

Toughness and (g, f) -factors in graphs with prescribed properties

Renying Chang*

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we consider the relationship between toughness and the existence of (g, f) -factors with inclusion/exclusion properties. We obtain that if $t(G) \geq \frac{(a+b)^2+2(b-a)-3}{4(a+1)}$ with $b > a \geq 2$ and $a \leq g(x) < f(x) \leq b$ where a, b are two integers, then for any two given edges e_1 and e_2 , there exists a (g, f) -factor including e_1, e_2 ; and a (g, f) -factor including e_1 and excluding e_2 ; as well as a (g, f) -factor excluding e_1, e_2 .

Keywords: (g, f) -factor; toughness; inclusion/exclusion properties

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C70.

1. Introduction

All graphs considered are simple and finite. We refer the reader to [2] for terminologies and notations not defined here.

Let G be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. For $x \in V(G)$, we denote by $d_G(x)$ the degree of x in G and by $N_G(x)$ the set of vertices adjacent to x in G . We write $N_G[x]$ for $N_G(x) \cup \{x\}$. The minimum degree of G is denoted by $\delta(G)$. For $S \subseteq V(G)$, let $N_G(S)$ denote the union of $N_G(x)$ for every $x \in S$. We use $G[S]$ and $G - S$ to denote the subgraph induced by S and $V(G) - S$.

A subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ is called an independent set (a covering set) if every edge of G is incident with at most (at least) one vertex of S . For any disjoint subsets $S, T \subseteq V(G)$, $E_G(S, T)$ denotes the set of edges with one end in S and the other in T and $e_G(S, T) = |E_G(S, T)|$.

Let $f : V(G) \rightarrow N$ be an integer function. For any subset $X \subseteq V(G)$, we denote

* Corresponding author.

$f(X) = \sum_{x \in X} f(x)$ and $f(\emptyset) = 0$. A spanning subgraph F of G is called an f -factor of G satisfying $d_F(x) = f(x)$ for any $x \in V(G)$. When $f(x) = k$ for all $x \in V(G)$, F is called a k -factor. Let g and f be two integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ with $g(x) \leq f(x)$ for any $x \in V(G)$. A (g, f) -factor of G is a spanning subgraph F satisfying $g(x) \leq d_F(x) \leq f(x)$ for any $x \in V(G)$. F is called an $[a, b]$ -factor if $g(x) = a$ and $f(x) = b$ for any $x \in V(G)$.

Chvátal [7] first introduced the concept of *toughness*, $t(G)$, denoted by

$$t(G) = \min\left\{\frac{|S|}{\omega(G-S)} : S \subseteq V(G), \omega(G-S) \geq 2\right\},$$

where $\omega(G-S)$ denotes the number of components of $G-S$ and G is not a complete graph. If G is complete, then $t(G) = \infty$. A graph G is k -tough if $t(G) \geq k$.

Chvátal mainly studied the relationship between toughness and the existence of Hamilton cycles and k -factors. He conjectured that every k -tough graph G has a k -factor if $k|V(G)|$ is even (k is a positive integer).

Enomoto et al. [9] confirmed Chvátal's conjecture and showed that the result is sharp.

Chen [5] improved considered k -factors containing a specified edge or excluding a specified edge under the similar condition.

Theorem 1.1 ([5]). *Let G be a graph and $k \geq 2$. If $t(G) \geq k$ and $k|V(G)|$ is even, then for every edge e of G , there exists a k -factor which contains the given edge e , and there also exists a k -factor which does not contain e .*

As a generalization of Chvátal's conjecture, Katerinis [12] studied the relationship between toughness and the existence of f -factors, as well as $[a, b]$ -factor.

Since the toughness condition about k -factors is sharp, we [4] considered the relationship between toughness condition and the existence of $[a, b]$ -factors for $b > a \geq 2$. We observed the bound of toughness condition in Theorem 1.2 is sharp.

Theorem 1.2 ([4]). *Let G be a graph of order n and a, b be two positive integers with $b > a \geq 2$. If $t(G) \geq a - 1 + \frac{a-1}{b}$, then G has an $[a, b]$ -factor.*

Much work has been contributed to the existence of factors with given properties ([1, 13, 16, 17]). Recently, the researchers considered toughness and factors with various conditions ([8, 10, 15, 18], [19, 20]). The existence of an even $[4, b]$ -factor in a graph was considered [6]. In this paper, we consider the existence of (g, f) -factors with inclusion/exclusion properties under the condition of toughness when $a \leq g(x) < f(x) \leq b$, $b > a \geq 2$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be a graph, g and f be two integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ with $a \leq g(x) < f(x) \leq b$ for any $x \in V(G)$ where a, b are two positive integers with $b > a \geq 2$. Let e_1, e_2 be two distinct edges of a graph G . If $t(G) \geq \frac{(a+b)^2 + 2(b-a) - 3}{4(a+1)}$, then G contains a (g, f) -factor containing e_1 and e_2 ; and a (g, f) -factor containing e_1 and excluding e_2 ; as well as a (g, f) -factor excluding e_1 and e_2 ;*

2. Preliminary lemmas

In order to prove the main theorem, we first give the characterization of (g, f) -factors due to Heinrich [11].

Theorem 2.1 ([11]). *Let G be a graph and g, f be integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$. If $g(x) < f(x)$ for every $x \in V(G)$, then G has a (g, f) -factor if and only if for any subset S of $V(G)$,*

$$g(T) - d_{G-S}(T) \leq f(S),$$

where $T = \{x \mid x \in V(G) - S, d_{G-S}(x) \leq g(x)\}$.

The following lemma can be deduced from Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 2.2 ([14]). *Let G be a graph and g, f be integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $g(x) < f(x) \leq d_G(x)$ for every $x \in V(G)$. Let E_1 and E_2 be two disjoint subsets of $E(G)$, then G has a (g, f) -factor F such that $E_1 \subseteq E(F)$ and $E_2 \cap E(F) = \emptyset$ if and only if for any disjoint subsets S and T of $V(G)$*

$$g(T) - d_{G-S}(T) \leq f(S) - \alpha(S, T; E_1, E_2) - \beta(S, T; E_1, E_2),$$

where $U = V(G) - S - T$, $\alpha(S, T; E_1, E_2) = 2|E_1 \cap E_G(S)| + |E_1 \cap E_G(S, U)|$ and $\beta(S, T; E_1, E_2) = 2|E_2 \cap E_G(T)| + |E_2 \cap E_G(T, U)|$.

In addition, the lemmas below are essential to the proof of our main theorem.

Lemma 2.3 ([14]). *Let G be a graph and $H = G[T]$ such that $\delta(H) \geq 1$ and $1 \leq d_G(x) \leq k - 1$ for every $x \in V(H)$ where $T \subseteq V(G)$ and $k \geq 2$. Let T_1, \dots, T_{k-1} be a partition of the vertices of H satisfying $d_G(x) = j$ for each $x \in T_j$ where we allow some T_j to be empty. If each component of H has a vertex of degree at most $k - 2$ in G , then H has a maximal independent set I and a covering set $C = V(H) - I$ such that*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (k-j)c_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (k-2)(k-j)i_j,$$

where $c_j = |C \cap T_j|$ and $i_j = |I \cap T_j|$ for $j = 1, \dots, k - 1$.

3. Proof of the main result

We also need the following lemmas to prove our main theorem.

Lemma 3.1 ([7]). *If a graph G is not complete, then $t(G) \leq \frac{1}{2}\delta(G)$.*

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a graph with toughness $t(G) \geq \frac{(a+b)^2 + 2(b-a) - 3}{4(a+1)}$ and g, f be integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $a \leq g(x) < f(x) \leq b$ for every $x \in V(G)$,*

where a, b are integers. Let S, T be a pair of disjoint subsets of $V(G)$. If $S \neq \emptyset$ and $T \neq \emptyset$, then

$$g(T) - d_{G-S}(T) \leq f(S) - 4.$$

Proof of Lemma 3.2. By the contrary, suppose that there exists a pair of disjoint subsets S, T of $V(G)$ with $|S| > 0, |T| > 0$ satisfying $g(T) - d_{G-S}(T) > f(S) - 4$. That is,

$$g(T) - d_{G-S}(T) \geq f(S) - 3. \quad (1)$$

Moreover, suppose that S, T is a pair of minimal sets respect to (1). Then by the minimality of S and T we obtain the following claim.

Claim 1.

(1) Given S , if T is a minimal set with respect to (1), then $d_{G-S}(x) < g(x)$ for all $x \in T$.

(2) Given T , if S is a minimal set with respect to (1), then $d_T(x) > a + 1$ for all $x \in S$.

Proof. If $|T| \geq 2$, by the choice of T , we have for any $x \in T$, $g(T - \{x\}) - d_{G-S}(T - \{x\}) < f(S) - 3$. That is, $d_{G-S}(x) < g(x)$.

If $|T| = 1$, let $T = \{x\}$. Then we get that $d_{G-S}(x) \leq g(x)$. And we notice that $d_{G-S}(x) = g(x)$ holds only when $d_{G-S}(x) = g(x) = 2$ and $f(S) = 3$, it follows that $a = 2$ and $|S| = 1$. Then $t(G) \geq \frac{(a+b)^2 + 2(b-a) - 3}{4(a+1)} \geq 2$ and $\delta(G) \geq 2t(G) \geq 4$ by Lemma 3.1. However, $d_G(x) \leq d_{G-S}(x) + |S| = 3$, a contradiction. Therefore, $d_{G-S}(x) < g(x)$ when $|T| = 1$.

Similarly, for any $v \in S$, we have $g(T) - d_{G-(S-\{v\})}(T) < f(S - \{v\}) - 3$. Hence $d_T(v) = e_G(v, T) > f(v) \geq a + 1$. We complete the proof of Claim 1. \square

Since $g(x) < f(x) \leq b$, we have $0 \leq d_{G-S}(x) \leq b - 2$ for any $x \in T$. Let $T_i = \{x \in T \mid d_{G-S}(x) = i, 0 \leq i \leq b - 2\}$, $t_i = |T_i|$. Set $H = G[T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \dots \cup T_{b-2}]$. $T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \dots \cup T_{b-2}$ is a partition of the vertices of H . By Lemma 2.3, H has a maximal independent set I and a covering set C such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{b-2} (b-1-j)c_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^{b-2} j(b-1-j)i_j, \quad (2)$$

where $c_j = |C \cap T_j|$ and $i_j = |I \cap T_j|$ for $j = 1, \dots, b - 2$.

Without the loss of generality, we choose I to be a maximal independent set of H . Set $W = V(G) - S - T$ and $U = S \cup C \cup (N_{G-S}(I) \cap W)$. Then

$$|U| \leq |S| + \sum_{j=1}^{b-2} j i_j, \quad \omega(G - U) \geq t_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{b-2} i_j.$$

Now we show that $|U| \geq t(G)\omega(G - U)$.

It holds obviously when $\omega(G - U) \geq 2$. When $\omega(G - U) = 1$, for any $x \in T$, $|U| \geq d_{G-S}(x) + |S| \geq \delta(G) \geq 2t(G) > t(G)\omega(G - U)$.

Therefore

$$|S| \geq |U| - \sum_{j=1}^{b-2} j i_j \geq \sum_{j=1}^{b-2} (t(G) - j) i_j + t(G)t_0.$$

On the other hand, since $a \leq g(x) < f(x) \leq b$,

$$\begin{aligned} g(T) - d_{G-S}(T) &\leq (b-1)|T| - d_{G-S}(T) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{b-2} (b-1-j)t_j + (b-1)t_0 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{b-2} (b-1-j)i_j + \sum_{j=1}^{b-2} (b-1-j)c_j + (b-1)t_0, \end{aligned}$$

and $f(S) \geq (a+1)|S|$.

Combined with (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{b-2} (b-1-j)i_j + \sum_{j=1}^{b-2} (b-1-j)c_j \\ \geq (a+1)|S| - 3 - (b-1)t_0 \\ \geq (a+1) \sum_{j=1}^{b-2} (t(G) - j)i_j + ((a+1)t(G) - b + 1)t_0 - 3. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{b-2} (b-1-j)c_j \geq \sum_{j=1}^{b-2} ((a+1)t(G) - (a+1)j - (b-1) \\ + j)i_j + ((a+1)t(G) - b + 1)t_0 - 3. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Combined with (2), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{b-2} j(b-1-j)i_j \geq \sum_{j=1}^{b-2} ((a+1)t(G) - (a+1)j - (b-1) + j)i_j \\ + ((a+1)t(G) - b + 1)t_0 - 3. \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider the following cases.

Case 1. $t_0 > 0$.

In this case, we have $(a+1)t(G) - (b-1) \geq \frac{(a+b)^2 + 2(b-a) - 3}{4} - (b-1) = \frac{(a+b-1)^2}{4} > 3$ since $t(G) \geq \frac{(a+b)^2 + 2(b-a) - 3}{4(a+1)}$. Therefore there exists some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, b-2\}$ satisfying $j(b-1-j) > (a+1)t(G) - (a+1)j - (b-1) + j$, that is, $j(b-1-j) + (a+1)j - j > (a+1)t(G) - (b-1)$. Meanwhile, $j(b-1-j) + (a+1)j - j = -j^2 + (a+b-1)j \leq \frac{(a+b-1)^2}{4}$ and $(a+1)t(G) - (b-1) \geq \frac{(a+b-1)^2}{4}$, a contradiction.

Case 2. $t_0 = 0$.

In this case, we first show the following claim.

Claim 2. $C \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. If $C = \emptyset$, then $|T| = \sum_{j=1}^{b-2} i_j$. By (3), we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{b-2} ((a+1)t(G) - (a+1)j - (b-1-j))i_j \leq 3.$$

Since $t(G) \geq \frac{(a+b)^2+2(b-a)-3}{4(a+1)}$ and $j \leq b-2$, we get

$$\frac{(b-a+1)^2+4a}{4}|T| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{b-2} \left(\frac{(b+a-1)^2}{4} - aj \right) i_j \leq 3.$$

By Claim 1, $|T| \geq a+2 \geq 4(b > a \geq 2)$, $\frac{(b-a+1)^2+4a}{4}|T| \geq 4$, a contradiction. \square

Next we show that for any vertex $x \in C$, $d_I(x) = 1$. If there exists one vertex in C with at least two neighbors in I , then $|U| \leq |S| + \sum_{j=1}^{b-2} j i_j - 1$. And $|S| \geq t(G)(t_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{b-2} i_j) - \sum_{j=1}^{b-2} j i_j + 1$.

By the inequality (2), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{b-2} j(b-1-j)i_j &\geq \sum_{j=1}^{b-2} ((a+1)t(G) - (a+1)j - (b-1) \\ &\quad + j)i_j + ((a+1)t(G) - b + 1)t_0 - 3 \\ &> \sum_{j=1}^{b-2} ((a+1)t(G) - (a+1)j - (b-1) + j)i_j. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to Case 1, we also obtain a contradiction.

Now, let $x \in C$ and $U' = U - \{x\}$. Then $\omega(G - U') = \sum_{j=1}^{b-2} i_j$. Now we show that

$$|U'| \geq t(G) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{b-2} i_j \right).$$

It holds obviously when $\sum_{j=1}^{b-2} i_j > 1$. If $\sum_{j=1}^{b-2} i_j = 1$, set the independent vertex be v . Then $|U| = |U' \cup \{v\}| \geq |S| + d_{G-S}(v) \geq \delta(G) \geq 2t(G)$, that is $|U'| \geq t(G) + (t(G) - 1) \geq t(G) = t(G) \sum_{j=1}^{b-2} i_j$ (since $t(G) \geq \frac{(a+b)^2+2(b-a)-3}{4(a+1)} \geq 1$).

Now we obtain that $|S| \geq t(G) \sum_{j=1}^{b-2} i_j - \sum_{j=1}^{b-2} j i_j + 1$. By the inequality (2), it follows that

$\sum_{j=1}^{b-2} j(b-1-j)i_j > \sum_{j=1}^{b-2} ((a+1)t(G) - (a+1)j - (b-1) + j)i_j$. Similarly to Case 1, we also obtain a contradiction. The proof is complete. \square

Now we begin to prove our main results.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let E_1, E_2 be two edge sets with $E_1 \cup E_2 = \{e_1, e_2\}$. The theorem holds if and only if G contains a (g, f) -factor F such that $E_1 \subseteq E(F)$, $E_2 \cap E(F) = \emptyset$ where E_1 or E_2 may be empty. By the contrary, suppose that G does not contain such a (g, f) -factor F . Then, by Lemma 2.2, there exists a pair of disjoint subsets S, T of $V(G)$ such that

$$g(T) - d_{G-S}(T) > f(S) - \alpha(S, T; E_1, E_2) - \beta(S, T; E_1, E_2), \quad (4)$$

where $W = V(G) - S - T$, $\alpha(S, T; E_1, E_2) = 2|E_1 \cap E_G(S)| + |E_1 \cap E_G(S, W)|$ and $\beta(S, T; E_1, E_2) = 2|E_2 \cap E_G(T)| + |E_2 \cap E_G(T, W)|$.

Meanwhile, as $t(G) \geq \frac{(a+b)^2+2(b-a)-3}{4(a+1)}$, G contains a (g, f) -factor [3]. Therefore,

$$g(T) - d_{G-S}(T) \leq f(S). \quad (5)$$

Now we show the following claim.

Claim. $S \neq \emptyset$ and $T \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. If $S \cup T = \emptyset$, then $\alpha(S, T; E_1, E_2) = \beta(S, T; E_1, E_2) = 0$, and $g(T) - d_{G-S}(T) > f(S)$, a contradiction to (5).

Then we consider the following cases.

Case 1. $S = \emptyset$ and $T \neq \emptyset$. Then $\alpha(S, T; E_1, E_2) = 0$. And we obtain that $\beta(S, T; E_1, E_2) \neq 0$ from (4) and (5). It follows that $E_2 \neq \emptyset$. Hence either $E_2 = \{e_2\}$ or $E_2 = \{e_1, e_2\}$.

If $E_2 = \{e_2\}$, then $E_1 = \{e_1\}$, which is the case of containing e_1 and excluding e_2 . According to (4) again,

$$g(T) - d_G(T) > -2|E_2 \cap E_G(T)| - |E_2 \cap E_G(T, W)|.$$

Then $g(T) - d_G(T) \leq (b-1-\delta(G))|T| \leq (b-1-2t(G))|T|$ since $g(T) \leq (b-1)|T|$ and $\delta(G) \geq 2t(G)$. It follows that $(b-1-2t(G))|T| \leq \frac{1-a^2-b^2}{2(1+a)}|T| \leq -a|T|$ by $t(G) \geq \frac{(a+b)^2+2(b-a)-3}{4(a+1)}$. And it yields that $-a \geq (-a)|T| > -2|E_2 \cap E_G(T)| - |E_2 \cap E_G(T, W)| \geq -2$, contradicting with $a \geq 2$.

If $E_2 = \{e_1, e_2\}$, then $E_1 = \emptyset$, which is the case of excluding e_1 and e_2 . Then

$$g(T) - d_G(T) > -2|E_2 \cap E_G(T)| - |E_2 \cap E_G(T, W)|.$$

Then $g(T) - d_G(T) \leq (b-1-\delta(G))|T| \leq (b-1-2t(G))|T|$ since $g(T) \leq (b-1)|T|$ and $\delta(G) \geq 2t(G)$. It follows that $(b-1-2t(G))|T| \leq \frac{1-a^2-b^2}{2(1+a)}|T| \leq -a|T|$ by $t(G) \geq \frac{(a+b)^2+2(b-a)-3}{4(a+1)}$. And it yields that $(-a)|T| > -2|E_2 \cap E_G(T)| - |E_2 \cap E_G(T, W)|$.

If $|T| \geq 2$, then $-2a > -4$, a contradiction. If $|T| = 1$, $2|E_2 \cap E_G(T)| + |E_2 \cap E_G(T, W)| \leq 2$, then $-2 > (-a)|T| > -2|E_2 \cap E_G(T)| - |E_2 \cap E_G(T, W)| \geq -2$, a contradiction, too.

Case 2. $S \neq \emptyset$ and $T = \emptyset$. Then $\beta(S, T; E_1, E_2) = 0$. Meanwhile, $\alpha(S, T; E_1, E_2) \neq 0$. It follows that $E_1 \neq \emptyset$. Hence either $E_1 = \{e_1\}$ or $E_1 = \{e_1, e_2\}$.

If $E_1 = \{e_1\}$, then $E_2 = \{e_2\}$, which is the case of including e_1 and excluding e_2 . From (4), we have $f(S) < \alpha(S, T; E_1, E_2) = 2|E_1 \cap E_G(S)| + |E_1 \cap E_G(S, W)| \leq 2$, which is impossible since $f > a \geq 2$.

$E_1 = \{e_1, e_2\}$, then $E_2 = \emptyset$, which is the case of containing e_1 and e_2 . And

$$f(S) - 2|E_1 \cap E_G(S)| - |E_1 \cap E_G(S, W)| < 0.$$

Then $f(S) < 2|E_1 \cap E_G(S)| + |E_1 \cap E_G(S, W)|$ as $f > a \geq 2$, a contradiction. This complete the proof of the claim. \square

Now since $S \neq \emptyset$ and $T \neq \emptyset$, by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$g(T) - d_{G-S}(T) \leq f(S) - 4.$$

But $\alpha(S, T; E_1, E_2) + \beta(S, T; E_1, E_2) \leq 4$, it follows from (4) that

$$g(T) - d_{G-S}(T) > f(S) - 4,$$

a contradiction. The proof is complete. \square

References

- [1] S. Akbari and M. Kano. $k, r - k$ -factors of r -regular graphs. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 30:821–826, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00373-013-1324-x>.
- [2] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty. *Graph Theory with Applications*. Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [3] R. Chang. *Factors and Fractional Factors of Graphs*. PhD thesis, Shandong University, Jinan, 2010.
- [4] R. Chang, Y. Zhu, and G. Liu. Toughness and $[a, b]$ -factors in graphs. *Ars Combinatoria*, 105:451–459, 2012.
- [5] C. Chen. Toughness of graphs and k -factors with given properties. *Ars Combinatoria*, 34:55–64, 1992.
- [6] E. Cho, S. Kwon, and S. O. Sharp Ore-type conditions for the existence of an even $[4, b]$ -factor in a graph. *Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society*, 59:757–774, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.4134/JKMS.j210605>.
- [7] V. Chvátal. Tough graphs and Hamiltonian circuits. *Discrete Mathematics*, 5:215–228, 1973. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X\(73\)90138-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X(73)90138-6).
- [8] G. Dai. Toughness and isolated toughness conditions for path-factor critical covered graphs. *RAIRO Operations Research*, 57:847–856, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1051/ro/2023039>.
- [9] H. Enomoto, B. Jackson, P. Katerinis, and A. Saito. Toughness and the existence of k -factors. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 9:87–95, 1985. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt.3190090106>.
- [10] Z. He, L. Liang, and W. Gao. Isolated toughness variant and fractional k -factor. *RAIRO Operations Research*, 56:3675–3688, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1051/ro/2022177>.
- [11] K. Heinrich, P. Hell, P. Kirkpatrick, and G. Liu. A simple existence criterion for $(g < f)$ -factors. *Discrete Mathematics*, 85:313–317, 1990. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X\(90\)90387-W](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X(90)90387-W).
- [12] P. Katerinis. Toughness of graphs and the existence of factors. *Discrete Mathematics*, 80(1):81–92, 1990. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X\(90\)90297-U](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X(90)90297-U).
- [13] P. Katerinis and T. Wang. Toughness of graphs and 2-factors with given properties. *Ars Combinatoria*, 95:161–177, 2010.
- [14] P. Lam, G. Liu, G. Li, and W. Shui. Orthogonal (g, f) -factorization in networks. *Networks*, 35(4):274–278, 2000. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0037\(200007\)35:4%3C274::AID-NET6%3E3.0.CO;2-6](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0037(200007)35:4%3C274::AID-NET6%3E3.0.CO;2-6).
- [15] Z. Sun and S. Zhou. Isolated toughness and k -Hamiltonian $[a, b]$ -factors. *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica, English Series*, 36:539–544, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10255-020-0963-y>.
- [16] T. Wang, Z. Wu, and Q. Yu. 2-tough graphs and f -factors with given properties. *Utilitas Mathematica*, 90:187–197, 2013.
- [17] Z. Wu, G. Liu, and Q. Yu. Toughness and $[a, b]$ -factors with inclusion/exclusion properties. *Science China Mathematics*, 54:1491–1498, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11425-011-4222-9>.

-
- [18] X. Yang and L. Xiong. Forbidden subgraphs for existences of connected 2-factors of a graph. *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory*, 43:211–224, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.7151/dmgt.2366>.
- [19] S. Zhou. Degree conditions and path factors with inclusion or exclusion properties. *Bulletin Mathématique de la Société des Sciences Mathématiques de Roumanie*, 66:3–14, 2023.
- [20] S. Zhou, Z. Sun, and Q. Bian. Isolated toughness and path-factor uniform graphs (II). *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 54:689–696, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13226-022-00286-x>.

Renyong Chang

Business School, Shanghai Dianji University, Shanghai, 201306, China

E-mail changrystd@163.com