Orbit Structures of Automorphism Groups of Designs

Ixin Wen

King's River Community College Reedley, CA USA 93654

Hugo Sun

Department of Mathematics California State University Fresno, CA USA 93740

ABSTRACT. In the last two decades, mathematicians discuss various transtivities of automorphism groups of designs (i.e. points, blocks and flag transtivities), from all of these study, we know that

$$0 \le O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{B}) - O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{X}) \le |\mathbf{B}| - |\mathbf{X}|$$

for $2 - (v, k, \lambda)$ designs. (See [BMP]).

In this paper, we discussed the orbit structure of general combinatorial designs D(X, B), obtained the equalities

$$O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{F}) = \sum_{i=1}^{u} O^{\#}(H(x_i), X_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{l} O^{\#}((H(B_j), B_j),$$

where $H(x_i)$ and $H(B_j)$ are the stabilizers of the point x_i and the block B_j respectively, $u = O^{\#}(G, X)$, $l = O^{\#}(G, B)$.

A design D(X, B) with parameters $t - (v, k, \lambda)$ is an incidence structure, such that

- (1) X is a v-set,
- (2) B is a collection of k-subsets of X, and

(3) each t-subset of X is contained in exactly λ members of B. The elements of X are called *points*, and the elements of B, blocks. We shall assume that all the parameters are positive integers, and that $v > k \ge t$ (to avoid trivial situations). Also, the members of B must be distinct; in other words, repeated blocks are not allowed.

For each positive integer s, with $0 \le s < t$, a t-design $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{B})$ is also an s-design. If the given design has parameters $t - (v, k, \lambda)$, then its parameters as an s-design are $s - (v, k, \lambda_s)$, where

$$\lambda_s = \lambda \frac{(v-s)(v-s-1)\dots(v-t+1)}{(k-s)(k-s-1)\dots(k-t+1)}.$$

In particular, we set $\lambda_0 = b$ and $\lambda_1 = r$. This means that r is the number of blocks containing any given point. Thus we have the general equation

$$(v-i)\lambda_{i+1} = (k-i)\lambda_i \qquad (0 \le i < t),$$

and the important case of i = 0 may be written as

$$vr = bk$$
.

(see [HP] and [BW])

We define the flag set F of a design D(X, B) as the set of all pairs (x, B), where $x \in X$, $B \in B$, and $x \in B$. Clearly, the number of elements of F is bk or vr.

A design D(X, B) with parameters $t - (v, k, \lambda)$ is symmetric if it is incomplete (i.e., $b \neq \begin{bmatrix} v \\ k \end{bmatrix}$), $t \geq 2$, and b = v.

An automorphism of a design D(X, B), is a permutation σ of X such that $B \in B$ implies that $\sigma(B) \in B$. Furthermore, according to the definition of flags, for $F = (x, B) \in F$, $\sigma(F) \in F$ must also be satisfied. Clearly, the automorphisms of D(X, B) form a group which acts of X from the left. Since an automorphism takes blocks to blocks and flags to flags, the group also has a permutation representation on the block set B and the flag set F. They are denoted by (G, X), (G, B), and (G, F), respectively.

Let $O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{F})$, $O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{X})$, and $O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{B})$ denote the number of orbits of (G, \mathbf{F}) , (G, \mathbf{X}) , and (G, \mathbf{B}) , respectively. For each $x_i \in \mathbf{X}$, we let $X_i = \{B \in \mathbf{B} \mid x_i \in B\}$. Clearly, $|X_i| = r$, independent of the choice of $x_i \in \mathbf{X}$, and |B| = k for all $B \in \mathbf{B}$.

Proposition 1. If G is point transitive, i.e., (G, X) is transitive, then $O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{F}) \leq r$. Furthermore, $O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{F}) = r$ if and only if H(x) = H(F) for any $x \in \mathbf{X}$ and $F \in \mathbf{F}$.

Proof: It is easy to compute $|\mathbf{F}| = vr = bk$ and $v = |\mathbf{X}| = [G: H(x)]$, for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$ since G is point transitive. Let F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_w be a set of

representatives of orbits for (G, \mathbf{F}) such that $F_i = (x, B_i)$. Hence we obtain

$$H(x) \supseteq H(F_j);$$

in fact, $H(F_i) = H(x) \cap H(B_i)$, for $B_i \in \mathbf{B}$, j = 1, 2, ..., w.

From the structure of a design, we have

$$vr = |\mathbf{F}| = \sum_{j=1}^{w} [G: H(F_j)] = \sum_{j=1}^{w} [G: H(x)][H(x): H(F_j)]$$
$$= [G: H(x)] \sum_{j=1}^{w} [H(x): H(F_j)] = v \sum_{j=1}^{w} [H(x): H(F_j)],$$

SO

$$r = \sum_{j=1}^{w} [H(x) \colon H(F_j)].$$

Therefore, $r \geq O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{F})$ since $[H(x): H(F_j)] \geq 1$ for j = 1, 2, ..., w. Moreover,

$$r = O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{F})$$

$$\Leftrightarrow [H(x): H(F_j)] = 1$$

$$\Leftrightarrow H(x) = H(F_j).$$

Corollary. If G is point regular, then G is flag semiregular and $r = O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{F})$.

Proof: Since H(x) = 1, H(F) = 1; and since H(x) = H(F), $r = O^{\#}(G, F)$.

Similarly, we have the following:

Proposition 1'. If G is block transitive, then $k \ge O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{F})$. Furthermore, $k = O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{F})$ if and only if H(B) = H(F) for any $B \in \mathbf{B}$ and $F \in \mathbf{F}$.

Corollary. If G is block transitive, then G is flag semiregular and $k = O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{F})$.

Let $x_1, x_2, ..., x_u$ be a set of representatives of the orbits for the permutation representation (G, \mathbf{X}) , $u = O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{X})$, $B_1, B_2, ..., B_l$ be a set of representatives of the orbits for (G, \mathbf{B}) , $l = O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{B})$. The permutation representations $(H(x_i), X_i)$, i = 1, 2, ..., u, indicates that $H(x_i)$ acts on X_i , which is a subset of \mathbf{B} , and $O^{\#}(H(x_i), X_i)$ is the number of orbits of $(H(x_i), X_i)$; similarly, $O^{\#}(H(B_j), B_j)$ is the number of orbits of $(H(B_j), B_j)$, where $H(B_j)$ acts on B_j , a subset of \mathbf{X} , for j = 1, 2, ..., 1. We conclude:

Theorem. (Point, Block and Flag Orbit Theorem)

$$O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{F}) = \sum_{i=1}^{u} O^{\#}(H(x_i), X_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{l} O^{\#}(H(B_j), B_j).$$

Proof: We first prove that $O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{F}) = \sum_{i=1}^{u} O^{\#}(H(x_i), X_i)$.

Let $t_i = O^{\#}(H(x_i), X_i)$, and let $B_{i1}, B_{i2}, \ldots, B_{it}$ be a set of representatives of the orbits for $(H(x_i), X_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, u$.

We need to prove that the pairs (x_i, B_{ij}) , $j = 1, 2, ..., t_i$, i = 1, 2, ..., u, is a set of representatives of the orbits for (G, \mathbf{F}) ; in other words, we need to prove that, for any two pairs (x_i, B_{ij}) and $(x_{i'}, B_{i'j'})$,

$$\sigma(x_i, B_{ij}) \neq (x_{i'}, B_{i'j'}),$$

for all $\sigma \in G$. Here $j = 1, 2, ..., t_i$, $j' = 1, 2, ..., t_{i'}$, and i', i = 1, 2, ..., u. Case 1. If $i \neq i'$, clearly $\sigma(x_i, B_{ij}) \neq (x_{i'}, B_{i'j'})$, for all $\sigma \in G$ since x_i and $x_{i'}$ are not in the same orbit of (G, \mathbf{X}) .

Case 2. If i=i', and $j\neq j'$, we also have $\sigma(x_i,B_{ij})\neq (x_i,B_{ij'})$, for all $\sigma\in G$. $j,j'=1,2,\ldots,t_i$, and $i=1,2,\ldots,u$. Otherwise, there exists $\sigma\in G$ such that

$$\sigma(x_i, B_{ij}) = (x_i, B_{ij'}),$$

which implies that $\sigma(x_i) = x_i$, i.e., $\sigma \in H(x_i)$, and $\sigma B_{ij} = B_{ij'}$. This contradicts the fact that B_{ij} and $B_{ij'}$ are in different orbits of $(H(x_i), X_i)$.

For any flag $F \in \mathbf{F}$, where F = (x, B) and $x \in B$, there is x_i and $\sigma \in G$ such that $\sigma x_i = x$, then

$$F = (x, B) = (\sigma x_i, B) = \sigma(x_i, \sigma^{-1}B).$$

Since $x \in B$, $\sigma^{-1}x \in \sigma^{-1}B$, which implies that $x_i \in \sigma^{-1}B$, and $\sigma^{-1}B \in X_i$. Hence, there exists $\tau \in H(x_i)$ and $B_{ij} \in X_i$ such that

$$\tau \sigma^{-1} B = B_{ij}$$
.

Therefore

$$F = \sigma(x_i, \sigma^{-1}B) = \sigma \tau^{-1}(x_i, \tau \sigma^{-1}B) = \sigma \tau^{-1}(x_i, B_{ij}).$$

Moreover,

$$O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{F}) = \sum_{i=1}^{u} t_i = \sum_{i=1}^{u} O^{\#}(H(x_i), X_i).$$

Similarly, we take the dual of points and blocks and conclude,

$$O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{F}) = \sum_{j=1}^{l} O^{\#}(H(B_j), B_j).$$

Corollary 1. If G is point semiregular, then

$$O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{F}) = rO^{\#}(G, \mathbf{X}).$$

If G is block semiregular, then

$$O^{\#}(G,\mathbf{F}) = kO^{\#}(G,\mathbf{B}).$$

Proof: It follows from

$$O^{\#}(H(x_i), X_i) = r$$
, for $i = 1, 2, ..., u$,

and

$$O^{\#}(H(B_j), B_j) = k$$
, for $j = 1, 2, ..., 1$.

Corollary 2. If G is point transitive, G is flag transitive if and only if $H(x_0)$ is transitive on X_0 for some $x_0 \in X$. If G is block transitive, G is flag transitive if and only if $H(B_0)$ is transitive on B_0 for some $B_0 \in B$.

Proof: Since G is point transitive, $O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{X}) = 1$. G is block transitive, then $O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{B}) = 1$.

As an example,, Let D(X, B) be a $2 - (3^2, 3, 1)$ design, where

X = {0,1,2,...,8}, so |X| = 9;
B = {
$$B_1$$
 = {0,1,5}, B_5 = {2,7,8}, B_9 = {3,4,6},
 B_2 = {0,2,6}, B_6 = {1,3,8}, B_{10} = {4,5,7},
 B_3 = {0,3,7}, B_7 = {1,2,4}, B_{11} = {5,6,8},
 B_4 = {0,4,8}, B_8 = {1,6,7}, B_{12} = {2,3,5}},

so $|{\bf B}| = 12$;

$$\mathbf{F} = \{(0, B_1), (1, B_1), (5, B_1), (0, B_2), (2, B_2), (6, B_2), \dots, (2, B_{12}), (3, B_{12}), (5, B_{12})\},\$$

so $|\mathbf{F}| = 3 \cdot 12 = 36$.

The automorphism group G of the $2 - (3^2, 3, 1)$ design is

$$(G, \mathbf{X}) = \{ \sigma_0 = \mathbf{I} = (0)(1) \dots (8),$$

$$\sigma_1 = (015)(287)(346), \ \sigma_1^{-1} = (051)(278)(364),$$

$$\sigma_2 = (026)(183)(457), \ \sigma_2^{-1} = (062)(138)(475),$$

$$\sigma_3 = (037)(142)(568), \ \sigma_3^{-1} = (073)(124)(586),$$

$$\sigma_4 = (048)(167)(253), \ \sigma_4^{-1} = (084)(176)(235) \}$$

According to Burnside's Lemma,

$$O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{F}) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\sigma \in G} |\{F \mid \sigma(F) = F \text{ for all } F \text{ in } \mathbf{F}\}| = \frac{1}{9} (36 + 0 \cdot 8) = 4,$$

since $|\mathbf{F}| = 36$ and σ_i fixes no flags for i = 1, ..., 8. Similarly,

$$u = O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\sigma \in G} |\{(x \mid \sigma(x) = x \text{ for all } x \text{ in } \mathbf{X}\}| = \frac{1}{9}(9 + 0.8) = 1.$$

since $|\mathbf{X}| = 9$ and σ_i fixes no points for i = 1, ..., 8, so we know that (G, \mathbf{X}) is transitive.

$$l = O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{B}) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{G \in G} |\{B \mid \sigma(B) = B \text{ for all } B \text{ in } \mathbf{B}\}| = \frac{1}{9} (12 + 3 \cdot 8) = 4$$

since $|\mathbf{B}| = 12$ and

 σ_1 fixes blocks B_1, B_5 and B_9 , σ_2 fixes blocks B_2, B_6 and B_{10}, \ldots , σ_4^{-1} fixes blocks B_4, B_8 and B_{12} .

Since (G, \mathbf{X}) is transitive, we can choose any point to be a representative of its orbit, without loss of generality, we choose the point $x_1 = 1$, then $H(x_1) = \langle \sigma_0 \rangle$, $X_1 = \{B \mid 1 \in B, B \in \mathbf{B}\} = \{B_1, B_6, B_7, B_8\}$. Since σ_0 is the identity element, the number of orbits

$$O^{\#}(H(x_1), X_1) = 4.$$

Since $|X_1| = 4$, we have

$$O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{F}) = \sum_{i=1}^{u} O^{\#}(H(x_i), X_i) = O^{\#}(H(x_1), X_1) = 4.$$

We know that the number of orbits of (G, \mathbf{B}) is 4. Next we need to find the set of representative of orbits of (G, \mathbf{B}) .

Since

$$\sigma_1(B_1) = B_1,$$
 $\sigma_1^{-1}(B_1) = B_1,$ $\sigma_2(B_1) = B_5,$ $\sigma_2^{-1}(B_1) = B_9,$ $\sigma_3(B_1) = B_9,$ $\sigma_3^{-1}(B_1) = B_5,$ $\sigma_4(B_1) = B_9,$ $\sigma_4^{-1}(B_1) = B_5,$

it follows that $\{B_1, B_5, B_9\}$ is one orbit of (G, \mathbf{B}) . Using this method, we get 4 orbits of (G, \mathbf{B}) . They are

$$U_1 = \{B_1, B_5, B_9\},$$
 $U_2 = \{B_2, B_6, B_{10}\},$
 $U_3 = \{B_3, B_7, B_{11}\},$ $U_4 = \{B_4, B_8, B_{12}\}.$

We choose $U = \{B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4\}$ as the set of representative of orbits for (G, \mathbf{B}) .

We know that

$$H(B_1) = \langle \sigma_1 \rangle, \qquad H(B_2) = \langle \sigma_2 \rangle,$$

 $H(B_3) = \langle \sigma_3 \rangle, \qquad H(B_4) = \langle \sigma_4 \rangle.$

Since $H(B_j)$ is transitive on B_j , this implies that $O^{\#}(H(B_j), B_j) = 1$, j = 1, 2, 3, 4.

Hence, we have

$$O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{F}) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} O^{\#}(H(B_i), B_i) = 4.$$

As an application of the point, block and flag orbit theorem to the cohomology of permutation representation on designs, we assume that (G, \mathbf{X}) is a permutation representation of a group G, \mathbf{X} is a nonempty set, A is a G-module, and $G^n(\mathbf{X}; G, A)$ is the nth cochain group. We have the well-known theorem of Ernst Snapper (see [S1]):

Theorem. (Snapper)

$$C^{-1}(\mathbf{X};G,A)=C^0(\mathbf{X};G,A)\cong A^{H(X_1)}\oplus \cdots \oplus A^{H(X_n)},$$

where \oplus designates the direct sum of Z-modules. If the action of G on A is trivial then

$$C^{-1}(\mathbf{X}; G, A) = C^{0}(\mathbf{X}; G, A) \cong A \oplus \ldots \oplus A,$$

u times, where $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_u\}$ is a set of representatives of (G, \mathbf{X}) , and $u = O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{X})$.

According to the theorem, we have

$$C^{0}(\mathbf{F}; G, A) \cong A^{H(F_{1})} \oplus \ldots \oplus A^{H(F_{w})},$$

$$C^{0}(\mathbf{X}; G, A) \cong A^{H(x_{1})} \oplus \ldots \oplus A^{H(x_{u})}.$$

and

$$C^0(\mathbf{B}; G, A) \cong A^{H(B_1)} \oplus \ldots \oplus A^{H(B_l)}$$
:

where $w = O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{F})$, $u = O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{X})$, and $l = O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{B})$; \mathbf{X} , \mathbf{B} , and \mathbf{F} are respectively point, block, and flag set of the design $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{B})$.

When we study the inflation from the cochain group of points $C^0(\mathbf{X}; G, A)$ to the cochain group of flags $C^0(\mathbf{F}; G, A)$, we need to discuss the diagram

$$A^{H(F_1)} \oplus \ldots \oplus A^{H(F_w)} \xrightarrow{p_0} C^0(\mathbf{F}; G, A)$$

$$a'_0 \uparrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow a_0$$

$$A^{H(x_1)} \oplus \ldots \oplus A^{H(x_u)} \xrightarrow{p'_0} C^0(\mathbf{X}; G, A)$$

Obviously, we need to know the relationship between $w = O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{F})$ and $u = O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{X})$, before we give the definition of the mapping a'_0 . This motive forces us to discover the point, block, flag orbit theorem, where we have

$$w = O^{\#}(G, \mathbf{F}) = \sum_{i=1}^{u} O^{\#}(H(x_i), X_i).$$

Based on this result, we define the mapping a_0' as the generalized inclusion mapping such that the above diagram is commutative.

For inflation and deflation between the cohomology group of points $H^n(\mathbf{X}; G, A)$, the cohomology group of blocks $H^n(\mathbf{B}; G, A)$, and the cohomology group of flags $H^n(\mathbf{F}; G, A)$, we have studied all of them in detail. (see [W3]).

References

- [BMP] H. Beker, C. Mitchell and F. Piper, Tactical decomposition of designs, Aequationes Math. 25 (1982), 123-152.
- [BJH] Thomas Beth, Dieter Jungnickel and Hanfried Lenz, Design Theory, Cambridge, 1986.
- [BW] N.L. Biggs and A.T. White, Permutation Groups and Combinatorial Structures, Cambridge, 1979.
- [B1] R.E. Block, On the orbits of collineation groups, Math. Z. 96 (1967), 33-49.
- [Ca] Alan R. Camina, Block Transitive Automorphism Groups of Designs, J. Algebra 86 (1984), 549-554.
- [CS] A. Camina and J. Siemons, Block transitive automorphism groups of $2 (v, k, \lambda)$ block designs, J. Comb. Theory, Series A 51 (1989), 268-276.
- [Do] Jean Doyen, Designs and automorphism groups, Surveys in Combinarorics, Cambridge, 1989.

- [Hu] D.R. Hughes, On t-designs and groups, Amer. J. Math. 87 (1965), 761-778.
- [HP] D.R. Hughes and F.C. Piper, Design Theory, Cambridge, 1985.
- [K] W.M. Kantor, Automorphisms group of designs, Math. Z. 109 (1969), 246-252.
- [LW] D. Livingstone and A. Wagner, Transitivity of finite permutation groups on unordered sets, *Math. Z.* 90 (1965), 393-403.
- [Me] E. Mendelsohn, On the groups of automorphisms of Steiner triple and quadruple systems, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A 25 (1978), 97-104.
- [S1] Ernst Snapper, Cohomology of permutation representations, I, spectral sequences, J. Math. Mech. 13 (1964), 133-161.
- [Su] Michio Suzuki, Group Theory, I, II, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [Th] S. Thomas, Designs over finite fields, Geometriae Dedicata 24 (1987), 237-242.
- [W1] Ixin Wen, Cohomology of permutation representation in Combinatorial Designs, Dissertation, Arizona State University, May, 1993.
- [W2] Ixin Wen, Cohomology of Permutation Representation in Negative Dimensions, J. Algebra 180 (1996), 156-174.
- [W3] Ixen Wen, Inflation and deflation between flags and points or blocks, to appear.
- [Wi] H. Wielandt, Finite Permutation Groups, Acedemic, New York, 1964.