

Article

Sharp Upper Bound for Harmonic Index of Trees with Given Total Domination Number

Roslan Hasni $^{1,\ast},$ Fateme Movahedi $^{2},$ Hailiza Kamarulhaili $^{3},$ and Mohammad Hadi Akhbari 4

- ¹ Special Interest Group on Modeling and Data Analytics (SIGMDA) Faculty of Computer Science and Mathematics, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, 21030 Kuala Nerus, Terengganu, Malaysia
- ² Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Golestan University, Gorgan, Iran
- ³ School of Mathematical Science, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM Penang, Malaysia, 11800 USM Penang, Malaysia
- ⁴ Department of Mathematics, Estahban Branch Islamic Azad University, Estahban, Iran
- * Correspondence: hroslan@umt.edu.my

Abstract: Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple connected graph with vertex set G and edge set E . The harmonic index of graph *G* is the value $H(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{2}{d_v+1}$ $\frac{2}{d_u + d_v}$, where d_x refers to the degree of *x*. We obtain an upper bound for the harmonic index of trees in terms of order and the total domination number, and we characterize the extremal trees for this bound.

Keywords: Harmonic index, Upper bound, Total domination number, Tree 2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C07, 05C35.

1. Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple, undirected and connected graph with vertex set $V = V(G)$ and edge set $E = E(G)$, respectively. Here, *uv* represents an edge in the graph *G* that connects two vertices given by *u* and *v*. Moreover, the number of edges incident to having *u* in graph *G* is indicated by *deg*(*u*) (or d_u), which is also known as the vertex degree *u*. Given that $d_u = 1$, a vertex *u* in *G* is termed a pendant or leaf. In a graph *G*, the greatest vertex degree is expressed with the notation $\Delta(G)$ (or simply Δ).

The *open neighborhood* of each vertex $v \in V$ denotes the $N(v) = \{u \in V | uv \in E\}$ set. Meanwhile, the *closed neighborhood* denotes the $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$ set. The cycle and the path on *n* vertices are expressed as C_n and P_n , respectively. Assume T is a tree. Then, the longest path that exists between the two leaves defines a tree's diameter. Provided that v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d denotes a path in which the diameter is obtained, we may state that it resembles a diametrical path in *T*. To designate the forest generated by *T* via eliminating the vertices of u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k or the edges e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k in *T*, we employ $T = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k\}$ or $T = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k\}$ For other potations and terminologies that are not employ $T - \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k\}$ or $T - \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k\}$. For other notations and terminologies that are not defined here, please refer to the book by West [\[1\]](#page-6-0).

Provided that every vertex $V(G) \ D$ possesses a neighbor in *D*, then the subset known as $D \subseteq V(G)$ is termed a *dominating set* that belongs to *G*. On the other hand, the minimal cardinality referring to a *G* dominating set is termed the *domination number*, represented by $\gamma(G)$. If every vertex *G* has a neighbor in *D*, a subset known as $D \subseteq V(G)$ is termed the *total dominating set*, expressed as TDS. The *total domination number* of *G*, indicated by $\gamma_t(G)$, is the minimum cardinality of a TDS [\[2\]](#page-6-1). Please

see [\[3\]](#page-6-2) for a summary of the latest findings on the total domination number in graphs. Domination and total domination in graphs has been an active research area in graph theory [\[4](#page-6-3)[–6\]](#page-6-4). The harmonic index of graph *G* is the value of

$$
H(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{2}{d_u + d_v}.
$$

For some results on extremal results and bounds for harmonic index, refer to [\[7](#page-6-5)[–10\]](#page-7-0).

Recently, the domination number has been studied in relation to some degree based topological indices such as the geometric-arithmetic, Randić, Zagreb, harmonic or Sombor index, respectively, see $[9, 11-14]$ $[9, 11-14]$ $[9, 11-14]$ $[9, 11-14]$. Mojdeh et al. $[15]$ obtained some upper bounds for the Zagreb indices of trees, unicyclic and bicyclic graphs with the given total domination number. Ahmad Jamri et al. [\[16,](#page-7-5) [17\]](#page-7-6) determined the upper and lower bounds of the Randic index for trees with a given total domination ´ number. Very recently, Bermudo et al. [\[18\]](#page-7-7) obtained an upper bound for the geometric-arithmetic index of trees with a given total domination number, and characterized the extremal trees for this bound. This article is a continuation of these studies. Namely, we present a new upper bound of the harmonic index in terms of the order and the total domination number, and we characterize the extremal trees for that bound.

2. Upper Bound for the Harmonic Index

In this section, we present a new upper bound for the harmonic index of trees in terms of order and the total domination number, as well as a characterization of trees that attain this upper bound. We first describe which of these extremal trees.

We define a class of trees $\mathcal F$ by recursion. Let $\mathcal F$ be a family of trees where $P_{4t} \in \mathcal F$ for $t \ge 1$. We construct new graphs in $\mathcal F$ as follows. If $T' \in \mathcal F$ satisfies that there exists a vertex *v* belonging to a minimum total dominating set in *T*' such that $N(v) = \{v_1, v_2\}$ where $d(v_1) = d(v_2) = 2$, then attach a
rath $P_{v_1} \neq 1$ to the vertex *v* and construct the graph *T* with the vertex set $V(T) = V(T') \cup V(P_{v_1})$ path P_{4k+1} , $k \ge 1$, to the vertex *v* and construct the graph *T* with the vertex set $V(T) = V(T') \cup V(P_{4k+1})$ and the edge set $E(T) = E(T') \cup E(P_{4k+1}) \cup \{vx\}$ where *x* is a leaf in P_{4k+1} . Then, $T \in \mathcal{F}$. An example of a tree in the family $\mathcal F$ is shown in Figure [1.](#page-1-0)

Figure 1. An Example of a Tree in the Family \mathcal{F} , where the Set of Black Vertices is the Minimum Total Dominating Set

To simplify our calculations, we denote

$$
f(n, \gamma_t) = \frac{11}{30}n + \frac{4}{15}\gamma_t - \frac{1}{6}.
$$

We have the following outcome.

Lemma 1. $H(T) = f(n(T), \gamma_t(T))$ for every $T \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proof. Assume that n_i is the number of vertices with degree *i*. For any tree $T \in \mathcal{F}$ with *n* vertices and a total domination number γ_t , we get

$$
n_1 + n_2 + n_3 = n, \quad n_1 + 2n_2 + 3n_3 = 2(n - 1), \quad \gamma_t = 2n_3 + \frac{n - 5n_3}{2} = \frac{n - n_3}{2}.
$$

Therefore, we have $n_1 = n - 2\gamma_t + 2$, $n_2 = 4\gamma_t - n - 2$ and $n_3 = n - 2\gamma_t$. By the definition of the Harmonic index and the structure of trees $T \in \mathcal{F}$, we conclude that Harmonic index and the structure of trees $T \in \mathcal{F}$, we conclude that

$$
H(T) = \frac{2}{3}n_1 + \frac{6}{5}n_3 + \frac{1}{2}(n - 1 - n_1 - 3n_3) = \frac{2}{3}n(n - 2\gamma_t + 2) + \frac{6}{5}(n - 2\gamma_t) + \frac{1}{2}(8\gamma_t - 3n - 3)
$$

= $\frac{11}{30}n + \frac{4}{15}\gamma_t - \frac{1}{6}$.

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 2. Let T be any tree with order n and total domination number γ_t . Suppose that there exists a vertex $y \in V(T)$ such that $d(y) = i > 3$, $N(y) = \{y \in U, y \in U\}$, $d(y) = i > 2$ and $d(y) = 1$ for all a vertex $v \in V(T)$ such that $d(v) = i \geq 3$, $N(v) = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_i\}$, $d(u_i) = j \geq 2$ and $d(u_k) = 1$ for all $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, i-1\}$ *. Set* $T' = T - u_1$ *, if* $H(T') \le f(n-1, \gamma_t)$ *, then* $H(T) < f(n, \gamma_t)$ *.*

Proof. Clearly, the total domination number of *T*['] is γ_t or γ_t − 1, so we have

$$
H(T) = H(T') + \frac{4}{i(i+1)} - \frac{2}{(i+j)(i+j-1)}
$$

If $\gamma(T') = \gamma_t$,

$$
H(T') + \frac{4}{i(i+1)} - \frac{2}{(i+j)(i+j-1)} \le \frac{11}{30}(n-1) + \frac{4}{15}\gamma_t - \frac{1}{6} + \frac{4}{i(i+1)} - \frac{2}{(i+j)(i+j-1)}
$$

< $f(n, \gamma_t) - \frac{11}{30} + \frac{4}{i(i+1)}$
< $f(n, \gamma_t)$,

and if $\gamma(T') = \gamma_t - 1$,

$$
H(T') + \frac{4}{i(i+1)} - \frac{2}{(i+j)(i+j-1)} \le \frac{11}{30}(n-1) + \frac{4}{15}(\gamma_i - 1) - \frac{1}{6} + \frac{4}{i(i+1)} - \frac{2}{(i+j)(i+j-1)}
$$

< $f(n, \gamma_i) - \frac{11}{30} - \frac{4}{15} + \frac{4}{i(i+1)}$
< $f(n, \gamma_i)$,

since $-\frac{11}{30} + \frac{4}{i(i+1)} < 0$ and $-\frac{11}{30} - \frac{4}{15} + \frac{4}{i(i+1)} < 0$ for any *i* ≥ 3.
This completes the proof This completes the proof. \Box

Now, we prove that $f(n(T), y_t(T))$ is the upper bound for the harmonic index of any tree with order *n* and total domination number γ_t .

Theorem 1. Let T be a tree of order n and total domination number γ_t . Then

$$
H(T)\leq f(n,\gamma_t),
$$

with equality holds if and only if $T \in \mathcal{F}$ *.*

Proof. Let us prove the result by using the induction hypothesis on the number of vertices. We denote *f*(*n*, γ_t) = $\frac{11}{30}n + \frac{4}{15}\gamma_t - \frac{1}{6}$
and *H*(*S*)) = $\frac{3}{5}$ $\leq \frac{11}{10}$ $\frac{1}{6}$. If *n* = 4, then *T* is path *P*₄ or star *S*₄. We have seen that *H*(*P*₄) = *f*(4, 2) $\frac{1}{4} + \frac{4}{3}$ (2) $\frac{1}{4} - f(4, 2)$. We suppose that the inequality is true for every tree and $H(S_4) = \frac{3}{2}$ 2 with $(n-1)$ vertices, and consider a tree *T* with order *n* and total domination number γ_t . We take a diameter path $diam(T) = v_1v_2$, v_2 in *T*. By I emma 2, we can assume that $d(v_1) = 2$. Thus we only $\frac{11}{30}(4) + \frac{4}{15}(2) - \frac{1}{6}$ $\frac{1}{6}$ = $f(4, 2)$. We suppose that the inequality is true for every tree diameter path $diam(T) = v_0v_1 \ldots v_d$ in *T*. By Lemma [2,](#page-2-0) we can assume that $d(v_1) = 2$. Thus, we only need to discuss the following two cases.

Case 1. $d(v_2) = m \ge 3$.

Denote $N(v_2) = \{v_1, v_3, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{m-2}\}\$, $d(w_l) = s_l \le 2$ and $d(v_3) = k$. We take $T'' = T - \{v_0, v_1\}$.
So clear that there exists a total dominating set D in T such that $v_r \in D(T)$ and $v_r \in N(D)$ (yell) It is clear that there exists a total dominating set *D* in *T* such that $v_1 \in D(T)$ and $v_2 \in N(D \setminus \{v_2\})$, which implies that $\gamma_t(T'') = \gamma_t - 1$. We get

$$
H(T) = H(T'') + \sum_{l=1}^{m-2} \frac{2}{s_l + m} + \frac{2}{m+2} + \frac{2}{1+2} + \frac{2}{m+k} - \frac{2}{m-1+k} - \sum_{l=1}^{m-2} \frac{2}{s_l + m - 1}
$$

\n
$$
\leq \frac{11}{30}(n-2) + \frac{4}{15}(\gamma_t - 1) - \frac{1}{6} - \sum_{l=1}^{m-2} \frac{2}{(m+s_l)(m-1+s_l)} + \frac{2}{2+m} + \frac{2}{3}
$$

\n
$$
\leq f(n, \gamma_t) - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{6}{(m+2)(m+1)}
$$

\n
$$
< f(n, \gamma_t),
$$

since $-\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{3} + \frac{6}{(m+2)(m+1)} < 0$ for all $m \ge 3$. **Case 2.** $d(v_2) = 2$.

Denote $N(v_3) = \{v_2, v_4, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-2}\}\$ and $d(v_4) = r$, $d(x_l) = t_l$ for every $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-2\}$. For any *l* ∈ {1, 2, . . . , *k* − 2}, if there exist two vertices y_1, y_2 such that y_1 ∈ $N(x_1)$ and y_2 ∈ $N(y_1)$, then we get a diametrical path of *T*, i.e., $y_2y_1x_lx_3x_4 \ldots y_d$. So by the above discussion we can assume that $t_l = d(y_1) = 2.$

Subcase 2.1. $k \geq 3$.

Let $T'' = T - \{v_0, v_1, v_2\}$. In such a case, $\gamma_t(T'') = \gamma_t - 2$. Thus we have

$$
H(T) = H(T'') + \sum_{l=1}^{k-2} \frac{2}{k+l_l} + \frac{2}{k+r} + \frac{2}{k+2} + \frac{2}{1+2} + \frac{2}{2+2} - \frac{2}{k-1+r} - \sum_{l=1}^{k-2} \frac{2}{k-1+t_l}
$$

\n
$$
\leq \frac{11}{30}(n-3) + \frac{4}{15}(\gamma_t - 2) - \frac{1}{6} - \frac{2(k-2)}{(k+2)(k+1)} - \frac{2}{(k+r)(k+r-1)} + \frac{2}{k+2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3}
$$

\n
$$
< f(n, \gamma_t) - \frac{7}{15} - \frac{2(k-2)}{(k+2)(k+1)} + \frac{2}{k+2}
$$

\n
$$
< f(n, \gamma_t),
$$

since $-\frac{7}{15} - \frac{2(k-2)}{(k+2)(k+1)} + \frac{2}{k+1}$ $\frac{2}{k+2}$ < 0 for all $k \geq 3$. **Subcase 2.2.** Suppose that $k = 2$.

Denote $N(v_4) = \{v_3, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{r-1}\}\$ and $d(a_l) ≥ 2$ for every $l ∈ \{1, 2, \ldots, r - 2\}$. We can assume that $v_4 \notin D$ for any the total dominating set *D* in *T*. We take $T_4 = T - \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ such that $\gamma_t(T_4) = \gamma_t - 2$. Therefore, we get

$$
H(T) = H(T_4) + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{4 \times 2}{4} + \frac{2}{r+2} + \sum_{l=1}^{r-1} \frac{2}{r + d(a_l)} - \sum_{l=1}^{r-1} \frac{2}{r + d(a_l) - 1}
$$

$$
\leq \frac{11}{30}(n-4) + \frac{4}{15}(\gamma_t - 2) - \frac{1}{6} + \frac{5}{3} + \frac{2}{r+2} + \sum_{l=1}^{r-1} \frac{2}{(r + d(a_l))(r + d(a_l) - 1)}
$$

< $f(n, \gamma_t) - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{r+2}$
< $f(n, \gamma_t)$,

for any $r \geq 4$. If $r = 3$, since $v_4 \notin D$, there are two following cases.

i) There is a path $w_2 - w_1 - w - v_4$ in *T* such that $d(w_2) = 1$ and $d(w_1) = d(w) = 2$. We take $T_3 = T - \{w, w_1, w_2\}$ and we have

$$
H(T) = H(T_3) + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{4} + \frac{2 \times 2}{5} - \frac{2}{4} + \frac{2}{d(v_5) + 3} - \frac{2}{d(v_5) + 2}
$$

\n
$$
\leq f(n - 3, \gamma_t - 2) + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{4}{5} - \frac{2}{(d(v_5) + 3)(d(v_5) + 2)}
$$

\n
$$
= f(n, \gamma_t) - \frac{1}{6} - \frac{2}{(d(v_5) + 3)(d(v_5) + 2)}
$$

\n
$$
< f(n, \gamma_t).
$$

ii) There is a path $w_3 - w_2 - w_1 - w - v_4$ in *T* such that $d(w_2) = 1$ and $d(w_2) = d(w_1) = d(w) = 2$. We take $T_5 = T - \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, w_3\}$. Consequently, we get

$$
H(T) = H(T_5) + \frac{2}{5} + \frac{4}{3} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{4} + \frac{2}{d(v_5) + 3} - \frac{2}{d(v_5) + 2}
$$

\n
$$
\leq f(n - 5, \gamma_t - 2) + \frac{67}{30} - \frac{2}{(d(v_5) + 3)(d(v_5) + 2)}
$$

\n
$$
= f(n, \gamma_t) - \frac{2}{15} - \frac{2}{(d(v_5) + 3)(d(v_5) + 2)}
$$

\n
$$
< f(n, \gamma_t).
$$

If $d(v_4) = r = 2$, then we consider two following subcases.

Subcase 2.2.1 Let $d(v_5) = 2$.

Then we consider the tree $T_4 = T - \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ of order $n - 4$ and $\gamma_t(T_4) = \gamma_t - 2$. Therefore, we get

$$
H(T) = H(T_4) + \frac{2}{1+2} + \frac{4 \times 2}{2+2} - \frac{2}{1+2} \le \frac{11}{30}(n-4) + \frac{4}{15}(\gamma_t - 2) - \frac{1}{6} + 2
$$

= $f(n, \gamma_t)$.

With the equality holds only if $T_4 \in \mathcal{F}$ of order $n-4$ and the total domination number $\gamma_t - 2$, which implies that $T \in \mathcal{F}$ of order *n* with γ_t .
Subcase 2.2.2.1 et $d(y_t) > 3$

Subcase 2.2.2 Let $d(v_5) \geq 3$.

Denote $N(v_5) = \{v_4, z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{s-1}\}$. We consider two following subcases. Subcase 2.2.2.1 For each *l* ∈ {1, 2, . . . , s − 1}, $d(z_l) \leq 2$. We take $T_5 = T - \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ with $\gamma_t(T_5) = \gamma_t - 2$. Therefore,

$$
H(T) = H(T_5) + \frac{2}{1+2} + \frac{3 \times 2}{2+2} + \frac{2}{s+2} + \sum_{l=1}^{s-1} \frac{2}{s + d(z_l)} - \sum_{l=1}^{s-1} \frac{2}{s + d(z_l) - 1}
$$

$$
\leq \frac{11}{30}(n-5) + \frac{4}{15}(\gamma_t - 2) - \frac{1}{6} + \frac{13}{6} + \frac{2}{s+2} - \sum_{l=1}^{s-1} \frac{2}{(s + d(z_l))(s + d(z_l) - 1)}
$$

Ars Combinatoria Volume 159, 179–186

$$
\leq f(n, \gamma_t) - \frac{1}{5} + \frac{2}{s+2} + \frac{2(s-1)}{(s+2)(s+1)} \leq f(n, \gamma_t),
$$

for any $s \ge 3$. The equalities attain if and only if $T_6 \in \mathcal{F}$ of order $n - 5$ with $\gamma_t - 2$ and $d(v_5) = s = 3$, $d(z_1) = d(z_2) = 2$, which implies that $T \in \mathcal{F}$ of order *n* with γ_t .
Subcase 2.2.2.2.1 et $n = \max_{\mathbf{z}} \{d(z_1), \dots, d(z_{n-1})\} > 3$ Subcase 2.2.2.2 Let *p* = max { $d(z_1), \ldots, d(z_{s-1})$ } ≥ 3.

Without loss of generality, we suppose that $d(z_1) = p$. Denote $N(z_1) = \{v_5, v_1, \ldots, v_{p-1}\}$. By the above cases and Lemma [2,](#page-2-0) we assume that for any $1 \le l \le p - 1$, $d(y_l) \le 2$. We take $T' = T - \{z_1v_5\}$ with two components T_{z_1} and T_{v_5} which contain the vertex z_1 and v_5 , respectively. Then

$$
H(T) = H(T_{v_5}) + H(T_{z_1}) + \frac{2}{p+s} + \frac{2}{s+2} + \frac{2}{s+d(v_6)} - \sum_{l=2}^{s-2} \frac{2}{(d(z_l) + s)(d(z_l) + s - 1)}
$$

$$
- \sum_{l=1}^{p-1} \frac{2}{(d(y_l) + p)(d(y_l) + p - 1)} - \frac{2}{s-1} - \frac{2}{s+d(v_6) - 1}
$$

$$
\leq f(n, \gamma_t) - \frac{1}{6} + \frac{2}{p+s} - \frac{6}{(s+2)(s-1)} - \frac{2}{(d(v_6 + s)(d(v_6) + s - 1)}
$$

$$
- \frac{2(p-1)}{(p+2)(p+1)} - \sum_{l=2}^{s-2} \frac{2}{(d(z_l) + s)(d(z_l) + s - 1)}
$$

$$
\leq f(n, \gamma_t) - \frac{1}{6} + \frac{2}{p+s} - \frac{2(p-1)}{(p+2)(p+1)}
$$

$$
< f(n, \gamma_t),
$$

since $-\frac{1}{6}$ $rac{1}{6} + \frac{2}{p+1}$ \int_{c}^{∞} $\frac{1}{p+s} - \frac{2(p-1)}{(p+2)(p+1)} < 0$ for any $s \ge 3$ and $p \ge 3$. □

3. Conclusions

We have determined an upper bound for the harmonic index of trees and we have characterized the extremal trees for this bound. Now we propose some remarks and conjectures for further discussion.

Remark 1. *Let T be a tree with order n, domination number* ^γ *and total domination number* ^γ*^t . It was proved in [\[9\]](#page-7-1) that*

$$
H(T) \le \frac{11}{30}n + \frac{2}{5}\gamma - \frac{1}{6}.\tag{1}
$$

For $\gamma_t \leq \frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$ γ, we have 2γ_t ≤ 3γ and consequently, + $\frac{4}{15}$ γ_t ≤ + $\frac{2}{5}$ 5 γ*. Therefore, we get*

$$
H(T) \le \frac{11}{30}n + \frac{4}{15}\gamma_t - \frac{1}{6} \le \frac{11}{30}n + \frac{2}{5}\gamma - \frac{1}{6}.
$$

Consequently, our obtained upper bound in Theorem [1](#page-3-0) is stronger than the upper bound [\(1\)](#page-5-0) obtained in [\[9\]](#page-7-1).

Remark 2. *Zhong [\[10\]](#page-7-0) presented the following upper bound on the harmonic index of trees in terms of the order* $n \geq 5$ *.*

$$
H(T) \le \frac{4}{3} + \frac{n-3}{2}.\tag{2}
$$

For any $n \geq 5$ *and* $\gamma_t \leq \frac{n}{2}$ $\frac{n}{2}$ *, since f*(*n*, γ _{*t*}) *is an increasing function on* γ _{*t}, we have*</sub>

$$
H(T) = \frac{11}{30}n + \frac{4}{15}\gamma_t - \frac{1}{6} = f(n, \gamma_t) \le f(n, \frac{n}{2}) = \frac{11}{30}n + \frac{4}{30}n - \frac{1}{6}
$$

Ars Combinatoria Volume 159, 179–186

$$
\Box
$$

$$
= \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{6} = \frac{4}{3} + \frac{n-3}{2}
$$

Therefore, for any tree T and with the condition $\gamma_t \leq \frac{n}{2}$
bound in Theorem 1 $\frac{n}{2}$, the upper bound in [\[10\]](#page-7-0) is smaller than upper *bound in Theorem [1.](#page-3-0)*

For the lower bound, we think that the following conjecture could be true.

Conjecture 1. Let T be a tree of order n and total domination number γ_t . Then

$$
H(T) \ge (n - \frac{1}{2}(3\gamma_t - 1))\left(\frac{2}{n - \gamma_t + 1}\right) + \left(\frac{\gamma_t - 1}{2}\right)\left[\frac{2}{n - \gamma_t + 2} + \frac{7}{6}\right],\tag{3}
$$

with equality if and only if $T \in T_{s,r}$ *as the tree shown in Figure [2.](#page-6-6)*

Figure 2. The graph *^T^s*,*^r* .

Acknowledgements

The first author (R. Hasni) is grateful to Universiti Malaysia Terengganu (UMT), Terengganu, Malaysia, for granting him the Sabbatical Leave and Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM), Penang, Malaysia, for the hospitality in this research work. The authors would like to thank the referees for their comments and suggestions, which improved the paper.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest

References

- 1. West, D. B., 2001. *Introduction to Graph Theory*. Upper Saddle River: Prentice Hall.
- 2. Cockayne, E. J., Dawes, R. M. and Hedetniemi, S. T., 1980. Total domination in graphs. *Network, 10*, pp.211-219.
- 3. Henning, M. A., 2009. A survey of selected recent results on total domination in graphs. *Discrete Mathematics, 309*, pp.32-63.
- 4. Haynes, T. W., Hedetniemi, S. T. and Slater, P. J., 1998. *Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs*. Marcel Dekker, Inc.
- 5. Haynes, T. W., Hedetniemi, S. T. and Slater, P. J., 1998. *Domination in Graphs: Advanced Topics*. Marcel Dekker.
- 6. Henning, M. A. and Yeo, A., 2013. *Total domination in graphs*. Springer Monograph in Mathematics.
- 7. Ali, A., Zhong, L. and Gutman, I., 2019. Harmonic index and its generalizations: Extremal results and bounds. *MATCH Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry, 81*, pp.249- 311.
- 8. Fan, Q., Li, S. and Zhao, Q., 2015. Extremal values on the harmonic number of trees. *International Journal of Computer Mathematics, 92*(10), pp.2036-2050.
- 9. Hu, X. and Zhong, L., 2022. The harmonic index for trees with given domination number. *Discrete Mathematics Letters, 9*, pp.31-37.
- 10. Zhong, L., 2012. The harmonic index for graphs. *Applied Mathematics Letters, 25*, pp.561-566.
- 11. Bermudo, S., 2023. Upper bound for the geometric-arithmetic index of trees with given domination number. *Discrete Mathematics, 346*, p.113172.
- 12. Bermudo, S., Nápoles, J. E. and Rada, J., 2020. Extremal trees for the Randić index with given domination number. *Applied Mathematics and Computation , 375*, p.125122.
- 13. Borovicanin, B. and Furtula, B., 2016. On extremal Zagreb indices of trees with given domination ´ number. *Applied Mathematics and Computation , 279*, pp.208-218.
- 14. Sun, X. and Du, J., 2022. On Sombor index of trees with fixed domination number. *Applied Mathematics and Computation, 421*, p.126946.
- 15. Mojdeh, D. A., Habibi, M., Badakhshian, L. and Rao, Y., 2019. Zagreb indices of trees, unicyclic and bicyclic graphs with given (total) domination. *IEEE Access, 7*, pp.94143-94149.
- 16. Ahmad Jamri, A. A. S., Movahedi, F., Hasni, R., Gobithaasan, R. U. and Akhbari, M. H., 2022. Minimum Randić index of trees with fixed total domination number. *Mathematics*, 10, p.3729.
- 17. Ahmad Jamri, A. A. S., Hasni, R., Arif, N. E. and Harun, F. N., 2022. The Randic index of trees ´ with given total domination number. *Iranian Journal of Mathematical Chemistry, 12*, pp.225-237.
- 18. Bermudo, S., Hasni, R., Movahedi, F., Napoles, J. E. and Rada, J., 2024. The geometric-arithmetic ´ index of trees with given total domination number. *Discrete Applied Mathematics, 345*, pp.99-113.

© 2024 the Author(s), licensee Combinatorial Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://[creativecommons.org](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)/licenses/by/4.0)