## On tree factorizations of $K_{10}$

A.J. Petrenjuk
State Flight Academy
Kirovograd
Ukraine

We use here undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges. For undefined terms see [5].

We shall call a *tree r-packing* in a graph  $K_n$  the collection P of r mutually edge disjoint trees of the graph. Then the mentioned trees are called *components* of the tree r-packings. A tree r-packing P is called a *tree decomposition* of  $K_n$  if every edge of  $K_n$  belongs to some component.

The problem is considered how to select the set  $T_n$  of all trees of order n such that for every  $T \in T_n$  there exists a tree decomposition R = R(T) of the graph  $K_n$  into the components isomorphic to T. Such decompositions we call T-factorizations.

We must point out the well-known fact that  $P_n \in \mathbf{T}_n$  where  $P_n$  denotes n-vertex path with n even.

It is known [1] that  $T \in \mathbf{T}_n \Rightarrow (a)$  n is even and (b)  $\Delta(T) \leq n/2$  where  $\Delta(T)$  is the greatest vertex degree in the tree T. The trees satisfying the conditions (a), (b) are called *admissible*.

For  $n \leq 8$  the problem is completely solved in [2, 3], and in [3, 4, 6] all nonisomorphic tree factorizations for these orders are enumerated. In this paper we give the complete solution in the case n = 10.

# The necessary conditions of factorizability

For n = 2k every admissible tree T defines the vector  $\mathbf{d}(T) = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k)$  where  $d_i$  is the quantity of vertices of degree i in the tree T. Let us define the type of a vertex x in the factorization R as the vector  $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k)$  where  $s_j$  is the quantity of components in R having the degree j in x.

The possible types of vertices can be determined from the following correlations.

$$s_1 + s_2 + \cdots + s_k = k, \tag{1}$$

$$s_1 + 2s_2 + \dots + ks_k = n - 1, \tag{2}$$

$$s_j$$
 is integer,  $s_j \ge 0 \ (j = 1, \dots, k)$ . (3)

We denote by w(n) the number of solutions of the system (1) - (3), i.e. the number of possible types of vertices for order n.

Thus for n = 10 there are just 5 possible types of vertices (writing the types we omit commas and brackets), namely  $t_1 = 14000$ ,  $t_2 = 22100$ ,  $t_3 = 30200$ ,  $t_4 = 31010$ ,  $t_5 = 40001$ .

For n = 12 we have w(12) = 7 and  $t_1 = 150000$ ,  $t_2 = 231000$ ,  $t_3 = 312000$ ,  $t_4 = 320100$ ,  $t_5 = 401100$ ,  $t_6 = 410010$ ,  $t_7 = 500001$ .

For n = 14 we have w(14) = 11 possible types, namely  $t_1 = 1600000$ ,  $t_2 = 2410000$ ,  $t_3 = 3220000$ ,  $t_4 = 3301000$ ,  $t_5 = 4030000$ ,  $t_6 = 4111000$ ,  $t_7 = 4200100$ ,  $t_8 = 5002000$ ,  $t_9 = 5010100$ ,  $t_{10} = 5100010$ ,  $t_{11} = 6000001$ .

We also present the full list of 15 possible types for n=16:  $t_1=17000000$ ,  $t_2=25100000$ ,  $t_3=33200000$ ,  $t_4=34010000$ ,  $t_5=41300000$ ,  $t_6=42110000$ ,  $t_7=43001000$ ,  $t_8=50210000$ ,  $t_9=51020000$ ,  $t_{10}=61101000$ ,  $t_{11}=52000100$ ,  $t_{12}=60011000$ ,  $t_{13}=60100100$ ,  $t_{14}=61000010$ ,  $t_{15}=70000001$ .

Let us denote  $a_j$  the number of vertices of type  $t_j$  in the factorization R. Let  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}(R) = (a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{w(n)})$ , and let  $\mathbf{S} = (s_{ij})$  be a matrix with w(n) rows and k columns in which i-th row is i-th possible vertex type for order n.

Counting in two different ways the total number of the vertices of degree i in R, for every i, leads us to the matrix equality

$$\mathbf{aS} = \mathbf{d}(T). \tag{4}$$

From the above it follows

Theorem 1. For the existence of a tree factorization of the graph  $K_{2k}$  into tree factors isomorphic to T it is necessary that the matrix equation (4) has a solution with nonnegative integer components.

Remark that the notion of vertex types, for the case n = 8, was introduced by C. Huang and A. Rosa [2]. Just in their paper the system of scalar equations was used equivalent to (4).

Below we demonstrate that Theorem 1 makes it possible to affirm the nonexistence of the T-factorizations for some admissible T.

## The necessary condition for the case n = 10

Consider the partial case n = 10. In this case the relation (4) can be written in the scalar form

$$a_1 + 2a_2 + 3a_3 + 3a_4 + 4a_5 = 5d_1;$$
 (5)

$$4a_1 + 2a_2 + a_4 = 5d_2; (6)$$

$$a_2 + 2a_3 = 5d_3; (7)$$

$$a_4=5d_4; (8)$$

$$a_5 = 5d_5. \tag{9}$$

Subtracting (8) from (6), we obtain  $4a_2+2a_2=5(d_2-d_4)$ , hence  $d_2 \geq d_4$ . So we prove the following

Theorem 2. If  $T \in T_{10}$  then  $d_2 \ge d_4$ .

For example, every tree T with d(T) = 70120 does not belong to  $T_{10}$  because the necessary condition  $d_2 \ge d_4$  is not fulfilled.

In F. Harary's monograph [5] the diagrams of all nonisomorphic trees of order 10 are presented. There are exactly 106 such trees. We will denote  $T_m$  the tree drawn in m-th diagram in the list.

The examination of the list shows that the trees  $T_{20}$  -  $T_{26}$ ,  $T_{69}$  -  $T_{72}$  and  $T_{81}$  are not admissible ( $\Delta > 5$ ), and so do not belong to  $T_{10}$ . The trees  $T_{102}$ ,  $T_{103}$  have d(T) = 70120, and due to Theorem 2 do not belong to  $T_{10}$ . The remaining trees are investigated in the following sections.

### The sufficient conditions in the case n = 10 and constructions

As we may see from the following theorem the predominate number of admissible 10-vertex trees belongs to  $T_{10}$ .

Theorem 3. If for 10-vertex tree T the conditions  $\Delta(T) \leq 4$  and  $d(T) \neq 70120$  take place then  $T \in \mathbf{T}_{10}$ .

**Proof:** We have constructed the corresponding factorizations of  $K_{10}$  for every such tree. In Table 1 the column 'Num' contains the number m of the tree  $T_m$  and the column 'Base component' presents the edge list of the component from which the  $T_m$ -factorization develops under the action of the permutations  $\alpha^s$  (s=0,1,2,3,4) where  $\alpha=(12345)(6789A)$ .

The factorizations having the automorphism  $\alpha$ , and isomorphic to them, are called *bicyclic*.

Table 1

Num	Base component	Num	Base component
1.	12 24 35 39 46 59 5 <i>A</i> 78 7 <i>A</i>	2.	17 23 25 36 46 48 5 <i>A</i> 78 79
3.	15 19 25 27 3 <i>A</i> 48 4 <i>A</i> 69 6 <i>A</i>	4.	18 19 26 27 34 35 56 78 7 <i>A</i>
5.	13 19 27 29 45 4 <i>A</i> 59 68 6 <i>A</i>	6.	12173538464759696A
7.	13 15 26 46 47 4 <i>A</i> 5 <i>A</i> 79 89	8.	12 13 16 2 <i>A</i> 37 4 <i>A</i> 57 68 89
9.	12 13 16 19 4 <i>A</i> 57 59 78 8 <i>A</i>	10.	121316174658597 <i>A</i> 9 <i>A</i>
11.	12263539464958799A	12.	12141719263857689
13.	12141617293659788A	14.	13 15 2 <i>A</i> 46 56 59 5 <i>A</i> 78 79
27.	12131647485657898A	28.	12 13 16 28 46 47 59 79 9 <i>A</i>
<b>29</b> .	12131647485657799A	<b>30</b> .	12 24 2 <i>A</i> 37 39 46 5 <i>A</i> 69 89
31.	12131836484956799A	<b>32</b> .	12 13 16 46 47 4 <i>A</i> 59 89 8 <i>A</i>
33.	12 13 16 46 48 4 <i>A</i> 58 79 9 <i>A</i>	<b>34</b> .	12 24 38 39 46 47 59 78 8 <i>A</i>
35.	12 25 37 3 <i>A</i> 49 56 58 78 79	<b>36</b> .	12 13 16 46 4 <i>A</i> 58 59 67 8 <i>A</i>
37.	12 24 26 36 38 56 57 79 9 <i>A</i>	<b>38</b> .	12 24 39 46 47 78 7 <i>A</i> 59 5 <i>A</i>
<b>39</b> .	13 16 18 26 34 47 56 8 <i>A</i> 9 <i>A</i>	40.	12 24 36 46 56 59 5 <i>A</i> 78 7 <i>A</i>
41.	12 24 37 39 46 47 5 <i>A</i> 78 8 <i>A</i>	<b>42</b> .	12 24 36 46 4 <i>A</i> 59 5 <i>A</i> 7 <i>A</i> 89
43.	12 24 36 46 4 <i>A</i> 59 5 <i>A</i> 78 7 <i>A</i>	44.	12 25 26 38 3A 4A 58 7A 9A
45.	12 25 26 29 36 38 4 <i>A</i> 78 8 <i>A</i>	46.	12 24 26 38 4 A 57 58 89 8 A
47.	12 26 35 38 39 3 <i>A</i> 47 67 79	48.	12 13 16 3 <i>A</i> 4 <i>A</i> 58 59 7 <i>A</i> 9 <i>A</i>
49.	12 26 35 38 39 3 <i>A</i> 47 67 68	50.	12 24 39 46 47 59 5 A 79 89
51.	12 16 18 1 <i>A</i> 24 36 56 79 9 <i>A</i>	<b>52</b> .	12 24 36 38 39 46 59 79 9 <i>A</i>
<b>53</b> .	12 25 37 38 3 A 47 56 67 79	54.	12 26 35 46 47 4 <i>A</i> 5 <i>A</i> 8 <i>A</i> 9 <i>A</i>
<b>55</b> .	12 26 35 46 47 4 <i>A</i> 5 <i>A</i> 8 <i>A</i> 9 <i>A</i>	<b>56</b> .	12 24 36 37 38 46 56 69 9 <i>A</i>
<b>57.</b>	12 24 38 39 46 48 58 67 8 <i>A</i>	<b>58</b> .	12 24 36 46 56 59 5 <i>A</i> 67 8 <i>A</i>
<b>59</b> .	12 24 37 39 46 47 5 <i>A</i> 78 7 <i>A</i>	60.	12 16 17 1 <i>A</i> 24 36 57 8 <i>A</i> 9 <i>A</i>
73.	12 13 16 17 48 57 58 89 8 <i>A</i>	74.	16 23 25 28 29 37 47 67 7 <i>A</i>
<b>75</b> .	12 16 17 1 <i>A</i> 24 36 57 78 79	<b>76</b> .	12 24 39 46 47 49 59 89 8 <i>A</i>
77.	12 26 35 36 38 3 <i>A</i> 4 <i>A</i> 7 <i>A</i> 9 <i>A</i>	83.	12 24 36 37 39 46 5 <i>A</i> 6 <i>A</i> 8 <i>A</i>
84.	12 24 36 37 46 4 <i>A</i> 5 <i>A</i> 68 9 <i>A</i>	85.	12 24 26 36 56 57 5 <i>A</i> 89 8 <i>A</i>
86.	12 24 26 36 38 3 <i>A</i> 56 7 <i>A</i> 89	87.	12 24 39 46 47 59 5 <i>A</i> 78 79
88.	12 26 33 36 38 46 4 <i>A</i> 7 <i>A</i> 9 <i>A</i>	89.	12 24 26 38 39 3 <i>A</i> 58 67 69
90.	12 24 26 36 38 39 57 79 9 <i>A</i>	91.	12 25 26 39 49 57 58 8 <i>A</i> 9 <i>A</i>
92.	12 24 26 36 38 39 57 78 7 <i>A</i>	93.	12 24 26 36 56 57 5 <i>A</i> 68 89
94.	12 24 26 36 56 57 5 <i>A</i> 68 9 <i>A</i>	<b>95</b> .	12 24 26 38 39 3 <i>A</i> 58 68 78
96.	12 24 26 39 47 49 57 78 7 <i>A</i>	97.	12 25 37 49 56 57 58 79 9 <i>A</i>
98.	12 24 26 29 39 58 5 <i>A</i> 7 <i>A</i> 9 <i>A</i>	99.	12 24 26 27 3 <i>A</i> 4 <i>A</i> 58 89 8 <i>A</i>
100.	12131648565758898 <i>A</i>	101.	12 13 16 46 47 48 56 69 6 <i>A</i>
104.	12 16 17 25 29 37 47 68 6 <i>A</i>	105.	12 24 26 36 56 57 5 <i>A</i> 8 <i>A</i> 9 <i>A</i>

So the problem under consideration is solved for the trees T of order 10 with  $\Delta(T) < 5$ . For 11 from the remaining 18 admissible trees with  $\Delta(T) = 5$  the corresponding bicyclic factorizations are cited in the Table 2.

Table 2

Num	Base component	Num	Base component
16.	12 13 16 18 19 4A 59 67 7A	18.	12 13 16 17 19 46 59 78 8A
63.	12 24 26 3 <i>A</i> 4 <i>A</i> 58 5 <i>A</i> 7 <i>A</i> 9 <i>A</i>	64.	16 23 24 28 29 2 <i>A</i> 59 67 79
65.	12 24 36 46 56 59 5 <i>A</i> 67 68	67.	12 24 38 39 46 48 58 78 8 <i>A</i>
<b>68</b> .	12 13 16 46 47 56 59 68 6 <i>A</i>	79.	12 25 38 48 56 57 58 89 8 <i>A</i>
80.	12 24 26 28 38 57 58 89 8 <i>A</i>	82.	12 13 19 <i>lA</i> 16 46 56 67 68
106.	12 24 26 3 <i>A</i> 47 4 <i>A</i> 5 <i>A</i> 8 <i>A</i> 9 <i>A</i>		

For trees  $T_{15}$ ,  $T_{17}$ ,  $T_{19}$ ,  $T_{61}$ ,  $T_{62}$ ,  $T_{66}$ ,  $T_{78}$  the question, do they belong to  $T_{10}$  or not, will be answered in the next section.

### The nonexistence theorems

It is easy to establish that the system (5) - (9) has a solution in real numbers under the condition

$$4d_1 = d_2 + 6d_3 + 11d_4 + 16d_5, (10)$$

and in this case its general solution looks like

$$a_1 = 5d_1 - l0d_3 - 15d_4 - 20d_5 + h,$$
 $a_2 = 5d_3 - 2h,$ 
 $a_3 = h,$ 
 $a_4 = 5d_4,$ 
 $a_5 = 5d_5.$ 
(11)

Also, it is easy to check that the condition (10) fulfils for all admissible trees of order 10.

Of course we are interested in the solutions with nonnegative integer  $a_i$ 's.

Remark 1: In particular, for  $T \in \{T_{15}, T_{17}, T_{19}\}$  we have d(T) = 54001, and the system (5) - (9) have the unique solution

$$a_1 = a_5 = 5$$
,  $a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = 0$ .

**Theorem 4.** None of the trees  $T_{15}$ ,  $T_{19}$  belongs to  $T_{10}$ .

**Proof:** Suppose that there exist the decomposition R of  $K_{10}$  into trees isomorphic to T,  $T \in \{T_{15}, T_{19}\}$ . Due to Remark 1,there are 5 vertex of type  $t_1$  and 5 vertex of type  $t_5$  in R.

Let A be the set of the vertices of type  $t_5$ , and B be the set of other vertices. Let X(A, B) be the complete bipartite graph with parts A, B.

#### Consider the case

$$T = T_{15}$$
: 12 13 14 15 16 67 78 89 9A.

On one hand, the vertex of degree 2 in every component of R must lie in the set B. But, on the other hand, then the 5 vertices in B will be joined by 15 edges (3 edges from every component). But it is impossible because there are only ten such edges. The contradiction proves our theorem in the case  $T=T_{15}$ .

Now, let us consider the case

$$T = T_{19}$$
: 12 13 14 15 16 27 38 49 5 $A$ .

As all the 2-vertices must lie in B, the edges of components joining the centers with 2-vertices must lie in X(A,B). Those edges cover 20 edges of X(A,B). Then at most 5 from the 20 edges incident with 2-vertices lie in X(A,B). So at least 15 component endvertices must lie in B. But, on the other hand, B contains only 5 such vertices, a contradiction.

The Theorem is proved.

By straight computer search we had obtained the following result.

Theorem 5. None of the trees  $T_{17}$ ,  $T_{61}$ ,  $T_{62}$ ,  $T_{66}$ ,  $T_{78}$  belongs to  $T_{10}$ .

It will be interesting to find a visual reason of the nonexistence of T-factorizations in these 5 cases.

The above consideration covers all trees of order 10. Now we may unite our results in

Theorem 6. From 106 trees of order 10 there are exactly 85 admitting T-factorizations. Moreover, for every such tree there exists a bicyclic T-factorization.

# The more general problem

Let us formulate a more general problem and generalize Theorems 1 and 2. Let  $T^1, T^2, \ldots, T^k$  be a k-tuple of arbitrary trees of order n=2k, not necessary distinct. We say that we have a  $\{T^1, T^2, \ldots, T^k\}$ -factorization R if there are k edge-disjoint trees in  $K_n$  such that i-th tree is isomorphic to  $T^i$ ,  $i=1,2,\ldots,k$ . (In fact, the order of trees in the k-tuple is not substantial.)

As an examples we present the  $\{T_{17}, T_{17}, T_{17}, T_{17}, T_{18}\}$ -factorization

12 23 34 45 56 67 48 49 4 *A* 15 26 29 39 46 58 79 89 9 *A* 1 *A* 24 2 *A* 37 38 59 5 *A* 6 *A* 8 *A*  13 27 35 47 57 68 69 78 7*A* 14 16 17 18 19 25 28 36 3*A*  and the  $\{T_{17}, T_{17}, T_{17}, T_{17}, T_{19}\}$ -factorization

12 23 34 45 56 67 48 49 4*A* 13 27 28 35 47 57 68 79 7*A* 14 16 17 18 1*A* 25 36 39 58 19 24 26 37 38 59 69 89 9*A* 15 29 2*A* 3*A* 46 5*A* 6*A* 78 8*A* 

The problem is: for what k-tuples  $\{T^1, T^2, \ldots, T^k\}$  there exists a  $\{T^1, T^2, \ldots, T^k\}$ -factorization? Let, further,

$$d(T^i) = (d_{i1}, d_{i2}, \ldots, d_{ik}), i = 1, 2, \ldots, k.$$

Moreover, let us introduce the quantities

$$D_j = d_{1j} + d_{2j} + \cdots + d_{kj} \ (j = 1, 2, \dots, k)$$

and the vector  $\mathbf{D} = (D_1, D_2, \dots, D_k)$ .

It is obvious that the notion of vertex types and vector  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}(R)$  can be simply carried over the general case.

In this notation we can write the matrix equation

$$aS = D, (12)$$

which is the analog and generalization of (4), and formulate the theorem absolutely analogous to Theorem 1. The corresponding analog of Theorem 2 can be formulated so.

**Theorem 7.** If there exists a  $\{T^1, T^2, \dots, T^5\}$ -factorization then  $D_2 \geq D_4$ .

It seems like the relations (4) and (12) are able to produce new necessary conditions and give other important information about the tree factorizations.

#### Further nonexistences

Now we shall present an auxiliary nonexistence result. A tree r-packing into  $K_n$  is called *completable* if it can be embedded in any  $\{T^1, T^2, \ldots, T^k\}$ -factorization, and *completeless* otherwise.

Let P be a tree r-packing in  $K_n$  consisting of trees  $T^1, T^2, \ldots, T^r$ , and let  $G(P) = T^1 \cup T^2 \cup \cdots \cup T^r$ . Then the following statement takes place.

Theorem 8. If P is completable then, for every vertex x of  $K_n$ , the inequality  $\deg(x) \leq k + r - 1$  holds. If, for a packing P, there exists a vertex x with  $\deg(x) > k + r - 1$  then P is completeless.

**Proof:** Let P be a completable r-packing, and R be a completion of P. Let x be an arbitrary vertex of  $K_n$ . Then every one of k-r components

in R not belonging to P has in the vertex x degree  $\geq 1$ . So at least k-r edges incident to x do not take part in P. This gives

$$\deg(x) + k - r \le 2k - 1,\tag{13}$$

and hence  $deg(x) \le k + r - 1$ , and the first assertion of the theorem is proved. The second assertion directly follows from the first one, and so the proof is over.

In the case r=1 the completability condition (13) coincides with the condition of admissibility of a tree. So the Theorem 8 is a generalization of the condition discovered by Beineke [1]. Being applied to constructing the tree factorizations 'component by component', the condition helps us to avoid a heap of unperspective material to look through.

For the general problem Beineke's result [1] is: only admissible trees can be components of a  $\{T^1, T^2, \ldots, T^k\}$ -factorization. It is interesting to select from the ordered quintuples  $\{T^1, T^2, \ldots, T^5\}$  of admissible trees such ones that do not satisfy the condition  $D_2 \geq D_5$ , and so to obtain a nonexistence result.

The superficial investigation in the case n = 12 gives us the following necessary condition, similar to Theorem 2.

Theorem 9.  $T \in \mathbf{T}_{12}$  implies  $d_2 \geq d_5$ .

It is easy to establish that for the case n = 8 we have  $d_2 \ge d_3$ . By analogy, the following generalization was conjectured and later on the simple graph theoretical proof was found.

Theorem 10. The condition  $d_2 \geq d_{k-1}$  is necessary for  $T \in \mathbf{T}_{2k}$ .

**Proof:** If  $d_{k-1} = 0$  then the assertion is obvious. Let  $d_{k-1} > 0$ , and let there exists a T-factorization R. Let, further, x be an arbitrary vertex of  $K_{2k}$ , in which some component of R has degree k-1. It is obvious that for x such component is unique. Other k-1 components must cover k remaining edges incident to x, and every one of them must have in x a degree  $\geq 1$ . This situation can be realized in the unique way, namely when k-2 components have the degree 1 in x and one component has degree 2.

So, every vertex with degree k-1 in a component has the degree 2 in some other component. As in R there are in total  $k \cdot d_{k-1}$  vertices having component degrees k-1, and  $k \cdot d_2$  vertices with component degree 2 then we obtain  $k \cdot d_{k-1} \le k \cdot d_2$ . Hence the theorem assertion follows.

A similar reflection leads us to the following

**Theorem 11.** For  $k \geq 6$ , the condition  $d_2 + 2d_3 \geq 2d_{k-2}$  is necessary for  $T \in \mathbf{T}_{2k}$ .

Corollary. If  $T \in T_{12}$  then  $d_2 + 2d_3 \ge 2d_4$ .

## The problems and prospects

Now we shall list some open problems connected with the topic of this paper.

To every T-factorization R there corresponds a vector  $\mathbf{a}(R)$ . We call the vector the type of the factorization R. All possible nonnegative integer solutions  $\mathbf{a}$  of (4) are called possible types.

For example, the tree  $T_{66}$  has d=62101, the system (5) - (9) has three solutions and accordingly we have three possible types of  $T_{66}$ -factorizations.

	$a_1$	$a_2$	$a_3$	$a_4$	$a_5$
Type 1	0	5	0	0	5
Type 2	1	3	1	0	5
Type 3	2	1	2	0	5

**Problem 1.** For every possible type a answer if there exists a *T*-factorization of the type a.

**Problem 2.** For every  $T \in \mathbf{T}_n$ , enumerate all T-factorizations, up to isomorphism.

Remark that Problem 2 is completely solved in [3,4] for the cases  $n \leq 8$ . Now the problem is open for  $n \geq 10$ .

We can formulate the following partial result in this area obtained with a computer.

**Theorem 12.** The  $T_{64}$ -factorization presented in Table 2 is unique, up to isomorphism.

**Problem 3.** Enumerate, up to isomorphism,  $\{T^1, T^2, \ldots, T^k\}$ -factorizations for every k-tuple of admissible trees of order n.

For n = 6 the problem is solved in [3]. For n = 8 the problem is partialy solved in [4].

Acknowledgement. The author thanks the anonymous referee for helpful suggestions.

### References

- [1] L.W. Beineke, Decomposition of complete graphs into forests, Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kut. Int. Közl. 9 (1964), 589-594.
- [2] C. Huang, A. Rosa, Decomposition of complete graphs into trees, Ars Comb. 5 (1978), 23-63.
- [3] A.J. Petrenjuk, Enumeration of minimal tree decompositions of complete graphs, *JCMCC* 12 (1992), 197–199.

- [4] L.P. Petrenjuk, Perelik rozkladiv povnyh grafiv na izomorfni komponenty, Candidate's thesis, Kirovograd, 1996 (in Ukrainian).
- [5] F. Harary, Teorija grafov, Moskva, "Mir", 1973.
- [6] L.P. Petrenjuk, Perechislenije neizomorfnyh razlozhenij grafa K(8) na factor derevja, Metody reshenija extremalnyh zadach, Institut Kibernetiki, Kiev, 1996, 28-34 (in Russian).

### **Summary**

We consider the problem of existence of T-factorizations, i.e. the decompositions of  $K_n$  into mutually isomorphic spanning trees. It occurs that from 106 nonisomorphic 10-vertex trees exactly 85 trees admit the T-factorization. The necessary condition for the existence is produced, and a generalization of the problem is proposed and considered.