Support Sizes of Indecomposable Threefold Triple Systems

G. Lo Faro
Department of Mathematics
University of Messina
Contrada Papardo, Salita Sperone 31
98166 Sant' Agata, Messina, Italy
email: lofaro@imeuniv.unime.it

H.Shen
Department of Applied Mathematics
Shanghai Jiao Tong University
Shanghai 200030, China
email: hshen@mail.sjtu.edu.cn

Abstract

In this paper, we determine the spectrum of support sizes of indecomposable threefold triple systems of order v for all v > 15.

1 Introduction

A λ -fold triple system of order v, denoted $TS(v, \lambda)$, is a pair (V, \mathbf{B}) where V is a v-set and \mathbf{B} is a collection of 3-subsets (called blocks or triples) of V such that each 2-subset of V is contained in precisely λ blocks of \mathbf{B} . It is well known [5] that the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a $TS(v, \lambda)$ are

$$\lambda(v-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

$$\lambda v(v-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$$
(1)

Let (V, \mathbf{B}) be a $TS(v, \lambda)$. If there exists a subcollection \mathbf{B}_1 of \mathbf{B} such that (V, \mathbf{B}_1) is a $TS(v, \lambda_1)$ for some $\lambda_1, 1 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda$, then (V, \mathbf{B}) is called decomposable. Otherwise it is called indecomposable.

It can be easily seen that the number of blocks contained in a $TS(v, \lambda)$ is

$$b = \lambda v(v-1)/6 \tag{2}$$

In the definition of $TS(v, \lambda)$, repeated blocks are permitted. For a $TS(v, \lambda)$ (V, \mathbf{B}) , let \mathbf{B}^* be the set of all the distinct blocks of \mathbf{B} and let $b^* = |\mathbf{B}^*|$. \mathbf{B}^* is called the support of (V, \mathbf{B}) and b^* is called the support size. Let $m_v = [v(v-1)/6]$, then obviously

$$m_v \le b^* \le b \tag{3}$$

A triple system is called simple if it contains no repeated blocks. In this case we have $b^* = b$.

Designs with various support sizes have interesting applications in statistics [4] and have been studied extensively. For given positive integers v and λ , let

$$SS(v,\lambda) = \{b^* \mid \exists TS(v,\lambda) \text{ with support size } b^*\},\ ISS(v,\lambda) = \{b^* \mid \exists \text{ indecomposable } TS(v,\lambda) \text{ with support size } b^*\}.$$

The support size problem for triple systems, i.e., the problem of determining the set $SS(v, \lambda)$ has been almost completely solved [1]. But, to our knowledge, the only systematic work done regarding the support size problem for indecomposable triple systems is the following result for $\lambda = 2$:

Theorem 1.1 [8] For $v \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}$, $v \ge 15$,

$$ISS(v,2) = \begin{cases} \{m_v + 6, m_v + 8, \cdots, 2m_v\}, & \text{if } v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}, \\ \{s_v, s_v + 2, \cdots, 2m_v\}, & \text{if } v \equiv 0, 4 \pmod{12}, \\ \{s_v + 1, s_v + 2, \cdots, 2m_v\}, & \text{if } v \equiv 6, 10 \pmod{12}. \end{cases}$$

where
$$m_v = v(v-1)/6$$
, $s_v = v(v+2)/6$.

In this paper, we consider the support size problem for indecomposable threefold triple systems. It follow from (1) that there exists a TS(v,3) if and only if

$$v \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \tag{4}$$

The support size problem for threefold triple systems was completely solved:

Theorem 1.2 [2] Let $m_v = [v(v-1)/6]$. If $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$, then

$$SS(v,3) = \begin{cases} \{m_v, m_v + 4, m_v + 6, \cdots, 3m_v\}, & \text{if } v \geq 13, \\ \{1\}, & \text{if } v = 3, \\ \{7, 11, \cdots, 21\} \setminus \{12, 16\}, & \text{if } v = 7, \\ \{12, 18, 20, \cdots, 36\}, & \text{if } v = 9. \end{cases}$$

If $v \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, then

$$SS(v,3) = \{m_v + 7, m_v + 10, \cdots, 3m_v + 1\}$$

For $v \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, every TS(v,3) is indecomposable since in this case there is no TS(v,1) exists. So we have

$$ISS(v,3) = SS(v,3) = \{m_v + 7, m_v + 10, \cdots, 3m_v + 1\}$$

for all $v \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$. Thus we need only to consider the problem for $v \equiv 1,3 \pmod{6}$. Our main purpose in this paper is to determine the spectrum of support sizes for indecomposable threefold triple systems for all v > 15.

2 Necessary Conditions

Obviously, $ISS(v,3) \subseteq SS(v,3)$. It follows from Theorem 1.2 that $m_v + k \notin ISS(v,3)$ if $k \in \{1,2,3,5\}$. The purpose of this section is to prove that for $v \equiv 1,3 \pmod{6}$, if $k \in \{0,4,6,7,8,9,10,11\}$, then $m_v + k \notin ISS(v,3)$.

Let (V, \mathbf{B}) be a TS(v, 3) and b_1 , b_2 and b_3 be non-negative integers. The vector (b_1, b_2, b_3) is called the fine structure of (V, \mathbf{B}) if \mathbf{B} contains exactly b_i *i*-times repeated blocks for i = 1, 2, 3. For any TS(v, 3) with support size $m_v + k$ and fine structure (b_1, b_2, b_3) , if $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$, then

$$b^* = b_1 + b_2 + b_3 = m_v + k$$

$$b = b_1 + 2b_2 + 3b_3 = 3m_v$$
(5)

For a TS(v,3) (V, \mathbf{B}) , let \mathbf{B}_i denote the set of all distinct *i*-times repeated blocks of \mathbf{B} , $1 \le i \le 3$.

Lemma 2.1 For $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$, if (V, B) is a TS(v, 3) with fine structure (b_1, b_2, b_3) , then $b_1 \geq b_2$. If $b_1 = b_2$, then (V, B) is decomposable.

Proof. Each 2-subset of V contained in a block of B_2 must also be contained in a block of B_1 and so $b_1 > b_2$.

If $b_1 = b_2$, then each 2-subset of \overline{V} is contained in a block of B_2 if and only if it is contained in a block of B_1 , then $(V, B_1 \cup B_3)$ is a TS(v, 1) and so (V, B) is decomposable.

Lemma 2.2 [3] For $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$, if (b_1, b_2, b_3) is the fine structure of a TS(v, 3), where $b_1 = 3s - 2t$, $b_2 = t$ and $b_3 = m_v - s$, then $0 \le t \le s \le m_v$, $s \notin \{1, 2, 3, 5\}$ and $(t, s) \notin \{(1, 4), (2, 4), (3, 4), (1, 6), (2, 6), (3, 6), (5, 6), (2, 7), (5, 7), (1, 8), (3, 8), (5, 8)\}.$

Lemma 2.3 For $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$, if $k \in \{0, 4, 6, 7\}$, then $m_v + k \notin ISS(v, 3)$.

Proof. Let (V, \mathbf{B}) be an indecomposable TS(v, 3) with support size $m_v + k$ and fine structure (b_1, b_2, b_3) with $b_1 = 3s - 2t$, $b_2 = t$ and $b_3 = m_v - s$. It follows from (5) that

$$b_1 = 2k - s, \quad b_2 = t = 2s - k.$$
 (6)

Since (V, \mathbf{B}) is indecomposable, then $b_1 > b_2$, by Lemma 2.1. It follows from (6) and the fact $b_2 \geq 0$ that

$$k/2 \le s < k \tag{7}$$

and so k = 0 is impossible.

By (6) and (7), if k = 4, then (t, s) = (0, 2), (2, 3); if k = 6, then (t, s) = (0, 3), (2, 4) or (4, 5); if k = 7, then (t, s) = (1, 4), (3, 5) or (5, 6). By Lemma 2.2, all of these cases are impossible.

This completes the proof.

A partial triple system $PTS(v, \lambda)$ is a pair (X, \mathbf{B}) where X is a v-set and \mathbf{B} is a collection of 3-subsets (called blocks or triples) of X such that each 2-subset of X is contained in at most λ blocks.

For $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$, let (V, \mathbf{B}) be a TS(v, 3), $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B_1} \cup 2\mathbf{B_2}$ and X be the following subset of V:

$$X = \{x \in V \mid \exists B \in A \text{ such that } x \in B\}.$$

Let w = |X|, then (X, A) is a PTS(w, 3) with the propertythat each 2-subset of X is contained in either 0 or 3 blocks of A. For $x \in X$, the number of blocks of A containing x is called the degree of x and denoted d(x). It can be easily verified that if $A \neq \phi$, then

$$d(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$

 $6 \le d(x) \le 3(w-1)/2$
 $w \le |\mathbf{A}|/2, \ 3m_w \ge |\mathbf{A}|.$ (8)

Let (X, A) be a PTS(w, 3). (X, A) is said to be a PTS(w, 3) of degree type

$$\pi = ((h_1)_{r_1}, (h_2)_{r_2}, \cdots, (h_s)_{r_s})$$

if there are s positive integers r_1, r_2, \dots, r_s with $r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_s = w$ and s positive integers h_1, h_2, \dots, h_s with $0 < h_1 < h_2 \cdots < h_s$, such that there are exactly r_i elements of X of degree h_i , $1 \le i \le s$.

If (V, \mathbf{B}) is a TS(v, 3) with fine structure (b_1, b_2, b_3) and (X, \mathbf{A}) is of degree type $((h_1)_{r_1}, \dots, (h_s)_{r_s})$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} r_i h_i = \sum_{x \in X} d(x) = 3b_1 + 6b_2. \tag{9}$$

A 2-subset of X is called an i-pair if it is contained in exactly i blocks of B_1 .

Lemma 2.4 For $x \in X$, let n_x be the number of distinct 3-pairs containing x. If x appears in exactly t_i blocks of B_i , i = 1, 2. Then

$$n_x = 2(t_1 - t_2)/3 \tag{10}$$

Proof. Each block containing x contains 2 pairs containing x. Each pair contained in a block of B_2 is contained in a unique block $B \in B_1$ and B contains no 3-pairs. So we have $2t_1 = 3n_x + 2t_2$ and the conclusion follows.

Lemma 2.5 For $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$, let (V, \mathbf{B}) be a TS(v, 3) with fine structure (b_1, b_2, b_3) . If $b_1 - b_2 = 3$ and the 3 3-pairs form a block B of B, then (V, \mathbf{B}) is decomposable.

Proof. In fact, $(V, \{B\} \cup B_2 \cup B_3)$ is a TS(v, 1) and so (V, B) is decomposable.

Lemma 2.6 Let (X, A) be the above defined PTS(w, 3). If X contains exactly 3 3-pairs, then

- (i) If x is contained in a 3-pair, then $d(x) \geq 9$;
- (ii) There are at least 3 elements $x \in X$ with $d(x) \geq 9$;
- (iii) $w \geq 9$. If $d(x) \leq 9$ for all $x \in X$, then w < 12 and (V, B) is decomposable;
- (iv) Each $x \in X$ is contained in at least 2 distinct blocks of B_2 .

Proof. Let $X = \{1, 2, \dots, w\}$. For any $x \in X$, it follows from Lemma 2.4 that n_x must be even. Since there are only 3 3-pairs, then $n_x = 0$ or 2 for each $x \in X$. Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that the 3-pairs are (1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 3) and either.

- (1) $\{\{1,2,3\},\{1,2,4\},\{1,2,5\},\{1,3,6\},\{1,3,7\},\{2,3,8\},\{2,3,9\}\}\$ or
- (2) $\{\{1,2,4\},\{1,2,5\},\{1,2,6\},\{1,3,7\},\{1,3,8\},\{1,3,9\},\{2,3,10\},\{2,3,11\},\{2,3,12\}\} \subset \mathbf{B}_1.$

In case (1), each of the pairs $\{1,4\},\{1,5\},\{1,6\},\{1,7\},\{2,4\},\{2,5\},\{2,8\},\{2,9\},\{3,6\},\{3,7\},\{3,8\}$ and $\{3,9\}$ must be contained in some blocks of $\mathbf{B_2}$, and so $d(x) \geq 9$ for $x \in \{1,2,3\}$. In case (2), it can be proved similarly that $d(x) \geq 12$ for $x \in \{1,2,3\}$. Obviously, $w \geq 9$ in

case (1) and $w \ge 12$ in case (2). If w < 12, then case (2) is impossible and so the 3 3-pairs $\{1,2\}$, $\{1,3\}$ and $\{2,3\}$ form a block $\{1,2,3\}$, then (V, \mathbf{B}) is decomposable by Lemma 2.5. Thus we have proved (i), (ii) and (iii).

For $x \in X$, in both cases (1) and (2), we have

$$n_x = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } x \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in X \setminus \{1, 2, 3\}. \end{cases}$$
 (11)

If $x \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, then $d(x) \ge 9$. By (10) and (11), we have

$$t_1-t_2=3,\ t_1+2t_2=d(x)\geq 9$$

and so $t_2 \geq 2$. If $x \in X \setminus \{1, 2, 3\}$, then $d(x) \geq 6$. It follows from (10) and (11) that $t_2 \geq 2$.

This completes the proof.

E.J.Morgan [7] enumerated all non-isomorphic TS(7,3) systems; there are ten in total. An examination of them shows the following result.

Lemma 2.7 There exists a simple indecomposable TS(7,3). Any TS(7,3) with repeated blocks is decomposable.

Lemma 2.8 If $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$, then $m_v + 8 \notin ISS(v, 3)$.

Proof. Let (V, \mathbf{B}) be an indecomposable TS(v, 3) with support size $m_v + 8$ and fine structure $(b_1, b_2, b_3) = (3s - 2t, t, m_v - s)$. It follows from (6), (7) and Lemma 2.2 that

$$t = 2s - 8, \ 4 < s < 8, \ s \neq 5.$$

- (i) If s = 7, then $(b_1, b_2, b_3) = (9, 6, m_v 7)$. By (8), we have $w \le |\mathbf{A}|/2 = 21/2 < 12$. By Lemma 2.6 (iii), (V, \mathbf{B}) must be decomposable. A contradiction.
- (ii) If s = 6, then $(b_1, b_2, b_3) = (10, 4, m_v 6)$ and |A| = 18, and so $7 \le w \le 9$ by (8).
 - case 1. w=7. In this case, by (8), we have $6 \le d(x) \le 9$ for each $x \in X$. So the degree type of (X, A) is $\pi = (6_3, 9_4)$. If d(x) = 9, since w = 7, then each 2-subset of X containing x is contained in 3 blocks of A. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ and assume d(1) = d(2) = d(3) = d(4) = 9. Then each 2-subset of X, except $\{5, 6\}, \{5, 7\}$ and $\{6, 7\}$, is contained in 3 blocks of A and none of $\{5, 6\}, \{5, 7\}$ and $\{6, 7\}$ appear in any block of A. Thus $(X, A \cup \{\{5, 6, 7, \}, \{5, 6, 7\}, \{5, 6, 7\}\})$ is a TS(7, 3) with repeated blocks and so is decomposable by Lemma 2.7. So (V, B) is also decomposable.

case 2. w = 8. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$. In this case, for each $x \in X$, $6 \le d(x) \le 9$. So the degree type of (X, A) is $\pi = (6_6, 9_2)$. Suppose d(1) = 9, since w = 8 and any 2-subset of X appears in either 0 or 3 blocks of A, then there is a unique element of X, say 2, such that $\{1, 2\}$ appears in no blocks of A.

If d(2) = 9, let p be the number of distinct pairs $\{a, b\}$ such that $\{1, a, b\} \in \mathbf{B}_2$. Then there are exactly 9 - 2p distinct pairs $\{x, y\}$ such that $\{1, x, y\} \in \mathbf{B}_1$. It follows that we must have $\{2, a, b\} \in \mathbf{B}_1$ and $\{2, x, y\} \in \mathbf{B}_2$. Then p = 3 and $|\mathbf{B}_2| \ge 6 > b_2$ which is impossible.

If d(2) = 6. Suppose d(3) = 9 and let d be the unique element of X such that $\{3, d\}$ appears in no blocks of A. Obviously $d \neq 2$. Put d = 4. There exist $x, y \in X$ such that $\{1, 4, x\}, \{1, 3, y\} \in B_1$. Then we must have:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{A} &=& \{\{13x\},\{13x\},\{13y\},\{14x\},\{14y\},\{14y\},\\ && \{1z_1z_2\},\{1z_1z_2\},\{1z_1z_2\},\{23x\},\{23y\},\{23y\},\\ && \{24y\},\{24x\},\{24x\},\{3z_1z_2\},\{3z_1z_2\},\{3z_1z_2\}\} \end{array}$$

This is impossible since A can not contain 3-times repeated blocks.

case 3. w = 9. In this case, the degree type of (X, A) is $\pi = (6_9)$. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 0\}$. Obviously (X, A) can not contain a TS(5,3). So we may suppose, without loss of generality, that A contains the following 12 blocks:

But then {789} must appears 6 times in A which is impossible.

(iii) If s=4, then $(b_1,b_2,b_3)=(12,0,m_v-4)$ and so |A|=12, w=6 and the degree type of (X,A) is $\pi=(6_6)$. Let $X=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$. Since each 2-subset of X contains in either 0 or 3 blocks of A, a simple calculation shows that there are 3 2-subsets of X not contained in any block of A. Assume $\{1,6\}$ is one of them. Then A must contain the following 6 blocks.

The other 2 2-subsets not contained in A must be of the form $\{x,6\}$, say $\{2,6\}$ and $\{3,6\}$. Then A must contain $\{2,3,4\}$, $\{2,3,5\}$, $\{2,4,5\}$ and $\{3,4,5\}$. Since these 10 blocks form a TS(5,3) on $X\setminus\{6\}$, then we can not find the last 2 blocks for A.

This completes the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 2.9 If $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$, then $m_v + 9 \notin ISS(v, 3)$.

Proof. Let (V, \mathbf{B}) be an indecomposable TS(v, 3) with support size $m_v + 9$ and fine structure $(b_1, b_2, b_3) = (3s - 2t, t, m_v - s)$. Then $t = 2s - 9, 5 \le s \le 8$. By Lemma 2.2, $(t, s) \ne (1, 5), (3, 6), (5, 7)$. So we need only to consider the case (t, s) = (7, 8). In this case $(b_1, b_2, b_3) = (10, 7, m_v - 8)$. So we have $|\mathbf{A}| = 24$ and $9 \le w \le 12$. If w < 12, then (V, \mathbf{B}) is decoposable by Lemma 2.6 (iii). If w = 12, then d(x) = 6 for each $x \in X$. This is impossible since by Lemma 2.6 (ii), there exists $x \in X$ with $d(x) \ge 9$.

This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.10 Let $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$, if (V, \mathbf{B}) is a TS(v, 3) with fine structure $(b_1, b_2, b_3) = (12, 9, m_v - 10)$ or $(11, 8, m_v - 9)$, then (V, \mathbf{B}) is decomposable.

Proof. Let $X = \{1, 2, \dots, w\}$ and let $\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}$ and $\{2, 3\}$ be the 3 3-pairs of X. By Lemma 2.5, we need only to consider the case $\{1, 2, 3\} \notin \mathbf{B_1}$ and so we may assume that $w \geq 12$ and $\mathbf{B_1}$ contains the following

$$\mathbf{B_1'}: \qquad \{\{1,2,4\},\{1,2,5\},\{1,2,6\},\{1,3,7\},\{1,3,8\},\\ \{1,3,9\},\{2,3,10\},\{2,3,11\},\{2,3,12\}\}.$$

¿From (10) and by Lemma 2.6 (iv) necessarily we have

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{B_1} &=& \mathbf{B_1'} \cup \{\{4,7,10\},\{5,8,11\},\{6,9,12\}\}, \\ \mathbf{B_2} &=& \{\{1,4,7\},\{1,5,8\},\{1,6,9\},\{2,4,10\},\{2,5,11\},\\ \{2,6,12\},\{3,7,10\},\{3,8,11\},\{3,9,12\}\}. \end{array}$$

Let

$$\mathbf{C} = \{\{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 3, 7\}, \{1, 5, 8\}, \{1, 6, 9\}, \{2, 3, 10\}, \\ \{3, 8, 11\}, \{3, 9, 12\}, \{2, 5, 11\}, \{2, 6, 12\}, \{4, 7, 10\}\},$$

then $C \subset A$ and $(V, C \cup B_3)$ is a TS(v, 1) and so (V, B) is decomposable. This completes the proof.

For each (X, A), let G be the following graph on vertex set X: For $a, b \in X$, $a \neq b$, we join a and b by an edge of G if and only if $\{a, b\}$ is a 3-pair of X. G is called the single-edge graph of (X, A).

For each (X, A), let M be the following graph on X: For $a, b \in X$, $a \neq b$, we join a and b by an edge of M if and only if $\{a, b\}$ is contained in no blocks of A. M is called the "minimizing graph" of (X, A).

Obviously, any vertex of G is of even degree and any vertex of M is of degree w - 1 - 2d(x)/3.

Lemma 2.11 Let $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$. If (V, \mathbf{B}) is a TS(v, 3) with fine structure $(b_1, b_2, b_3) = (13, 7, m_v - 9)$ or $(12, 6, m_v - 8)$, then (V, \mathbf{B}) is decomposable.

Proof. There are exactly 6 3-pairs of X and so there are only 3 possibilities for the single-edge graph G:

case 1. Two disjoint triangles $\{1, 2, 3\}, \{4, 5, 6\}.$

In this case, if $C = \{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{4, 5, 6\}\} \subset B_1$, then $(V, C \cup B_2 \cup B_3)$ is a TS(v, 1) and so (V, B) is decomposable.

If $\{1,2,3\} \notin \mathbf{B}_1$, then $|\mathbf{B}_1| \geq 16 > b_1$ which is impossible.

case 2. Two triangles with one common vertex:

$$\{1,2,3\},\{1,4,5\}.$$

In this case, if $\{\{1,2,3\},\{1,4,5\}\}\subset \mathbf{B_1}$, then (V,\mathbf{B}) is decomposable, as in case 1. If $\{1,2,3\}\notin \mathbf{B_1}$, then we may suppose that $\mathbf{B_1}$ contains the following 12 blocks.

$$\{1,2,4\}, \{1,2,5\}, \{1,2,a\}, \{1,3,4\}, \{1,3,5\}, \{1,3,b\}, \{2,3,c\}, \{2,3,d\}, \{2,3,e\}, \{4,5,1\}, \{4,5,f\}, \{4,5,g\}.$$

and $|\{a,b,c,d,e\}|=5$, $|\{f,g\}|=2$, $\{a,b\}\cap\{f,g\}=\phi$ (otherwise the pair $\{1,4\}$ or $\{1,5\}$ would appear in \mathbf{B}_2). We may let c=f=6 and d=g=7. Then it can be seen that

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{B_1} &=& \{\{1,2,4\},\{1,2,5\},\{1,2,a\},\{1,3,4\},\\ && \{1,3,5\},\{1,3,b\},\{2,3,6\},\{2,3,7\},\\ && \{2,3,e\},\{4,5,1\},\{4,5,6\},\{4,5,7\},\{a,b,e\}\}. \\ \mathbf{B_2} &=& \{\{6,2,4\},\{6,3,5\},\{7,2,5\},\{7,3,4\},\\ && \{1,a,b\},\{2,a,e\},\{3,b,e\}\} \end{array}$$

Let

$$\mathbf{C} = \{\{1,2,4\},\{1,3,5\},\{2,3,6\},\{4,5,6\},\{3,4,7\},\\ \{2,5,7\},\{1,a,b\},\{2,a,e\},\{3,b,e\}\}.$$

Then $C \subset A$ and $(V, C \cup B_2 \cup B_3)$ is a TS(v, 1) and so (V, B) is decomposable.

case 3. A cycle with 6 vertices: {1,2,3,4,5,6}. It is easily seen that we have either

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{B_1} &=& \{\{126\}, \{123\}, \{12a\}, \{342\}, \{345\}, \{34a\}, \\ && \{561\}, \{564\}, \{56c\}, \{16x\}, \{23c\}, \{45x\}\} \\ \mathbf{B_2} &=& \{\{a13\}, \{a24\}, \{c26\}, \{c35\}, \{x15\}, \{x46\}\} \end{array}$$

or

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{B_1} &=& \{\{126\}, \{123\}, \{12a\}, \{342\}, \{345\}, \{34b\}, \\ && \{561\}, \{564\}, \{56b\}, \{16x\}, \{23x\}, \{45a\}\}, \\ \mathbf{B_2} &=& \{\{a15\}, \{a24\}, \{b35\}, \{b46\}, \{x13\}, \{x26\}\}. \end{array}$$

In either case, (V, \mathbf{B}) is decomposable.

This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.12 Let $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$. If (V, \mathbf{B}) is a TS(v, 3) with fine structure $(b_1, b_2, b_3) = (13, 4, m_v - 7)$ or $(15, 3, m_v - 7)$, then (V, \mathbf{B}) is decomposable.

Proof. We have |A| = 21 and so it follows from (8) that $7 \le w \le 10$. If w = 7, then (X, A) is a TS(7, 3) with repeated blocks and so is decomposable by Lemma 2.7. Then (V, B) is also decomposable.

For the degree type of (X, A), it follows from (8) that

$$\pi = \begin{cases} (6_3, 9_5) & \text{if } w = 8\\ (6_6, 9_3) & \text{or } (6_7, 9_1, 12_1) & \text{if } w = 9\\ (6_9, 9_1) & \text{if } w = 10. \end{cases}$$

(i) w = 8. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$, $N = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, $S = \{6, 7, 8\}$ such that d(x) = 9 for each $x \in N$ and d(y) = 6 for each $y \in S$. For each $x \in X$, the degree of x in the minimizing graph M of (X, A) is 1 if $x \in N$ and is 3 if $x \in S$ and so there are only two possibilities for M.

case 1.

$$\{\{1,2\},\{3,6\},\{4,7\},\{5,8\},\{6,7\},\{6,8\},\{7,8\}\} = M.$$

Then the 21 blocks of A are of the following forms:

Since d(5) = 9, it is impossible to arrange 5 into 9 of the above 21 blocks such that each pair containing 5 appears in either 0 or 3 blocks.

case 2.

$$\{\{1,6\},\{2,6\},\{3,7\},\{4,7\},\{5,8\},\{6,8\},\{7,8\}\}=M.$$

Each of 1, 2, 3, 4 and 8 can not meet the 3 blocks of A containing $\{6,7\}$ and this is impossible.

(ii) w = 9. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$. If $\pi = (6_6, 9_3)$, then let $N = \{1, 2, 3\}, S = \{4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$ such that

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} 9, & \text{if } x \in N \\ 6, & \text{if } x \in S \end{cases}$$

For each $x \in X$, let d'(x) denote the degree of x in the minimizing graph M of (X, A). Then

$$d'(x) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 4, & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{S}. \end{cases}$$

- case 1. There exists $x \in N$, say x = 1, such that $\{\{1,2\},\{1,3\}\} \subset M$. In this case, if $\{2,3\} \in M$, then there are 9 blocks of A containing z for each $z \in \{1,2,3\}$ and so $|A| \geq 27 > 21$ which is impossible. If $\{2,3\} \notin M$, then A contains 9 blocks of form $\{1,a,b\}$, 6 blocks of form $\{2,c,d\}$, 6 blocks of form $\{3,e,f\}$ and 3 blocks of form $\{2,3,g\}$ where $a,b,c,d,e,f,g \in S$. It follows that $|A| \geq 24$ which is also impossible.
- case 2. There exist two elements of N, say 1 and 2, and an element of S, say 4, such that $\{\{1,2\},\{1,4\}\}\subset M$. Then for each $x\in S\setminus \{4\}$, the pair $\{1,x\}$ appears in 3 blocks of A. There is a unique $z\in S\setminus \{4\}$ such that $\{2,z\}$ is not contained in any block of A. There are 18 blocks of A conaining 1 or 2. Since d(3)=9, d(4)=d(z)=6 and |A|=21, then the last 3 blocks of A must be $\{3,4,z\}$, $\{3,4,z\}$ and $\{3,4,z\}$, but this is impossible.
- case 3. There exist $a, b \in N$ and $c \in S$, say a = 1, b = 2 and c = 4, such that $\{\{1,4\},\{2,4\}\} \subset M$ and $\{1,2\} \notin M$. Then A contains 3 blocks of form $\{1,2,a\}$, 6 blocks of form $\{1,b,c\}$, 6 blocks of form $\{2,d,e\}$ and 6 blocks of form $\{4,f,g\}$. Since $\{1,2\} \notin M$ and $\{1,4\} \in M$, then $\{1,2,4\} \notin B$, and so there exists $m \in S \setminus \{4\}$ such that $\{1,2,m\} \in A$. It follows that the number of blocks of A of form $\{1,m,x\}$ or $\{2,m,y\}$ is 4 or 5. As d(m) = 6, $\{4,m\}$ must be contained in 3 blocks of A and so $d(m) \geq 7$, but this is impossible.

With the above discussions, from now on, without loss of generality, we may suppose that

$$\{\{1,4\},\{1,5\},\{2,6\},\{2,7\},\{3,8\},\{3,9\}\}\subset M.$$

- case 4. $\{\{4,6\},\{4,7\}\}\subset M$. In this case, if $\{4,5\}\in M$, then A contains a 3-repeated block $\{4,3,2\}$ which is impossible. So $\{4,5\}\notin M$ and we may suppose that $\{4,8\}\in M$. It follows that $\{4,x\}\notin M$ if $x\in\{2,3,5,9\}$ and so the blocks of A containing $\{4,3\}$ must be $\{4,3,2\},\{4,3,5\}$ and $\{4,3,x\}$ where $x\in\{2,5\}$. The remaining blocks of A conaining 4 must be $\{4,9,2\},\{4,9,y\}$, where $\{x,y\}=\{2,5\}$. It follows from d(9)=6 and $\{1,9\}\notin M$ that $\{9,1,2\},\{9,1,5\}\in A$. But this is impossible since $\{1,5\}\in M$.
- case 5. From now on, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\{\{1,4\},\{1,5\},\{2,6\},\{2,7\},\{3,8\},\{3,9\},\{4,5\},\{4,6\},$$

 $\{4,8\},\{6,7\},\{8,9\},\{5,7\},\{5,9\},\{6,x\},\{7,y\}\} = M,$

where $\{x, y\} = \{8, 9\}.$

Since we must have $\{\{5,2\},\{5,6\}\}\cap M=\phi$, and d(5)=6, then A contains 3 blocks of form $\{5,2,x\}$ and 3 blocks of form $\{5,6,y\}$ where $x,y\notin\{2,6\}$.

Now we have either $\{6,8\} \in M$ or $\{6,9\} \in M$. Since $\{5,8\} \notin M$, then $\{5,8\}$ must be contained in 3 blocks of A, then we have $\{\{5,2,8\},\{5,6,8\}\} \subset A$ and so $\{6,8\} \notin M$ and $\{\{6,9\},\{7,8\}\} \subset M$. The blocks of A containing 5 are

$$\{5,2,3\}, \{5,2,8\}, \{5,2,a\}, \{5,6,3\}, \{5,6,8\}, \{5,6,b\}$$

where $\{a, b\} = \{3, 8\}$. The blocks containing 8 are

$$\{8,5,2\}, \{8,5,6\}, \{8,5,c\}, \{8,1,2\}, \{8,1,6\}, \{8,1,d\}$$

where $\{c,d\} = \{2,6\}$. The blocks containing 2 are

$$\{2,5,3\}, \{2,5,3\}, \{2,5,8\}, \{2,1,9\}, \{2,1,8\}, \{2,1,8\}, \{2,4,3\}, \{2,4,9\}, \{2,4,9\}$$

or

$$\{2,5,3\}, \{2,5,8\}, \{2,5,8\}, \{2,1,8\}, \{2,1,9\}, \{2,1,x\}, \{2,4,3\}, \{2,4,9\}, \{2,4,y\}.$$

where $\{x, y\} = \{3, 9\}$. It follows that the 21 blocks of A are

or

or

So we have $b_1 = b_2 = 7$ in case (I) or case (III), $b_1 = 9$ and $b_2 = 6$ in case (II). But all of these cases are impossible since $(b_1, b_2, b_3) \in \{(13, 4, m_v - 7), (15, 3, m_v - 7)\}$. Now if $\Pi = (6_7, 9_1, 12_1)$, then let $D = \{1\}$, $N = \{2\}$, $S = \{3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$, and

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} 12, & \text{if } x = 1, \\ 9, & \text{if } x = 2, \\ 6, & \text{if } x \in S. \end{cases}$$

Then for the degree d'(x) of x in M, we have

$$d'(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = 1, \\ 2, & \text{if } x = 2, \\ 4, & \text{if } x \in S. \end{cases}$$

Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $\{\{2,3\},\{2,4\}\}\$ $\subset M$. Then it follows from d(3)=d(4)=6 that $\{3,4\}\notin M$

and A contains 3 blocks $\{3,4,x\}$, $\{3,4,x\}$, $\{3,4,y\}$ where $x,y \in S \setminus \{3,4\}$. Since $\{\{2,3\},\{2,4\}\} \subset M$, then we have

$$\{\{1,3,x\},\{1,4,x\},\{1,3,y\},\{1,4,y\},\{1,3,y\},\{1,4,y\}\}\subset \mathbf{A}$$

and so $\{1, y\}$ is contained in at least 4 blocks of A, a contradiction.

(iii) w = 10. Let $X = \{1, 2, \dots, 10\}$, then the degree type of (X, A) is (69, 91) and

$$d'(x) = \begin{cases} 3, & \text{if } d(x) = 9, \\ 5, & \text{if } d(x) = 6. \end{cases}$$

At most 12 distinct pairs are contained in the blocks of \mathbf{B}_2 and since $|\mathbf{B}_1| \geq 13$ then without loss of generality, we may suppose that $\{1,2,3\} \in \mathbf{A}$ and $\{1,2\}$, $\{1,3\}$ and $\{2,3\}$ are 3-pairs. Since there is only an element $a \in X$ with d(a) = 9, then (X, \mathbf{A}) contains a TS(5,3) (Y,\mathbf{B}) with $Y = \{1,2,\cdots,5\}$. It is easy to see that if $a \notin Y$ then $\{a,y\} \in M$ for each $y \in Y$ which is impossible and if $a \in Y$ then A contains 3-repeated block $\{a,x,z\}$ where $\{x,z\} \subset \{6,7,8,9,10\}$ which is also impossible.

This completes the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 2.13 If $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$, then $m_v + 10 \notin ISS(v, 3)$.

Proof. Let (V, \mathbf{B}) be a TS(v, 3) with $b^* = m_v + 10$ and fine structure (b_1, b_2, b_3) . By Lemma 2.2

$$(b_1, b_2, b_3) \notin \{(14, 2, m_v - 6), (15, 0, m_v - 5)\}.$$

So we have

$$(b_1, b_2, b_3) \in \{(10, 10, m_v - 10), (11, 8, m_v - 9), (12, 6, m_v - 8), (13, 4, m_v - 7), (13, 6, m_v - 8), (13, 6,$$

By Lemma 2.1, and Lemmas 2.10 - 2.12, for all the above 4 cases (V, \mathbf{B}) is decomposable. This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.14 If $v \equiv 1$, 3 (mod 6) then $m_v + 11 \notin ISS(v, 3)$.

Proof. Let (V, \mathbf{B}) be a TS(v, 3) with $b^* = m_v + 11$ and fine structure (b_1, b_2, b_3) . By Lemma 2.2,

$$(b_1, b_2, b_3) \notin \{(14, 5, m_v - 8), (16, 1, m_v - 6)\}.$$

So we have

$$(b_1, b_2, b_3) \in \{(11, 11, m_v - 11), (12, 9, m_v - 10), (13, 7, m_v - 9), (15, 3, m_v - 7)\}.$$

By Lemma 2.1 and Lemmas 2.10 - 2.12, for all the above cases, (V, \mathbf{B}) is decomposable. The proof is completed.

Combining Lemmas 2.2, 2.3, 2.8, 2.9, 2.13, 2.14 gives our main theorem in this section.

Theorem 2.15 If $m_v + k \in ISS(v,3)$, then $12 \le k \le 2m_v$.

3 Recursive constructions

In this section we give several recursive constructions for indecomposable triple systems with various support sizes.

Let (X, A) be a $TS(u, \lambda)$ and (Y, B) be a $TS(v, \lambda)$. If $Y \subseteq X$ and B is a subcollection of A, then (Y, B) is called a subsystem of (X, A), or (Y, B) is embedded in (X, A).

The following lemma will be useful for our constructions and the proof is obvious.

Lemma 3.1 If a $TS(u, \lambda)$ contains an indecomposable $TS(v, \lambda)$ as a subsystem, then the $TS(u, \lambda)$ is also indecomposable.

An incomplete triple system $TS(u, v, \lambda)$ is an ordered triple (X, Y, A) where X is a u-set and Y is a v-subset of X, and A is a collection of 3-subsets (called blocks or triples) of X such that

- (i) each pair of distinct elements of X, not both from Y, is contained in exactly λ blocks of A,
- (ii) each pair of distinct elements of Y is contained in no blocks of A.

Let (X, Y, A) be a $TS(u, v, \lambda)$, the umber of distinct blocks of A is called the support size of the $TS(u, v, \lambda)$. Let

$$SS(u, v, \lambda) = \{k \mid \exists TS(u, v, \lambda) \text{ with support size } k\}.$$

Lemma 3.2 If $k_1 \in SS(u, v, \lambda)$ and $k_2 \in ISS(v, \lambda)$, then $k_1 + k_2 \in ISS(u, \lambda)$.

Proof. Let (X, Y, \mathbf{A}) be a $TS(u, v, \lambda)$ with support size k_1 , (Y, \mathbf{B}) be an indecomposable $TS(v, \lambda)$ with support size k_2 . Then $\mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{B} = \phi$ and so $(X, \mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{B})$ is a $TS(u, \lambda)$ with support size $k_1 + k_2$

and contains (Y, \mathbf{B}) as a subsystem. By Lemma 3.1, $(X, \mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{B})$ is indecomposable. This completes the proof.

To give our main construction for incomplete triple systems with given support sizes, we need the following result:

Lemma 3.3 [9] Let $u, v \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$, $u \geq 2v + 1$, $v \geq 7$. Let n = u - v and K_n be the complete graph of order n with vertex set Z_n . Then we can always choose (n - v - 1)n/2 edges from K_n to form (n - v - 1)n/6 triples, and the remaining edges form a cyclic subgraph of K_n of degree v which can be partitioned into v 1-factors.

Main construction. Let $u, v \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$, u = 2v + k, $v \geq 7$ and $k \geq 1$. If $0 \leq s \leq 2v$, $s \neq 1$, then

$$(k-1)(v+k)/6 + (v+s)(v+k)/2 \in SS(2v+k,v,3).$$

Proof. Let $Y = \{\infty_1, \infty_2, \dots, \infty_v\}$ and $X = Z_{v+k}$. By Lemma 3.3, we may choose (k-1)(v+k)/2 edges from K_{v+k} to form a set \mathbf{B}_0 of (k-1)(v+k)/6 triples and the remaining edges can be partitioned into v 1-factors F_1, F_2, \dots, F_v .

Define 2 permutations σ and τ on the set $\{1, 2, \dots, v\}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} i = \sigma(i) = \tau(i), & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq r_1, \\ i = \sigma(i) \neq \tau(i), & \text{for } r_1 + 1 \leq i \leq r_1 + r_2, \\ i \neq \sigma(i) \neq \tau(i) \neq i, & \text{for } r_1 + r_2 + 1 \leq i \leq r_1 + r_2 + r_3 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} r_1 + r_2 + r_3 = v, \\ r_1 + 2r_2 + 3r_3 = s + v, \\ r_1, r_2, r_3 > 0 \end{cases}$$

This is always possible for any $s \in \{0, 2, 3, \dots, 2v\}$. Now let

$$G_i = F_i \cup F_{\sigma(i)} \cup F_{\tau(i)}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq v.$$

Then G_i is a 3-factor. Lelt

$$\mathbf{B}_{i} = \{\{\infty_{i}, a, b\} \mid \{a, b\} \in G_{i}\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq v$$

and

$$\mathbf{B} = 3\mathbf{B}_0 \cup \{\bigcup_{1 \le i \le v} \mathbf{B}_i\}.$$

Then (X, Y, \mathbf{B}) is a TS(u, v, 3) with support size (k-1)(v+k)/6+(v+s)(v+k)/2.

Combining Lemma 3.2 with the main construction gives the following theorem:

Theorem 3.4 Let $u, v \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$, u = 2v + k, $v \geq 7$ and $k \geq 1$. If $m_v + t \in ISS(v, 3)$ and $0 \leq s \leq 2v$, $s \neq 1$, then

$$m_{2v+k} + t + s(v+k)/2 \in ISS(2v+k,3).$$

Let k = 1 or 3 in Theorem 3.4, we then have the 2v + 1 construction and the 2v + 3 construction:

Corollary 1 [2] Let $v \equiv 1$ or 3 (mod 6), $v \geq 7$. If $m_v + t \in ISS(v,3)$ and $0 \leq s \leq 2v$, $s \neq 1$, then

$$m_{2v+1} + t + s(v+1)/2 \in ISS(2v+1,3).$$

Corollary 2 Let $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, $v \geq 9$. If $m_v + t \in ISS(v, 3)$ and $0 \leq s \leq 2v$, $s \neq 1$, then

$$m_{2v+3} + t + s(v+3)/2 \in ISS(2v+3,3).$$

We may easily generalize our main construction and Theorem 3.4 for arbitrary λ with $\lambda \leq v$:

Theorem 3.5 Let $u, v \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$, u = 2v + k, $v \geq 7$, $k \geq 1$ and $\lambda \leq v$. Let $0 \leq s \leq (\lambda - 1)v$, $s \neq 1$. Then

(i)
$$(k-1)(v+k)/6 + (v+s)(v+k)/2 \in SS(2v+k, v, \lambda)$$
.

(ii) If $m_v + t \in ISS(v, \lambda)$, then

$$m_{2v+k}+t+s(v+k)/2 \in ISS(2v+k,\lambda).$$

In order to give constructions for $TS(v, \lambda)$ s with support sizes close to λm_v , the following lemma is also needed:

Lemma 3.6 Let u, v and λ be positive integers, u, $v \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$ and $u \geq 2v + 1$, $\lambda \leq v - 2$. If $m_v + t \in ISS(v, \lambda)$, then

$$\lambda m_u - (\lambda - 1)m_v + t \in ISS(u, \lambda).$$

Proof. It is proved in [9] that, for such parameters u, v and λ , there exists a simple $TS(u, v, \lambda)$, i.e. a $TS(u, v, \lambda)$ with support size $\lambda(m_u - m_v)$. The conclusion then follows from Lemma 3.2.

A group divisible design GD(k, n; v) is ordered triple (X, G, A) where X is a v-set, G is a set of n-subsets (called groups) of

X, G partitions X, and A is a set k-subsets (called blocks) of X such that each pair of distinct elements of X from distinct groups appears in a unique block, and each pair of elements of X from a same group appears in no block.

In some particular cases, we also need the following construction using group divisible designs.

Theorem 3.7 (i) If there exists a GD(3, n; v) such that

$$m_n + t_1 \in ISS(n,\lambda), \quad m_n + t_2, m_n + t_3 \in SS(n,\lambda),$$

then

$$m_{3v} + t_1 + t_2 + t_3 \in ISS(3v, \lambda).$$

(ii) If there exists a GD(3, n; v) such that

$$m_{n+1}+t_1 \in ISS(n+1,\lambda), \quad m_{n+1}+t_2, m_{n+1}+t_3 \in SS(n+1,\lambda).$$

then

$$m_{3v+1} + t_1 + t_2 + t_3 \in ISS(3v_1, \lambda).$$

Proof. Let (X, G, A) be a GD(3, n; v), let $G = \{G_1, G_2, G_3\}$.

- (i) Form an indecomposable $TS(n, \lambda)$ with support size $m_n + t_1$ on G_1 , a $TS(n, \lambda)$ with support size $m_n + t_2$ on G_2 , and a $TS(n, \lambda)$ with support size $m_n + t_3$ on G_3 , and let each block of A λ -times repeated. This gives an indecomposable $TS(3v, \lambda)$ on X with support size $m_{3v} + t_1 + t_2 + t_3$.
- (ii) Let ∞ be a new element. Form an indecomposable $TS(n+1,\lambda)$ with support size $m_{n+1}+t_1$ on $G_1 \cup \{\infty\}$, form a $TS(n+1,\lambda)$ with support size $m_{n+1}+t_2$ on $G_2 \cup \{\infty\}$, and a $TS(n_1,\lambda)$ with support size $m_{n+1}+t_3$ on $G_3 \cup \{\infty\}$, and let each block of A λ -times repeated. This gives an indecomposable $TS(3v+1,\lambda)$ on $X \cup \{\infty\}$ with support sizes $m_{3v+1}+t_1+t_2+t_3$.

This completes the proof.

4 Support sizes for small v

In this section, we give constructions for indecomposable threefold triple systems with given support sizes which will be used in proving our main theorem of the paper.

ISS(7,3) was determined by Lemma 2.7; we restate the result in the following form:

Lemma 4.1 [7] $ISS(7,3) = \{21\}.$

Lemma 4.2 $ISS(9,3) = \{24, 25, \dots, 36\}.$

Proof. Since $m_9 = 12$, then, by Theorem 2.15, if $m_9 + k \in ISS(9,3)$, then $k \ge 12$, and so

$$24 < m_9 + k = b^* < b = 36.$$

For each $b^* \in \{31, \dots, 36\}$, an indecomposable TS(9,3) with support size b^* can be found in [6]. For each $b^* \in \{24, \dots, 30\}$, we form an indecomposable TS(9,3) with support size b^* in the following:

(i) $b^* = 24$, $X = Z_6 \cup \{a, b, c\}$.

 $\mathbf{B_1}: \qquad 013,014,035,124,235,245,a15,\\ a34,b01,b24,b35,c03,c14,c25.$

 \mathbf{B}_2 : b04, b15, b23, c05, c12, c34, a13, a45.

 $B_3: abc, a02.$

(ii) $b^* = 25$. $X = Z_6 \cup \{a, b, c\}$.

 $\mathbf{B}_1: \quad a01, a24, a35, b01, b24, b35, c03, c14, \\ c25, 013, 124, 235, 340, 451, 502.$

 $\mathbf{B}_2: \quad a02, a13, a45, b23, b40, b51, c12, c34, c50.$

 $\mathbf{B_3}: abc.$

(iii) $b^* = 26$. $X = Z_6 \cup \{a, b, c\}$.

 $\mathbf{B}_1: \quad a01, a24, a35, b01, b25, b34, c03, c14, \\ c24, c34, c35, 013, 124, 235, 340, 451, 502.$

 \mathbf{B}_2 : a02, a13, a45, b04, b15, b23, c05, c12.

 $\mathbf{B}_3: abc.$

(iv) $b^* = 27$. $X = Z_9$.

 $\mathbf{B}_1 : \{i, i+1, i+2\}, \{i, i+1, i+4\}, i \in \mathbb{Z}_9.$

 $\mathbf{B}_2 : \{i, i+2, i+5\}, i \in \mathbb{Z}_9.$

 $\mathbf{B_3}$: ϕ .

(v) $b^* = 28$. $X = \mathbb{Z}_8 \cup \{\infty\}$.

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B_1} &: & \{i,i+2,\infty\}, i \in Z_8, \\ & \{i,i+4,\infty\}, i = 0,1,2,3, \\ & \{i,i+1,i+4\}, i \in Z_8. \end{aligned}$

 $\mathbf{B_2}$: $\{i, i+1, i+3\}, i \in \mathbb{Z}_8$.

 $\mathbf{B_3}$: ϕ .

(vi)
$$b^* = 29$$
. $X = Z_9$.

B₁: 012, 123, 234, 456, 567, 678, 780, 801, 325, 346, 014, 125, 026, 347, 458, 560, 671, 782, 803, 025, 045, 046.

 $\mathbf{B_2}$: 136, 247, 358, 571, 682, 703, 814.

 $\mathbf{B}_3 : \phi$.

(vii)
$$b^* = 30, X = Z_8 \cup \{\infty\}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B_1} &: & \{i,i+1,i+4\}, i \in Z_8, \\ & \{i,i+2,\infty\}, i \in Z_8, i \neq 1, \\ & \{0,1,3\}, \{0,1,6\}, \{0,2,3\}, \{0,2,6\}, \{0,4,\infty\}, \\ & \{1,5,\infty\}, \{1,6,\infty\}, \{2,3,\infty\}, \{3,7,\infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

 $\mathbf{B}_2 : \{i, i+2, i+3\}, i \in \mathbb{Z}_8, i \neq 0, 6.$

 $\mathbf{B}_3: \phi.$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 4.3 If $0 \le t \le 8$, then $36 + 4t \in SS(13, 5, 3)$.

Proof. Let u = 13, v = 5, $X = Z_8 \cup \{\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3, \infty_4, \infty_5\}$. Form the following 7 1-factors on Z_8 :

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= \{01, 23, 45, 67\}, & F_2 &= \{12, 34, 56, 70\}, \\ F_3 &= \{02, 46, 13, 57\}, & F_4 &= \{06, 24, 35, 71\}, \\ F_5 &= \{03, 25, 47, 61\}, & F_6 &= \{14, 36, 50, 72\}, \\ F_7 &= \{04, 15, 26, 37\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\mathbf{B}_0 = \{\{i, i+2, i+4\} \mid i \in Z_8\}.$$

Now we form an ITS(13,5,3) (X,\mathcal{B}) with support size 36+4t for each $t, 0 \le t \le 8$. Let $\mathbf{B} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{5} \mathbf{B}_i$ where $\mathbf{B}_i = \{\{\infty_i, a, b\} \mid \{a, b\} \in \mathbf{A}_i\}, 1 \le i \le 5$ and $\mathbf{A}_i, 1 \le i \le 5$ are shown in the following table:

This completes the proof.

Lemma 4.4 If $0 \le t \le 7$, then $38 + 4t \in SS(13, 5, 3)$.

Proof. Let $X = \mathbb{Z}_8 \cup \{\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3, \infty_4, \infty_5\}$. Let

$$F_0 = \{02, 13, 45, 67\}, F_3 = \{01, 23, 46, 57\},\$$

and let F_1 , F_2 , F_4 , F_5 , F_6 , F_7 be as in Lemma 4.3. Let $\mathbf{B}_0 = \{\{i, i+2, i+4\} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_8\}$. For $1 \le i \le 5$, let \mathbf{A}_i shown in the following table.

Let

$$\mathbf{B} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{5} \mathbf{B}_{i},$$

$$\mathbf{B}_{i} = \{\{\infty_{i}, a, b\} \mid \{a, b\} \in \mathbf{A}_{i}\}$$

then for each t, $0 \le t \le 7$, (X, \mathbf{B}) is ITS(13, 5, 3) with support size 48 + 4t for each t with $0 \le t \le 7$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 4.5 If $0 \le t \le 12$, then $43 + 2t \in SS(13, 5, 3)$.

Proof. Let $X = Z_8 \cup \{\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3, \infty_4, \infty_5\}$. Let $F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4, F_5, F_6, F_7$ be as in Lemma 4.3, and let $\mathbf{B}_0 = \{\{i, i+1, i+2\} \mid i \in Z_8\}$.

(i) For $0 \le s \le 4$, let

$$\mathbf{A_1} = F_3 F_3 F_4$$

$$\mathbf{A_2} = F_5 F_5 F_5$$

and let A_3 , A_4 and A_5 be shown in the following table:

Let $\mathbf{B} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{5} \mathbf{B}_{i}$ where

$$\mathbf{B}_i = \{\{\infty_i, a, b\} \mid \{a, b\} \in \mathbf{A}_i\}, \ 1 \le i \le 5$$

 (X, \mathbf{B}) is an ITS(13,5,3) such that

$$\mathbf{P} = \{\{\infty_1, 0, 2\}, \{\infty_1, 3, 5\} \{\infty_2, 0, 3\}, \{\infty_2, 2, 5\}\} \subset \mathbf{B}, \ 0 \le s \le 4$$
 and so (X, \mathbf{B}') is an $ITS(13, 5, 3)$ with support size $43 + 4s$, $0 \le s \le 4$ where

$$\mathbf{B'} = (\mathbf{B} \backslash \mathbf{P}) \cup \ \{\{\infty_1, 0, 3\}, \{\infty_1, 2, 5\} \{\infty_2, 0, 2\}, \{\infty_2, 3, 5\}\}.$$

(ii) For $0 \le s \le 4$, let

$$A_1 = F_1F_2F_7,$$

 $A_2 = F_3F_3F_4,$

and let A₃, A₄ and A₅ be shown in the following table:

Let $\mathbf{B} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{5} \mathbf{B}_{i}$ where

$$\mathbf{B}_{i} = \{\{\infty_{i}, a, b\} \mid \{a, b\} \in \mathbf{A}_{i}\}, \ 1 \le i \le 5$$

 (X, \mathbf{B}) is an ITS(13, 5, 3) such that

$$\mathbf{P} = \{\{\infty_1, 1, 2\}, \{\infty_1, 3, 4\}, \{\infty_2, 1, 3\}, \{\infty_2, 2, 4\}\} \subset \mathbf{B}, \ 0 \le s \le 4$$
 and so (X, \mathbf{B}') is an $ITS(13, 5, 3)$ with support size $49 + 4s$, $0 < s < 4$ where

$$\mathbf{B'} = (\mathbf{B} \setminus \mathbf{P}) \cup \{\{\infty_1, 1, 3\}, \{\infty_1, 2, 4\}, \{\infty_2, 1, 2\}, \{\infty_2, 3, 4\}\}.$$

(iii) Let

$$A_1 = F_2F_7F_7,$$
 $A_2 = F_3F_3F_4,$
 $A_3 = F_5F_5F_5,$
 $A_4 = F_6F_6F_6,$
 $A_5 = F_1F_4F_7,$

Let $\mathbf{B} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{5} \mathbf{B}_{i}$ where

$$\mathbf{B}_0 = \{\{i, i+2, i+5\} \mid i \in Z_8\}.$$

and

$$\mathbf{B}_{i} = \{\{\infty_{i}, a, b\} \mid \{a, b\} \in \mathbf{A}_{i}\}, \ 1 \le i \le 5$$

 (X, \mathbf{B}) is an ITS(13, 5, 3) such that $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbf{B}$ with \mathbf{P} as in (ii) and so (X, \mathbf{B}') is an ITS(13, 5, 3) with support size 45, where

$$\mathbf{B'} = (\mathbf{B} \setminus \mathbf{P}) \cup \{\{\infty_1, 1, 3\}, \{\infty_1, 2, 4\}, \{\infty_2, 1, 2\}, \{\infty_2, 3, 4\}\}.$$

(iv) Let

$$A_1 = F_1F_2F_3,$$
 $A_2 = F_3F_4F_4,$
 $A_3 = F_5F_6F_7,$
 $A_4 = F_5F_6F_7,$
 $A_5 = F_5F_6F_7,$

and let $\mathbf{B} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{5} \mathbf{B}_{i}$ where

$$\mathbf{B}_i = \{\{\infty_i, a, b\} \mid \{a, b\} \in \mathbf{A}_i\}, \ 1 \le i \le 5$$

 (X, \mathbf{B}) is an ITS(13, 5, 3) such that

$$\mathbf{P} = \{ \{\infty_2, 0, 6\}, \{\infty_2, 2, 4\}, \{\infty_2, 0, 2\}, \{\infty_2, 3, 5\}, \\ \{\infty_3, 0, 4\}, \{\infty_3, 2, 6\}, \{\infty_3, 0, 3\}, \{\infty_3, 2, 5\}\} \subset \mathbf{B},$$

and so (X, \mathbf{B}') is an ITS(13, 5, 3) with support 67, where

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{B'} & = & (\mathbf{B} \setminus \mathbf{P}) \cup \{\{\infty_2, 0, 4\}, \{\infty_2, 2, 6\}, \{\infty_2, 0, 3\}, \{\infty_2, 2, 5\}\}, \\ & & \{\infty_3, 0, 6\}, \{\infty_3, 2, 4\}, \{\infty_3, 0, 2\}, \{\infty_3, 3, 5\}\} \subset \mathbf{B}, \end{array}$$

(v) Let
$$F_8 = \{02, 13, 45, 67\}, F_9 = \{01, 23, 46, 57\},\$$

$$A_1 = F_3 F_4 F_9,\$$

$$A_2 = F_2 F_5 F_6,\$$

$$A_3 = F_2 F_7 F_8,\$$

$$A_4 = F_1 F_2 F_4,\$$

$$A_5 = F_1 F_7 F_7,\$$

Let $\mathbf{B} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{5} \mathbf{B}_{i}$ where

$$\mathbf{B}_0 = \{\{i, i+2, i+4\} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_8\}.$$

and

$$\mathbf{B}_{i} = \{\{\infty_{i}, a, b\} \mid \{a, b\} \in \mathbf{A}_{i}\}, \ 1 \le i \le 5$$

 (X, \mathbf{B}) is an ITS(13, 5, 3) such that

$$\mathbf{P} = \{\{\infty_1, 4, 6\}, \{\infty_1, 1, 7\}, \{\infty_2, 4, 7\}, \{\infty_2, 1, 6\}\} \subset \mathbf{B},$$
 and so (X, \mathbf{B}') is an $ITS(13, 5, 3)$ with support size 63, where
$$\mathbf{B}' = (\mathbf{B} \setminus \mathbf{P}) \cup \{\{\infty_1, 4, 7\}, \{\infty_1, 1, 6\}, \{\infty_2, 4, 6\}, \{\infty_2, 1, 7\}\}.$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 4.6 If 46 < k < 78, $k \neq 47$, then $k \in ISS(13, 3)$.

Proof. By theorem 1.2, there is a TS(5,3) with support size 10, which must be indecomposable and so, by Lemma 3.2 and Lemmas 4.3 - 4.5, if $46 \le k \le 78$, $k \notin \{47, 49, 51\}$, then $k \in ISS(13,3)$. Let $X = Z_8 \cup \{\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3, \infty_4, \infty_5\}$. Let $F_1, F_2, F_4, F_5, F_6, F_7$ be as in Lemma 4.3, and let

$$F_0 = \{01, 23, 46, 57\},$$

$$F_9 = \{02, 13, 45, 67\},$$

$$A_1 = F_0 F_1 F_1,$$

$$A_2 = F_5 F_5 F_5,$$

$$A_3 = F_3 F_4 F_7,$$

$$A_4 = F_2 F_2 F_2,$$

$$A_5 = F_6 F_6 F_6,$$

Let $\mathbf{B} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{5} \mathbf{B}_{i} \cup \mathbf{C}$ where

$$\mathbf{B}_0 = \{\{i, i+2, i+4\} \mid i \in Z_8\}.$$

$$\mathbf{B}_i = \{\{\infty_i, a, b\} \mid \{a, b\} \in \mathbf{A}_i\}, \ 1 \le i \le 5$$

and (Y, C) is the TS(5,3) with $Y = \{\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3, \infty_4, \infty_5\}$. (X, B) is an ITS(13, 5, 3) such that

$$\mathbf{P} = \{\{\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3\}, \{\infty_1, 4, 6\}, \{7, \infty_2, 4\}, \{7, \infty_3, 6\}\} \subset \mathbf{B},$$

$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{P} \cup \{\{\infty_1, 0, 1\}, \{\infty_1, 2, 3\}, \{\infty_3, 0, 2\}, \{\infty_3, 1, 3\}\} \subset \mathbf{B}.$$

It is easy to see that (X, \mathbf{B}') and (X, \mathbf{B}'') are two ITS(13, 5, 3) with support sizes 49 and 51 respectively, where

$$\mathbf{B'} = (\mathbf{B} \setminus \mathbf{P}) \cup \{\{\infty_1, \infty_2, 4\}, \{\infty_1, \infty_3, 6\}, \{7, \infty_2, \infty_3\} \{7, 4, 6\}\}$$

and

$$\mathbf{B}'' = (\mathbf{B} \setminus \mathbf{Q}) \cup \{\{\infty_1, 0, 2\}, \{\infty_1, 1, 3\}, \{\infty_3, 0, 1\}, \{\infty_3, 2, 3\}, \{\infty_1, \infty_2, 4\}, \{\infty_1, \infty_3, 6\}, \{7, \infty_2, \infty_3\}, \{7, 4, 6\}\}.$$

This completes the proof.

5 Main result

To prove our main theorem the following lemmas are also needed.

Lemma 5.1 If u = 19 or 21, then

$$ISS(u,3) = \{m_u + 12, m_u + 13, \cdots, 3m_u\}.$$

Proof. Let u = 2v + k, v = 9 and k = 1 or 3, the conclusion follows from Theorem 2.15 and Theorem 3.4.

Lemma 5.2 $ISS(25,3) = \{m_{25} + 12, m_{25} + 13, \dots, 3m_{25}\}.$

Proof. Let 25 = 2v + k where v = 9, k = 7, it follows from Theorem 3.4 that

$$ISS(25,3) \supseteq \{m_{25}+12, m_{25}+13, \cdots, 3m_{25}\} \setminus \{125, 126, 127\}.$$

Let n = 8, v = 24. There exists a GD(3, 8; 24) and $m_9 + t \in ISS(9, 3)$ for $12 \le t \le 24$ and $m_9 \in SS(9, 3)$. Let $t_1 = 12$, $t_2 \in \{13, 14, 15\}$ and $t_3 = 0$ in Theorem 3.7 (i), it follows that

$$m_{25} + 12 + t_2 = 112 + t_2 \in ISS(25, 3).$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 5.3 If $u \in \{27, 31, 33, 37\}$, then

$$ISS(u,3) = \{m_u + 12, m_u + 13, \cdots, 3m_u\}.$$

Proof. In Theorem 3.4, let v = 13 and

$$u = 2v + k, k \in \{1, 5, 7, 11\}.$$

Since

$$ISS(13,3) \supseteq \{m_{13}+22, m_{13}+23, \cdots, 3m_{13}\}.$$

by Lemma 4.6, then for u = 26 + k, $k \in \{1, 5, 7, 11\}$, we have

$$ISS(u,3) \supset \{m_u + 22, m_u + 23, \cdots, 3m_u\}.$$

Now let u = 2v + k where v = 9, $k \in \{9, 13, 15, 19\}$ in Theorem 3.4, it follows that

$$ISS(u,3) \supset \{m_u + 12, m_u + 13, \dots, m_u + 24\}$$

and then

$$ISS(u,3) = \{m_u + 12, m_u + 13, \dots, 3m_u\}$$

for $u \in \{27, 31, 33, 37\}$.

Now we are in a position to prove our main Theorem:

Theorem 5.4 Let $m_v = [v(v-1)/6]$. If $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}, v > 15$, then

$$ISS(v,3) = \{m_v + 12, m_v + 13, \cdots, 3m_v\}.$$

If $v \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, $v \geq 5$, then

$$ISS(v,3) = \{m_v + 7, m_v + 10, \cdots, 3m_v + 1\}.$$

Proof. For $v \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, since any TS(v,3) is indecomposable, then ISS(v,3) = SS(v,3) and the conclusion follows from Theorem 1.2. For the case $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$, the theorem is proved in Lemmas 5.1 - 5.3 $15 < v \le 37$.

For $v \geq 39$, let

$$v = \begin{cases} 2(6t+1)+1, & \text{if } v = 12t+3, \\ 2(6t+3)+1, & \text{if } v = 12t+7, \\ 2(6t+3)+3, & \text{if } v = 12t+9, \\ 2(6t+3)+7, & \text{if } v = 12t+13. \end{cases}$$

The conclusion then follows from Theorem 2.15 and Theorem 3.4.

Acknowledgement

This work was done while the second author was visiting the Department of Mathematics, University of Messina. He would like to express his sincere thanks to CNR for financial support and to the University of Messina for the hospitality. The research of the first author was supported by GNSAGA CNR of Italy.

References

- C.J.Colbourn & C.C.Lindner, Support sizes of triple systems, JCT (A) 61 (1992), 193-210.
- [2] C.J.Colbourn & E.S.Mahmoodian, The spectrum of support sizes for threefold triple systems, *Discrete Math.* 83 (1990), 9-19.
- [3] C.J.Colbourn, R.Mathon, A.Rosa & N.Shalaby, The fine structure of threefold triple system: $v \equiv 1$ or 3 (mod 6), Discrete Math. 92 (1991), 49-64.
- [4] W.Foody & A.Hedayat, On theory and applications of BIB designs with repeated blocks, Ann. Statistics 5 (1977), 923– 945.
- [5] H.Hanani, Balanced incomplete block designs and related designs, Discrete Math. 11 (1975), 255-369.
- [6] R.Mathon & D.Lomas, A census of 2-(9,3,3) designs, Australasian J. of Combinatorics 5 (1992) 145-158.
- [7] E.J.Morgan, Some small quasi-multiple designs, Ars Combinaoria 3 (1977), 233-250.
- [8] A.Rosa, Repeated blocks in indecomposable twofold triple systems, *Discrete Math.* 65 (1987), 261-276.
- [9] H.Shen, Embeddings of simple triple systems, Science in China (A) 35 (1992), 283-191.