

A Note on the Cycle Structures of Automorphisms of $2-(v, k, 1)$ Designs

Klaus Metsch

Mathematisches Institut
Arndtstrasse 2
D-35392 Giessen

Bridget S. Webb

Department of Pure Mathematics
The Open University, Walton Hall
Milton Keynes, MK7 6AA

Let \mathcal{D} be a $2-(v, k, 1)$ design, and consider an automorphism g of \mathcal{D} . For any natural number n , we define p_n and b_n to be the number of cycles of length n of the permutations induced on the points and blocks, respectively. We call the points permuted in n -cycles by g n -points, and the blocks in n -cycles n -blocks.

If g is an automorphism of a projective plane, then the permutations induced on the points and blocks are similar [1]. Therefore $b_n = p_n$, for all n . We can see that $p_n \leq b_n$, whenever $n > 1$, for any automorphism g of an affine plane. In [4] it was shown that $p_n \leq b_n$ whenever $n > k$, for any automorphism g of a $2-(v, k, 1)$ design. In this note we show that this inequality is also true for many values of n with $n \leq k$. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1 *Let g be an automorphism of a $2-(v, k, 1)$ design \mathcal{D} . Let n be a natural number. Suppose that every block containing two n -points is either an n -block or is fixed, and that there are at least two such blocks. Then $p_n \leq b_n$.*

In general, a block containing two n -points is permuted by g in a cycle of length dividing n . However, if n is a prime, any block containing two n -points is fixed or permuted in an n -cycle, proving the following corollary.

Corollary 1 *Let g be an automorphism of a $2-(v, k, 1)$ design and let n be a prime. Suppose that not all n -points are contained in one block. Then $p_n \leq b_n$.*

The condition that not all the n -points are collinear is necessary as there exist examples of Steiner triple systems [4] with automorphisms satisfying $b_n = 0$ and $p_n = 1$ for $n = 1, 2$ or 3 , or $b_1 \in \{1, 2\}$ and $p_1 = 3$. In these examples, the n -points are collinear. One example with $b_3 = 0$ and $p_3 = 1$ is the 2 - $(15, 3, 1)$ design with point-set $\{x, y, z\} \cup Z_{12}$ and automorphism of the points, $\pi = (x, y, z)(0, 1, \dots, 11)$. This automorphism acts on the blocks with one fixed block, one 4-cycle, one 6-cycle and two 12-cycles given by the following starter blocks: $\{x, y, z\}$; $\{0, 4, 8\}$; $\{x, 0, 6\}$; $\{x, 1, 8\}$ and $\{0, 1, 3\}$. We do not know any example of a design satisfying $p_n < b_n$ for some integer n and such that the n -points are not collinear. In the following corollary we summarize the results of this paper and [4].

Corollary 2 *Let g be an automorphism of a 2 - $(v, k, 1)$ design \mathcal{D} . If n is a positive integer, then $p_n \leq b_n$ whenever one of the following conditions is satisfied.*

- (a) *Every n -cycle of points contains three non-collinear points.*
- (b) *Each n -cycle consists of collinear points, and furthermore $np_n > k$.*
- (c) *n is a prime.*

Proof of Theorem 1. We define \mathcal{L}_0 to be the substructure of \mathcal{D} whose points are the n -points of \mathcal{D} with respect to g and whose blocks are the blocks of \mathcal{D} incident with two or more of the n -points. By the hypotheses of the theorem, \mathcal{L}_0 has more than one block and so is a linear space. Let $v_0 = n \cdot p_n$ be the number of points of \mathcal{L}_0 and let b_0 be the number of blocks of \mathcal{L}_0 . We call the blocks of \mathcal{L}_0 *lines*.

If $n = 1$, then all points of \mathcal{L}_0 are fixed and so all lines of \mathcal{L}_0 are fixed. Since, by a result of de Bruijn and Erdős [2], every linear space has at least as many points as lines, we have $b_0 \geq v_0$ and thus $b_n = b_0 \geq v_0 = p_n$.

Now consider the case $n \geq 2$. Then the fixed lines of \mathcal{L}_0 are disjoint. We add a point q to \mathcal{L}_0 to form a new linear space \mathcal{L} . We do this in such a way that q lies on each of the fixed lines of \mathcal{L}_0 and for each point x that is not on a fixed line, we add the new line $\{q, x\}$ of length two, joining q with x . Then g extends uniquely to an automorphism of \mathcal{L} , which we also denote by g . It fixes q and maps each new line $\{q, x\}$ to the line $\{q, x^g\}$. We define v and b to be the number of points and lines of \mathcal{L} . Then $v = v_0 + 1$.

Assume that $p_n > b_n$. We shall derive a contradiction. Let r denote the number of lines of \mathcal{L} on q . Then $b = b_n \cdot n + r$. Thus $b \leq (p_n - 1)n + r = v_0 - n + r = v + r - n - 1$. Since $n \geq 2$, it follows that $b < v + r - 2$. We can use the following result, which is a special case of Theorem 1 of [3]. In order to state the result, we need some terminology.

A set D consisting of some points of \mathcal{L} is a *subspace*, if it contains the line joining any pair of its points. We call D *non-trivial*, if D contains three

non-collinear points but does not contain all the points of \mathcal{L} . Given a non-trivial subspace D of a linear space \mathcal{L} , one can construct a new linear space \mathcal{L}' by *smoothing* D , that is by removing all lines in D and by adjoining the set D itself as a new line.

Result 1 *Let \mathcal{L} be a finite linear space with v points and b lines. Suppose that \mathcal{L} has a point q such that $b < v + r - 2$, where r is the number of lines on q . Then one of the following cases occurs.*

- (1) \mathcal{L} is a near-pencil.
- (2) \mathcal{L} can be obtained from a projective plane of order m by removing at most $m - 2$ points.
- (3) \mathcal{L} has $s \geq 1$ non-trivial subspaces D_1, \dots, D_s that pairwise meet in q . If one smooths each subspace D_i , then the resulting linear space can be obtained from a projective plane of some order m by removing at most $m - 2$ points.

Notice that Theorem 1 of [3] also covers the situation when $b = v + r - 2$. This is the reason that Theorem 1 of [3] has two more cases, and that it states that $m - 1$ instead of $m - 2$ points can be removed from a projective plane in the above cases (2) and (3).

It is obvious that \mathcal{L} cannot be a near-pencil. Consider now the case that \mathcal{L} can be obtained from a projective plane \mathcal{P} of order m by removing a set T of at most $m - 2$ points. Then $r = m + 1$, $b = m^2 + m + 1$, and $v > b + 2 - r = m^2 + 2$. Since $|T| \leq m - 2$, all lines have at least three points in \mathcal{L} . This implies that all lines of \mathcal{L} on q are fixed by g .

The automorphism g of \mathcal{L} can be extended to an automorphism of \mathcal{P} . This can be seen as follows. Consider a point $p \in T$. Since $|T| \leq m - 2$, there exists a line L that meets T only in p . Then L^g meets T in a unique point p' . If H is a line other than L , then $p \in H$ iff H and L are disjoint in \mathcal{L} iff H^g and L^g are disjoint in \mathcal{L} iff $p' \in H^g$. Putting $p^g := p'$ for all points $p \in T$ gives the desired extension. The extended automorphism fixes the $m + 1$ lines through q . Since q is the only point of \mathcal{L} fixed by g , the extension has at most $1 + |T| \leq m - 1$ fixed points. This provides a contradiction as every automorphism of a projective plane fixes an equal number of points and lines.

Finally we assume that \mathcal{L} satisfies (3) of the above result. Let D_1, \dots, D_s be the non-trivial subspaces according to (3). Smoothing each D_i , we obtain a linear space \mathcal{L}' that can be obtained from a projective plane \mathcal{P} by removing a set T of at most $m - 2$ points, but no line. This implies that \mathcal{L}' has $m^2 + m + 1$ lines. Therefore \mathcal{L}' has exactly m^2 lines that do not contain q . Each subspace D_i of \mathcal{L} contains at least one line that does not contain q . Thus \mathcal{L} has at least $m^2 + s$ lines that do not contain q . Since q lies on r lines of \mathcal{L} , it follows that $m^2 + s + r \leq b$.

Put $|D_i| = m + 1 - d_i$, and $d := \sum_{i=1}^s d_i$. The point q lies on $m + 1 - s$ lines L_1, \dots, L_{m+1-s} that do not belong to any of the subspaces D_i . Let $m + 1 - l_i$ be the number of points of \mathcal{L} on L_i , and put $l := \sum_i l_i$. Then \mathcal{L} has $v = m^2 + m + 1 - d - l$ points. Since $b \leq v + r - 3$, we obtain $m^2 + s + r \leq b \leq m^2 + m - 2 - d - l + r$ and thus $d + l + s \leq m - 2$.

Let D be the set consisting of the lines of \mathcal{L} that are in one of the subspaces D_i . Each line L_i of \mathcal{L} on q has $m + 1 - l_i \geq m + 1 - l \geq 3$ points and is therefore fixed by the action of g . Consider a line L of \mathcal{L} that does not contain q and that is not in D . In \mathcal{P} , the line L meets each line L_1, \dots, L_{m+1-s} . Since $l \leq m - 2 - s$ (so that at most $m - 2 - s$ lines L_i have less than $m + 1$ points), it follows that L meets at least three of the lines L_i in a point of \mathcal{L} . Since the lines L_i are fixed, it follows that the image L^g contains at least three points that are not in any of the subspaces D_i . Hence $L^g \notin D$. Hence g maps any line that is not in D to a line that is also not in D . Therefore g fixes D .

Now consider a subspace D_i and a point $p \in D_i$ with $p \neq q$. Since the lines L_i are fixed by g , the point p^g lies in some subspace D_j . Consider a second point $x \in D_i$ with $x \neq p, q$. Then the line $X := px$ is a line of D , and hence the image $X^g = p^g x^g$ is a line of D , that is, X^g lies in some of the subspaces D_1, \dots, D_s . As $p \in D_j$, this implies that X^g is a line of D_j and hence that x^g is a point of D_j . Hence g maps every point of D_i to a point of D_j . Since this holds for every subspace D_i , it follows that g permutes the subspaces D_i .

Since \mathcal{L}' is the linear space obtained from \mathcal{L} by smoothing the subspaces D_i , this implies that g induces an automorphism g' of \mathcal{L}' . This automorphism fixes the lines L_i and thus g' fixes at least $m + 1 - s$ lines of \mathcal{L}' , so that the number of non-fixed lines of \mathcal{L}' under the action of g' is at most $m^2 + s$. The number of points of \mathcal{L}' permuted by g' in cycles of length n is $v - 1 = m^2 + m - d - l \geq m^2 + m - (m - 1 - s) = m^2 + s + 1$. Thus g' has more n -cycles on points than on lines. Since \mathcal{L}' satisfies the conclusion in (2) of the above result, we obtain a contradiction in the same way as before (that is, we extend g' to an automorphism of the projective plane \mathcal{P} , where it then has more fixed points than fixed lines).

Acknowledgment. Bridget S. Webb would like to acknowledge that much of this work was undertaken while at her previous address, Department of Mathematics, University of Exeter, Exeter, EX4 4QE.

References

- [1] R. Brauer. On the connection between the ordinary and modular characters of groups of finite orders. *Ann. Math.* **42** (1941), 926–935.
- [2] N.G. de Bruijn and P. Erdős. On a combinatorial problem. *Indag. Math.* **10** (1948), 421–423.
- [3] K. Metsch. Proof of the Dowling-Wilson conjecture. *Bull. Soc. Math. Belg.* **45** (B) (1993), 69–98.
- [4] B.S. Webb. Cycle structures of automorphisms of 2 - $(v, k, 1)$ designs. *J. Combinatorial Designs* **3** (1995), 341–348.