

Partitioning sets of triples into designs II

MARTIN J. SHARRY and ANNE PENFOLD STREET

ABSTRACT

It is shown that the collection of all the $\binom{10}{3}$ triples chosen from a set of ten points can be partitioned into ten mutually disjoint 2-(9, 3, 1) designs in precisely 77 non-isomorphic ways.

Introduction.

A t -design based on a v -set, X , is a collection of k -subsets (blocks) chosen from X in such a way that each unordered t -subset of X occurs in precisely λ of the blocks. Such a design has parameters t -(v, k, λ).

Two t -(v, k, λ) designs X are said to be *disjoint* if and only if they have no block in common. If the set of all the $\binom{v}{k}$ k -sets chosen from X can be partitioned into mutually disjoint t -(v, k, λ) designs, then these designs are said to form a *large set*.

For example, Kirkman [5] in 1850 and Bays[1] in 1917 showed that the 84 triples chosen from a 9-set can be partitioned into a large set of 2-(9, 3, 1) designs in just two non-isomorphic ways; see also Kramer and Mesner [6] and Mathon, Phelps and Rosa [8]. Another example is provided by Kreher and Radziszowski [7] who showed that the 3432 7-subsets of a 14-set can be partitioned into a large set of two 6-(14, 7, 4) designs.

A necessary condition for the existence of a large set of t -(v, k, λ) designs, each with b blocks, is that b divides $\binom{v}{k}$. However, there are examples where this condition is satisfied but a large set does not exist. For example, Cayley [3] showed that from the $\binom{7}{3}$ triples on seven points at most two disjoint 2-(7, 3, 1) designs can be formed; the remaining 21 triples form a 2-(7, 3, 3) design which cannot be decomposed into smaller designs. Similarly, Kramer and Mesner [6] showed that on a 12-set there are at most two disjoint 5-(12, 6, 1) designs.

Whether or not a large set exists, it may be possible to pack the designs neatly by enlarging the set of points on which they are based, sometimes by adjoining just one extra point. Thus, if the set of all the $\binom{v}{k}$ k -sets chosen from X can be partitioned into v mutually disjoint t -($v - 1, k, \lambda$) designs, each missing a different point of X , then we shall say that these designs form an *overlarge set*. In this paper, we shall take $X = \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$, and label the designs of an overlarge set by their missing elements. Thus the designs in any overlarge set are labelled D_i , for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 9$, where element i is missing.

For example, from any $(t + 1)$ -($v, k + 1, 1$) design, D , we can form an overlarge set of t -($v - 1, k, 1$) designs by choosing, for each $i = 1, \dots, v$, all the blocks of D containing i , and deleting i from each of them. These k -sets form design D_i , and this overlarge set is said to be *derived* from D . Such a construction has been used

by Rosa [12]. However an overlarge set of designs need not be derived in this way; Sharry and Street [13] deal with the case $v = 8, k = 3, t = 2$, where only one of the 11 possible overlarge sets (partition A) is derived from a $3-(8, 4, 1)$ design, and Breach and Street [2] with the case $v = 9, k = 4, t = 3$, where two overlarge sets of $3-(8, 4, 1)$ designs exist, but no $4-(9, 5, 1)$ design exists.

The designs.

The $2-(9, 3, 1)$ design is unique up to isomorphism and has automorphism group of order 432; see for example [8]. We usually represent the design as a 3×3 array, the rows, columns, forward diagonals and back diagonals of which form the blocks of the design. For example, the design, P , given by the array

1	2	9
3	7	5
8	4	6

has the following blocks:

129, 357, 468; 138, 247, 569; 167, 258, 349; 145, 236, 789.

The $3-(10, 4, 1)$ design is unique up to isomorphism, and has automorphism group of order 1440; see, for example, [4]. We may form a derived overlarge set of $2-(9, 3, 1)$ designs from a $3-(10, 4, 1)$ design, as described above, and obviously this overlarge set is also unique up to isomorphism.

In distinguishing the isomorphism classes of overlarge sets, we also use the classification of the reducible $2-(9, 3, 2)$ designs, given by Morgan [11] and by Mathon and Rosa [9]; these are the designs numbered 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 14, 15, 23, 29, in [11]. There are precisely nine non-isomorphic reducible $2-(9, 3, 2)$ designs, and an example of each is given in Table 1, where $P \cup \pi_i P = \mathcal{D}(i)$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 8$.

Consider any two of the $2-(9, 3, 1)$ designs contained in an overlarge set, and suppose that they are based on the sets $\{1, 2, \dots, 9\}$ and $\{0, 1, \dots, 8\}$ respectively. Replacing the point 0 by the point 9 in the second design gives a reducible $2-(9, 3, 2)$ design, in which repeated blocks are only possible if they arise from blocks $xy9$ and $xy0$ present originally. Conversely, if we take a reducible $2-(9, 3, 2)$ design, and replace any one of the points in one of its subdesigns by a tenth point in such a way that no repeated blocks remain, then we have a set of 24 blocks which may constitute two of the $2-(9, 3, 1)$ designs contained in an overlarge set. Thus from the nine reducible $2-(9, 3, 2)$ designs, we find all possible pairs of $2-(9, 3, 1)$ designs that may occur in an overlarge set; we call such pairs *feasible*. It turns out that in fact all feasible pairs occur in overlarge sets, though we know this only through an exhaustive search.

i	π_i	$ \text{aut}(\mathcal{D}(i)) $	Generators of $\text{aut}(\mathcal{D}(i))$
0	(1)	432	(129)(357), (23749865)
1	(12)	24	(12), (36)(45)(78), (367458)
2	(12)(34)	32	(1625)(3847), (34)(58)(67), (56)(78)
3	(123)	6	(132)(457)(698), (12)(45)(89)
4	(1234)	4	(13)(24)(56), (24)(78)
5	(12)(46)(57)	108	(129)(365478), (134)(276)(589), (29)(36)(47)(58)
6	(1236)	4	(12)(35)(46), (12)(36)(45)(79)
7	(12369)	18	(129)(375)(468), (173642)(598)
8	(12459)	6	(136)(278)(495), (12)(38)(49)(67)

Table 1: The nine non-isomorphic reducible 2 - (9, 3, 2) designs, $\mathcal{D}(i)$, and their automorphism groups, $\text{aut}(\mathcal{D}(i))$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, 8$. Here $\mathcal{D}(i) = P \cup \pi_i P$ for the design P given in the text.

In $\mathcal{D}(i)$ for $i = 0, 1, 3, 5$, no single point can be replaced by 0 in such a way as to remove all repeated blocks, so these four designs do not give rise to any feasible pairs of 2-(9, 3, 1) designs. However, from $\mathcal{D}(i)$ for $i = 2, 4, 7$, we obtain (up to isomorphism) one pair of feasible designs for each value of i ; we denote these by $\mathcal{E}(i)$. Similarly, from $\mathcal{D}(i)$ for $i = 6, 8$, we obtain two pairs of feasible designs for each i ; we denote these by $\mathcal{F}(i)$ and $\mathcal{G}(i)$. This gives all seven of the feasible pairs of 2-(9, 3, 1) designs, as shown in Table 2, where ρ_i , σ_i , τ_i respectively are the permutations which must be applied to P to give $\mathcal{E}(i) = P \cup \rho_i P$, $\mathcal{F}(i) = P \cup \sigma_i P$, $\mathcal{G}(i) = P \cup \tau_i P$ respectively.

Overlarge sets of 2-(9, 3, 1) designs.

The set of all $\binom{10}{3}$ triples chosen from a 10-set can be partitioned into an overlarge set of 2-(9, 3, 1) designs in precisely 77 non-isomorphic ways. These are given in Table 3, together with their automorphism groups. As shown in Table 4, an automorphism group of order 6, 16, 20, 40, 48 or 1440 uniquely determines an overlarge set, but an automorphism group of any other order does not. (Note that partition 77 is that derived from a 3-(10, 4, 1) design.) All but two pairs of the remaining overlarge sets may be easily distinguished using the information given in Table 5; that is, the numbers of feasible pairs of each type contained in each overlarge set.

Feasible Pair	Permutation	Group Order	Group Generators
$\mathcal{E}(2)$	$\rho_2 = (09)(12)(34)$	32	(34)(58)(67) (1625)(3847) (09)(56)(78)
$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\rho_4 = (09)(1234)$	4	(13)(24)(56) (09)(24)(78)
$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\sigma_6 = (07)(1236)$	2	(12)(35)(46)
$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\tau_6 = (08)(1236)$	4	(12)(35)(46) (08)(12)(36)(45)(79)
$\mathcal{E}(7)$	$\rho_7 = (04)(12369)$	2	(04)(28)(56)(79)
$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\sigma_8 = (03)(12459)$	1	(1)
$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\tau_8 = (09)(12459)$ $= (012459)$	2	(09)(17)(23)(45)(68)

Table 2: The seven feasible pairs of 2-(9, 3, 1) designs, and their automorphism groups. The two designs in a feasible pair are based on distinct 9-sets of the set $\{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$. Here $\mathcal{E}(i) = P \cup \rho_i P$, $\mathcal{F}(i) = P \cup \sigma_i P$, and $\mathcal{G}(i) = P \cup \tau_i P$ respectively.

This leaves just partitions 21 and 22, and partitions 41 and 42, which cannot be distinguished by the information given in Tables 4 and 5. To deal with these two cases, not only the numbers of the different types of feasible pairs are needed, but also the way in which they are arranged; see Tables 6 and 7.

In partition 41, the design D_2 , with either D_1 or D_5 , forms a pair of type $\mathcal{E}(7)$, whereas in partition 42, no two pairs of type $\mathcal{E}(7)$ have a design D_i in common; this is shown in Table 7.

In both partitions 21 and 22, the designs D_1 and D_2 form a pair of type $\mathcal{E}(2)$, but this is the only pair of type $\mathcal{E}(2)$ in either of these partitions; this is shown in Table 6. Thus a permutation which mapped partition 21 to partition 22 would have to belong to the group of order 32, generated by the permutations

$$(09)(58)(67), (3645)(0897), (12)(56)(78),$$

which is the automorphism group of the pair D_1, D_2 . None of these 32 permutations does in fact map partition 21 to partition 22, providing easy confirmation of the fact that these overlarge sets are not isomorphic to each other. However, these are the most awkward of the partitions to identify, since the group of the unique pair of type $\mathcal{E}(2)$ must be computed.

#	Designs										G	Group Generators
	D_0	D_1	D_2	D_3	D_4	D_5	D_6	D_7	D_8	D_9		
1	123	234	134	124	129	126	125	128	127	120	16	(12)(56)(78)
	478	579	507	560	365	374	348	364	345	378		(39)(40)(78)
	596	608	689	879	708	809	907	590	096	645		(25)(48)(70)
2	123	234	134	124	129	126	125	128	127	120	32	(12)(56)(78)
	478	579	507	560	365	374	348	345	364	378		(39)(40)(78)
	596	608	689	879	708	809	907	096	590	645		(13)(27)(40)(59)(68)
3	123	234	134	124	129	126	125	128	120	127	8	(1753)(4809)
	478	579	507	560	365	374	348	345	379	364		(13)(26)(49)(57)(80)
	596	608	689	879	708	809	907	096	645	580		
4	123	234	134	124	129	126	127	128	125	120	4	(12)(37)(48)(59)(60)
	478	579	507	560	365	374	384	345	346	378		(13)(27)(40)(59)(68)
	596	608	689	879	708	809	590	096	907	645		
5	123	234	134	124	129	126	127	120	125	128	2	(15)(23)(49)(68)(70)
	478	579	507	560	365	374	384	398	346	345		
	596	608	689	879	708	809	590	645	907	076		
6	123	234	134	124	129	126	128	125	120	127	2	(12)(35)(46)(70)(89)
	478	579	507	560	365	374	345	348	379	364		
	596	608	689	879	708	809	097	906	645	580		
7	123	234	134	124	129	126	120	128	127	125	2	(25)(48)(70)
	478	579	507	560	365	374	378	364	345	348		
	596	608	689	879	708	809	945	590	096	607		
8	123	234	134	124	129	126	125	128	127	120	8	(12)(56)(78)
	478	579	507	560	365	348	374	364	345	378		(1329)(4506)
	596	608	689	879	708	907	809	590	096	645		
9	123	234	134	124	129	128	120	126	127	125	4	(12)(38)(47)(50)(69)
	478	579	507	560	365	347	378	354	364	348		(13)(28)(45)(70)
	596	608	689	879	708	096	945	809	590	607		
10	123	234	134	125	129	127	124	120	126	128	2	(12)(36)(45)(79)(80)
	478	579	507	486	365	364	305	398	374	345		
	596	608	689	790	708	890	987	645	509	076		
11	123	234	134	125	129	128	124	126	120	127	2	(12)(38)(47)(50)(69)
	478	579	507	486	365	347	305	354	379	364		
	596	608	689	790	708	096	987	809	645	580		
12	123	234	134	125	129	120	124	128	127	126	2	(12)(39)(40)(56)
	478	579	507	486	365	378	305	364	345	374		
	596	608	689	790	708	649	987	590	096	805		
13	123	234	134	125	129	120	124	128	127	126	6	(12)(39)(40)(56)
	478	579	507	486	365	378	305	345	364	374		(28)(30)(46)(59)
	596	608	689	790	708	649	987	096	590	805		

Table 3: The 77 non-isomorphic overlarge sets of $10 \times 2 - (9, 3, 1)$ designs, and their automorphism groups. Design D_i is based on the set $\{0, 1, \dots, 9\} \setminus \{i\}$.

#	Designs										G	Group Generators
	D_0	D_1	D_2	D_3	D_4	D_5	D_6	D_7	D_8	D_9		
14	123	234	134	127	128	120	129	125	126	124	2	(12)(35)(46)(70)(89)
	478	579	507	456	356	364	354	348	374	387		
	596	608	689	809	097	798	870	906	509	605		
15	123	234	134	127	128	120	129	125	126	124	2	(12)(35)(46)(70)(89)
	478	579	507	486	356	378	354	348	390	360		
	596	608	689	905	097	649	870	906	745	578		
16	123	234	134	127	129	126	125	120	124	128	8	(39)(40)(78) (26)(37)(89) (15)(37)(40)(89)
	478	579	507	486	365	374	348	398	379	345		
	596	608	689	905	708	809	907	645	560	076		
17	123	234	134	127	129	126	125	120	124	128	2	(39)(40)(78)
	478	579	507	486	365	348	374	398	379	345		
	596	608	689	905	708	907	809	645	560	076		
18	123	234	134	129	120	128	127	125	126	124	4	(12)(56)(78) (34)(56)(78)(90)
	478	579	507	465	356	364	345	348	374	387		
	596	608	689	708	897	790	098	906	509	605		
19	123	234	134	129	120	128	127	125	126	124	8	(12)(56)(78) (3748)(5069)
	478	579	507	465	356	347	384	346	354	387		
	596	608	689	708	897	096	590	908	709	605		
20	123	234	134	129	120	128	127	125	126	124	8	(12)(56)(78) (3748)(5069)
	478	579	507	456	365	347	384	364	345	387		
	596	608	689	807	798	096	590	809	907	605		
21	123	234	134	120	129	127	128	125	126	124	8	(12)(56)(78) (3546)(7980)
	478	579	507	465	356	346	354	348	374	387		
	596	608	689	798	807	098	790	906	509	605		
22	123	234	134	120	129	128	127	126	125	124	8	(12)(56)(78) (3748)(5069)
	478	579	507	465	356	347	384	345	364	387		
	596	608	689	798	807	096	590	908	709	605		
23	123	234	134	120	129	126	125	128	127	124	48	(12)(56)(78) (34)(56)(78)(90) (152)(374980)
	478	579	507	456	365	374	348	364	345	387		
	596	608	689	897	708	809	907	590	096	605		
24	123	234	134	120	129	126	125	128	127	124	8	(12)(56)(78) (34)(56)(78)(90) (39)(40)(78)
	478	579	507	456	365	348	374	364	345	387		
	596	608	689	897	708	907	809	590	096	605		
25	123	234	134	120	129	127	128	125	126	124	8	(12)(56)(78) (3546)(7980)
	478	579	507	456	365	364	345	348	374	387		
	596	608	689	897	708	890	097	906	509	605		
26	123	234	134	120	125	129	128	124	126	127	1	(1)
	478	579	587	479	360	348	354	356	309	380		
	596	608	690	865	798	076	970	809	547	645		

Table 3: (cont'd) The 77 non-isomorphic overlarge sets of $10 \times 2 - (9, 3, 1)$ designs, and their automorphism groups. Design D_i is based on the set $\{0, 1, \dots, 9\} \setminus \{i\}$.

#	Designs										G	Group Generators
	D_0	D_1	D_2	D_3	D_4	D_5	D_6	D_7	D_8	D_9		
27	123	234	134	126	127	128	129	120	125	124	2	(16)(27)(30)(49)(58)
	478	579	506	490	390	306	347	346	397	356		
	596	608	789	857	685	947	580	859	046	708		
28	123	234	134	126	127	128	129	120	125	124	2	(16)(27)(30)(49)(58)
	478	579	506	490	390	397	347	346	306	356		
	596	608	789	857	685	046	580	859	947	708		
29	123	234	134	124	129	126	127	120	125	128	4	(26)(38)(40)(79) (15)(23)(49)(68)(70)
	478	579	560	507	365	374	384	398	346	345		
	596	608	879	689	708	809	590	645	907	076		
30	123	234	134	124	129	126	125	128	127	120	2	(19)(23)(45)(60)(78)
	478	579	560	507	365	348	374	364	345	378		
	596	608	879	689	708	907	809	590	096	645		
31	123	234	134	124	129	128	120	126	127	125	8	(2670)(3954) (18)(23)(40)(57)(69)
	478	579	560	507	365	347	378	354	364	348		
	596	608	879	689	708	096	945	809	590	607		
32	123	234	134	129	125	128	120	126	127	124	8	(2076)(3459) (18)(23)(46)(57)(90)
	478	579	560	408	398	397	378	354	309	305		
	596	608	879	675	067	640	945	809	546	768		
33	123	234	134	129	128	127	120	124	125	126	2	(13)(28)(47)(59)(60)
	478	579	578	470	309	308	379	306	346	348		
	596	608	960	658	576	649	845	958	790	057		
34	123	234	134	125	129	127	120	128	124	126	4	(28)(30)(46)(59) (17)(24)(35)(68)(90)
	478	579	576	486	350	398	354	356	376	380		
	596	608	089	790	876	640	798	049	905	547		
35	123	234	134	125	129	126	124	128	127	120	4	(34)(59)(60) (17)(28)(59)(60)
	478	579	598	460	306	398	378	350	309	376		
	596	608	076	798	758	047	950	649	546	845		
36	123	234	134	129	125	126	124	128	127	120	4	(34)(59)(60) (17)(28)(59)(60)
	478	579	598	406	360	398	378	350	309	376		
	596	608	076	758	798	047	950	649	546	845		
37	123	234	135	128	129	120	127	125	126	124	2	(18)(29)(30)(46)(57)
	478	579	470	496	378	346	359	398	350	356		
	596	608	698	057	605	987	840	046	479	708		
38	123	234	135	126	128	124	127	120	129	125	2	(12)(37)(49)(58)(60)
	478	579	407	475	356	360	395	398	354	364		
	596	608	698	908	907	879	480	645	076	708		
39	123	234	135	128	129	126	120	125	124	127	2	(18)(25)(34)(69)(70)
	478	579	409	457	365	308	378	364	390	350		
	596	608	768	609	780	479	945	098	657	846		

Table 3: (cont'd) The 77 non-isomorphic overlarge sets of $10 \times 2 - (9, 3, 1)$ designs, and their automorphism groups. Design D_i is based on the set $\{0, 1, \dots, 9\} \setminus \{i\}$.

#	Designs										G	Group Generators
	D_0	D_1	D_2	D_3	D_4	D_5	D_6	D_7	D_8	D_9		
40	123	234	135	125	129	127	124	126	120	128	4	(1756)(4809)
	478	579	486	469	308	346	350	359	354	356		
	596	608	790	870	675	980	879	408	796	047		
41	123	234	135	125	129	127	120	128	126	124	4	(15)(40)(67)(89) (16)(23)(48)(57)(90)
	478	579	486	469	308	346	354	356	359	350		
	596	608	790	870	675	980	798	049	407	876		
42	123	234	135	125	129	120	127	128	126	124	4	(12)(37)(58)(60) (13)(27)(49)(50)(68)
	478	579	486	469	308	364	345	356	359	350		
	596	608	790	870	675	798	980	049	407	876		
43	123	234	135	125	129	127	120	128	126	124	4	(17)(23)(49)(56)(80) (14)(26)(35)(79)(80)
	478	579	486	469	350	346	354	356	359	308		
	596	608	790	870	876	980	798	049	407	675		
44	123	234	135	120	129	126	128	124	125	127	2	(26)(37)(89)
	478	579	486	497	365	374	359	305	379	364		
	596	608	790	685	708	809	407	986	640	085		
45	123	234	135	120	129	126	128	124	127	125	2	(26)(37)(89)
	478	579	486	497	365	374	359	305	364	378		
	596	608	790	685	708	809	407	986	095	640		
46	123	234	135	124	120	128	127	125	129	126	2	(18)(20)(34)(57)(69)
	478	579	480	507	365	367	345	348	340	374		
	596	608	976	689	789	490	098	906	657	805		
47	123	234	135	128	120	127	129	125	126	124	2	(16)(37)(40)(59)
	478	579	480	495	397	304	347	389	345	305		
	596	608	976	067	685	869	058	460	907	786		
48	123	234	135	128	127	124	129	125	120	126	2	(12)(37)(40)(58)
	478	579	408	495	305	390	308	346	356	345		
	596	608	967	760	986	687	475	890	749	078		
49	123	234	135	127	129	126	128	124	125	120	8	(2705)(3468) (19)(2603)(4785)
	478	579	407	465	376	348	309	398	304	347		
	596	608	986	098	850	907	475	056	769	658		
50	123	234	135	128	129	126	125	124	127	120	8	(2705)(3468) (19)(23)(45)(60)(78)
	478	579	407	457	365	348	374	398	395	378		
	596	608	986	609	708	907	809	056	460	645		
51	123	234	135	126	128	127	124	129	120	125	2	(13)(28)(47)(56)(90)
	478	579	496	475	356	390	305	346	397	376		
	596	608	087	908	907	486	789	058	645	840		
52	123	234	136	125	120	129	127	126	124	128	10	(12)(38)(45)(67)(90) (14)(26)(39)(58)(70)
	478	579	459	408	367	340	390	345	395	365		
	596	608	708	967	589	786	845	908	067	470		

Table 3: (cont'd) The 77 non-isomorphic overlarge sets of $10 \times 2 - (9, 3, 1)$ designs, and their automorphism groups. Design D_i is based on the set $\{0, 1, \dots, 9\} \setminus \{i\}$.

#	Designs										G	Group Generators
	D_0	D_1	D_2	D_3	D_4	D_5	D_6	D_7	D_8	D_9		
53	123	234	136	129	128	126	127	120	124	125	8	(26)(37)(89) (19)(24)(37)(58)(60)
	478	579	459	470	365	374	390	389	395	376		
	596	608	708	865	709	908	485	546	067	840		
54	123	234	136	129	128	126	127	124	125	120	4	(26)(37)(89) (15)(37)(40)
	478	579	497	407	365	374	384	389	367	346		
	596	608	805	658	709	908	095	506	490	857		
55	123	234	136	125	120	129	124	128	126	127	2	(17)(28)(36)(49)(50)
	478	579	470	460	379	304	395	364	350	356		
	596	608	985	798	568	786	087	905	479	840		
56	123	234	136	127	126	120	124	125	129	128	2	(19)(26)(38)(47)(50)
	478	579	470	456	350	374	395	398	304	364		
	596	608	985	890	879	968	087	460	576	705		
57	123	234	136	120	128	127	129	125	124	126	1	(1)
	478	579	407	496	305	304	347	389	395	384		
	596	608	985	785	976	869	508	460	067	705		
58	123	234	138	125	128	120	129	126	124	127	2	(13)(27)(48)(50)(69)
	478	579	469	486	305	346	304	309	359	384		
	596	608	705	097	976	789	587	458	670	065		
59	123	234	139	127	129	126	125	120	124	128	32	(27486903) (2863)(4709) (15)(23)(49)(68)(70)
	478	579	406	486	365	374	380	398	379	345		
	596	608	758	905	708	809	497	645	560	076		
60	123	234	139	127	129	126	125	124	120	128	24	(15)(37)(40)(89) (15)(38)(79) (146502)(387)
	478	579	460	458	365	374	384	389	397	364		
	596	608	875	609	708	809	097	605	456	570		
61	123	234	139	128	129	126	125	124	120	127	24	(124)(389)(560) (15)(37)(40)(89) (15)(38)(79)
	478	579	460	459	365	374	384	389	397	305		
	596	608	875	607	708	809	097	560	645	486		
62	123	234	130	129	128	126	125	124	127	120	8	(15)(37)(40) (1652)(4809)
	478	579	457	407	365	374	379	389	390	348		
	596	608	896	658	709	908	840	506	465	657		
63	123	234	130	127	129	126	125	124	120	128	8	(39)(40)(78) (26)(37)(89) (15)(38)(79)
	478	579	475	458	365	374	308	389	397	364		
	596	608	968	609	708	809	947	605	456	570		
64	123	234	130	128	129	126	125	124	120	127	8	(39)(40)(78) (26)(37)(89) (15)(37)(40)(89)
	478	579	475	459	365	374	308	389	397	305		
	596	608	968	607	708	809	947	560	645	486		
65	123	234	134	124	120	129	125	128	127	126	4	(18)(30)(45)(69) (14)(27)(39)(58)(60)
	478	570	508	506	376	304	308	359	390	387		
	596	698	976	789	859	768	947	640	546	045		

Table 3: (cont'd) The 77 non-isomorphic overlarge sets of $10 \times 2 - (9, 3, 1)$ designs, and their automorphism groups. Design D_i is based on the set $\{0, 1, \dots, 9\} \setminus \{i\}$.

#	Designs										G	Group Generators
	D_0	D_1	D_2	D_3	D_4	D_5	D_6	D_7	D_8	D_9		
66	123	234	134	129	126	124	125	128	127	120	8	(34)(50)(69) (1679)(2580)
	478	570	508	405	350	378	308	359	390	376		
	596	698	976	768	798	069	947	640	546	845		
67	123	234	134	127	126	120	129	124	125	128	8	(13)(25)(46)(78)(90) (14)(25)(38)(69)(70)
	478	570	568	468	350	378	354	398	374	307		
	596	698	079	905	798	649	807	065	960	546		
68	123	234	134	128	127	120	129	126	125	124	4	(1806)(3497)
	478	570	568	490	389	378	354	384	374	305		
	596	698	079	765	506	649	807	095	960	768		
69	123	234	136	126	129	128	125	120	127	124	8	(2679)(3054) (18)(23)(46)(57)(90)
	478	570	450	475	378	367	308	356	390	305		
	596	698	798	908	065	490	947	849	546	768		
70	123	234	136	126	120	129	125	124	127	128	10	(12)(38)(45)(67)(90) (10573)(28649)
	478	570	450	475	376	304	308	398	390	365		
	596	698	798	908	859	768	947	065	546	470		
71	123	234	136	127	126	120	129	124	125	128	8	(13)(25)(46)(78)(90) (14)(25)(38)(69)(70)
	478	570	457	468	350	367	354	398	374	307		
	596	698	890	905	798	489	807	065	960	546		
72	123	234	137	124	125	120	128	129	127	126	20	(12)(35)(40)(67)(89) (24)(58)(60)(79)
	478	570	490	590	378	348	350	354	396	304		
	596	698	856	867	960	679	497	806	045	578		
73	123	234	138	125	129	127	120	126	124	128	8	(1042)(5869) (16)(29)(37)(45)(80)
	478	570	457	460	378	346	384	398	350	354		
	596	698	690	789	065	908	597	450	679	706		
74	123	234	138	128	129	120	125	126	127	124	40	(1623)(49)(5780) (27)(35)(40)(69) (1346287905)
	478	570	457	490	378	348	308	398	390	305		
	596	698	690	765	065	679	947	450	546	768		
75	123	234	138	129	127	120	125	126	124	128	8	(1903)(4876) (1407)(25)(3698)
	478	570	457	405	389	364	374	384	309	365		
	596	698	690	768	506	789	908	095	657	470		
76	123	234	134	120	129	126	125	128	127	124	32	(12)(56)(78) (34)(56)(78)(90) (1329)(4506)
	478	507	579	456	365	348	374	364	345	387		
	596	689	608	897	708	907	809	590	096	605		
77	123	230	130	120	127	129	128	124	126	125	1440	(23)(69)(78) (132)(467589) (12)(34)(58)(69)(70)
	478	469	498	467	309	307	347	350	359	340		
	596	758	765	895	865	684	509	968	407	786		

Table 3: (cont'd) The 77 non-isomorphic overlarge sets of $10 \times 2 - (9, 3, 1)$ designs, and their automorphism groups. Design D_i is based on the set $\{0, 1, \dots, 9\} \setminus \{i\}$.

Group Order	Number of Overlarge Sets
1	2
2	25
4	14
6	1
8	23
10	2
16	1
20	1
24	2
32	3
40	1
48	1
1440	1
	77

Table 4: The possible orders of the automorphism groups of overlarge sets of $2 - (9, 3, 1)$ designs, and the number of non-isomorphic overlarge sets with groups of each order.

Computation.

Backtrack searches and the nauty software package [10] were used in computation, which was carried out on the Pyramid 9810 in the Department of Mathematics, University of Queensland. The number of large sets in each isomorphism class, as found by the backtrack search, was cross-checked against the number expected from the order of the automorphism group, as explained in [14].

Acknowledgements.

The work was sponsored by the Australian Research Grants Scheme, and by a University of Queensland Special Project Grant.

#	$\mathcal{E}(2)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{E}(7)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$
1	17	4	24	0	0	0	0
2	29	0	16	0	0	0	0
3	17	0	24	0	0	0	4
4	17	0	24	2	2	0	0
5	9	0	24	4	4	0	4
6	9	0	24	2	2	0	8
7	9	4	24	4	4	0	0
8	9	8	24	0	0	0	4
9	9	0	24	6	6	0	0
10	5	0	16	8	8	0	8
11	5	0	16	6	6	0	12
12	5	4	16	10	10	0	0
13	9	0	24	6	6	0	0
14	1	4	12	8	2	12	6
15	1	0	12	4	6	16	6
16	9	4	24	0	0	0	8
17	5	4	16	4	4	0	12
18	1	8	12	8	4	12	0
19	1	12	12	8	8	0	4
20	1	4	12	16	0	8	4
21	1	12	8	8	0	0	16
22	1	12	8	8	0	0	16
23	9	12	24	0	0	0	0
24	5	16	16	0	0	0	8
25	5	8	16	8	8	0	0
26	0	7	5	11	3	9	10
27	1	6	4	4	12	12	6
28	1	6	4	4	4	20	6
29	5	0	16	10	10	0	4
30	5	8	16	6	6	0	4
31	5	0	16	12	12	0	0
32	1	0	8	4	12	0	20
33	0	2	4	3	6	20	10
34	1	0	4	4	4	20	12
35	3	14	4	0	12	8	4
36	3	14	4	0	4	16	4
37	0	4	10	5	8	10	8
38	0	2	8	3	4	8	20
39	0	0	12	2	6	14	11

Table 5: The number of feasible pairs of $2 - (9, 3, 1)$ designs of each type contained in the overlarge sets of $2 - (9, 3, 1)$ designs.

#	$\mathcal{E}(2)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{E}(7)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$
40	1	0	20	4	4	12	4
41	5	0	12	4	4	12	8
42	5	0	12	4	4	12	8
43	5	0	8	6	6	8	12
44	3	10	8	0	14	8	2
45	3	10	8	0	6	16	2
46	0	4	12	6	2	10	11
47	1	2	4	4	4	24	6
48	1	6	4	4	8	16	6
49	1	0	8	4	4	8	20
50	5	0	16	4	4	0	16
51	0	2	8	4	4	10	17
52	0	0	10	10	10	0	15
53	1	4	4	16	8	0	12
54	1	8	4	8	4	12	8
55	1	2	2	6	10	14	10
56	0	4	4	4	10	14	9
57	1	4	2	10	2	14	12
58	1	4	4	8	2	12	14
59	5	8	16	0	0	0	16
60	3	6	12	0	24	0	0
61	3	6	12	0	0	24	0
62	1	12	4	8	0	8	12
63	7	10	4	0	16	8	0
64	7	10	4	0	8	16	0
65	5	8	0	14	14	0	4
66	5	8	0	8	8	0	16
67	1	8	0	0	12	8	16
68	1	4	0	8	8	24	0
69	5	0	0	12	12	0	16
70	5	0	0	10	10	0	20
71	1	0	0	0	4	24	16
72	5	0	0	10	10	0	20
73	1	0	0	4	20	8	12
74	5	0	0	20	20	0	0
75	1	0	0	4	12	16	12
76	5	24	0	0	0	0	16
77	45	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 5: (cont'd) The number of feasible pairs of $2-(9, 3, 1)$ designs of each type contained in the overlarge sets of $2-(9, 3, 1)$ designs.

	D_0	D_1	D_2	D_3	D_4	D_5	D_6	D_7	D_8	D_9
D_0	*	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$
D_1	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	*	$\mathcal{E}(2)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$
D_2	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{E}(2)$	*	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$
D_3	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	*	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$
D_4	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	*	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$
D_5	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	*	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$
D_6	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	*	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$
D_7	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	*	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$
D_8	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	*	$\mathcal{G}(6)$
D_9	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	*

(a) Partition 21

	D_0	D_1	D_2	D_3	D_4	D_5	D_6	D_7	D_8	D_9
D_0	*	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$
D_1	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	*	$\mathcal{E}(2)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$
D_2	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{E}(2)$	*	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$
D_3	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	*	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$
D_4	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	*	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$
D_5	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	*	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$
D_6	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	*	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$
D_7	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	*	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$
D_8	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	*	$\mathcal{G}(8)$
D_9	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{E}(4)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	*

(b) Partition 22

Table 6: Relationships between pairs of $2 - (9, 3, 1)$ designs contained in partitions 21 and 22.

	D_0	D_1	D_2	D_3	D_4	D_5	D_6	D_7	D_8	D_9
D_0	*	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{E}(2)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$
D_1	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	*	$\mathcal{E}(7)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{E}(2)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$
D_2	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{E}(7)$	*	$\mathcal{E}(2)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{E}(7)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$
D_3	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{E}(2)$	*	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{E}(7)$	$\mathcal{E}(7)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$
D_4	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	*	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{E}(2)$
D_5	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{E}(7)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	*	$\mathcal{E}(2)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$
D_6	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{E}(7)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{E}(2)$	*	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$
D_7	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{E}(2)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{E}(7)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	*	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$
D_8	$\mathcal{E}(2)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	*	$\mathcal{G}(6)$
D_9	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{E}(2)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	*

(a) Partition 41

	D_0	D_1	D_2	D_3	D_4	D_5	D_6	D_7	D_8	D_9
D_0	*	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{E}(7)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{E}(2)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$
D_1	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	*	$\mathcal{E}(7)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{E}(2)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$
D_2	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{E}(7)$	*	$\mathcal{E}(2)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$
D_3	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{E}(2)$	*	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{E}(7)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$
D_4	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	*	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{E}(2)$
D_5	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	*	$\mathcal{E}(2)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{E}(7)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$
D_6	$\mathcal{E}(7)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{E}(2)$	*	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$
D_7	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{E}(2)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{E}(7)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	*	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$
D_8	$\mathcal{E}(2)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{E}(7)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	*	$\mathcal{G}(6)$
D_9	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{E}(2)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(8)$	$\mathcal{F}(6)$	$\mathcal{G}(6)$	*

(b) Partition 42

Table 7: Relationships between pairs of $2 - (9, 3, 1)$ designs contained in partitions 41 and 42.

REFERENCES

1. S.Bays, *Une question de Cayley relative au probleme des triades de Steiner*, Enseignement Mathematique **19** (1917), 57-67.
2. D.R.Breach and Anne Penfold Street, *Partitioning sets of quadruples into designs II*, Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing **3** (1988), 41-48.
3. A.Cayley, *On the triadic arrangements on seven and fifteen things*, London, Edinburgh and Dublin Philos. Mag. and J. Sci. **37** 3 (1850), 50-53; Collected Mathematical Papers **I**, 481-484.
4. J.Doyen and A.Rosa, *An updated bibliography and survey of Steiner systems*, "Topics on Steiner systems," (eds.C.C.Lindner and A.Rosa); Annals of Discrete Mathematics **7** (1980), 317-349, North-Holland, Amsterdam.
5. T.P.Kirkman, *Note on an unanswered prize question*, Cambridge and Dublin Math. J. **5** (1850), 255-262.
6. Earl S. Kramer and Dale M. Mesner, *Intersections among Steiner systems*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory (A) **16** (1974), 273-285.
7. Donald L. Kreher and Stanislaw P. Radziszowski, *The existence of simple 6-(14, 7, 4) designs*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory (A) **43** (1986), 237-243.
8. R.A.Mathon, K.T.Phelps and A.Rosa, *Small Steiner triple systems and their properties*, Ars Combinatoria **15** (1983), 3-110.
9. Rudolf Mathon and Alexander Rosa, *A census of Mendelsohn triple systems of order 9*, Ars Combinatoria **4** (1977), 309-313.
10. Brendan D. McKay, *nauty User's Guide (Version 1.2)*, Australian National University Computer Science Technical Report TR-CS-87-03 (1987).
11. Elizabeth J. Morgan, *Some small quasi-multiple designs*, Ars Combinatoria **3** (1977), 233-250.
12. Alexander Rosa, *A theorem on the maximum number of disjoint Steiner triple systems*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory (A) **18** (1975), 305-312.
13. Martin J. Sharry and Anne Penfold Street, *Partitioning sets of triples into designs I*, Ars Combinatoria **26A** (1988) (to appear).
14. Martin J. Sharry and Anne Penfold Street, *Partitioning sets of quadruples into designs I*, "Combinatorial Design Theory - A Tribute to Haim Hanani," (ed. Alan Hartman); Annals of Discrete Mathematics (to appear), North Holland, Amsterdam.

Department of Mathematics
 University of Queensland
 St.Lucia, Queensland 4067
 AUSTRALIA