

Linear algorithms for w -medians of graphs*

Hai-Yen Lee

Department of International Trade
Chung Kuo Institute of Technology and Commerce
56, Section 3, Hsing-Lung Road
Wen-Shan District, Taipei, Taiwan

Gerard J. Chang

Department of Applied Mathematics
National Chiao Tung University
Hsinchu 30050, Taiwan
Email: gjchang@math.nctu.edu.tw

Abstract

Suppose $G = (V, E)$ is a graph in which every vertex v has a non-negative real number $w(v)$ as its weight. The w -distance sum of v is $D_{G,w}(v) = \sum_{u \in V} d(v, u)w(u)$. The w -median $M_w(G)$ of G is the set of all vertices v with minimum w -distance sum $D_{G,w}(v)$. This paper gives linear-time algorithms for computing the w -medians of interval graphs and block graphs.

Dedicated to Prof. Stephen T. Hedetniemi on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

1 Introduction

The concept of center and median arise from facility location problems, which deal with the job of choosing a site subject to certain criterion. These distance related concepts have been extensively studied, see the book by Buckley and Harary [4]. In particular, algorithms have been developed for

*Supported in part by the National Science Council under grant NSC81-0208-M-009-26.

them, see [2, 5, 8, 10, 11, 12, 16, 17, 23, 24]. The purpose of this paper is to study w -medians of graphs from an algorithmic point of view.

All graphs in this paper are simple, i.e., undirected, loopless and without multiple edges. In a graph $G = (V, E)$, the *distance* $d_G(u, v)$ between two vertices u and v is the minimum number of edges in a u - v path; $d_G(u, v) = \infty$ if there is no u - v path. Suppose every vertex v has a non-negative real number $w(v)$ as its weight. The *w-distance sum* of a vertex v in G is

$$D_{G,w}(v) = \sum_{u \in V} d_G(v, u)w(u).$$

The *w-median* $M_w(G)$ of G is the set

$$M_w(G) = \{v \in V : D_{G,w}(v) \leq D_{G,w}(u) \text{ for all } u \in V\}.$$

For the case in which $w(v) = 1$ for all vertices v , the w -distance sum $D_{G,w}(v)$ is called the *distance sum* $D_G(v)$ and the w -median $M_w(G)$ is the *median* $M(G)$.

Slater [22] showed that for every (not necessarily connected) graph H there exists a graph G such that H is the subgraph of G induced by the median $M(G)$. Lee and Chang [14] generalized this result to w -medians. Zelinka [27] showed that the median of a tree is a clique of size one or two. This also follows from a more general result obtained by Truszczyński [25] that says the median of a connected graph lies in a block of the graph. Slater [22] showed that the median of a 2-tree is a clique of size at most three. Nieminen [18] and Yushmanov [26] proved that the median of a Ptolemaic graph is a clique. Lee and Chang [13] showed that the w -median of a strongly chordal graph is a clique if the weight function w is positive. Note that trees are block graphs, block graphs are Ptolemaic, and Ptolemaic graphs are strongly chordal.

In general, the w -median of a graph $G = (V, E)$ can be computed by finding the distances between all pairs of vertices. A standard breadth-first-search, which costs $O(|V||E|)$ time, does the job. This paper employs the idea of the proof in [13] to obtain an algorithm for finding the w -median of a strongly chordal graph. This algorithm is then adapted to linear-time algorithms for the w -median problem in interval graphs and block graphs, which are both strongly chordal.

2 Strongly chordal graphs

A graph is *chordal* (or *triangulated*) if every cycle with more than three vertices has a *chord*, i.e., an edge joining two non-contiguous vertices of the cycle. A *p-sun* is a chordal graph with a vertex set $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_p\}$

such that $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_p\}$ is an independent set, $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p, x_1)$ is a cycle and each vertex y_i has exactly two neighbors x_{i-1} and x_i , where $x_0 = x_p$. A graph G is *strongly chordal* if it is chordal and contains no p -sun for $p \geq 3$. A vertex v is *simple* if for any two vertices $x, y \in N_G[v]$ either $N_G[x] \subseteq N_G[y]$ or $N_G[y] \subseteq N_G[x]$, where $N_G(x) = \{y : xy \in E(G)\}$ and $N_G[x] = \{x\} \cup N_G(x)$. Note that if v is a simple vertex, then $G - v$ is a *distance-invariant* induced subgraph of G , i.e., $d_{G-v}(x, y) = d_G(x, y)$ for all vertices x and y in $G - v$. A *maximal neighbor* of a simple vertex v is a vertex $x \in N_G[v]$ such that $N_G[y] \subseteq N_G[x]$ for all $y \in N_G[v]$. Farber [6] proved that every strongly chordal graph that is not a complete graph has two non-adjacent simple vertices. Furthermore, a graph $G = (V, E)$ is strongly chordal if and only if it has a *simple ordering*, i.e., an ordering (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) of V such that v_i is a simple vertex of the graph $G - \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{i-1}\}$. The main result of [13] is:

Theorem 1 [13] *The w -median of a connected strongly chordal graph is a clique if w is a positive weight function.*

We first sketch the proof of Theorem 1. In order to prove Theorem 1, [13] introduced the following more general concept. Every vertex v in $G = (V, E)$ has a non-negative *weight* $w(v)$ and a non-negative *cost* $c(v)$. The *cost w -distance sum* of v (with respect to w and c) is

$$D_{G,w,c}(v) = \sum_{u \in V} d_G(v, u)w(u) - c(v).$$

The *cost w -median* $M_{w,c}(G)$ of G is

$$M_{w,c}(G) = \{v \in V : D_{G,w,c}(v) \leq D_{G,w,c}(u) \text{ for all } u \in V\}.$$

It is easy to see that $M_{w,c}(G) = M_w(G)$ when $c(v) = 0$ for all vertices $v \in V$. However, unless we choose c properly, it is not the case that one can modify Theorem 1 to get a cost w -median result for an arbitrary cost function c . The proof of Theorem 1 requires an inductive approach starting with a connected strongly chordal graph $G = (V, E)$ with a positive weight function w and a cost function $c \equiv 0$. For induction, it uses the following two terms.

First, for any vertex $x \in V$, there exists a set $S_x = \{x \equiv x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n(x)}\} \subseteq N_G[x]$ such that (C1) and (C2) hold.

(C1) $N_G[x_0] \subseteq N_G[x_1] \subseteq \dots \subseteq N_G[x_{n(x)}]$.

(C2) If $c(x) > 0$, then $n(x) \geq 1$ and $c(x) < \sum_{i=1}^{n(x)} w(x_i)$ and $c(x) < \sum_{i=1}^j w(x_i) + c(x_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n(x) - 1$.

Initially, each $S_x = \{x \equiv x_0\}$ and so conditions (C1) and (C2) hold. Secondly, it uses a poset (partially ordered set) P whose elements are precisely the vertices of G and $y < z$ in P if $y = x_i$ and $z = x_j$ for some $x \in V$ with $0 \leq i < j \leq n(x)$. Note that P is not necessarily a poset if $\{S_x : x \in V\}$ is not chosen properly. However, initially each $|S_x| = 1$ and so P is simply a poset in which each pair of distinct elements is incomparable.

Theorem 1 is clear when G is a complete graph. Suppose G is not a complete graph. Choose a pair of non-adjacent simple vertices u and v (see [6]). Without loss of generality, we may assume that u and v are chosen so that they are as small as possible in the poset P . Suppose u is not a minimal element in P . Then $u = x_i$ for some $x \in V$ and $x_i \in S_x$ with $i \geq 1$. Since $N_G[x_0] \subseteq N_G[x_i]$ and x_i is a simple vertex not adjacent to v , x_0 is also a simple vertex not adjacent to v . But then x_0 is smaller than $u = x_i$ in P , which contradicts our choice of u . So, u is a minimal element in P . Similarly, v is minimal in P . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $w(v) + c(v) \leq w(u) + c(u)$.

Now choose a maximal neighbor m of v in G . Without loss of generality, we may assume that m is chosen so that it is as large as possible in the poset P . Suppose m is not a maximal element in P . Then $m = x_i$ for some $x \in V$ and $x_i \in S_x$ with $i < n(x)$. Since $N_G[x_i] \subseteq N_G[x_{n(x)}]$ and x_i is a maximal neighbor of v , $x_{n(x)}$ is also a maximal neighbor of v . But then $x_{n(x)}$ is larger than $m \equiv x_i$ in P , which contradicts our choice of m . So, m is maximal in P .

Keeping all these results in mind, we now consider the distance-invariant subgraph $G - v$ of G , denoted by $G' = (V', E')$, which is also connected strongly chordal. We define the new weight function w' and the new cost function c' on V' as:

$$w'(x) = w(x) + w(v) \text{ if } x = m \text{ and } w'(x) = w(x) \text{ otherwise,}$$

$$c'(y) = c(y) + w(v) \text{ if } y \in N_G(v) - \{m\} \text{ and } c'(y) = c(y) \text{ otherwise.}$$

It remains true that w' is positive and c' is non-negative. We also update $\{S_x : x \in V\}$ and P as follows. Since m is a maximal element of the poset P , for any vertex $x \in V$, either $m \notin S_x$ or $m = x_{n(x)}$. Let

$$S'_x = S_x \cup \{m\} \text{ if } x \in N_G(v) \text{ with } m \notin S_x \text{ and } S'_x = S_x \text{ otherwise.}$$

Now the poset P' contains elements of V' . Some new relationships are also added to P' when $S'_x = S_x \cup \{m\}$ for some $x \in V'$. However, since m is a maximal element in P , P' remains a poset even when new relationships are added to it.

Theorem 1 then follows from induction and the following lemmas.

Lemma 2 [13] $M_{w,c}(G) = M_{w',c'}(G')$.

Lemma 3 [13] $\{S'_x : x \in V'\}$ satisfies conditions (C1) and (C2) of G' .

Lemma 4 If G is a complete graph, then $M_{w,c}(G) = \{u \in V : w(u) + c(u) \geq w(v) + c(v) \text{ for all } v \in V\}$.

Proof. Lemma 4 follows from that fact that for any vertices v and u of G ,

$$\begin{aligned} D_{w,c}(v) - D_{w,c}(u) &= \left(\sum_{x \neq v} w(x) - c(v) \right) - \left(\sum_{x \neq u} w(x) - c(u) \right) \\ &= (w(u) + c(u)) - (w(v) + c(v)). \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

To implement the idea of the proof for Theorem 1, we need not to keep the sets S_x and the poset P . Instead, a "mark" is given to each vertex v that ensures the maximal neighbor of a simple vertex v is the last deleted vertex in $N_G(v)$. More precisely, we have the following algorithm.

Algorithm MS. Compute the w -median of a connected strongly chordal graph.

Input: A connected strongly chordal graph $G = (V, E)$ in which every vertex v has a positive weight $w(v)$.

Output: The w -median $M_w(G)$.

Method:

begin

$c(v) \leftarrow 0$ for all $v \in V$;

$\text{mark}(v) \leftarrow 0$ for all $v \in V$;

while V is not a clique **do**

find two non-adjacent simple vertices u and v in G with the smallest $\text{mark}(u) + \text{mark}(v)$, W.L.O.G., assume $w(v) + c(v) \leq w(u) + c(u)$;

choose a maximal neighbor m of v with the largest $\text{mark}(m)$;

$w(m) \leftarrow w(m) + w(v)$;

$c(y) \leftarrow c(y) + w(v)$ for all $y \in N(v) - \{m\}$;

$\text{mark}(m) \leftarrow \max\{\text{mark}(y) : y \in N(v)\} + 1$;

$G \leftarrow G - v$;

enddo;

$M_w(G) \leftarrow \{u \in V : w(u) + c(u) \geq w(v) + c(v) \text{ for all } v \in V\}$;

output($M_w(G)$)

end.

Since finding two simple vertices during any iteration is costly for a general strongly chordal graph, the time complexity of this algorithm is greater than $O(|V||E|)$. However, in the next two sections, we shall modify the algorithm to obtain linear-time algorithms for the problem in interval graphs and block graphs.

3 Interval graphs

A graph $G = (V, E)$ is an *interval graph* if there exists a family $\{I_v : v \in V\}$ of intervals such that two distinct vertices u and v are adjacent in G if and only if $I_u \cap I_v \neq \emptyset$; such a family $\{I_v : v \in V\}$ is referred to as an *interval representation* of G .

Gilmore and Hoffman [7] showed that a graph is an interval graph if and only if its maximal cliques can be linearly ordered into C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m , such that for every vertex v , the maximal cliques containing v occur contiguously. Suppose for every vertex v , i_v (respectively, j_v) is the minimum (respectively, maximum) index i such that $v \in C_i$. $\{[i_v, j_v] : v \in V\}$ is then an interval representation of G , which we call a *canonical representation*. Booth and Lueker [3] gave an $O(|V| + |E|)$ -time algorithm for an arbitrary graph $G = (V, E)$ that tests whether G is an interval graph. In the case in which G is an interval graph, the algorithm also gives an ordering C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m of its maximal cliques, and so, a canonical interval representation.

Roberts [21], Ramalingam and Pandu Rangan [20], and Olariu [19] gave another characterization in which a graph G is an interval graph if and only if an *interval ordering* (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) of V exists such that $i < j < k$, and $v_i v_k \in E$ imply $v_j v_k \in E$, or equivalently, $i \leq j \leq k$ and $v_i \in N_G[v_k]$ imply $v_j \in N_G[v_k]$.

Lemma 5 *Any interval ordering (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) of a graph G is a simple ordering. Consequently, an interval graph is a strongly chordal graph.*

Proof. We only need to show that v_1 is a simple vertex of G . Suppose $v_i, v_j \in N_G[v_1]$. Assume $i \leq j$. We shall prove that $N_G[v_i] \subseteq N_G[v_j]$. Suppose $v_k \in N_G[v_i]$. For the case in which $j \leq k$, since $i \leq j \leq k$ and $v_i \in N_G[v_k]$, $v_j \in N_G[v_k]$ and so $v_k \in N_G[v_j]$. For the case in which $k < j$, since $1 \leq k \leq j$ and $v_1 \in N_G[v_j]$, $v_k \in N_G[v_j]$. Thus, v_1 is a simple vertex of G . Q.E.D.

Suppose $\{I_v = [a_v, b_v] : v \in V\}$ is an interval representation of an interval graph G . Sort the right end-point b_v 's of the intervals I_v 's into $b_{v_{r(1)}} \leq b_{v_{r(2)}} \leq \dots \leq b_{v_{r(n)}}$. It is straightforward to check that $R = (v_{r(1)}, v_{r(2)}, \dots, v_{r(n)})$ is an interval ordering of G . Similarly, if we sort the left end-point a_v 's into $a_{v_{l(1)}} \geq a_{v_{l(2)}} \geq \dots \geq a_{v_{l(n)}}$, then $L = (v_{l(1)}, v_{l(2)}, \dots, v_{l(n)})$ is an interval ordering of G . Note that if the interval representation is canonical, then we can use bucket sorts to sort the interval end-points allowing R and L to be computed in linear time. Suppose G_{ij} is the graph $G - \{v_{r(1)}, v_{r(2)}, \dots, v_{r(i-1)}, v_{l(1)}, v_{l(2)}, \dots, v_{l(j-1)}\}$. If $v_{r(i)}$ and $v_{l(j)}$ are in G_{ij} , then they are simple vertices of G_{ij} . This, and the following lemma, can be used for efficient implementation of Algorithm MS for interval graphs.

Lemma 6 *If $v_{r(i)}$ and $v_{l(j)}$ are in G_{ij} and $v_{r(i)} \in N_{G_{ij}}[v_{l(j)}]$, then G_{ij} is a complete graph.*

Proof. Since $I_{v_{r(i)}} (I_{v_{l(j)}})$ has the smallest (largest) right (left) endpoints among all vertices in G_{ij} and $v_{r(i)} \cap v_{l(j)} \neq \emptyset$, $a_{v_{r(i)}} \leq a_{v_{l(j)}} \leq b_{v_{r(i)}} \leq b_{v_{l(j)}}$. If $x = v_{r(i')} = v_{l(j')}$ is a vertex in G_{ij} , then $a_x \leq a_{v_{l(j)}} \leq b_{v_{l(j)}} \leq b_x$ and so I_x contains $a_{v_{l(j)}}$. Thus G_{ij} is a complete graph. Q. E. D.

We are now able to modify Algorithm MS to get a linear-time algorithm for the w -median of an interval graph.

Algorithm MI. Compute the w -median of a connected interval graph.

Input: A connected interval graph $G = (V, E)$ in which every vertex v has a positive weight $w(v)$. Two interval orderings R and L as above.

Output: The w -median $M_w(G)$ of G .

Method:

begin

$i \leftarrow 1$;

$j \leftarrow 1$;

$c(v) \leftarrow 0$ for all $v \in V$;

while $v_{r(i)} \notin N_{G_{ij}}[v_{l(j)}]$ **do**

if $w(v_{r(i)}) + c(v_{r(i)}) \leq w(v_{l(j)}) + c(v_{l(j)})$ **then**

choose a maximal neighbor m of $v_{r(i)}$;

$w(m) \leftarrow w(m) + w(v_{r(i)})$;

$c(y) \leftarrow c(y) + w(v_{r(i)})$ for all $y \in N(v_{r(i)}) - \{m\}$;

$G \leftarrow G - v_{r(i)}$;

else

choose a maximal neighbor m of $v_{l(j)}$;

$w(m) \leftarrow w(m) + w(v_{l(j)})$;

$c(y) \leftarrow c(y) + w(v_{l(j)})$ for all $y \in N(v_{l(j)}) - \{m\}$;

$G \leftarrow G - v_{l(j)}$;

endif;

while $v_{r(i)}$ not in G **do** $i \leftarrow i + 1$;

while $v_{l(j)}$ not in G **do** $j \leftarrow j + 1$;

enddo;

$M_w(G) \leftarrow \{u \in V : w(u) + c(u) \geq w(v) + c(v) \text{ for all } v \in V\}$;

output($M_w(G)$)

end.

4 Block graphs

The concept of a block graph was introduced by Harary [9], who defined the *block graph* $B(G)$ of a graph G as the intersection graph of blocks of G .

He then proved that a graph is the block graph of some graph if and only if all of its blocks are complete graphs. So, we may define a *block graph* as a graph whose blocks are complete graphs.

A graph with one or more cut-vertices contains at least two blocks, each of which contains exactly one cut-vertex; we call them *end blocks* (see [1]). If a graph has vertices u and v that are not in the same block, then any path from u to v must pass through a unique sequence of blocks B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n , where B_i and B_{i+1} , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, have a common cut-vertex that is a vertex of the path. Moreover, for any graph G containing m blocks B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m and n cut-vertices c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n , consider the graph $G^* = (V^*, E^*)$, which we call the *block-cut-vertex structure* of G , where

$$\begin{aligned} V^* &= \{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\} \text{ and} \\ E^* &= \{(B_i, c_j) : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n, c_j \in B_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then G^* is a forest whose leaves are exactly the end blocks of G and whose isolated vertices are exactly those blocks without cut-vertices in G . The *block-cut-vertex structure* G^* of a graph G can be constructed in linear time by using a depth-first search.

An *end vertex* of a block graph is a vertex in some end block but is not a cut-vertex. It is easy to show that an end vertex of a block graph is a simple vertex with the cut-vertex in the end block containing it being its maximal neighbor. Consequently, block graphs are strongly chordal. Note that if the block-cut-vertex structure is found then the two non-adjacent end vertices can be found in a constant time. Therefore, we now modify Algorithm MS to get a linear-time algorithm for the w -median problem in block graphs.

Algorithm MB. Compute the w -median of a connected block graph.

Input: A connected block graph $G = (V, E)$ in which every vertex v has a positive weight $w(v)$ and its block-cut-vertex structure T .

Output: The w -median $M_w(G)$ of G .

Method:

begin

$c(v) \leftarrow 0$ for all $v \in V(G)$;

while $|V(T)| > 1$ **do**

 find two end vertices u and v from different end blocks B_i and

B_j of T , W.L.O.G., assume $w(v) + c(v) \leq w(u) + c(u)$;

$w(m) \leftarrow w(m) + w(v)$ where m is the cut vertex of B_i ;

$c(y) \leftarrow c(y) + w(v)$ for all $y \in B_i - \{m\}$;

$G \leftarrow G - v$;

$B_i \leftarrow B_i - \{v\}$;

if $B_i = \{m\}$ **then**


```

     $T \leftarrow T - B_i;$ 
    if  $m$  is a leaf of  $T$  then  $T \leftarrow T - m$  endif;
  endif;
enddo;
 $M_w(G) \leftarrow \{u \in V(G) : w(u) + c(u) \geq w(v) + c(v) \text{ for all } v \in V(G)\};$ 
output  $M_w(G)$ 
end.

```

References

- [1] M. Behzad, G. Chartrand, and L. Lesniak-Foster, Graph and Digraphs, Wadworth International Group, Belmont, CA (1979).
- [2] T. Beyer, S. M. Hedetniemi, and S. T. Hedetniemi, A linear algorithm for finding the center of a unicyclic graph, Dept. of Computer Information Science, Univ. of Oregon, Tech. Rept. CIS-TR-80-12 (1980).
- [3] K. S. Booth and G. S. Lueker, Testing for the consecutive ones property, interval graphs, and planarity testing using PQ-tree algorithm, J. Comput. Systems Sci. 13 (1976) 335-379.
- [4] F. Buckley and F. Harary, Distance in Graphs, Addison-Wesley, New York (1989).
- [5] E. J. Cockayne, S. T. Hedetniemi, and S. L. Mitchell, Linear algorithms for mean distance in trees and unicyclic graphs, Dept. of Computer Information Science, Univ. of Oregon, Tech. Rept. CS-TR-79-22 (1979).
- [6] M. Farber, Characterizations of strongly chordal graphs, Disc. Math. 43 (1983) 173-189.
- [7] P. C. Gilmore and A. J. Hoffman, A characterization of comparability graphs and of interval graphs, Canadian. J. Math. 16 (1964) 539-548.
- [8] G. Y. Handler and P. B. Mirchandani, Location on Networks: Theory and Algorithms, The MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass. (1979).
- [9] F. Harary, A characterization of block graphs, Canad. Math. Bull. 6 (1963) 1-6.
- [10] S. M. Hedetniemi, E. J. Cockayne, and S. T. Hedetniemi, Linear algorithms for the Jordan centre and path centre of a tree, J. Transportation Sci. 15 (1981) 98-114.
- [11] S. M. Hedetniemi, S. T. Hedetniemi, and P. J. Slater, Centers and median of C_n -trees, Utilitas Math. 21 (1982) 225-234.

- [12] S. Hedetniemi and S. Mitchell, Centers of recursive graphs, Dept. of Computer Information Science, Univ. of Oregon, Tech. Rept. CS-TR-79-11 (1979).
- [13] H.-Y. Lee and G. J. Chang, The w -median of a connected strongly chordal graph, *J. Graph Theory* 18 (1994) 673-680.
- [14] H.-Y. Lee and G. J. Chang, Medians of graphs and kings of tournaments, *Taiwanese J. Math.* 1 (1997) 103-110.
- [15] S. C. Liaw and G. J. Chang, Wide diameters of butterfly networks, *Taiwanese J. Math.* 3 (1999) 83-88.
- [16] E. Minika, *Optimization Algorithms for Networks and Graphs*, Wiley, New York (1978).
- [17] C. A. Morgan and P. J. Slater, A linear algorithm for the core of a tree, *J. Algorithms* 1 (1980) 247-258.
- [18] J. Nieminen, The center and the distance center of a Ptolemaic graph, *Oper. Research Letters* 7 (1988) 91-94.
- [19] S. Olariu, A simple linear-time algorithm for computing the center of an interval graph, *Intern. J. Computer Math.* 34 (1990) 121-128.
- [20] G. Ramalingam and C. Pandu Rangan, A unified approach to domination problems on interval graphs, *Inform. Process. Letters* 27 (1988) 271-274.
- [21] F. S. Roberts, On the comparability between a graph and a simple order, *J. Comb. Theory* 11 (1971) 28-38.
- [22] P. J. Slater, Medians of arbitrary graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 4 (1980) 389-392.
- [23] P. J. Slater, On locating a facility to service areas within a network, *Oper. Research* 29 (1981) 523-531.
- [24] P. J. Slater, Locating central paths in a graph, *J. Transportation Sci.* 16 (1982) 1-18.
- [25] M. Truszczyński, Centers and centroids of unicyclic graphs, *Math. Slovaca* 35 (1985) 223-228.
- [26] S. V. Yushmanov, On metric properties of chordal graphs and Ptolemaic graph, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 37 (1988) 665-668.
- [27] B. Zelinka, Medians and peripherians of trees, *Arch. Math. (Brno)* 4 (1968) 87-95.