{C}^{L}(u)] h^{L}(N(t) \cap N(u))
$$
  

$$
\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^{U}(t, u) = \frac{\check{k} - k}{k} [\mu_{C}^{U}(t) \wedge \mu_{C}^{U}(u)] h^{U}(N(t) \cap N(u))
$$

$$
\lambda_{\mathcal{D}}(t, u) = \frac{\check{k} - k}{k} [\lambda_C(t) \wedge \lambda_C(u)] h(\mathcal{N}(t) \cap \mathcal{N}(u)),
$$

*where*  $\check{k} = |N(t) \cap N(u)|$ .

**Definition 16.** Let  $G = (C, D)$  be a cubic FG. The cubic fuzzy (close) [k]-neighbourhood graph of G *is a cubic FG*  $N_{[k]}[\mathbb{G}] = (C, \mathcal{D})$  *with*  $C(N_{[k]}[\mathbb{G}]) = C(\mathbb{G})$  *and*  $\mathcal{D}(N_{[k]}[\mathbb{G}]) = \{(t, u); N[t] \cap N[u] > k\}$ *and*  $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}$  :  $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  *and*  $\lambda_{\mathcal{D}}$  :  $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  *such that:* 

$$
\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^L(t, u) = \frac{\check{k} - k}{k} [\mu_C^L(t) \wedge \mu_C^L(u)] h^L(\mathcal{N}[t] \cap \mathcal{N}[u])
$$
  
\n
$$
\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^U(t, u) = \frac{\check{k} - k}{k} [\mu_C^U(t) \wedge \mu_C^U(u)] h^U(\mathcal{N}[t] \cap \mathcal{N}[u])
$$
  
\n
$$
\lambda_{\mathcal{D}}(t, u) = \frac{\check{k} - k}{k} [\lambda_C(t) \wedge \lambda_C(u)] h(\mathcal{N}[t] \cap \mathcal{N}[u]),
$$

*where*  $\check{k} = |\mathcal{N}[t] \cap \mathcal{N}[u]$ .

Now we can proceed to a theorem that provides a significant property of p-competition cubic FGs.

Theorem 5. *There exist one edge in* <sup>N</sup>[G] *for every edge in a cubic graph* <sup>G</sup>.

*Proof.* Let edge  $(t, u)$  be any edge of cubic graph  $G = (C, D)$ . Consider the corresponding cubic fuzzy closed-neighbourhood graph  $N[G] = (C, D)$ . Then  $(t, u) \in N[t]$  and  $(t, u) \in N[u]$ . Thus  $(t, u) \in N[t] \cap N[u]$ . Hence,  $h^L(N[t] \cap N[u]) \neq 0$ ,  $h^U(N[t] \cap N[u]) \neq 0$  and  $h(N[t] \cap N[u]) \neq 0$ .<br>Therefore Therefore,

$$
\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^L(t, u) = \mu_C^L(t) \wedge \mu_C^L(u) h^L(\mathcal{N}[t] \cap \mathcal{N}[u]) \neq 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^U(t, u) = \mu_C^U(t) \wedge \mu_C^U(u) h^U(\mathcal{N}[t] \cap \mathcal{N}[u]) \neq 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
\lambda_{\mathcal{D}}(t, u) = \lambda_C(t) \wedge \lambda_C(u) h(\mathcal{N}[t] \cap \mathcal{N}[u]) \neq 0.
$$

So for every  $(t, u)$  in G, there is  $(t, u)$  in  $N[G]$ .

#### <span id="page-12-0"></span>4. *m*−Step Cubic Fuzzy Competition Graphs

In this section, the m-step cubic fuzzy digraph  $\mathcal{S}(\vec{G}_m)$ , which forms the foundation for constructing m-step cubic fuzzy CMGs has been introduced. The m-step digraph considers paths of length m between vertices, thereby capturing the indirect relationships that might not be apparent in a single step. This concept is crucial as it allows us to analyze the structure of the graph beyond immediate connections, which can reveal deeper insights into the network's topology.

**Definition 17.** The m-step cubic fuzzy digraph  $S(\vec{G}_m)$  of a CuDG  $\vec{G} = (C, D)$  is indicated as  $S(\vec{G}_m) =$ <br> $(C, D)$  with  $C(S(\vec{G}^T)) = C(\vec{G})$  and  $D(S(\vec{G}^T)) = J(t, \mu)$ ;  $\exists$  a CuDP of m length from t to  $\mu$   $\vec{B}^m$  in  $(C, \mathcal{D})$  *with*  $C(S(\vec{G_m})) = C(\mathbb{G})$  *and*  $\mathcal{D}(S(\vec{G_m})) = \{(t, u); \exists \text{ a } \text{C} \cup \text{D} \text{P} \text{ of } \text{m} \text{ length from } t \text{ to } u, \vec{P}_{(t, u)}^m \vec{\mathbb{G}}\}.$ 

This definition establishes the framework for constructing m-step cubic fuzzy CMGs by considering paths of length m between vertices. Next, the concept of cubic fuzzy m-step out and in neighborhoods of a vertex in a CuDG has been introduced. These neighborhoods consist of vertices that can be reached from a given vertex *t* within m steps, along with the associated fuzzy membership values. These neighborhoods provide a localized view of the network, allowing us to examine how a vertex is connected to others within a specified range, which is essential for understanding the influence and reach of a vertex within the graph.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>Example 6. *An example of 2-step cubic fuzzy CMGs of the CuDG of Figure [11](#page-13-0) is shown in Figure [12.](#page-13-1)*





<span id="page-13-1"></span>**Definition 18.** *The cubic fuzzy m-step out neighbourhood of any vertex t of a CuDG*  $\vec{G} = (C, D)$  *is CS*  $N_m^+(t) = (V_t^+, r_t^+, s_t^+),$  *where* 

$$
V_t^+ = \{u \mid \exists \text{ a CuDP of } m \text{ length from } t \text{ to } u, \vec{P}_{(t,u)}^m\},\tag{3}
$$

*such that*

$$
r_t^+ : V_t^+ \to [0,1] \times [0,1]
$$

*specified by*

$$
r_t^+ = \{ [\min \mu_D^L(t, u), \min \mu_D^U(t, u)]; (t, u) \text{ is an edge of } \vec{P}_{(t, u)}^m \}
$$

*and*

 $s_t^+ : V_t^+ \to [0, 1]$ 

*specified by*  

$$
s_t^+ = \{\min \lambda_{\mathcal{D}}(t, u); (t, u) \text{ is an edge of } \vec{P}_{(t, u)}^m\}.
$$

**Definition 19.** *The cubic fuzzy m-step in neighbourhood of any vertex t of a CuDG*  $\vec{G} = (C, D)$  *is CS*  $\mathcal{N}_m^-(t) = (V_t^-, r_t^-, s_t^-),$  where

$$
V_t^- = \{u \mid \exists \text{ a CuDP of } m \text{ length from } t \text{ to } u, \vec{P}_{(t,u)}^m\},\tag{4}
$$

*such that*

 $r_t^$  $t_t^-: V_t^- \to [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ 

*specified by*

$$
r_t^- = \{ [\min \mu_D^L(t, u), \min \mu_D^U(t, u)], (t, u) \text{ is an edge of } \vec{P}_{(t, u)}^m \}
$$

 $s_t^-$ 

*and*

*specified by*

 $s_t^- = \{\min \lambda_{\mathcal{D}}(t, u), (t, u) \text{ is an edge of } \vec{P}^m_{(t, u)}\}.$ 

 $\frac{1}{t}: V_t^- \to [0, 1]$ 

The notion of an independent strong vertex, which is a vertex that maintains a high degree of membership across multiple m-step neighborhoods has also been explored. The strength of such a vertex is quantified using specific measures, which aggregate the membership values from all relevant connections.

**Definition 20.** Let  $G = (C, D)$  be a cubic graph. The m-step cubic fuzzy CMG of G is indicated by  $G_m = (C, D)$  *with*  $C(G_m) = C(G)$  *and*  $D(G_m) = \{(t, u); N(t) \cap N(u) > k\}$  *in cubic graph. The degree of membership of the edge* (*t*, *<sup>u</sup>*) *are defined as:*

$$
\mu_{\mathbb{D}}^{L}(t, u) = (\mu_{\mathbb{C}}^{L}(t) \wedge \mu_{\mathbb{C}}^{L}(u))h^{L}(\mathcal{N}_{m}^{+}(t) \cap \mathcal{N}_{m}^{+}(u)), \n\mu_{\mathbb{D}}^{U}(t, u) = (\mu_{\mathbb{C}}^{U}(t) \wedge \mu_{\mathbb{C}}^{U}(u))h^{U}(\mathcal{N}_{m}^{+}(t) \cap \mathcal{N}_{m}^{+}(u)), \n\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(t, u) = (\lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(t) \wedge \lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(u))h(\mathcal{N}_{m}^{+}(t) \cap \mathcal{N}_{m}^{+}(u)).
$$

**Definition 21.** Let  $\vec{G} = (C, D)$  be a CuDG. Suppose u be the common vertex of m-step cubic fuzzy *out neighbourhoods of vertices*  $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n$ , *n is a positive integer. The m-step vertex*  $u \in V$  *is called independent strong vertex if*  $\mu_m^L(t, u) > 0.5$ ,  $\mu_m^U(t, u) > 0.5$  and  $\lambda_m(t, u) > 0.5$ , for all  $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ .<br>*Eurther the strength of this vertex u is denoted by S. (<i>u*) and is specified as: *Further, the strength of this vertex u is denoted by*  $S_m(u)$  *and is specified as:* 

$$
S(u) = ([S_m^L(u), S_m^U(u)], S_m(u)),
$$
\n(5)

*where*

$$
S_m^L(u) = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^n \mu_m^L(\overrightarrow{t_i}, u)}{n}, \quad S_m^U(u) = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^n \mu_m^U(\overrightarrow{t_i}, u)}{n}, \quad S_m(u) = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^n \lambda_m(\overrightarrow{t_i}, u)}{n}.
$$

This definition provides a measure of how strong a vertex *u* is within its m-step neighbourhood. **Theorem 6.** *If a vertex u is independent strong then*  $S_m^L(u) > 0$ ,  $S_m^U(u) > 0$  *and*  $S_m(u) > 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\vec{G} = (C, D)$  be a CuDG. Let *u* be the common vertex of m-step cubic fuzzy out neighbourhoods of vertices  $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n$ , *n* belong to positive integers. Since, the vertex *u* is independent strong as given so  $\mu_m^L(\overline{t_i}, \overline{u}) > 0.5$  for all  $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ . Therefore,

$$
S_m^L(u) = \frac{\mu_m^L(\overrightarrow{t_1}, u) + \mu_m^L(\overrightarrow{t_2}, u) + \dots + \mu_m^L(\overrightarrow{t_n}, u)}{n} > \frac{0.5 + 0.5 + \dots + 0.5}{n} = 0.5,
$$
  
\n
$$
S_m^U(u) = \frac{\mu_m^U(\overrightarrow{t_1}, u) + \mu_m^U(\overrightarrow{t_2}, u) + \dots + \mu_m^U(\overrightarrow{t_n}, u)}{n} > \frac{0.5 + 0.5 + \dots + 0.5}{n} = 0.5,
$$
  
\n
$$
S_m(u) = \frac{\lambda_m(\overrightarrow{t_1}, u) + \lambda_m(\overrightarrow{t_2}, u) + \dots + \lambda_m(\overrightarrow{t_n}, u)}{n} > \frac{0.5 + 0.5 + \dots + 0.5}{n} = 0.5.
$$

**Theorem 7.** *The edges of*  $S(\vec{\mathbb{G}}_m)$  *must also be independent strong if all of its vertices are.* 

*Proof.* Suppose that the CuDG  $\vec{G} = (C, D)$  has independent strong vertices. Let  $G_m = (C, D)$  be a m-step cubic fuzzy CMG of  $\vec{G}$ .

$$
\mu_{\mathbb{D}}^L(t, u) = (\mu_{\mathbb{C}}^L(t) \wedge \mu_{\mathbb{C}}^L(u))h^L(\mathcal{N}_m(t) \cap \mathcal{N}_m(u)),
$$
\n<sup>(6)</sup>

if  $N_m(t)$  ∩  $N_m(u)$  is empty then there doesn't exists any edge among vertices *t* and *u* in  $N_m$ <sup>(G)</sup>. If  $N_m(t)$  ∩  $N_m(u)$  is non-empty then clearly  $h^L(N_m^+(t) \cap N_m^+(u)) > 0.5$  as all the edges of  $\vec{G}$  must also be independent strong hance independent strong hence,

$$
\mu_{\mathbb{D}}^{L}(t, u) = (\mu_{\mathbb{C}}^{L}(t) \wedge \mu_{\mathbb{C}}^{L}(u))h^{L}(\mathcal{N}_{m}^{+}(t) \cap \mathcal{N}_{m}^{+}(u)) > \frac{1}{2}(\mu_{\mathbb{C}}^{L}(t) \wedge \mu_{\mathbb{C}}^{L}(u)).
$$
\n(7)

In similar way, we can show that  $\mu_{\text{D}}^{U}(t, u) > \frac{1}{2}$ <br>This are noted that each and assume that of S(  $\frac{1}{2}(\mu_{\mathbb{C}}^U(t) \wedge \mu_{\mathbb{C}}^U(u))$  and  $\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(t, u) > \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(t) \wedge \lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(u)).$ This suggests that each and every edge of  $S(\vec{G}_m)$  is independently strong.

□

## <span id="page-15-0"></span>5. Applications of Cubic Fuzzy Competition Graphs

<span id="page-15-1"></span>In this Section, an application of cubic fuzzy CMGs. Relationships among predators and prey, in which one organism (the predator) pursues, captures, and consumes another organism (the prey) for food, are a basic component of ecological interactions has been discussed. These connections are essential for keeping ecosystems in balance. Take the cubic fuzzy food chain of animals as an example: Grasses, Rabbit, Mouse, Grasshopper,Grain, Bird, Frog, Snake, Fox, Owl and Hawk as shown in Figure [13.](#page-15-1)



Figure 13. Food Web

<span id="page-15-2"></span>The goal is to find those species which have strong competition among them by using CMGs. The interval degree of belongingness of each species characterizes strong access to itself requirements in particular duration of time while simple fuzzy membership indicates their present requirements from environment. The cubic fuzzy food web is shown in Figure [14.](#page-15-2)



Figure 14. Cubic Fuzzy Directed Food Web

Cubic fuzzy CMG can be constructed to investigate the strength of competition between species having common food prey. The Cubic fuzzy out neighbourhoods are given in table [3.](#page-16-0)

<span id="page-16-0"></span>

| Species     | Cubic fuzzy out neighbourhoods of each specie                        |
|-------------|--|
| Hawk        | $\{(Rabbit, [0.6, 0.7], 0.5), (Snake, [0.5, 0.7], 0.8)\}$            |
| Rabbit      | $\{ (Grasses, [0.3, 0.4], 0.5) \}$                                   |
| <b>Bird</b> | {(Grasshopper, [0.5, 0.6], 0.4)}                                     |
| Grasshopper | $\{(Grasses, [0.5, 0.6], 0.6)\}$                                     |
| Mouse       | $\{ (Grasses, [0.4, 0.5], 0.6) \}$                                   |
| Snake       | $\{(\text{Frog}, [0.6, 0.7], 0.5), (\text{Bird}, [0.4, 0.7], 0.3)\}$ |
| Frog        | $\{(Grasshopper, [0.7, 0.8], 0.7)\}$                                 |
| Fox         | $\{(Rabbit, [0.4, 0.5], 0.2), (Mouse, [0.3, 0.4], 0.2)\}$            |
| Owl         | $\{(Mouse, [0.2, 0.3], 0.4), (Frog, [0.4, 0.5], 0.5)\}$              |

Table 3. The Cubic Fuzzy Out Neighbourhoods

<span id="page-16-1"></span>Hawks are predatory birds known for their excellent vision and hunting abilities. They prey on small animals, including mouse, rabbit snake and birds. Hawks use their sharp talons and beaks to catch and kill mice, which serve as their primary food source.The degree of belongingness  $(0.6, 0.7], 0.9)$ , which shows that Hawk was  $60\% - 70\%$  strong in accessing to itself requirements in past and 90% strong in its present requirements from environment. The edge from Hawk to Snake shows degree of belongingness ([0.5, <sup>0</sup>.7], <sup>0</sup>.6) represent that the Lion is 50%−70% predominated on Snake in past environment and 60% predominated on Snake in present environment. The cubic fuzzy CMG is shown in Figure [15.](#page-16-1)



Figure 15. Cubic Fuzzy CMG

The edge membership values between two species represent the degree dominated on common food. According to Figure [14,](#page-15-2) there is a strong competition between Hawk and Snake common food. CMG can be constructed to investigate the strength of competition between species common food prey.

#### 6. Conclusion

The paper examines the idea of cubic fuzzy CMGs, its variations and uses. The concept of cubic fuzzy out neighbourhoods and in neighbourhoods is introduced in order to use CSs to describe relationships in a graph. Following that, the study describes various varieties of cubic fuzzy competitive graphs based on external neighbourhoods, including cubic fuzzy k-competitive graphs, pcompetitive cubic FGs, and m-step cubic fuzzy CMGs. To shed further light on graph interactions and competition, the varieties of cubic FGs including open and closed neighbourhood cubic FGs, cubic fuzzy (k)(open) neighbourhood graphs, and cubic fuzzy [k](close) neighbourhood graphs are also introduced.These cubic fuzzy CMGs are further categorized into three specific types: cubic fuzzy

*k*-competition graphs, which depict competition at the *k*th order between components; *p*-competition cubic fuzzy graphs, which focus on competition based on membership degrees; and *m*-step cubic fuzzy competition graphs, which explore competition in terms of steps. Additionally, several theorems and conditions concerning independent strong vertices and edges are validated for these cubic fuzzy competition graph classes. To show how rivalry between predators and prey affects each other over time, a cubic fuzzy CMG application in predator-prey dynamics is employed.

It is expected that in future this work can be extended to cubic fuzzy CMGs, including cubic fuzzy intuitionistic CMGs and cubic fuzzy Pythagorean CMGs, and some interesting properties along with applications can be obtained.

## Acknowledgment

This research is partially supported by the Higher Education Commission of Pakistan under the HEC-NRPU project No.14567.

## Declaration of competing interest

There is no conflict of interest related to this work.

## References

- <span id="page-17-0"></span>1. Zadeh, L. A., 1965. Fuzzy sets. *Information and Control, 8*(3), pp.338-353.
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>2. Rosenfeld, A., 1975. Fuzzy graphs. In Fuzzy sets and their applications to cognitive and decision processes (pp. 77-95). Academic Press.
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>3. Gehrke, M., Walker, C. and Walker, E., 1996. Some comments on interval-valued fuzzy sets. *Structure, 1*(2).
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>4. Jun, Y. B., Kim, C. S. and Yang, K. O., 2011. Cubic sets. *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, 4*(1), pp.83-98.
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>5. Rashid, S., Yaqoob, N., Akram, M. and Gulistan, M. (2018). Cubic graphs with application. *International Journal of Analysis and Applications, 16*(5), pp.733-750.
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>6. Cohen, J. E., 1968. *Interval graphs and food webs: A finding and a problem* (Document 17696- PR). RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, CA, USA.
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>7. Akram, M., Sattar, A., Karaaslan, F. and Samanta, S., 2021. Extension of competition graphs under complex fuzzy environment. *Complex* & *Intelligent Systems, 7*, pp.539-558.
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>8. Al-Shehri, N. O. and Akram, M., 2015. Bipolar fuzzy competition graphs. *Ars Combinatoria, 121*, pp.385-402.
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>9. Sarwar, M. and Akram, M., 2017. Novel concepts of bipolar fuzzy competition graphs. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing, 54*, pp.511-547.
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>10. Borzooei, R. A., Rashmanlou, H., Samanta, S. and Pal, M. (2016). New concepts of vague competition graphs. *Journal of Intelligent* & *Fuzzy Systems, 31*(1), pp.69-75.
- <span id="page-17-10"></span>11. Isaak, G., Kim, S. R., McKee, T. A., MacMorris, F. R. and Roberts, F. S., 1992. 2-competition graphs. *SIAM Journal of Discrete Mathematics, 5*(4), pp.524-538.
- 12. Jenson, J. B. and Gutin, G. Z., 2009. *Digraphs: Theory, Algorithms and Applications*. Springer, Berlin.
- 13. Karunambigai, M. G., Akram, M., Sivasankar, S. and Palanivel, K. (2013). Balanced intuitionistic fuzzy graphs. *Applied Mathematical Sciences, 7*, pp.2501-2514.
- 14. Kauffman, A. (1973). *Introduction à la théorie des sous-ensembles flous*. Masson et Cie, Paris.
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>15. Kim, S. R., McKee, T., MacMorris, F. R. and Roberts, F. S., 1995. p-competition graphs. *Linear Algebra and its Applications, 217*, pp.167-168.
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>16. Scott, D. D., 1987. The competition-common enemy graph of a digraph. *Discrete Applied Mathematics, 17*, pp.269-280.
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>17. Sonntag, M. and Teichert, H. M., 2004. Competition hypergraphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics, 143*(1-3), pp.324-329.
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>18. Brigham, R. C., MacMorris, F. R. and Vitray, R. P. (1995). Tolerance competition graphs. *Linear Algebra and its Applications, 217*, pp.41-52.
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>19. Cho, H. H., Kim, S. R. and Nam, Y. (2000). The m-step competition graph of a digraph. *Discrete and Applied Mathematics, 105*, pp.115-127.
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>20. Samanta, S., Pal, M. and Pal, A., 2013. Fuzzy k-competition graphs and p-competition fuzzy graphs. *Fuzzy Information and Engineering, 5*(2), pp.191-204.
- 21. Samanta, S., Akram, A. and Pal, A., 2015. m-step fuzzy competition graphs. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing, 47*, pp.491-472.
- <span id="page-18-6"></span>22. Samanta, S., Pal, M. and Pal, A., 2014. Some more results on fuzzy k-competition graphs. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Artificial Intelligence, 3*, pp.60-67.
- <span id="page-18-7"></span>23. Parvathi, R. and Karunambigai, M. G., 2006. Intuitionistic fuzzy graphs. In *Computational Intelligence, Theory and Applications* (pp. pp.139-150).
- <span id="page-18-8"></span>24. Sahoo, S. and Pal, M., 2016. Intuitionistic fuzzy competition graphs. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing, 52*, pp.37-57.
- <span id="page-18-9"></span>25. Nasir, M., Siddique, S. and Akram, M., 2017. Novel properties of intuitionistic fuzzy competition graphs. *Journal of Uncertain Systems, 11*, pp.49-67.
- <span id="page-18-10"></span>26. Pal, M., Samanta, S. and Ghorai, G., 2020. Modern trends in fuzzy graph theory. In *Modern Trends in Fuzzy Graph Theory* (pp.7-93). Springer, Berlin.
- <span id="page-18-11"></span>27. Pramanik, T., Muhiuddin, G., Alanazi, A. M. and Pal, M., 2020. An extension of fuzzy competition graph and its uses in manufacturing industries. *Mathematics, 8*(6), p.1008.
- <span id="page-18-12"></span>28. Talebi, A. A., Rashmanlou, H. and Sadati, S. H., 2020. Interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy competition graph. *Journal of Multiple-Valued Logic* & *Soft Computing*, 34, pp.335-364.
- <span id="page-18-13"></span>29. Akram, M. and Nasir, M., 2017. Interval-valued neutrosophic competition graphs. *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, 14*, pp.99-120.
- <span id="page-18-14"></span>30. Muhiuddin, G., Takallo, M., Jun, Y. B. and Borzooei, R. A., 2020. Cubic graphs and their application to a traffic flow problem. *International Journal of Computational Intelligence Systems, 13*(1), pp.1265-1280.
- <span id="page-18-15"></span>31. Al-Shamiri, M. M. A., Ahmad, U., Maryam, A. and Akram, M., 2024. Cubic directed graphs. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing, 70*(3), pp.2169-2196.
- 32. Khan, S. U., Jan, N., Ullah, K. and Abdullah, L. (2021). Graphical structures of cubic intuitionistic fuzzy information. *Journal of Mathematics, 2021*, p.21.
- <span id="page-18-16"></span>33. Muhiuddin, G., Hameed, S., Maryam, A. and Ahmed, U., 2022. Cubic Pythagorean fuzzy graphs. *Journal of Mathematics*, p.2022.



© 2024 the Author(s), licensee Combinatorial Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://[creativecommons.org](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)/licenses/by/4.0)