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#### Abstract

In this article, we define $q$-generalized Fibonacci polynomials and $q$-generalized Lucas polynomials using $q$-binomial coefficient and obtain their recursive properties. In addition, we introduce generalized $q$-Fibonacci matrix and generalized $q$-Lucas matrix, then we derive their basic identities. We define ( $k, q, t$ )-symmetric generalized Fibonacci matrix and ( $k, q, t$ )-symmetric generalized Lucas matrix, then we give the Cholesky factorization of these matrices. Finally, we give determinantal and permanental representations of these new polynomial sequences.
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## 1. Introduction

MacHenry [1] defined generalized Fibonacci polynomials and generalized Lucas polynomials. The generalized Fibonacci polynomials and the generalized Lucas polynomials already have comprehensive representation properties. These polynomials are a general form of generalized bivariate Fi bonacci and Lucas p-polynomials, ordinary Fibonacci, Lucas, Pell, Pell-Lucas and Perrin sequences, Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind, and the Tribonacci numbers, etc.

The generalized Fibonacci polynomials, $F_{k, n}(t)$, and the generalized Lucas polynomials, $G_{k, n}(t)$, are defined inductively by as follows:

$$
F_{k, 0}(t)=1, F_{k, n+1}(t)=t_{1} F_{k, n}(t)+\cdots+t_{k} F_{k, n-k+1}(t)(n>1),
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{k, 0}(t) & =k, G_{k, 1}(t)=t_{1}, G_{k, n}(t)=G_{k-1, n}(t)(1 \leq n \leq k), \\
G_{k, n}(t) & =t_{1} G_{k, n-1}(t)+\cdots+t_{k} G_{k, n-k}(t)(n>k),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the vector $t=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{k}\right)$ and $t_{i}(1 \leq i \leq k)$ are constant coefficients of the core polynomial

$$
P\left(x ; t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{k}\right)=x^{k}-t_{1} x^{k-1}-\cdots-t_{k} .
$$

In [2], authors gave explicit formula for the $F_{k, n}(t)$ and $G_{k, n}(t)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{k, n}(t)=\sum_{a \vdash n}\binom{|a|}{a_{1, \ldots, \ldots} a_{k}} t_{1}^{a_{1}} \ldots t_{k}^{a_{k}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
G_{k, n}(t)=\sum_{a \vdash n} \frac{n}{|a|}\binom{|a|}{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}} t_{1}^{a_{1}} \ldots t_{k}^{a_{k}} .
$$

The notations $a \vdash n$ and $|a|$ are used instead of $\sum_{j=1}^{k} j a_{j}=n$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{j}$, respectively.
In addition, in [2-6], the authors studied algebraic properties of these polynomials.
On the other hand, there exists several different $q$-analogues of the Fibonacci-type sequences, see [7-17]. For example, Cigler [14] defined $q$-Fibonacci polynomials ( $F_{n, q}(x, s)$ ) and $q$-Lucas polynomials ( $L_{n, q}(x, s)$ ) as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{n}(x, s)=\sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rfloor}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-k-1 \\
k
\end{array}\right] q^{\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)} x^{n-1-2 k} s^{k}, \\
& L_{n}(x, s)=\sum_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} \frac{[n]}{[n-i]}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-i \\
i
\end{array}\right] q^{\left(\frac{i}{2}\right) x^{n-2 i} s^{i} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

The $q$-binomial coefficient is defined as:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q}:=\frac{(q ; q)_{n}}{(q ; q)_{k}\left(q ; q_{n-k}\right)}=\frac{[n]_{q}!}{[k]_{q}![n-k]_{q}!},
$$

with $(a ; q)_{n}:=\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(1-a q^{j}\right),[n]_{q}=1+q+\cdots+q^{n-1}$ and $[n]_{q}!=[1]_{q}[2]_{q} \cdots[n]_{q}$.
Many researchers have studied matrices whose elements are binomial coefficients, Fibonacci-type sequences and $q$-binomial coefficients, see [18-25]. Lee et al. [21] defined $n \times n k$-Fibonacci matrix $\mathcal{F}(k)_{n}=\left[f(k)_{i, j}\right]_{i, j=1,2, \ldots, n}$ as:

$$
f(k)_{i, j}= \begin{cases}f_{k, i-j+k-1}, & \text { if } i-j+1 \geq 0, \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $f_{k, n} n$th $k$-Fibonacci numbers defined by Miles in [26]. The $\mathcal{F}(k)_{n}^{-1}=\left[f_{i, j}^{l}\right]$ was given as follows:

$$
f_{i, j}^{l}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } i=j \\ -1, & \text { if } i-k \leq j \leq i-1 \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Lee et al. [21] also defined $n \times n k$-symmetric Fibonacci matrix $Q(k)_{n}=\left[q(k)_{i, j}\right]$ as follows:

$$
q(k)_{i, j}=q(k)_{j, i}= \begin{cases}\sum_{l=1}^{k} q(k)_{i, i-l}+f_{k, k-1}, & \text { if } i=j, \\ \sum_{l=1}^{k} q(k)_{i, j-l}, & \text { if } i+1 \leq j\end{cases}
$$

and obtained the Cholesky factorization of $Q(k)_{n}$ as follows:

$$
Q(k)_{n}=\mathcal{F}(k)_{n}\left(\mathcal{F}(k)_{n}\right)^{T} .
$$

In addition, many researchers have studied determinantal and permanental representations of Fibonacci-type sequences and polynomials. More examples can be found in [3,27-37].
2. $q$-analogue of the Generalized Fibonacci Polynomials and Generalized Lucas Polynomials

In this section, we define two families of polynomials, the $q$-generalized Fibonacci polynomial and the $q$-generalized Lucas polynomial using $q$-binomials and obtain properties of these polynomials. In the following two definitions, the summation takes place over all integers $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{k}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{k} j c_{j}=n$, and $c=\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_{j}$.
Definition 1. For any integers $n \geq 0$, the $q$-generalized Fibonacci polynomial, $F_{k, n}(t ; q)$, is defined by

$$
F_{k, n}(t ; q):=\sum \frac{[c]_{q}!}{\left[c_{1}\right]_{q}!\left[c_{2}\right]_{q}!\ldots\left[c_{k}\right]_{q}!} t_{1}^{c_{1}} \ldots t_{k}^{c_{k}} .
$$

In particular, if we take $q=1$, we obtain the $F_{k, n}(t ; 1):=F_{k, n}(t)$.
The first few $F_{k, n}(t ; q)$ are

$$
1, t_{1}, t_{2}+t_{1}^{2}, t_{3}+(1+q) t_{1} t_{2}+t_{1}^{3}, t_{4}+(1+q) t_{1} t_{3}+t_{2}^{2}+t_{1}^{4}+\left(1+q+q^{2}\right) t_{1}^{2} t_{2}, \ldots
$$

Definition 2. For any integers $n \geq 0$, the $q$-generalized Lucas polynomial, $L_{k, n}(t ; q)$, is defined by

$$
L_{k, n}(t ; q):=\sum \frac{[n]_{q}\left([c]_{q}!\right)}{[c]_{q}\left(\left[c_{1}\right]_{q}!\left[c_{2}\right]_{q}!\ldots\left[c_{k}\right]_{q}!\right)} t_{1}^{c_{1}} \ldots t_{k}^{c_{k}}
$$

In particular, if we take $q=1$, we obtain the $L_{k, n}(t ; 1):=G_{k, n}(t)$.
The first few $L_{k, n}(t ; q)$ are

$$
[k]_{q}, t_{1},(1+q) t_{2}+t_{1}^{2},\left(1+q+q^{2}\right) t_{3}+\left(1+q+q^{2}\right) t_{1} t_{2}+t_{1}^{3}, \ldots
$$

We need the following definitions and lemmas in our proofs.
Definition 3. $\mathcal{S}_{k, n}$ is the sequence defined by $\mathcal{S}_{k, 0}=1, \mathcal{S}_{k, 1}=t_{1}$ and for $n \geq 2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{k, n}=t_{1} \mathcal{S}_{k, n-1}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{n-j} F_{k, n-j+1}(t ; q) \mathcal{S}_{k, j-1} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first few terms of $\mathcal{S}_{k, n}$ are

$$
1, t_{1},-t_{2}, t_{3}-t_{1} t_{2}+q t_{1} t_{2},-t_{4}+t_{1} t_{3}-q t_{1} t_{3}+q t_{1}^{2} t_{2}-q^{2} t_{1}^{2} t_{2}, \ldots
$$

Lemma 1. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{k, n}(t ; q)=\sum_{j=1}^{k}(-1)^{j+1} \mathcal{S}_{k, j} F_{k, n-j}(t ; q) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This is obvious from Eq. (2).
Definition 4. $\mathcal{T}_{k, n}$ is the sequence defined by $\mathcal{T}_{k, 0}=1, \mathcal{T}_{k, 1}=t_{1}$ and $n \geq 2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{k, n}=t_{1} \mathcal{T}_{k, n-1}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{n-j} L_{k, n-j+1}(t ; q) \mathcal{T}_{k, j-1} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first few terms of $\mathcal{T}_{k, n}$ are

$$
1, t_{1},-t_{2}-q t_{2}, t_{3}+q t_{3}-t_{1} t_{2}-q t_{1} t_{2}+q^{2} t_{3}+q^{2} t_{1} t_{2}, \ldots
$$

Lemma 2. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Then

$$
L_{k, n}(t ; q)=\sum_{j=1}^{k}(-1)^{j+1} \mathcal{T}_{k, j} L_{k, n-j}(t ; q) .
$$

Proof. This is obvious from Eq. (4).
Theorem 1. Let $F_{k, n}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{k}\right)$ be the generalized Fibonacci polynomial and $F_{k, n}(t ; q)$ be the $q$ generalized Fibonacci polynomial, then

$$
F_{k, n}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{k} ; q\right)=F_{k, n}\left(\mathcal{S}_{k, 1},-\mathcal{S}_{k, 2}, \ldots,(-1)^{k+1} \mathcal{S}_{k, k}\right) .
$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on $n$. The result clearly holds for $n=1$, since $F_{k, 1}(t ; q)=t_{1}=\mathcal{S}_{k, 1}=$ $F_{k, 1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{k, 1},-\mathcal{S}_{k, 2}, \ldots,(-1)^{k+1} \mathcal{S}_{k, k}\right)$. Now suppose that the result is true for all positive integers less than or equal to $n$. We prove it for $(n+1)$. In fact, by the definition of generalized Fibonacci polynomials for the vector $\mathcal{S}=\left(\mathcal{S}_{k, 1},-\mathcal{S}_{k, 2}, \ldots,(-1)^{k+1} \mathcal{S}_{k, k}\right)$, we have

$$
F_{k, n+1}(\mathcal{S})=\mathcal{S}_{k, 1} F_{k, n}(\mathcal{S})+\cdots+(-1)^{k+1} \mathcal{S}_{k, k} F_{k, n-k+1}(\mathcal{S}) .
$$

From the hypothesis of induction, we obtain

$$
F_{k, n+1}(\mathcal{S})=\mathcal{S}_{k, 1} F_{k, n}(t ; q)+\cdots+(-1)^{k+1} \mathcal{S}_{k, k} F_{k, n-k+1}(t ; q) .
$$

Thus, we obtain

$$
F_{k, n+1}(\mathcal{S})=F_{k, n+1}(t ; q),
$$

using Eq. (3).
Theorem 2. Let $F_{k, n}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{k}\right)$ be the generalized Fibonacci polynomial and $L_{k, n}(t ; q)$ be the $q$ generalized Lucas polynomial, then

$$
L_{k, n}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{k} ; q\right)=F_{k, n}\left(\mathcal{T}_{k, 1},-\mathcal{T}_{k, 2}, \ldots,(-1)^{k+1} \mathcal{T}_{k, k}\right) .
$$

Proof. The proof runs like in Theorem 1.

## Corollary 1.

$$
F_{k, n}(t ; q):=\sum \frac{c!}{c_{1}!\ldots c_{k}!} \mathcal{S}_{k, 1}^{c_{1}}\left(-\mathcal{S}_{k, 2}^{c_{2}}\right) \ldots\left((-1)^{k} \mathcal{S}_{k, k-1}^{c_{k-1}}\right)\left((-1)^{k+1} \mathcal{S}_{k, k}^{c_{k}}\right) .
$$

Proof. This is obvious from Eq. (1) and Theorem 1.

## Corollary 2.

$$
L_{k, n}(t ; q):=\sum \frac{c!}{c_{1}!\ldots c_{k}!} \mathcal{T}_{k, 1}^{c_{1}}\left(-\mathcal{T}_{k, 2}^{c_{2}}\right) \ldots\left((-1)^{k} \mathcal{T}_{k, k-1}^{c_{k-1}}\right)\left((-1)^{k+1} \mathcal{T}_{k, k}^{c_{k}}\right) .
$$

Proof. This is obvious from Eq. (1) and Theorem 2.
Corollary 3. Let $y_{k, n}=(-1)^{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{k, n}$ for $q=1, F_{k, n}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{k}\right)$ be the generalized Fibonacci polynomial and $G_{k, n}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{k}\right)$ be the generalized Lucas polynomial, then

$$
G_{k, n}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{k}\right)=F_{k, n}\left(y_{k, 1}, y_{k, 2}, \ldots, y_{k, k}\right) .
$$

Proof. If we rewrite Theorem 2. for $q=1$, we obtain

$$
L_{k, n}(t ; 1)=F_{k, n}\left(y_{k, 1}, \ldots, y_{k, k}\right) .
$$

Further, this is obvious from the definitions of $L_{k, n}(t ; q)$ and $G_{k, n}(t)$ that $L_{k, n}(t ; 1)=G_{k, n}(t)$. Therefore, we obtain the desired result.

There have been several studies on the generalized Fibonacci polynomials and the generalized Lucas polynomials and the relationship between them. Corollary 3. gives a new relation between these polynomials. Using this corollary, different results can be obtained. From Propositions 1, 3, 4 and Lemma 3 in [5], we can give the following corollaries.

Corollary 4. Let $y_{k, n}=(-1)^{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{k, n}$ for $q=1$ and $F_{k, n}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{k}\right)$ be the generalized Fibonacci polynomial, then

$$
F_{k, n}\left(y_{k, 1}, y_{k, 2}, \ldots, y_{k, k}\right)=-t_{k-1} F_{k, n-k+1}(t)-\cdots-(k-1) t_{1} F_{k, n-1}(t)+k F_{k, n}(t) .
$$

Corollary 5. Let $y_{k, n}=(-1)^{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{k, n}$ for $q=1$ and $F_{k, n}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{k}\right)$ be the generalized Fibonacci polynomial, then

$$
F_{k, n}\left(y_{k, 1}, y_{k, 2}, \ldots, y_{k, k}\right)=\sum_{a \vdash n} n(-1)^{a+1}\binom{|a|-1}{a_{1, \ldots, \ldots} a_{k}} F_{k, 1}^{a_{1}} \ldots F_{k, k}^{a_{k}} .
$$

Corollary 6. Let $y_{k, n}=(-1)^{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{k, n}$ for $q=1$ and $F_{k, n}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{k}\right)$ be the generalized Fibonacci polynomial, then

$$
\frac{\partial F_{k, n}\left(y_{k, 1}, y_{k, 2}, \ldots, y_{k, k}\right)}{\partial t_{i}}=n F_{k, n-i}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{k}\right) .
$$

Example 1. We give a companion matrix $C_{(k)}$ and obtain $C_{(k)}^{\infty}$ using the method in [5] as follows:

$$
C_{(k)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 \\
(-1)^{k+1} \mathcal{S}_{k} & (-1)^{k} \mathcal{S}_{k-1} & (-1)^{k-1} \mathcal{S}_{k-2} & \ldots & \mathcal{S}_{1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

In particular, if we take $q=1$, we obtain the companion matrix $A$ in [5]. We let the companion matrix operate on its last row vector on the right and append the image vector to the companion matrix as a new last row. We repeat this process, obtaining a matrix with infinitely many rows. Note that $C_{(k)}$ is invertible if and only if $\mathcal{S}_{k} \neq 0$. Assuming that $\mathcal{S}_{k} \neq 0$, we can also extend the matrix from the top row upward by operating on the top row with $C_{(k)}^{-1}$, obtaining a doubly infinite matrix, that is, one with infinitely many rows in either direction and k-columns. We call this the infinite companion matrix $C_{(k)}^{\infty}$. If we take $q=1$, we obtain the companion matrix $A^{\infty}$ in [5]. It is obvious that, the right hand column of $C_{(k)}^{\infty}$ in the positive direction is $F_{k, n}(t ; q)$.

## 3. $q$-analogue of the Generalized Fibonacci and Lucas Matrices

In this section, we introduce the generalized $q$-Fibonacci matrix and generalized $q$-Lucas matrix, then we find their inverse matrices and give the Cholesky factorization of $(k, q, t)$-symmetric generalized Fibonacci and Lucas matrices. These results generalize the $k$-Fibonacci matrix and its inverse and $k$-symmetric Fibonacci matrix in [21] $\left(q=t_{i}=1\right)$.

Definition 5. The generalized $q$-Fibonacci matrix $\mathcal{F}_{k, n}^{(t ; q)}:=\left[f_{i, j}\right]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is defined by

$$
f_{i, j}= \begin{cases}F_{k, i-j}(t ; q), & \text { if } i \geq j \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Example 2. The generalized $q$-Fibonacci matrix for $n=k=4$ is

$$
\mathcal{F}_{4,4}^{(t, q)}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
t_{1} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
t_{2}+t_{1}^{2} & t_{1} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
t_{3}+(1+q) t_{1} t_{2}+t_{1}^{3} & t_{2}+t_{1}^{2} & t_{1} & 1 & 0 \\
\left(t_{4}+(1+q) t_{1} t_{3}+t_{2}^{2}+t_{1}^{4}\right. & & & & \\
\left.+\left(1+q+q^{2}\right) t_{1}^{2} t_{2}\right) & t_{3}+(1+q) t_{1} t_{2}+t_{1}^{3} & t_{2}+t_{1}^{2} & t_{1} & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

In particular, if we take $q=t_{i}=1$, we obtain the equation $\mathcal{F}_{k, n}^{(1 ; 1)}=\mathcal{F}(k)_{n}$.
Definition 6. The $n \times n$ Hessenberg matrix $\mathcal{D}_{k, n}:=\left[d_{i, j}\right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is defined by

$$
d_{i, j}= \begin{cases}F_{k, i-j+1}(t ; q), & \text { if } i \geq j, \\ 1, & \text { if } i+1=j, \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 3. [28] Let $A_{n}$ be an $n \times n$ lower Hessenberg matrix for all $n \geq 1$ and $\operatorname{define} \operatorname{det}\left(A_{0}\right)=1$. Then, $\operatorname{det}\left(A_{1}\right)=a_{11}$ and for $n \geq 2$

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(A_{n}\right)=a_{n, n} \operatorname{det}\left(A_{n-1}\right)+\sum_{r=1}^{n-1}\left[(-1)^{n-r} a_{n, r}\left(\prod_{j=r}^{n-1} a_{j, j+1}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(A_{r-1}\right)\right] .
$$

Lemma 4. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Then $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{D}_{k, n}\right)=\mathcal{S}_{k, n}$.
Proof. We proceed by induction on $n$. The result clearly holds for $n=1$. Now, suppose that the result is true for all positive integers less than or equal to $n$. We prove it for $(n+1)$. In fact, using Lemma 3 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{D}_{k, n+1}\right) & =d_{n+1, n+1} \operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{D}_{k, n}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[(-1)^{n+1-i} d_{n+1, i} \prod_{j=i}^{n} d_{j, j+1} \operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{D}_{k, i-1}\right)\right] \\
& =t_{1} \operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{D}_{k, n}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[(-1)^{n+1-i} F_{k, n-i+2}(t ; q) \operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{D}_{k, i-1}\right)\right] \\
& =t_{1} \mathcal{S}_{k, n}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[(-1)^{n+1-i} F_{k, n-i+2}(t ; q) \mathcal{S}_{k, i-1}\right]=\mathcal{S}_{k, n+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3. Let $\mathcal{F}_{k, n}^{(t, q)}$ be the $(n+1) \times(n+1)$ lower triangular generalized $q$-Fibonacci matrix. Then, we have

$$
\left(\mathcal{F}_{k, n}^{(t, q)}\right)^{-1}=\left[b_{i, j}\right]=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
(-1)^{i-j} \mathcal{S}_{k, i-j}, & \text { if } i-j \geq 0, \\
0, & \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. Note that it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{F}_{k, n}^{(t ; q)}\left(\mathcal{F}_{k, n}^{(t ; q)}\right)^{-1}=I_{n+1}$. For $i>j \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{n} f_{i, k} b_{k, j} & =\sum_{k=j}^{i} f_{i, k} b_{k, j} \\
& =F_{k, i-j}(t ; q) \mathcal{S}_{k, 0}-F_{k, i-j-1}(t ; q) \mathcal{S}_{k, 1}+\cdots+F_{k, 0}(t ; q)(-1)^{i-j} \mathcal{S}_{k, i-j}
\end{aligned}
$$

and we know

$$
F_{k, i-j}(t ; q)=\sum_{s=1}^{k}(-1)^{j+1} \mathcal{S}_{k, s} F_{k, i-j-s}(t ; q)
$$

from Eq. (2). Therefore, we obtain $\sum_{k=0}^{n} f_{i, k} b_{k, j}=0$ for $i>j \geq 0$. It is obvious that $\sum_{k=0}^{n} f_{i, k} b_{k, j}=0$ for $i-j<0$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{n} f_{i, k} b_{k, j}=f_{i, i} b_{i, j}=\mathcal{S}_{k, 0} F_{k, 0}(t ; q)=1$ for $i=j$ which implies that $\mathcal{F}_{k, n}^{(t ; q)}\left(\mathcal{F}_{k, n}^{(; ; q)}\right)^{-1}=I_{n+1}$, as desired.

In particular, if we take $q=t_{i}=1$, we obtain the inverse matrix of the $k$-Fibonacci matrix [21].
Definition 7. The generalized $q$-Lucas matrix $\mathcal{L}_{k, n}^{(t, q)}:=\left[l_{i, j}\right]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is defined by

$$
l_{i, j}= \begin{cases}L_{k, i-j}(t ; q), & \text { if } i>j, \\ 1 & \text { if } i=j, \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

For example,

$$
\mathcal{L}_{3,3}^{(t, q)}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
t_{1} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
(1+q) t_{2}+t_{1}^{2} & t_{1} & 1 & 0 \\
\left(1+q+q^{2}\right) t_{3}+\left(1+q+q^{2}\right) t_{1} t_{2}+t_{1}^{3} & (1+q) t_{2}+t_{1}^{2} & t_{1} & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Definition 8. The $n \times n$ Hessenberg matrix $\mathcal{E}_{k, n}:=\left[e_{i, j}\right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is defined by

$$
e_{i, j}= \begin{cases}L_{k, i-j+1}(t ; q), & \text { if } i \geq j \\ 1, & \text { if } i+1=j \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 5. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Then

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{E}_{k, n}\right)=\mathcal{T}_{k, n}
$$

Proof. The proof runs like in Lemma 4.
Theorem 4. Let $\mathcal{L}_{k, n}^{(t ; q)}$ be the $(n+1) \times(n+1)$ lower triangular generalized $q$-Lucas matrix. Then, we have

$$
\left(\mathcal{L}_{k, n}^{(t, q)}\right)^{-1}=\left[c_{i, j}\right]= \begin{cases}(-1)^{i-j} \mathcal{T}_{k, i-j}, & \text { if } i-j>0 \\ 0, & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. The proof runs like in Theorem 3.
Definition 9. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer, ( $k, q, t$ )-symmetric generalized Fibonacci matrix $Q_{k, n}^{(t, q)}:=\left[m_{i, j}\right]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is defined by

$$
m_{i, j}= \begin{cases}\sum_{l=0}^{i} F_{k, l}(t ; q) F_{k, l}(t ; q), & \text { if } i=j, \\ \sum_{l=0}^{i} F_{k, i-l}(t ; q) F_{k, j-l}(t ; q), & \text { if } i+1 \leq j\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 5. The Cholesky factorization of $Q_{k, n}^{(t, q)}$ is given by

$$
Q_{k, n}^{(t, q)}=\mathcal{F}_{k, n}^{(; ; q)}\left(\mathcal{F}_{k, n}^{(; ; q)}\right)^{T}
$$

Proof. Note that it suffices to prove that $\left(\mathcal{F}_{k, n}^{(t, q)}\right)^{-1} Q_{k, n}^{(t, q)}=\left(\mathcal{F}_{k, n}^{(t, q)}\right)^{T}$. We take $\left.\mathcal{F}_{k, n}^{(t ; q)}\right)^{-1}=\left[b_{i, j}\right], Q_{k, n}^{(t, q)}=$ $\left[m_{i, j}\right]$ and $\left(\mathcal{F}_{k, n}^{(t ; q)}\right)^{T}=\left[\bar{f}_{i, j}\right]$ and obtain $\sum_{s=1}^{k} b_{i, s} m_{s, j}$ for $i, j=0,1,2, \ldots, k$. For $i=j=n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{f}_{i, i}= & \sum_{s=1}^{k} b_{i, s} m_{s, i} \\
= & (-1)^{n} \mathcal{S}_{k, n} F_{k, n}(t ; q)+(-1)^{n-1} \mathcal{S}_{k, n-1}\left[F_{k, 1}(t ; q) F_{k, n}(t ; q)+F_{k, 0}(t ; q) F_{k, n-1}(t ; q)\right]+\cdots \\
& -\mathcal{S}_{k, 1}\left[F_{k, n-1}(t ; q) F_{k, n}(t ; q)+\cdots+F_{k, 0}(t ; q) F_{k, 1}(t ; q)\right] \\
& +\mathcal{S}_{k, 0}\left[F_{k, n}(t ; q) F_{k, n}(t ; q)+\cdots+F_{k, 0}(t ; q) F_{k, 0}(t ; q)\right] \\
= & F_{k, n}(t ; q)\left[(-1)^{n} \mathcal{S}_{k, n}+(-1)^{n-1} \mathcal{S}_{k, n-1} F_{k, 1}(t ; q)+\cdots+\mathcal{S}_{k, 0} F_{k, n}(t ; q)\right] \\
& +F_{k, n-1}(t ; q)\left[(-1)^{n-1} \mathcal{S}_{k, n-1}+\cdots+\mathcal{S}_{k, 0} F_{k, n-1}(t ; q)\right]+\cdots \\
& +F_{k, 1}(t ; q)\left[-\mathcal{S}_{k, 1}+\mathcal{S}_{k, 0} F_{k, 1}(t ; q)\right]+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We know $(-1)^{n} \mathcal{S}_{k, n}+(-1)^{n-1} \mathcal{S}_{k, n-1} F_{k, 1}(t ; q)+\cdots+\mathcal{S}_{k, 0} F_{k, n}(t ; q)=0$ from the definition of $\mathcal{S}_{k, n}$, so $\bar{f}_{i, i}=1$ for $i=0,1,2, \ldots, k$. For $i>j$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{s=1}^{k} b_{i, s} m_{s, i}= & (-1)^{i} \mathcal{S}_{k, i} F_{k, j}(t ; q)+(-1)^{i-1} \mathcal{S}_{k, i-1}\left[F_{k, 1}(t ; q) F_{k, j}(t ; q)+F_{k, 0}(t ; q) F_{k, j-1}(t ; q)\right] \\
& +(-1)^{i-2} \mathcal{S}_{k, i-2}\left[F_{k, 2}(t ; q) F_{k, j}(t ; q)+\cdots+F_{k, 0}(t ; q) F_{k, j-2}(t ; q)\right] \\
& +\cdots+\mathcal{S}_{k, 0}\left[F_{k, i}(t ; q) F_{k, j}(t q)+\cdots+F_{k, i-j}(t ; q) F_{k, 0}(t ; q)\right] \\
= & F_{k, j}(t ; q)\left[(-1)^{i} \mathcal{S}_{k, i}+(-1)^{i-1} \mathcal{S}_{k, i-1} F_{k, 1}(t ; q)+\cdots+\mathcal{S}_{k, 0} F_{k, i}(t ; q)\right] \\
& +F_{k, j-1}(t ; q)\left[(-1)^{i-1} \mathcal{S}_{k, i-1}+(-1)^{i-2} \mathcal{S}_{k, i-2} F_{k, 1}(t ; q)+\cdots+\mathcal{S}_{k, 0} F_{k, i-1}(t ; q)\right]+\cdots \\
& +F_{k, 0}(t ; q)\left[(-1)^{i-j} \mathcal{S}_{k, i-j}+(-1)^{i-j-1} \mathcal{S}_{k, i-j-1} F_{k, 1}(t ; q)+\cdots+\mathcal{S}_{k, 0} F_{k, i-j}(t ; q)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We know $(-1)^{n} \mathcal{S}_{k, n}+(-1)^{n-1} \mathcal{S}_{k, n-1} F_{k, 1}(t ; q)+\cdots+\mathcal{S}_{k, 0} F_{k, n}(t ; q)=0$ from the definition of $\mathcal{S}_{k, n}$, so $\bar{f}_{i, i}=0$ for $i=0,1,2, \ldots, k$. Finally, for $i<j$, equation $\bar{f}_{i, i}=F_{k, j-i}(t ; q)$ is shown in a similar way.
Definition 10. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Then ( $k, q, t$ )-symmetric generalized Lucas matrix $\mathcal{P}_{k, n}^{(t, q)}:=\left[n_{i, j}\right]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is defined by

$$
n_{i, j}= \begin{cases}\sum_{l=0}^{i} L_{k, l}(t ; q) L_{k, l}(t ; q), & \text { if } i=j, \\ \sum_{l=0}^{i} L_{k, i-l}(t ; q) L_{k, j-l}(t ; q), & \text { if } i+1 \leq j .\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 6. The Cholesky factorization of $\mathcal{P}_{k, n}^{(t, q)}$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{P}_{k, n}^{(t, q)}=\mathcal{L}_{k, n}^{(t, q)}\left(\mathcal{L}_{k, n}^{(t ; q)}\right)^{T}
$$

Proof. The proof runs like in Theorem 5.

## 4. The Determinantal and Permanental Representations

In this section, we obtain any term of $q$-generalized Fibonacci and Lucas polynomials using determinants and permanents of Hessenberg matrices.

Theorem 7. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer, $F_{k, n}(t ; q)$ be the nth $q$-generalized Fibonacci polynomial and ${ }_{-} U_{k, n}^{(q)}=\left[u_{i, j}\right]_{i, j=1,2, \ldots, n}$ be the $n \times n$ Hessenberg matrix defined as

$$
u_{i j}= \begin{cases}(-1)^{i-j} \mathcal{S}_{k, i-j+1}, & \text { if } i-j \geq 0, \\ -1, & \text { if } i+1=j, \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then, $\operatorname{det}\left({ }_{-} U_{k, n}^{(q)}\right)=F_{k, n}(t ; q)$.
Proof. We proceed by induction on $m$. The result clearly holds for $m=1$, since $\operatorname{det}\left({ }_{-} U_{k, 1}^{(q)}\right)=\mathcal{S}_{k, 1}=$ $t_{1}=F_{k, 1}(t ; q)$. Now, suppose that the result is true for all positive integers less than or equal to $m$. We prove it for $(m+1)$. In fact, using Lemma 3 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}\left({ }_{-} U_{k, m+1}^{(q)}\right)= & u_{m+1, m+1} \operatorname{det}\left({ }_{-} U_{k, m}^{(q)}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[(-1)^{m+1-i} u_{m+1, i} \prod_{j=i}^{m} u_{j, j+1} \operatorname{det}\left({ }_{-} U_{k, i-1}^{(q)}\right)\right] \\
= & t_{1} \operatorname{det}\left(U_{k, m}^{(q)}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m-k+1}\left[(-1)^{m+1-i} u_{m+1, i} \prod_{j=i}^{m} u_{j, j+1} \operatorname{det}\left({ }_{-} U_{k, i-1}^{(q)}\right)\right] \\
& +\sum_{i=m-k+2}^{m}\left[(-1)^{m+1-i} u_{m+1, i} \prod_{j=i}^{m} u_{j, j+1} \operatorname{det}\left({ }_{-} U_{k, i-1}^{(q)}\right)\right] \\
= & t_{1} \operatorname{det}\left({ }_{-} U_{k, m}^{(q)}\right)+\sum_{i=m-k+2}^{m}\left[u_{m+1, i} \operatorname{det}\left({ }_{-} U_{k, i-1}^{(q)}\right)\right] \\
= & t_{1} \operatorname{det}\left(U_{k, m}^{(q)}\right)-\mathcal{S}_{k, 2} \operatorname{det}\left({ }_{-} U_{k, m-1}^{(q)}\right)+\cdots+(-1)^{k-1} \mathcal{S}_{k} \operatorname{det}\left({ }_{-} U_{k, m-k+1}^{(q)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the hypothesis of induction and Eq. (3), we obtain

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\_U_{k, m+1}^{(q)}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{k}(-1)^{j-1} \mathcal{S}_{k, j} F_{k, m+1-j}(t ; q)=F_{k, m+1}(t ; q)
$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{det}\left(-U_{k, n}^{(q)}\right)=F_{k, n}(t ; q)$ holds for all positive integers $n$.
Theorem 8. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer, $F_{k, n}(t ; q)$ be the $n$th $q$-generalized Fibonacci polynomial and ${ }_{+} V_{k, n}^{(q)}=\left[v_{s, t}\right]_{i, j=1,2, \ldots, n}$ be the $n \times n$ Hessenberg matrix defined as

$$
v_{s, t}= \begin{cases}i^{3(s-t)} \mathcal{S}_{k, i-j+1}, & \text { if } s-t \geq 0 \\ i, & \text { if } s+1=t \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then, $\operatorname{det}\left({ }_{+} V_{k, n}^{(q)}\right)=F_{k, n}(t ; q)$.
Proof. Since the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 7 using Lemma 3, we omit the details.
Theorem 9. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer, $L_{k, n}(t ; q)$ be the nth $q$-generalized Lucas polynomial, _ $W_{k, n}^{(q)}=$ $\left[w_{i, j}\right]_{i, j=1,2, \ldots, n}$ and ${ }_{+} Y_{k, n}^{(q)}=\left[y_{i, j}\right]_{i, j=1,2, \ldots, n}$ be the $n \times n$ Hessenberg matrices defined as

$$
w_{i, j}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
(-1)^{i-j} \mathcal{T}_{k, i-j+1}, & \text { if } i-j \geq 0, \\
-1, & \text { if } i+1=j, \\
0, & \text { otherwise },
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad y_{s, t}= \begin{cases}i^{3(s-t)} \mathcal{T}_{k, i-j+1}, & \text { if } s-t \geq 0, \\
i, & \text { if } s+1=t, \\
0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}\right.
$$

Then, $\operatorname{det}\left(-W_{k, n}^{(q)}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left({ }_{+} Y_{k, n}^{(q)}\right)=L_{k, n}(t ; q)$.
Proof. Since the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 7 using Lemma 3, we omit the details.
The permanent of an $n$-square matrix is defined by $\operatorname{per} A=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i \sigma(i)}$, where the summation extends over all permutations $\sigma$ of the symmetric group $S_{n}$ (cf. [38]). There is a relation between permanent and determinant of a Hessenberg matrix (cf. [33,39]). Then, it is clear that the following corollaries hold.

Corollary 7. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer, $F_{k, n}(t ; q)$ be the $n$th $q$-generalized Fibonacci polynomial, ${ }_{+} U_{k, n}^{(q)}=$ $\left[\bar{u}_{i, j}\right]_{i, j=1,2, \ldots, n}$ and $V_{k, n}^{(q)}=\left[\bar{v}_{s, t}\right]_{s, t=1,2, \ldots, n}$ be the $n \times n$ Hessenberg matrix defined as

$$
\bar{u}_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
(-1)^{i-j} \mathcal{S}_{k, i-j+1}, & \text { if } i-j \geq 0, \\
1, & \text { if } i+1=j, \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{v}_{s, t}= \begin{cases}i^{3(s-t)} \mathcal{S}_{k, i-j+1}, & \text { if } s-t \geq 0, \\
-i, & \text { if } s+1=t, \\
0, & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}\right.
$$

where $i=\sqrt{-1}$. Then, $\operatorname{per}\left({ }_{+} U_{k, n}^{(q)}\right)=\operatorname{per}\left({ }_{-} V_{k, n}^{(q)}\right)=F_{k, n}(t ; q)$.
Corollary 8. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer, $L_{k, n}(t ; q)$ be the nth $q$-generalized Lucas polynomial, ${ }_{+} W_{k, n}^{(q)}=$ $\left[\bar{w}_{i, j}\right]_{i, j=1,2, \ldots, n}$ and $Y_{k, n}^{(q)}=\left[\bar{y}_{s, t}\right]_{i, j=1,2, \ldots, n}$ be the $n \times n$ Hessenberg matrices defined as

$$
\bar{w}_{i, j}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
(-1)^{i-j} \mathcal{T}_{k, i-j+1}, & \text { if } i-j \geq 0, \\
1, & \text { if } i+1=j, \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{y}_{s, t}= \begin{cases}i^{3(s-t)} \mathcal{T}_{k, i-j+1}, & \text { if } s-t \geq 0, \\
-i, & \text { if } s+1=t, \\
0, & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}\right.
$$

Then, $\operatorname{per}\left({ }_{+} W_{k, n}^{(q)}\right)=\operatorname{per}\left({ }_{-} Y_{k, n}^{(q)}\right)=L_{k, n}(t ; q)$.
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