

# Generalized Steiner systems

## $\text{GS}_4(2, 4, v, 4)^*$

D. Wu

Department of Mathematics  
Guangxi Normal University  
Guilin 541004, China

G. Ge<sup>†</sup>

Department of Mathematics  
Suzhou University  
Suzhou 215006, China

### Abstract

Generalized Steiner systems  $\text{GS}_d(t, k, v, g)$  were first introduced by Etzion and used to construct optimal constant weight codes over an alphabet of size  $g + 1$  with minimum Hamming distance  $d$ , in which each codeword has length  $v$  and weight  $k$ . It was proved that the necessary conditions for the existence of a  $\text{GS}_4(2, 4, v, g)$  are also sufficient for  $g = 2, 3$  and  $6$ . In this paper, a general result on the existence of a  $\text{GS}_4(2, 4, v, g)$  is presented. By using this result, we prove that the necessary conditions  $v \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  and  $v \geq 7$  are also sufficient for the existence of a  $\text{GS}_4(2, 4, v, 4)$ .

**Keywords:** generalized Steiner systems, constant weight codes, singular indirect product.

## 1 Introduction

The  $H$ -design was first introduced by Hanani [7] as a generalization of Steiner systems (the notion of  $H$ -design is due to Mills [8]). An  $H(v, g, k, t)$  design is a triple  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ , where  $\mathcal{X}$  is a set of points whose cardinality is  $vg$ , and  $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \dots, G_v\}$  is a partition of  $\mathcal{X}$  into  $v$  sets of cardinality  $g$ ; the members of  $\mathcal{G}$  are called *groups*. A *transverse* of  $\mathcal{G}$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{X}$  that meets each group in at most one point. The set  $\mathcal{B}$  contains  $k$ -element transverse of  $\mathcal{G}$ , called *blocks*, with the property that each  $t$ -element transverse

\*Corresponding author: G. Ge, E-mail: gnge@public1.sz.js.cn

<sup>†</sup>Research supported in part by YNSFC Grant 10001026.

of  $\mathcal{G}$  is contained in precisely one block. When  $t = 2$ , an  $H(v, g, k, 2)$  is just a group divisible design of group type  $g^v$  and denoted by  $k$ -GDD( $g^v$ ).

As stated in Etzion [6] and Yin et al. [14], an optimal  $(g+1)$ -ary  $(v, k, d)$  constant weight code (CWC) over  $Z_{g+1}$  can be constructed from a given  $H(v, g, k, t)$   $(I_v \times I_g, \{\{i\} \times I_g \mid i \in I_v\}, \mathcal{B})$ , where  $I_m = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $d$  is the minimum Hamming distance of the resulting code. For each block  $\{(i_1, a_1), (i_2, a_2), \dots, (i_k, a_k)\} \in \mathcal{B}$ , we form a codeword of length  $v$  by putting  $a_j$  in position  $i_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , and zeros elsewhere. For convenience, when two codewords obtained from blocks  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  have distance  $d$ , we simply say that  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  have distance  $d$ .

In the code which is related to an  $H(v, g, k, t)$ , we want that the minimum Hamming distance  $d$  is as large as possible. It is not difficult to see that  $k - t + 1 \leq d \leq 2(k - t) + 1$ . In [6], an  $H(v, g, k, t)$  which forms a code with minimum Hamming distance  $2(k - t) + 1$  was called a *generalized Steiner system*  $GS(t, k, v, g)$ . An  $H(v, g, k, t)$  which forms a code with minimum Hamming distance  $d$  was denoted by  $GS_d(t, k, v, g)$ .

Much work has been done for the existence of  $GS(t, k, v, g)$  when  $t = 2$  and  $k = 3$  (see [6], [2], [10], [9], [3], [4], [11]). For  $k = 4$ , it was proved that the necessary conditions for the existence of a  $GS_4(2, 4, v, g)$  are also sufficient for  $g = 2, 3, 6$  (see [12]). There are also some partial result on  $GS(2, 4, v, 2)$  (see [13]) and some product constructions stated in [6].

The following necessary conditions are stated in [12].

**Lemma 1.1** *If there exists a  $GS_4(2, 4, v, g)$ , then*

- (1)  $\binom{v-2}{2} \geq g$ ; and
- (2)  $v \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{12}$ , if  $g \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{6}$ ,  
 $v \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , if  $g \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6}$ ,  
 $v \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ , if  $g \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ .

Since the existence of  $GS_4(2, 4, v, g)$  is completely solved for  $g = 2, 3, 6$  (see [12]), then in this paper we suppose that  $g \notin \{2, 3, 6\}$ . A general result on the existence of  $GS_4(2, 4, v, g)$  is presented. Using this result, we prove that the necessary conditions  $v \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  and  $v \geq 7$  are also sufficient for the existence of a  $GS_4(2, 4, v, 4)$ .

Let

$$T_g = \{v : \text{there exists a } GS_4(2, 4, v, g)\}.$$

$$B_g = \{n : n \text{ satisfying the necessary conditions of a } GS_4(2, 4, n, g)\},$$

$$M_g = \begin{cases} \{n : n \in B_g, u_0 \leq n \leq f(g)(h(g) + 2) + 1\}, & \text{if } g \neq 10, \\ \{n : n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, 7 \leq n \leq 235\}, & \text{if } g = 10. \end{cases}$$

where,  $u_0 = \min \{n : n \in B_g\}$ ,

$$f(g) = \begin{cases} 13, & \text{if } g \not\equiv 3 \pmod{6}, \\ 16, & \text{if } g \equiv 3 \pmod{6}. \end{cases}$$

$$h(g) = \max \{n_0, k(g)\},$$

$$k(g) = \begin{cases} 40, & \text{if } g \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{6}, \\ 13, & \text{if } g \equiv 0, 2, 4 \pmod{6}, \\ 16, & \text{if } g \equiv 3 \pmod{6}. \end{cases}$$

$$n_0 = \min \{n : n \geq g, n \in B_g\}, \text{ if } g \equiv 3 \pmod{6}, \\ \text{we need that } n_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

We state the main results of this paper below.

**Theorem 1.2** *Suppose that  $g \notin \{2, 3, 6\}$ . If  $M_g \subset T_g$ , then  $B_g = T_g$ , i.e., the necessary conditions for the existence of a  $GS_4(2, 4, v, g)$  are also sufficient.*

**Theorem 1.3** *The necessary conditions  $v \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  and  $v \geq 7$  are also sufficient for the existence of a  $GS_4(2, 4, v, 4)$ .*

For general background on designs, see [1], [5].

## 2 The existence of $GS_4(2, 4, v, g)$

In order to prove Theorem 1.2, we need some lemmas, which were stated in [12]. We first give the following conception.

A *holey group divisible design*,  $K$ -HGDD, is a four-tuple  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{B})$ , where  $\mathcal{V}$  is a set of points,  $\mathcal{G}$  is a partition of  $\mathcal{V}$  into subsets called *groups*,  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  is a set of *blocks* such that a group and a block contain at most one common point and every pair of points from distinct groups, not both in  $\mathcal{H}$ , occurs in a unique block in  $\mathcal{B}$ , where  $|B| \in K$  for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . A  $k$ -HGDD( $g^{(v,u)}$ ) denotes a  $K$ -HGDD with  $v$  groups of size  $g$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $u$  groups in  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $K = \{k\}$ . Similarly to the way a  $(v, k, d)$  CWC is constructed from an  $H(v, g, k, t)$ , we can also construct a  $(v, k, d)$  CWC from an  $k$ -HGDD( $g^{(v,u)}$ ). The distance of two blocks in a  $k$ -HGDD( $g^{(v,u)}$ ), is the Hamming distance of the two codewords obtained from the two blocks. A *holey generalized Steiner system*,  $HGS_d(2, k, (v, u), g)$ , is a  $k$ -HGDD( $g^{(v,u)}$ ) with the property that the minimum Hamming distance of related CWC is  $d$ . For convenience, we also say that the design has minimum Hamming distance  $d$ .

It is easy to see that if  $u = 0$  or  $u = 1$ , then an  $HGS_d(2, k, (v, u), g)$  is just a  $GS_d(2, k, v, g)$ .

**Lemma 2.1** Let  $m, t, u, n$  and  $a$  be integers such that  $0 \leq a \leq u$ ,  $n > 2a$ ,  $1 < t < n$ , and  $(n, a) \neq (5, 1)$ . Suppose the following designs exist: (1) a  $4\text{-GDD}(g^m)$  with the property that all its blocks can be partitioned into  $t$  sets  $S_0, S_1, \dots, S_{t-1}$ , such that the minimum distance in  $S_r$ ,  $0 \leq r \leq t-1$ , is 4. (2) an  $HGS_4(2, 4, (n+u, u), g)$ . Then there exists an  $HGS_4(2, 4, (e, f), g)$ , where  $f = (m-1)a + u$  and  $e = mn + f$ . Further, if the following design exists a  $GS_4(2, 4, f, g)$ , then there exists a  $GS_4(2, 4, e, g)$ .

**Lemma 2.2** Let  $m, t, u, n$  be integers such that  $u = 0$ , or 1,  $1 < t \leq n$ ,  $n \notin \{2, 6\}$ . Suppose the following designs exist: (1) a  $4\text{-GDD}(g^m)$  with the property that all its blocks can be partitioned into  $t$  sets  $S_0, S_1, \dots, S_{t-1}$ , such that the minimum distance in  $S_r$ ,  $0 \leq r \leq t-1$ , is 4; (2) a  $GS_4(2, 4, n+u, g)$ . Then there exist both a  $GS_4(2, 4, mn+u, g)$  and an  $HGS_4(2, 4, (mn+u, n+u), g)$ .

**Lemma 2.3** Let  $m, n, u$  be integers such that  $u = 0$ , or 1,  $n \notin \{2, 6\}$ . Suppose there exist both a  $GS_4(2, 4, m, g)$  and a  $GS_4(2, 4, n+u, g)$ . Then there exist both a  $GS_4(2, 4, mn+u, g)$  and an  $HGS_4(2, 4, (mn+u, n+u), g)$ .

We first suppose that  $g \neq 10$ , and hence  $N(g) \geq 3$ . So, there exists an  $RTD(4, g)$ , which is also a  $4\text{-RGDD}(g^4)$ . Such an  $RGDD$  has  $g$  parallel classes. It is clear that the minimum distance of each parallel class is 4. So, we have the following result.

**Lemma 2.4** If  $g \notin \{2, 3, 6, 10\}$ , then there exists a  $4\text{-GDD}(g^4)$  whose blocks can be partitioned into  $g$  sets, such that the minimum distance of each is 4.

**Lemma 2.5** Suppose  $p, a$  are integers,  $p \notin \{2, 6\}$ ,  $p \geq g$  and  $0 \leq a \leq p$ . If both  $p$  and  $p+3a$  are in  $T_g$ , then  $13p+3a \in T_g$ .

**Proof** Take  $m = 4$ ,  $n = p$ ,  $u = 0$  and  $t = g$  in Lemma 2.3 to obtain an  $HGS_4(2, 4, (4p, p), g)$ , the input designs are from Lemma 2.4 and the assumption. The result is obtained by taking  $m = 4$ ,  $n = 3p$ ,  $u = p$  and  $t = g$  in Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

To deal with the case of  $g \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ , we need some further results. Suppose  $g \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ , and  $g \neq 3$ , let

$$p(g) = \begin{cases} \frac{g}{3}, & \text{if } g \neq 9, \\ 9 & \text{if } g = 9. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $p(g) \leq g$ .

**Lemma 2.6** If  $g = 6s + 3$ , and  $g \neq 3$ , then there exists a  $4\text{-GDD}(g^5)$  with the property that the blocks of the  $GDD$  can be partitioned into  $p(g)$  sets, such that the minimum distance of each is 4.

**Proof** There exists a  $GS_4(2, 4, 5, 3)$  from [12]. Suppose that  $(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{B}_1)$  is a  $GS_4(2, 4, 5, 3)$ . First we consider the case  $g \neq 9$ . In this case, we have that  $N(2s+1) > 3$ , and hence there exists an  $RTD(4, 2s+1)$ , which is also a 4-RGDD $((2s+1)^4)$ . For each block  $B \in \mathcal{B}_1$ , let  $\mathcal{A}_B$  denote the blocks of the 4-RGDD $((2s+1)^4)$  on point set  $(B \times Z_{2s+1})$ , and group  $\mathcal{G}_B = \{\{b\} \times Z_{2s+1} : b \in B\}$ . Let  $S_B^i$  denote the  $i$ -th parallel class of the RGDD,  $1 \leq i \leq 2s+1$ . Let  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_1 \times Z_{2s+1}$ ,  $\mathcal{G} = \{G \times Z_{2s+1} : G \in \mathcal{G}_1\}$ .  $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}_1} \mathcal{A}_B$ . It is well known that  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$  is a 4-GDD( $g^5$ ). Let  $S_i = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}_1} S_B^i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2s+1$ . It

is evident that  $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2s+1} S_i$ . Since the starting GDD is a  $GS_4(2, 4, 5, 3)$  and the minimum distance of each parallel class is 4, it is not difficult to check the minimum distance of each  $S_i$  is 4.

For  $g = 9$ , note that there exists a 4-GDD( $3^4$ ), which has 9 blocks. Take each block as a partial parallel class in the above process, the result is then obtained. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7** *Suppose that  $p \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  is an integer,  $\delta = 0$  or 1 and  $0 \leq a \leq p + \delta$  is an integer. If both  $p + \delta$  and  $p + 4a + \delta$  are in  $T_g$ , then  $16\overline{p} + 4\overline{a} + \delta \in T_g$ .*

**Proof** We can apply Lemma 2.3 with  $m = 4$ ,  $n = p$ ,  $u = \delta$  and  $t = g$  to obtain an  $HGS_4(2, 4, (4p + \delta, p + \delta), g)$ . Take  $m = 5$ ,  $n = 3p$ ,  $u = p + \delta$  and  $t = p(g)$  in Lemma 2.1, we can obtain the result, the input designs are from Lemma 2.6 and the assumption.  $\square$

For convenience, let  $[x, y]_b^c$  denote the set of integers  $v$ , such that  $x \leq v \leq y$ , and  $v \equiv c \pmod{b}$ .  $[x, y]_b^{c,f}$  denote the set of integers  $v$ , such that  $x \leq v \leq y$  and  $v \equiv c, f \pmod{b}$ .

**Lemma 2.8** *Suppose that  $g \notin \{2, 3, 6, 10\}$ . If  $M_g \subset T_g$ , then  $B_g = T_g$ .*

**Proof** For  $g \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ , Lemma 2.5 guarantees that for any  $p \geq g$ ,  $[p, 4p]_3^2 \subset T_g$  implies  $[13p, 16p]_3^2 \subset T_g$ . In Lemma 2.5, take  $p = n, n+1, n+2$  respectively, we obtain that  $[n, 4(n+2)] \subset T_g$  implies  $[13(n+2), 16n] \subset T_g$ . Note that  $f(g) = 13$ , it is not difficult to see that  $\bigcup_{p \geq h(g)} [13(p+2), 16p] = [f(g)(h(g)+2), \infty)$ . We will prove that for any  $v \in B_g$ ,  $v \in T_g$ . If  $v \in M_g$ , then the result comes from assumption. Otherwise, there exists a  $p \geq h(g)$  such that  $v \in [13(p+2), 16p]$ . From the definition of  $h(g)$ , we have that  $h(g) \geq g$ . So, if  $[p, 4(p+2)] \subset M_g$ , then from the above we have that  $v \in T_g$ . If there exists a  $v' \in [p, 4(p+2)]$  such that  $v' \notin M_g$ , then we can repeat the above process to obtain a new  $p'$ . It is evident that  $v' < v$ . After certain

steps, we have that  $[p', 4(p' + 2)] \subset M_g$ . This makes  $[p, 4(p + 2)] \subset M_g$ , thus  $v \in T_g$ . So, the result is true for  $g \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ .

For  $g \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{6}$ , notice that if  $p \in B_g$ , then  $p \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{12}$  and  $p + 3a \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{12}$  when  $a \equiv 0, 1, 3 \pmod{4}$ . From Lemma 2.5, we can obtain that for any  $p \geq g$  in  $B_g$ ,  $[p, 4p]_{12}^{1,4} \subset T_g$  implies  $[13p, 16p]_{12}^{1,4} \subset T_g$ . Note that

$$\bigcup_{\substack{p \geq h(g) \\ p \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{12}}} [13p, 16p]_{12}^{1,4} = [f(g)h(g), \infty)_{12}^{1,4},$$

the rest part is similar to the case of  $g \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ .

For  $g \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6}$ , if  $p \in B_g$ , then  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . From Lemma 2.5, we have that for any  $p \geq g$  in  $B_g$ ,  $[p, 4p]_3^1 \subset T_g$  implies  $[13p, 16p]_3^1 \subset T_g$ . Note that

$$\bigcup_{\substack{p \geq h(g) \\ p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}}} [13p, 16p]_3^1 = [f(g)h(g), \infty)_3^1,$$

the rest part is similar to the above.

Finally, for  $g \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ , we suppose that  $p \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . From Lemma 2.7, we can obtain that for any  $p \geq g$  and  $p + \delta \in B_g$ ,  $[p + \delta, 4(p + \delta)]_4^\delta \subset T_g$  implies  $[16p + \delta, 20p + 5\delta]_4^\delta \subset T_g$ . So, we have that for any  $p \geq g$  in  $B_g$ ,  $[p, 4(p + 1)]_4^{0,1} \subset T_g$  implies  $[16p + 1, 20p]_4^{0,1} \subset T_g$ . Note that

$$\bigcup_{\substack{p \geq h(g) \\ p \equiv 0 \pmod{4}}} [16p + 1, 20p]_4^{0,1} = [f(g)h(g) + 1, \infty)_4^{0,1},$$

we can obtain the result similar to the above. The proof is complete.  $\square$

For  $g = 10$ , we also need a lemma on the partition of blocks of a 4-GDD( $10^4$ ). A *transversal* in a Latin square of side  $n$  is a set of  $n$  cells, one from each row and column containing each of the  $n$  symbols exactly once. A *partial transversal* of length  $k$  in a Latin square of side  $n$  is a set of  $k$  cells, each from a different row and each from a different column such that no two containing the same symbols. It is well known that  $(k - 2)MOLS(n)$ s is equivalent to a TD( $k, n$ ). It is not difficult to see that a common transversal (partial transversal) of the  $(k - 2)MOLS(n)$ s gives a parallel class (partial parallel class) of the corresponding TD( $k, n$ ). So, we have the following result since that a TD( $4, n$ ) is a 4-GDD( $n^4$ ).

**Lemma 2.9** *There exists a 4-GDD( $10^4$ ) with the property that the blocks of the design can be partitioned into 16 sets  $S_0, \dots, S_{15}$ , such that the minimum distance of  $S_r$ ,  $0 \leq r \leq 15$ , is 4.*

**Proof** We need only to find  $2MOLS(10)$ s, such that the  $2MOLS(10)$ s have 16 common partial transversals which partition the 100 positions of the Latin squares. The following SOLS(10) was stated in [5, Chapter IV, p.444], and it is generated pseudo-cyclically.

SOLS(10) on symbol set  $Z_9 \cup \{x\}$ .

$$L = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 0 & 2 & 8 & 6 & x & 7 & 1 & 5 & 4 & 3 \\ \hline 5 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 7 & x & 8 & 2 & 6 & 4 \\ \hline 7 & 6 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 8 & x & 0 & 3 & 5 \\ \hline 4 & 8 & 7 & 3 & 5 & 2 & 0 & x & 1 & 6 \\ \hline 2 & 5 & 0 & 8 & 4 & 6 & 3 & 1 & x & 7 \\ \hline x & 3 & 6 & 1 & 0 & 5 & 7 & 4 & 2 & 8 \\ \hline 3 & x & 4 & 7 & 2 & 1 & 6 & 8 & 5 & 0 \\ \hline 6 & 4 & x & 5 & 8 & 3 & 2 & 7 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 7 & 5 & x & 6 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 8 & 2 \\ \hline 8 & 0 & 5 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & x \\ \hline \end{array}$$

In the notion of  $(i, j)$ ,  $i$  denotes the  $i$ -th row of the Latin square, and  $j$  denotes the  $j$ -th column. We suppose both the rows and the columns of the SOLS are indexed by  $\{0, 1, \dots, 8, x\}$ . Let  $D_0 = \{(i, j) : i = j, i, j \in Z_9 \cup \{x\}\}$ .

For  $k = 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8$ , define

$$D_k = \{(i, j) : i - j = k, i, j \in Z_9\},$$

Let

$$P_0 = \{(0, 4), (1, 6), (2, x), (x, 1)\},$$

$$P_i = \{(0 + i, 5 + i), (1 + i, 6 + i), (2 + i, x), (x, 1 + i)\}, 1 \leq i \leq 8.$$

Then  $D_k$  ( $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8$ ) and  $P_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq 8$ ) are 16 common partial transversals of  $L$  and its transpose, which partition the 100 positions of  $L$ , thus we complete the proof of this lemma.  $\square$

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.2.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2** For  $g \notin \{2, 3, 6, 10\}$ , the result comes from Lemma 2.8. For  $g = 10$ , from Lemma 2.9, there exists a 4-GDD( $10^4$ ) whose blocks can be partitioned into 16 sets, such that the minimum distance of each is 4. So, if we take  $n_0 = 16$ , then Lemma 2.8 still works. In this case, we have that  $f(10) = 13$ ,  $h(10) = 16$ , we obtain the result. This completes the proof.  $\square$

In the next section, we will use the above result to deal with the existence of  $GS_4(2, 4, v, 4)$ .

### 3 The existence of $GS_4(2, 4, v, 4)$

For  $g = 4$ , it is easy to see that  $u_0 = n_0 = 7$ ,  $h(4) = 13$ , and  $f(4) = 13$ . So,  $M_4 = [7, 193]_3^1$ .

For  $v = 7$ , to construct a  $GS_4(2, 4, v, 4)$  in  $Z_{28}$ , it suffices to find a set of generalized base blocks,  $\mathcal{A} = \{B_1, \dots, B_8\}$ , such that  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$  forms a  $GS_4(2, 4, v, 4)$ , where  $\mathcal{V} = Z_{28}$ ,  $\mathcal{G} = \{G_0, G_1, \dots, G_6\}$ ,  $G_i = \{i + 7j : 0 \leq j \leq 3\}$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq 6$ , and  $\mathcal{B} = \{B + 4j : B \in \mathcal{A}, 0 \leq j \leq 6\}$ . For convenience, we write  $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{i=0}^3 \{\{i, x, y, z\} : \{x, y, z\} \in S_i\}$ . So, for  $\mathcal{A}$  we need only display the corresponding  $S_i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq 3$ .

**Lemma 3.1** *There exists a  $GS_4(2, 4, 7, 4)$ .*

**Proof** With the aid of a computer, we have found a generalized base blocks, which are listed below.

$v = 7$   
 $S_0 : \{4, 5, 13\}, \{12, 18, 22\}, \{15, 19, 20\}; S_1 : \{17, 19, 27\}, \{14, 25, 26\}, \{10, 12, 23\};$   
 $S_2 : \{3, 25, 28\}; S_3 : \{14, 19, 22\}.$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

For  $v \neq 7$ , to construct a  $GS_4(2, 4, v, 4)$  in  $Z_{4v}$ , it suffices to find a set of base blocks,  $\mathcal{A} = \{B_1, \dots, B_s\}$ , where  $s = \frac{v-1}{3}$  such that  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$  forms a  $GS_4(2, 4, v, 4)$ , where  $\mathcal{V} = Z_{4v}$ ,  $\mathcal{G} = \{G_0, G_1, \dots, G_v\}$ ,  $G_i = \{i + vj : 0 \leq j \leq 3\}$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq v - 1$ , and  $\mathcal{B}$  is obtained by developing  $\mathcal{A} \pmod{4v}$ .

**Lemma 3.2** *There exists a  $GS_4(2, 4, v, 4)$  for  $v \in \{10, 13, 16, 19, 22, 25, 31, 34, 43, 46, 55, 58, 67, 79, 82, 115\}$*

**Proof** For each  $v$ , with the aid of a computer, we have found a set of base blocks, which are listed below.

$v = 10$   
 $\mathcal{A} : \{0, 5, 11, 19\}, \{0, 2, 17, 24\}, \{0, 1, 4, 13\}.$

$v = 13$   
 $\mathcal{A} : \{0, 38, 43, 46\}, \{0, 2, 17, 36\}, \{0, 1, 30, 42\}, \{0, 4, 24, 31\}.$

$v = 16$   
 $\mathcal{A} : \{0, 7, 15, 20\}, \{0, 18, 30, 39\}, \{0, 1, 38, 62\}, \{0, 11, 33, 47\}, \{0, 4, 10, 45\}.$

$v = 19$   
 $\mathcal{A} : \{0, 3, 12, 47\}, \{0, 10, 37, 53\}, \{0, 1, 22, 46\}, \{0, 8, 36, 50\}, \{0, 7, 20, 25\}, \{0, 2, 6, 17\}.$

$v = 22$   
 $\mathcal{A} : \{0, 61, 76, 79\}, \{0, 11, 43, 82\}, \{0, 1, 60, 81\}, \{0, 4, 35, 69\}, \{0, 30, 50, 63\}, \{0, 2, 26, 74\},$   
 $\{0, 5, 41, 51\}.$



$v = 25$

A: {0, 67, 77, 80}, {0, 11, 53, 74}, {0, 18, 48, 86}, {0, 9, 15, 69}, {0, 2, 59, 83},  
{0, 39, 51, 55}, {0, 28, 92, 93}, {0, 5, 34, 78}.

$v = 31$

A: {0, 39, 48, 77}, {0, 52, 54, 74}, {0, 80, 87, 105}, {0, 3, 67, 91}, {0, 11, 107, 123},  
{0, 8, 69, 90}, {0, 26, 49, 120}, {0, 51, 56, 66}, {0, 65, 79, 92}, {0, 6, 46, 89}.

$v = 34$

A: {0, 23, 77, 115}, {0, 49, 80, 106}, {0, 63, 121, 128}, {0, 85, 91, 94}, {0, 4, 24, 74},  
{0, 13, 25, 35}, {0, 16, 97, 134}, {0, 11, 40, 83}, {0, 19, 52, 119}, {0, 1, 61, 89},  
{0, 5, 32, 46}.

$v = 43$

A: {0, 93, 101, 159}, {0, 49, 117, 140}, {0, 24, 146, 152}, {0, 30, 78, 151}, {0, 18, 47, 64},  
{0, 27, 96, 127}, {0, 110, 167, 171}, {0, 15, 34, 75}, {0, 3, 119, 133}, {0, 25, 88, 162},  
{0, 36, 118, 156}, {0, 102, 139, 161}, {0, 67, 132, 144}, {0, 80, 163, 165}.

$v = 46$

A: {0, 118, 177, 183}, {0, 44, 58, 171}, {0, 4, 90, 141}, {0, 38, 150, 173}, {0, 77, 85, 104},  
{0, 18, 20, 30}, {0, 31, 73, 114}, {0, 16, 64, 116}, {0, 24, 78, 145}, {0, 36, 129, 151},  
{0, 25, 53, 128}, {0, 87, 102, 163}, {0, 5, 37, 40}, {0, 45, 95, 155}, {0, 9, 26, 88}.

$v = 55$

A: {0, 25, 124, 141}, {0, 5, 19, 179}, {0, 22, 45, 176}, {0, 86, 168, 181}, {0, 77, 145, 194},  
{0, 29, 83, 180}, {0, 43, 91, 150}, {0, 98, 106, 156}, {0, 18, 33, 42}, {0, 2, 80, 87},  
{0, 1, 12, 93}, {0, 31, 37, 157}, {0, 47, 51, 67}, {0, 3, 115, 147}, {0, 148, 158, 186},  
{0, 65, 101, 136}, {0, 102, 163, 190}, {0, 21, 74, 130}.

$v = 58$

A: {0, 23, 87, 97}, {0, 29, 53, 54}, {0, 114, 146, 176}, {0, 60, 121, 197}, {0, 16, 63, 191},  
{0, 2, 9, 93}, {0, 48, 142, 161}, {0, 33, 99, 110}, {0, 12, 43, 79}, {0, 108, 112, 130},  
{0, 59, 147, 193}, {0, 5, 13, 83}, {0, 28, 55, 215}, {0, 44, 117, 167}, {0, 69, 89, 194},  
{0, 75, 81, 96}, {0, 3, 106, 198}, {0, 150, 192, 218}, {0, 49, 100, 180}.

$v = 67$

A: {0, 185, 251, 258}, {0, 106, 128, 257}, {0, 12, 64, 103}, {0, 19, 50, 186}, {0, 36, 68, 227},  
{0, 8, 71, 179}, {0, 65, 80, 241}, {0, 93, 137, 180}, {0, 69, 217, 242}, {0, 90, 149, 248},  
{0, 5, 47, 189}, {0, 18, 141, 164}, {0, 96, 152, 154}, {0, 53, 183, 196}, {0, 3, 147, 262},  
{0, 34, 94, 264}, {0, 105, 133, 166}, {0, 1, 49, 239}, {0, 40, 233, 254}, {0, 37, 113, 187},  
{0, 111, 156, 211}, {0, 16, 198, 222}.

$v = 79$

A: {0, 80, 153, 258}, {0, 52, 69, 199}, {0, 41, 286, 302}, {0, 113, 181, 306}, {0, 1, 61, 161},  
{0, 145, 194, 219}, {0, 53, 87, 283}, {0, 3, 95, 143}, {0, 64, 284, 304}, {0, 168, 177, 289},  
{0, 84, 246, 311}, {0, 137, 279, 287}, {0, 40, 90, 278}, {0, 4, 51, 118}, {0, 149, 180, 215},  
{0, 11, 223, 314}, {0, 22, 141, 222}, {0, 46, 72, 280}, {0, 184, 272, 295}, {0, 99, 127, 309},  
{0, 6, 109, 260}, {0, 77, 187, 262}, {0, 133, 218, 257}, {0, 42, 157, 301}, {0, 19, 43, 233},  
{0, 146, 164, 209}.

$v = 82$

A: {0, 107, 223, 290}, {0, 84, 182, 207}, {0, 16, 28, 94}, {0, 102, 206, 286}, {0, 15, 34, 214},  
{0, 8, 117, 306}, {0, 35, 93, 162}, {0, 60, 171, 203}, {0, 40, 41, 196}, {0, 63, 113, 178},  
{0, 55, 141, 275}, {0, 88, 225, 310}, {0, 6, 233, 301}, {0, 37, 51, 61}, {0, 152, 163, 321},  
{0, 9, 204, 281}, {0, 136, 138, 264}, {0, 39, 218, 247}, {0, 4, 197, 284}, {0, 46, 49, 315},  
{0, 89, 236, 256}, {0, 5, 36, 79}, {0, 142, 232, 302}, {0, 45, 118, 175}, {0, 54, 228, 311}.

$\{0, 21, 112, 209\}, \{0, 23, 75, 252\}$ .

$v = 115$

A:  $\{0, 218, 274, 383\}, \{0, 42, 103, 335\}, \{0, 91, 181, 221\}, \{0, 147, 202, 416\}, \{0, 14, 29, 146\},$   
 $\{0, 203, 213, 298\}, \{0, 13, 318, 407\}, \{0, 52, 98, 250\}, \{0, 36, 179, 391\}, \{0, 192, 254, 337\},$   
 $\{0, 136, 243, 244\}, \{0, 3, 280, 396\}, \{0, 300, 333, 384\}, \{0, 140, 392, 412\}, \{0, 49, 80, 289\},$   
 $\{0, 284, 342, 385\}, \{0, 2, 229, 363\}, \{0, 113, 286, 372\}, \{0, 4, 193, 419\}, \{0, 260, 266, 397\},$   
 $\{0, 153, 316, 436\}, \{0, 74, 378, 428\}, \{0, 8, 339, 449\}, \{0, 59, 312, 334\}, \{0, 27, 119, 309\},$   
 $\{0, 219, 276, 388\}, \{0, 65, 159, 237\}, \{0, 285, 364, 435\}, \{0, 34, 299, 434\}, \{0, 12, 93, 425\},$   
 $\{0, 17, 158, 373\}, \{0, 37, 236, 358\}, \{0, 5, 278, 442\}, \{0, 114, 336, 406\}, \{0, 197, 204, 225\},$   
 $\{0, 157, 290, 306\}, \{0, 255, 421, 451\}, \{0, 100, 138, 211\}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3** *There exists a  $GS_4(2, 4, v, 4)$  for  $v \in \{28, 37, 40, 49, 52, 61, 64, 70, 73, 76, 85, 88, 118, 121, 124, 127\}$*

**Proof** With suitable  $m$  and  $n$ , Lemma 2.3 can be used to obtain a  $GS_4(2, 4, mn + u, 4)$  for  $u = 0, 1$ . This takes care of  $v \in \{49, 70, 85, 118, 121, 127\}$ . For other  $v$ , we can write  $v = 4n + u$ , where  $u = 0$  or  $1$  and  $n + u \in T_g$ . Take  $m = 4, t = 4$  in Lemma 2.2, there exists a  $GS_4(2, 4, 4n + u, 4)$ , the 4-GDD( $4^4$ ) comes from Lemma 2.4. This completes the proof.  $\square$

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.3.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3** From Lemmas 3.1-3.3, we need only to deal with  $v \in [91, 112]_3^1 \cup [130, 193]_3^1$ .

For  $v \in [91, 112]_3^1$ , apply Lemma 2.2 with  $m = 4, n = 7, u = 0$  and  $t = 4$  to obtain an  $HGS_4(2, 4, (28, 7), 4)$ . Take  $m = 4, n = 21, u = 7, t = 4$  in Lemma 2.1, to get a  $GS_4(2, 4, v, 4)$ , the input designs are from Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3.

For  $v \in [130, 160]_3^1$ , take  $m = 4, n = 10, u = 0$  and  $t = 4$  in Lemma 2.2, one gets an  $HGS_4(2, 4, (40, 10), 4)$ . Applying Lemma 2.1 with  $m = 4, n = 30, u = 10, t = 4$ , we obtain a  $GS_4(2, 4, v, 4)$  since there exists a  $GS_4(2, 4, f, 4)$  from Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, where  $f = 10 + 3a$  and  $0 \leq a \leq 10$ .

Finally, for  $v \in [163, 193]_3^1$ , take  $m = 4, n = 12, u = 1$  and  $t = 4$  in Lemma 2.2 to obtain an  $HGS_4(2, 4, (49, 13), 4)$ . Applying Lemma 2.1 with  $m = 4, n = 36, u = 13, t = 4$  and  $2 \leq a \leq 12$ , we get a  $GS_4(2, 4, v, 4)$ , the input designs are from Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement** The authors would like to thank Prof. Zhu Lie for his helpful discussions.

## References

- [1] T. Beth, D. Jungnickel and H. Lenz, *Design Theory*, Cambridge University Press, London, 1986.

- [2] S. Blake-Wilson and K. Phelps, *Constant weight codes and group divisible design*, Designs, Codes and Cryptography, **16** (1999), 11-27.
- [3] K. Chen, G. Ge and L. Zhu, *Generalized Steiner triple systems with group size five*, J. Combin. Designs, **7** (1999), 441-452.
- [4] K. Chen, G. Ge and L. Zhu, *Starters and related codes*, J. Statist. Plan. Infer., **86** (2000), 379-395.
- [5] C.J. Colbourn and J.H. Dinitz (eds.), *The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1996.
- [6] T. Etzion, *Optimal constant weight codes over  $Z_k$  and generalized designs*, Discrete Math., **169** (1997), 55-82.
- [7] H. Hanani, *On some tactical configurations*, Canad. J. Math., **15** (1963), 702-722.
- [8] W.H. Mills, *On the covering of triples by quadruple*, In Proc. of the Fifth Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics Graph Theory and Algorithms, 1974, 573-581.
- [9] K. Phelps and C. Yin, *Generalized Steiner systems with block three and group size four*, Ars Combin., **53** (1999), 133-146.
- [10] K. Phelps and C. Yin, *Generalized Steiner systems with block three and group size  $g \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$* , J. Combin. Designs, **5** (1997), 417-432.
- [11] D. Wu, G. Ge and L. Zhu, *Generalized Steiner triple systems with group size  $g = 7, 8$* , Ars Combin., **57** (2000), 175-192.
- [12] D. Wu, G. Ge and L. Zhu, *Generalized Steiner systems  $GS_4(2, 4, v, g)$  for  $g = 2, 3, 6$* , J. Combin. Designs, to appear.
- [13] D. Wu and L. Zhu, *Generalized Steiner systems  $GS(2, 4, v, 2)$  with  $v$  a prime power  $\equiv 7 \pmod{12}$* , Designs, Codes and Cryptography, to appear.
- [14] J. Yin, Y. Lu and J. Wang, *Maximum distance holey packings and related codes*, Science in China (Series A), **42** (1999), 1262-1269.