

On the Number of Multiplicative Partitions of a Multi-partite Number

Jun Kyo Kim*

Department of Mathematics
Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology
Taejon 305-701, Republic of Korea

Bruce M. Landman

Department of Mathematical Sciences
P.O. Box 26170
University of North Carolina at Greensboro
Greensboro, North Carolina 27402-6170, USA
email: bmlandma@uncg.edu

April 8, 1999

Abstract

A *t-partite* number is a *t*-tuple $\vec{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_t)$, where n_1, \dots, n_t are positive integers. For a *t*-partite number \vec{n} , let $f_t(\vec{n})$ be the number of different ways to write \vec{n} as a product of *t*-partite numbers, where the multiplication is performed coordinate-wise, $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ is not used as a factor of \vec{n} , and two factorizations are considered the same if they differ only in the order of the factors. This paper gives the following explicit upper bound for the multiplicative partition function $f_t(\vec{n})$: $f_t(n_1, \dots, n_t) \leq M^{w(t)}$, where $M = \prod_{i=1}^t n_i$ and $w(t) = \frac{\log((t+1)!)}{t \log 2}$.

*This research was supported in part by KOSEF.

1 Introduction

A *t-partite* number is an ordered t -tuple (n_1, \dots, n_t) , where each n_i is a positive integer. A *multiplicative partition* of the t -partite number $\vec{n} \neq (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ is a representation of \vec{n} as a product of t -partite numbers

$$(a_{1,1}, \dots, a_{1,t})(a_{2,1}, \dots, a_{2,t}) \dots (a_{r,1}, \dots, a_{r,t}),$$

where $n_i = \prod_{j=1}^r a_{j,i}$ for each i , $1 \leq i \leq t$ (i.e., the multiplication is performed coordinate-wise), and $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ is not used as a factor. Let $f_t(n_1, \dots, n_t)$ denote the number of different multiplicative partitions of (n_1, \dots, n_t) . Two multiplicative partitions are considered the same if they differ only in the order of the factors. Thus, $(2, 2)(2, 1)(1, 2)$ and $(1, 2)(2, 2)(2, 1)$ are considered to be the same multiplicative partitions of $(4, 4)$, while $(2, 1)(2, 1)(1, 4)$ and $(1, 2)(1, 2)(4, 1)$ are considered different. For example, $f_3(4, 3, 2) = 11$, since the eleven multiplicative partitions of $(4, 3, 2)$ are:

$$\begin{aligned} (4, 3, 2) &= (4, 3, 1)(1, 1, 2) = (4, 1, 2)(1, 3, 1) = (4, 1, 1)(1, 3, 2) \\ &= (4, 1, 1)(1, 3, 1)(1, 1, 2) = (2, 3, 2)(2, 1, 1) = (2, 3, 1)(2, 1, 2) \\ &= (2, 3, 1)(2, 1, 1)(1, 1, 2) = (2, 1, 2)(2, 1, 1)(1, 3, 1) \\ &= (2, 1, 1)(2, 1, 1)(1, 3, 2) = (2, 1, 1)(2, 1, 1)(1, 3, 1)(1, 1, 2). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that for all $n > 1$ and $t > 1$, $f_1(n) = f_t(n, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$ and, more generally, that if $r < t$ then $f_t(n_1, \dots, n_r, 1, 1, \dots, 1) = f_r(n_1, \dots, n_r)$.

The multiplicative partition function f_1 was introduced by MacMahon [9]. Hughes and Shallit [7] proved that $f_1(n) \leq 2n^{\sqrt{n}}$ for all n . Dodd and Mattics [4] improved the bound to $f_1(n) \leq n$; and later they lowered it further, showing that

$$f_1(n) \leq \frac{n}{\log n}$$

for all $n > 1$, $n \neq 144$ (see [5]). Asymptotic results involving $f_1(n)$ were studied by Oppenheim [10] and Canfield, Erdős, and Pomerance [3].

Landman and Greenwell [8] generalized the notion of multiplicative partitions to bipartite numbers and proved

$$f_2(m, n) \leq \frac{(mn)^{1.516}}{\log(mn)}.$$

This was improved by Hahn and Kim [6] who showed that

$$f_2(m, n) \leq (2160)^2(mn)^{1.143}.$$

In this paper we obtain a general upper bound for the multiplicative partition function $f_t(\vec{n})$. We prove that

$$f_t(n_1, \dots, n_t) \leq M^{w(t)},$$

where $M = \prod_{i=1}^t n_i$ and $w(t) = \frac{\log((t+1)!)}{t \log 2}$ (note that for $t = 1$ this reduces to the result of Dodd and Mattics that $f_1(n) \leq n$). We also show that the function $w(t)$ is essentially the best possible such that $M^{w(t)}$ is an upper bound.

Throughout the paper we use the following notation. Let N denote the set of all positive integers, and let $p_1 = 2, p_2 = 3, \dots$ be the sequence of primes. If $\vec{r} = \{r_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a nondecreasing sequence of real numbers with $r_i > 1$, the arithmetic function F is defined by

$$F(\vec{r}; n) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{r_j}{r_j - 1} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{r_j}{r_j - 1}\right)^2 + 1}$$

for each nonnegative integer n . For a sequence of real numbers $\vec{r} = \{r_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, the completely multiplicative function $h(\vec{r}, \cdot)$, whose domain is N , is defined as follows:

$$h(\vec{r}, 1) = 1; \quad h(\vec{r}, p_i) = r_i; \quad h(\vec{r}, ab) = h(\vec{r}, a)h(\vec{r}, b) \text{ for all } a, b \in N.$$

Let $B_n = f_1(p_1 p_2 \dots p_n)$ be the n^{th} Bell number, that is, the number of partitions of an n -element set (see, for example, [2], page 277).

2 Bounds on the Number of Multiplicative Partitions

To get an upper bound for $f_t(\vec{n})$, we first estimate, in the next theorem, the upper bound for $f_1(m)$. We will need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 1 *Let p be a prime and assume $p^2|s$. Let a be the greatest integer such that $p^a|s$. Then*

$$\sum_{d|\frac{s}{p}} f_1\left(\frac{s}{dp}\right) - \sum_{d|\frac{s}{p^2}, d>1} f_1\left(\frac{s}{dp^2}\right) = f\left(\frac{s}{p^2}\right) + \sum_{d|\frac{s}{p^a}} f\left(\frac{s}{dp}\right).$$

Proof. Note that if $d|\frac{s}{p}$, but $d \nmid \frac{s}{p^a}$, then $d = rp$ where $r|\frac{s}{p^2}$. Thus, for such a d , $f_1\left(\frac{s}{dp}\right) = f_1\left(\frac{s}{rp^2}\right)$. Thus

$$\sum_{d|\frac{s}{p}} f_1\left(\frac{s}{dp}\right) = \sum_{d|\frac{s}{p^2}} f_1\left(\frac{s}{dp^2}\right) + \sum_{d|\frac{s}{p^a}} f_1\left(\frac{s}{dp}\right). \quad (1)$$

Substituting the identity

$$\sum_{d|\frac{s}{p^2}} f_1\left(\frac{s}{dp^2}\right) = \sum_{d|\frac{s}{p^2}, d>1} f_1\left(\frac{s}{dp^2}\right) + f_1\left(\frac{s}{p^2}\right)$$

into (1) yields the result. ■

Lemma 2 *Let $a \geq 2$ be a positive integer, and let $\vec{r} = \{r_i\}$ be a sequence of real numbers with $r_i > 1$. Let $n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_m^{a_m}$ and $y = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{r_i}{r_i - 1}$. Then*

$$\sum_{d|n} h(\vec{r}; \frac{np_{m+1}^{a-1}}{d}) \leq h(\vec{r}; np_{m+1}^a) \frac{y}{r_{m+1}}.$$

Proof. Since

$$h(\vec{r}; \frac{np_m^{a-1}}{d}) = \frac{h(\vec{r}; np_{m+1}^a)}{r_{m+1} h(\vec{r}; d)},$$

it suffices to show that $\sum_{d|n} \frac{1}{h(\vec{r}; d)} \leq y$. Now,

$$\sum_{d|n} \frac{1}{h(\vec{r}; d)} = \prod_{i=1}^m \left(1 + \frac{1}{r_i} + \frac{1}{r_i^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{r_i^{a_i}}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{r_i - r_i^{-a_i}}{r_i - 1} \leq y,$$

as desired. ■

In [2], Dodd and Mattics use the fact that, for p a prime not dividing n , $f_1(np) = \sum_{d|n} f_1(d)$. We will make use of this same fact in the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 3 Let $\vec{r} = \{r_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ satisfy

$$r_{i+1} \geq F(\vec{r}; i) = \frac{y_i}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{y_i}{2}\right)^2 + 1} \quad (2)$$

for all $i \geq 0$, where $y_k = \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{r_j}{r_j - 1}$ for $k \geq 0$. Then $f_1(x) \leq h(\vec{r}, x)$ for each positive integer x .

Proof. The desired inequality obviously holds for $x = 1$. Let $n = \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{a_i}$ and $n' = np_{m+1}^a$. By inductive assumption, we may say $f_1(x) \leq h(\vec{r}; x)$ for all x , $1 \leq x < n'$. We may assume $a \geq 2$ since

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(np_{m+1}) &= \sum_{d|n} f_1(d) \leq \sum_{d|n} h(\vec{r}; d) = \prod_{i=1}^m (1 + r_i + \dots + r_i^{a_i}) = h(\vec{r}; n)y_m \\ &< h(\vec{r}; n)r_{m+1} = h(\vec{r}; np_{m+1}). \end{aligned}$$

For x a positive integer, denote by $M(x)$ the number of multiplicative partitions of x which have p_{m+1} as a part, and let $N(x)$ denote the number of multiplicative partitions of x which do not have p_{m+1} as a part. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(n') &= M(n') + N(n') \\ &\leq M(n') + \sum_{d|\frac{n'}{p_{m+1}}, d>1} N\left(\frac{n'}{dp_{m+1}}\right) \\ &= f_1\left(\frac{n'}{p_{m+1}}\right) + \left[\sum_{d|\frac{n'}{p_{m+1}}, d>1} f_1\left(\frac{n'}{dp_{m+1}}\right) - \sum_{d|\frac{n'}{p_{m+1}}, d>1} M\left(\frac{n'}{dp_{m+1}}\right) \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{d|\frac{n'}{p_{m+1}}} f_1\left(\frac{n'}{dp_{m+1}}\right) - \sum_{d|\frac{n'}{p_{m+1}^2}, d>1} M\left(\frac{n'}{dp_{m+1}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{d|\frac{n'}{p_{m+1}}} f_1\left(\frac{n'}{dp_{m+1}}\right) - \sum_{d|\frac{n'}{p_{m+1}^2}, d>1} f_1\left(\frac{n'}{dp_{m+1}}\right).$$

Hence, by Lemma 1,

$$f_1(n') \leq f_1\left(\frac{n'}{p_{m+1}^2}\right) + \sum_{d|\frac{n'}{p_{m+1}^2}} f_1\left(\frac{n'}{dp_{m+1}}\right). \quad (3)$$

By (3) and the induction hypotheses, we have

$$f_1(n') \leq \frac{h(\vec{r}; n')}{r_{m+1}^2} + \sum_{d|n} h\left(\vec{r}; \frac{np_{m+1}^{a-1}}{d}\right). \quad (4)$$

Note that (2) implies that $1 + r_m y_m \leq r_{m+1}^2$. Thus, by (4) and Lemma 2, we have

$$f_1(n') \leq h(\vec{r}; n') \left(\frac{1}{r_{m+1}^2} + \frac{y_m}{r_{m+1}} \right) \leq h(\vec{r}; n'),$$

completing the proof. ■

We now present three examples which result from Theorem 3. These will be used later in the paper.

Example 1. Let $r_1 = r_2 = r_3 = 2.88 < 24^{\frac{1}{3}}$, $r_{i+1} = \frac{\lfloor 10F(\vec{r}; i) \rfloor + 1}{10}$ for $3 \leq i \leq 15$, where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ is the floor function, and $r_i = i + 2$ for $i \geq 17$. Then since $F(\vec{r}; i) \leq r_{i+1} \leq i + 2$ for $i \leq 15$ and, for $i \geq 16$,

$$\begin{aligned} F(\vec{r}; i) &= \frac{i+2}{2 \cdot 18} \prod_{j=1}^{16} \frac{r_j}{r_j - 1} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{i+2}{2 \cdot 18} \prod_{j=1}^{16} \frac{r_j}{r_j - 1} \right)^2 + 1} \\ &< \frac{i+1}{2} \frac{17.99}{18} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{i+1}{2} \frac{17.99}{18} \right)^2 + 1} \\ &< i + 2 = r_{i+1}, \end{aligned}$$

we have $f_1(n) \leq h(\vec{r}, n)$.

Example 2. We have that $f_1(n) \leq n$, since

$$F(\{j+1\}_{j=1}^{\infty}; i) = \frac{i+1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{i+1}{2}} < i + 2 \leq p_{i+1} \text{ for } i \geq 0.$$

Example 3. Let $r_1 = \sqrt{5.5}$, $r_2 = \sqrt{5.5}$, $r_3 = 3.34$, $r_4 = 4.56$, and $r_i = i + 1.6$ for $i \geq 5$. Then we notice that $f_1(n) \leq h(\vec{r}, n)$, since $F(\vec{r}; i) < r_{i+1}$ for $i \leq 4$ and

$$\begin{aligned} F(\vec{r}; i) &= \frac{5.5 \cdot 3.34 \cdot 4.56 \cdot (i + 1.6)}{2(\sqrt{5.5} - 1)^2 \cdot 2.34 \cdot 3.56 \cdot 5.6} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{174515 \cdot (i + 1.6)}{194676(\sqrt{5.5} - 1)^2}\right)^2 + 1} \\ &< \frac{i + 1.6}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{i + 1.6}{2}\right)^2 + 1} \\ &< i + 2.6 = r_{i+1} \end{aligned}$$

for $i \geq 5$.

The next three lemmas show how to obtain upper bounds for f_t from the upper bounds for f_1 .

Lemma 4 For each $i = 1, \dots, t$, let $\{q_{i,1}, \dots, q_{i,m_i}\}$ be a set of distinct primes. For each i , let

$$x_i = \prod_{j=1}^{m_i} q_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}}, \quad s_i = \sum_{k=1}^i m_k, \quad \text{and}$$

$$y = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{m_1} p_j^{a_{1,j}} \right) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{m_2} p_{j+s_1}^{a_{2,j}} \right) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{m_3} p_{j+s_2}^{a_{3,j}} \right) \cdots \left(\prod_{j=1}^{m_t} p_{j+s_{t-1}}^{a_{t,j}} \right),$$

where all of the $a_{i,j}$'s are positive integers. Then $f_t(x_1, \dots, x_t) = f_1(y)$.

Proof. With each factorization of y :

$$y = (p_1^{c_{1,1}} p_2^{c_{1,2}} \cdots p_{s_1}^{c_{1,s_1}}) (p_1^{c_{2,1}} p_2^{c_{2,2}} \cdots p_{s_2}^{c_{2,s_2}}) \cdots (p_1^{c_{r,1}} p_2^{c_{r,2}} \cdots p_{s_t}^{c_{r,s_t}}),$$

we associate the following factorization of (x_1, \dots, x_t) :

$$(x_1, \dots, x_t) = (b_{1,1}, \dots, b_{1,t}) \cdots (b_{r,1}, \dots, b_{r,t}),$$

where $b_{i,1} = q_{1,1}^{c_{i,1}} \cdots q_{1,m_1}^{c_{i,m_1}}$ and, for $2 \leq j \leq t$, $b_{i,j} = \prod_{k=1}^{m_j} q_{j,k}^{c_{i,s_{j-1}+k}}$. This association gives a one-to-one correspondence between the set of multiplicative partitions of y and those of (x_1, \dots, x_t) . ■

As an example, according to Lemma 4,

$$f_4(6, 4, 2, 2) = f_1(2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11) = f_2(60, 6) = f_5(4, 2, 2, 2, 2).$$

Lemma 5 *If $f_1(m) \leq h(\vec{r}, m)$ for all positive integers m where $\vec{r} = \{r_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a non-decreasing sequence of positive real numbers, then for all t -partite numbers (n_1, \dots, n_t) ,*

$$f_t(n_1, \dots, n_t) \leq \prod_{i=1}^t h(\vec{s}, n_i), \text{ where } s_j = \prod_{i=(j-1)t+1}^{jt} r_i^{1/t} \text{ for } j \geq 1.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4 we may assume that for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$, $n_i = \prod_{j=1}^{k_i} p_j^{a_{i,j}}$, where $a_{i,1} > 0$ and $\{a_{i,j}\}_{j=1}^{k_i}$ is a non-increasing sequence of positive integers. Let $k = \max_{1 \leq i \leq t} \{k_i\}$, and let

$$c_{i,j} = \begin{cases} a_{i,j} & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq k_i \\ 0 & \text{if } k_i < j \leq k. \end{cases}$$

For fixed j , let $\{d_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i \leq t}$ be a rearrangement of $\{c_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i \leq t}$, where $\{d_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i \leq t}$ has non-increasing order. By Lemma 4,

$$\begin{aligned} f_t(n_1, \dots, n_t) &= f_1((p_1^{c_{1,1}} \dots p_t^{c_{t,1}})(p_{t+1}^{c_{1,2}} \dots p_{2t}^{c_{t,2}}) \dots (p_{(k-1)t+1}^{c_{1,k}} \dots p_{kt}^{c_{t,k}})) \\ &= f_1((p_1^{d_{1,1}} \dots p_t^{d_{t,1}})(p_{t+1}^{d_{1,2}} \dots p_{2t}^{d_{t,2}}) \dots (p_{(k-1)t+1}^{d_{1,k}} \dots p_{kt}^{d_{t,k}})) \\ &\leq (r_1^{d_{1,1}} \dots r_t^{d_{t,1}})(r_{t+1}^{d_{1,2}} \dots r_{2t}^{d_{t,2}}) \dots (r_{(k-1)t+1}^{d_{1,k}} \dots r_{kt}^{d_{t,k}}) \\ &\leq (s_1^{d_{1,1}} \dots s_1^{d_{t,1}})(s_2^{d_{1,2}} \dots s_2^{d_{t,2}}) \dots (s_k^{d_{1,k}} \dots s_k^{d_{t,k}}) \\ &\leq (s_1^{c_{1,1} + \dots + c_{t,1}})(s_2^{c_{1,2} + \dots + c_{t,2}}) \dots (s_k^{c_{1,k} + \dots + c_{t,k}}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^t h(\vec{s}, n_i). \end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma 6 *If $f_1(m) \leq h(\vec{r}, m)$ for all positive integers m , where $\vec{r} = \{r_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a non-decreasing sequence of positive real numbers, and α is a real number such that $\prod_{j=1}^{ti} r_j \leq (\prod_{j=1}^i p_j)^{t\alpha}$ for $i \geq 1$, then $f_t(n_1, \dots, n_t) \leq M^\alpha$, where $M = \prod_{i=1}^t n_i$.*

Proof. Let $m = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i}$, where $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is a non-increasing sequence of positive integers. Then

$$h(\vec{s}, m) = \prod_{i=1}^k s_i^{\alpha_i} \leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \left(\prod_{j=1}^i p_j \right)^{b_i} \right)^\alpha = m^\alpha,$$

where $s_j = \prod_{i=(j-1)t+1}^{jt} r_i^{1/t}$ for $j \geq 1$, $b_k = a_k$, and $b_i = a_i - a_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. The lemma follows from Lemmas 4 and 5. ■

From the above lemma, we have

Proposition 7 $f_2(m, n) \leq (mn)^{1.235}$.

Proof. Let r_i be defined as in Example (3). Since $r_{2i-1}r_{2i} < (2i+1.6)^2 \leq p_i^2$ for $i \geq 7$ and $\prod_{j=1}^{2i} r_j \leq (\prod_{j=1}^i p_j^2)^{1.235}$ for $i \leq 6$, we see that

$$\prod_{j=1}^{2i} r_j \leq \left(\prod_{j=1}^i p_j^2 \right)^{1.235} \text{ for } i \geq 1.$$

The desired conclusion follows from Lemma 6. ■

We need the following well-known formula (see, for example, [7, page 200]) to prove the next lemma.

Theorem 8 (Stirling's formula)

$$\frac{7}{8} < \log(n!) - \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \log n + n < 1$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$.

From now on, we use $w(t)$ to denote $\frac{\log(t+1)!}{t \log 2}$.

Lemma 9 Let $t \geq 11$ be an integer. Then

$$w(t) > \frac{\log(2t+1)! - \log(t+1)!}{t \log 3}. \quad (5)$$

That is, $3^{w(t)} > \prod_{i=t+1}^{2t} (i+1)^{1/t}$.

Proof. It is enough to show that

$$\frac{\log 6}{\log 2} \log(t+1)! - \log(2t+1)! > 0.$$

By direct calculation, one can see that (5) holds for all t , $11 \leq t \leq 42$. By Theorem 8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\log 6}{\log 2} \log(t+1)! - \log(2t+1)! \\ & > 2.5 [(t+3/2) \log(t+1) - (t+1) + 7/8] - [(2t+3/2) \log(2t+1) - (2t+1) + 1] \\ & > t \left[\frac{\log(t+1)-1}{2} - 2 \log 2 \right] + \left(\frac{15}{4} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \log(t+1) - \frac{35}{16} - \frac{3 \log 2}{2} \\ & > 42 \left[\frac{\log 44-1}{2} - 2 \log 2 \right] + \left(\frac{15}{4} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \log 44 - \frac{35}{16} - \frac{3 \log 2}{2} \\ & > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $t \geq 43$. ■

Lemma 10 *Let t and l be positive integers. Then we have*

$$w(t) > \frac{\log(lt+2t+2)! - \log(lt+t+2)!}{t \log(2l+3)} \text{ for } t \geq 3.$$

That is, $(2l+3)^{w(t)} > \prod_{i=lt+t+1}^{lt+2t} (i+2)^{1/t}$ for $t \geq 3$.

Proof. Fix $t \geq 3$. Let $H(x) = \frac{\log(xt+2t+2)}{\log(2x+3)}$ for $x \geq 1$. Then $H(x)$ is a decreasing function of x since

$$\log(2x+3)H'(x) = \frac{t}{xt+2t+2} - \frac{2}{2x+3}H(x) < \frac{t}{xt+2t+2} - \frac{2}{2x+3} < 0.$$

Therefore we have

$$w(t) - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^t \log(xt+t+2+i)}{t \log(2x+3)} > w(t) - H(x) \geq w(t) - H(1) = w(t) - \frac{\log(3t+2)}{\log 5}.$$

Let $G(t) = w(t) - \frac{\log(3t+2)}{\log 5}$. It is enough to show $G(t) > 0$ for $t \geq 3$.

For $t \geq 56$,

$$\begin{aligned} G(t) &= w(t) - \frac{\log(3t+2)}{\log 5} \\ &> \frac{\log(t+1)-1}{\log 2} - \frac{\log(3t+2)}{\log 5} \\ &> \log(t+1) \left[\frac{1}{\log 2} - \frac{1}{\log 5} \right] - \frac{1}{\log 2} - \frac{3}{\log 5} \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by direct calculation, one can prove $G(t) > 0$ for $t, 3 \leq t \leq 55$. Hence the lemma is proved. ■

Theorem 11 *Let n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t be positive integers. Then*

$$f_t(n_1, \dots, n_t) \leq M^{w(t)}, \tag{6}$$

where $M = \prod_{i=1}^t n_i$.

Proof. From Example (2) and Proposition 7, we may assume $t \geq 3$. First, let $t \geq 11$ and $r_i = i + 1$ for $i \geq 1$. From Lemmas 9 and 10 we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^t r_i^{1/t} = 2^{w(t)} \text{ and } \prod_{l=t+1}^{(t+1)t} r_i^{1/t} \leq (2l + 1)^{w(t)} \leq p_{l+1}^{w(t)} \text{ for all } l \geq 1.$$

By Lemma 6 and Example (2), inequality (6) holds for $t \geq 11$.

Now fix $t, 3 \leq t \leq 10$. Let $\{r_i\}$ be the same sequence defined in Example (1). By direct computation, one can show

$$\prod_{i=1}^t r_i \leq 2^{tw(t)} \text{ and } \prod_{i=1}^{2t} r_i \leq 6^{tw(t)}.$$

By Lemma 10, we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{lt} r_i \leq 6^{tw(t)} \prod_{i=2t+1}^{lt} r_i \leq 6^{tw(t)} \prod_{i=2t+1}^{lt} (i+2) \leq 6^{tw(t)} \prod_{j=3}^l (2j-1)^{tw(t)} \leq \prod_{i=1}^l p_i^{tw(t)}$$

for $l \geq 3$. Hence, by Lemma 6, inequality (6) holds for $t, 3 \leq t \leq 10$. The theorem is proved. ■

In the next theorem we show that the bound given by Theorem 11 cannot be significantly improved. We need the following lemma. Recall that B_n denotes the n th Bell number.

Lemma 12 *Let c be any real number such that $0 < c < 1$. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n + 1)!^c}{B_n} = 0.$$

Proof. For a fixed c , $0 < c < 1$, we can choose k such that $c < \frac{k-2}{k+1} < 1$.

Then for any positive integer t , with $t \leq k$,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{kn+t} &= \sum_{i=0}^{kn+t-1} \binom{kn+t-1}{i} B_i > \binom{kn+t-1}{k-1} B_{kn-k+t} > n^{k-1} B_{kn-k+t} \\ &\geq (n!)^{k-1} B_t, \end{aligned}$$

since the m^{th} Bell number can be expressed as

$$B_m = \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} B_i$$

(see [1], Chapter 13).

Hence we have

$$\frac{(kn+t)!^c}{B_{kn+t}} < \frac{[k^{(k+1)n}(n+1)!^k]^c}{(n!)^{k-1}} < \frac{[k^n(n+1)!]^{k-2}}{(n!)^{k-1}} < \frac{k^{nk}(n+1)^{k-2}}{n!} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

■

Theorem 13 Let $u(t) \leq w(t)$ for all positive integers t , and assume

$$f_t(n_1, \dots, n_t) \leq M^{u(t)},$$

for all t -partite numbers (n_1, \dots, n_t) , where $M = \prod_{i=1}^t n_i$. Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(t)}{w(t)} = 1.$$

Proof. From the fact that $B_t = f_t(2, 2, \dots, 2) \leq 2^{tu(t)}$, we have

$$1 \geq \frac{u(t)}{w(t)} \geq \frac{\log B_t}{t \log 2} \geq \frac{t \log 2}{t \log 2 \log(t+1)!} = \frac{\log B_t}{\log(t+1)!}.$$

By Lemma 12, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log B_t}{\log(t+1)!} \geq 1$, and the theorem follows.

■

Acknowledgment. The authors wish to thank the referee, whose suggestions were very helpful in improving the paper.

References

- [1] G.E. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1976.
- [2] R.A. Brualdi, *Introductory Combinatorics*, Elsevier, New York, 1992.
- [3] E.R. Canfield, P. Erdős, and C. Pomerance, On a problem of Oppenheim concerning "Factorisatio Numerorum," *J. Number Theory* **17** (1983), 1–28.
- [4] F.W. Dodd and L.E. Mattics, A bound for the number of multiplicative partitions, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **93** (1986), 125–126.
- [5] F.W. Dodd and L.E. Mattics, Estimating the number of multiplicative partitions, *Rocky Mountain J. of Math.* **17** (1987), 797–813.
- [6] S.G. Hahn and J.K. Kim, A note on multiplicative partitions of bipartite numbers, *Fibonacci Quart.* **33** (1995), 283–287.
- [7] J.F. Hughes and J.O. Shallit, On the number of multiplicative partitions, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **90** (1983), 468–471.
- [8] B.M. Landman and R.N. Greenwell, Multiplicative partitions of bipartite numbers, *Fibonacci Quart.* **29** (1991), 264–267.
- [9] P.A. MacMahon, Dirichlet's series and the theory of partitions, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **22** (1924), 404–411.
- [10] A. Oppenheim, On an arithmetic function, *J. London Math. Soc.* **1** (1926), 205–211; **II. 2** (1927), 123–130.
- [11] W. Rudin, *Principles of Mathematical Analysis*, 2nd ed., McGraw-Hill, NY, 1985.