

# The Smallest Degree Sum that Yields Potentially $C_k$ -graphical Sequences

Chunhui Lai \*

Department of Mathematics, Zhangzhou Teachers College,  
Zhangzhou, Fujian 363000, P. R. of CHINA  
zjlaichu@public.zzptt.fj.cn

## Abstract

In this paper we consider a variation of the classical Turán-type extremal problems. Let  $S$  be an  $n$ -term graphical sequence, and  $\sigma(S)$  be the sum of the terms in  $S$ . Let  $H$  be a graph. The problem is to determine the smallest even  $l$  such that any  $n$ -term graphical sequence  $S$  having  $\sigma(S) \geq l$  has a realization containing  $H$  as a subgraph. Denote this value  $l$  by  $\sigma(H, n)$ . We show  $\sigma(C_{2m+1}, n) = m(2n - m - 1) + 2$ , for  $m \geq 3, n \geq 3m$ ;  $\sigma(C_{2m+2}, n) = m(2n - m - 1) + 4$ , for  $m \geq 3, n \geq 5m - 2$ .

Key words: graph; degree sequence; potentially  $H$ -graphic sequence

AMS Subject Classifications: 05C07, 05C35

## 1 Introduction

If  $S = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$  is a sequence of non-negative integers, then it is called graphical if there is a simple graph  $G$  of order  $n$ , whose degree sequence  $(d(v_1), d(v_2), \dots, d(v_n))$  is precisely  $S$ . If  $G$  is such a graph then  $G$  is said to realize  $S$  or be a realization of  $S$ . A graphical sequence  $S$  is potentially  $H$  graphical if there is a realization of  $S$  containing  $H$  as a subgraph, while  $S$

---

\*Project Supported by NNSF of China(10271105), NSF of Fujian, Science and Technology Project of Fujian, Fujian Provincial Training Foundation for "Bai-Quan-Wan Talents Engineering", Project of Fujian Education Department and Project of Zhangzhou Teachers College.

is forcibly  $H$  graphical if every realization of  $S$  contains  $H$  as a subgraph. Let  $\sigma(S) = d(v_1) + d(v_2) + \dots + d(v_n)$ , and  $[x]$  denote the largest integer less than or equal to  $x$ . If  $G$  and  $G_1$  are graphs, then  $G \cup G_1$  is the disjoint union of  $G$  and  $G_1$ . If  $G = G_1$ , we abbreviate  $G \cup G_1$  as  $2G$ . Let  $K_k$ , and  $C_k$  denote a complete graph on  $k$  vertices, and a cycle on  $k$  vertices, respectively.

Given a graph  $H$ , what is the maximum number of edges of a graph with  $n$  vertices not containing  $H$  as a subgraph? This number is denoted  $ex(n, H)$ , and is known as the Turán number. This problem was proposed for  $H = C_4$  by Erdős [2] in 1938 and in general by Turán [11]. In terms of graphic sequences, the number  $2ex(n, H) + 2$  is the minimum even integer  $l$  such that every  $n$ -term graphical sequence  $S$  with  $\sigma(S) \geq l$  is forcibly  $H$  graphical. Here we consider the following variant: determine the minimum even integer  $l$  such that every  $n$ -term graphical sequence  $S$  with  $\sigma(S) \geq l$  is potentially  $H$  graphical. We denote this minimum  $l$  by  $\sigma(H, n)$ . Erdős, Jacobson and Lehel [3] showed that  $\sigma(K_k, n) \geq (k-2)(2n-k+1) + 2$  and conjectured that equality holds. They proved that if  $S$  does not contain zero terms, this conjecture is true for  $k = 3$ ,  $n \geq 6$ . The conjecture is confirmed in [4],[7],[8],[9] and [10].

Gould, Jacobson and Lehel [4] also proved that  $\sigma(pK_2, n) = (p-1)(2n-2) + 2$  for  $p \geq 2$ ;  $\sigma(C_4, n) = 2\lfloor \frac{3n-1}{2} \rfloor$  for  $n \geq 4$ . Lai [5, 6] proved that  $\sigma(C_5, n) = 4n-4$  for  $n \geq 5$ , and  $\sigma(C_6, n) = 4n-2$  for  $n \geq 7$ ,  $\sigma(C_{2m+1}, n) \geq m(2n-m-1)+2$ , for  $n \geq 2m+1, m \geq 2$ ,  $\sigma(C_{2m+2}, n) \geq m(2n-m-1)+4$ , for  $n \geq 2m+2, m \geq 2$ ,  $\sigma(K_4 - e, n) = 2\lfloor \frac{3n-1}{2} \rfloor$  for  $n \geq 7$ . In this paper we prove that  $\sigma(C_{2m+1}, n) = m(2n-m-1) + 2$ , for  $n \geq 3m, m \geq 3$ ;  $\sigma(C_{2m+2}, n) = m(2n-m-1) + 4$  for  $n \geq 5m-2, m \geq 3$ .

## 2 Main results

**Theorem 1.** Let  $k \geq 4$ . Let  $S$  be a potentially  $C_k$ -graphical  $n$ -term sequence. If there exists  $x \notin C_k, w \in C_k$  such that  $d(x) \geq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor + 1, d(w) \geq 3$ . Then  $S$  has a realization containing a  $C_{k+1}$ .

Assume  $C_k$  is  $w_1w_2 \cdots w_kw_1$ . Let  $w_{k+i} = w_i$ . We first give the following three results.

**Lemma (a)** For any  $x \notin C_k$ , if there is  $w_r, w_{r+1}$  such that  $w_r x, w_{r+1} x \in E(G)$ , then  $G$  contains a  $C_{k+1} : w_1w_2 \cdots w_r x w_{r+1} \cdots w_k w_1$ .

**Lemma(b)** For any  $x, y \notin C_k, xy \in E(G)$ , if there is  $w_r$  such that  $w_r x \in E(G), w_r y \notin E(G)$ , then  $S$  has a realization containing a  $C_{k+1}$ . (We see the

edge  $w_{r+1}x$  is not in  $G$  or a  $C_{k+1}$  would exist, but then the edge interchange which removes the edges  $w_r w_{r+1}$  and  $xy$  and inserts the edges  $w_{r+1}x$  and  $w_r y$  produces a realization containing a  $C_{k+1} : w_1 w_2 \cdots w_r x w_{r+1} \cdots w_k w_1$ )

**Lemma(c)** For any  $x, y \notin C_k$ ,  $xy \in E(G)$ , if there is  $w_r, w_{r+2}$  such that  $w_r x, w_{r+2} x \in E(G)$ , then  $S$  has a realization containing a  $C_{k+1}$ . (If  $w_{r+2} y \notin E(G)$ , then by Lemma(b),  $S$  has a realization containing a  $C_{k+1}$ . Otherwise,  $w_{r+2} y \in E(G)$  and so  $G$  contains a  $C_{k+1} : w_1 w_2 \cdots w_r x y w_{r+2} w_{r+3} \cdots w_k w_1$ )

**Proof of theorem 1.** Assume every realization of  $S$  does not contain a  $C_{k+1}$ . By Lemma(a),  $x$  is adjacent to at most  $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$  vertices of  $C_k$ . Since  $d(x) \geq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor + 1$  there exists  $x_1 \notin C_k$  such that  $xx_1 \in E(G)$ . Thus, by Lemma(c),  $x$  is adjacent to at most  $\lfloor \frac{k}{3} \rfloor$  vertices of  $C_k$ . Note that  $\lfloor \frac{k}{3} \rfloor \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1$  since  $k \geq 4$ . Hence there is  $x_2 \notin C_k$ ,  $x_2 \neq x_1$ , such that  $xx_2 \in E(G)$ .

Case 1. Suppose that there is  $w_i \in C_k$  such that  $w_i x \in E(G)$ . By Lemma(b),  $w_i x_1, w_i x_2 \in E(G)$ . By Lemma(a),  $w_{i+1} x, w_{i+1} x_1, w_{i+1} x_2 \notin E(G)$ . By Lemma(c)  $w_{i+2} x, w_{i+2} x_1, w_{i+2} x_2 \notin E(G)$ . Then the edge interchange which removes the edges  $w_{i+1} w_{i+2}$  and  $xx_2$  and inserts the edges  $w_{i+2} x$  and  $w_{i+1} x_2$  produces a realization containing a  $C_{k+1} : w_1 w_2 \cdots w_i x_1 x w_{i+2} w_{i+3} \cdots w_k w_1$ . This is a contradiction.

Case 2. Suppose for any  $w_i \in C_k$ ,  $w_i x \notin E(G)$ . Since  $d(x) \geq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor + 1 \geq 2 + 1 = 3$ , hence there is  $x_3 \notin C_k$ ,  $x_3 \neq x_1$ ,  $x_3 \neq x_2$  such that  $xx_3 \in E(G)$ . By Lemma(b),  $w_i x_1, w_i x_2, w_i x_3 \notin E(G)$ . Since there is  $w \in C_k$  such that  $d(w) \geq 3$ , then there is  $x_4$  such that  $w x_4 \notin E(C_k)$ ,  $w x_4 \in E(G)$ . By Lemma(b),  $x_4$  is not one of  $x_1, x_2, x_3$ . If  $x_3 x_4 \in E(G)$ , then by Lemma(b)  $w x_3 \in E(G)$  and thus, by Lemma(b) as well, so is  $w x \in E(G)$ . This is a contradiction. Thus  $x_3 x_4 \notin E(G)$ . Then the edge interchange which removes the edges  $w x_4$  and  $xx_3$  and inserts the edges  $w x$  and  $x_3 x_4$  produces a realization containing the edge  $w x$ . By Case 1,  $S$  has a realization containing a  $C_{k+1}$ . This is a contradiction.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $m \geq 3$ . Let  $S$  be an  $n$ -term graphical sequence. Suppose  $S$  satisfies the following two conditions: (i) there is a realization  $G$  of  $S$  containing a  $C_{2m+1}$ , such that for all  $x, y \notin C_{2m+1}$ ,  $d(x) = d(y) = m$  and  $xy \notin E(G)$ , (ii) there is no realization of  $S$  containing a  $C_{2m+2}$ . Then  $\sigma(S) \leq m(2n - m - 1) + 2$ .

**Proof.** Let  $C_{2m+1}$  be  $w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{2m+1} w_1$ , and  $w_{2m+1+i} = w_i$ . Since every realization of  $S$  does not contain a  $C_{2m+2}$ , by Lemma(a), for any  $v \notin C_{2m+1}$ , there is not  $w_r, w_{r+1}$  such that  $w_r v, w_{r+1} v \in E(G)$ . Since for any  $x, y \notin C_{2m+1}$ ,  $xy \notin E(G)$ ,  $d(x) = d(y) = m$ , then  $x, y$  are all adjacent to  $m$  vertices of  $C_{2m+1}$ . Assume without loss of generality

$w_1x, w_4x, w_6x, \dots, w_{2m}x \in E(G)$ .

Case 1. Suppose there is  $y \notin C_{2m+1}, y \neq x$  such that there is a  $w_i \in C_{2m+1}$  such that  $w_ix \in E(G), w_iy \notin E(G)$ .

Subcase 1. Suppose  $w_2y \in E(G)$ . By Lemma(a),  $w_3y, w_{11}y \notin E(G)$  and at most one vertex of  $w_4, w_5$  is adjacent to  $y$ . If  $w_6y \in E(G)$ , then  $G$  contains a  $C_{2m+2} : w_6w_7 \dots w_{2m+1}w_1xw_4w_3w_2yw_6$ . This is a contradiction, thus  $w_6y \notin E(G)$ . Next, if  $w_7y \in E(G)$ , then by Lemma(a),  $w_8y, w_6y \notin E(G)$ . Since  $y$  is adjacent to  $m$  vertices of  $C_{2m+1}$ , Lemma(a) forces  $w_9y, w_{11}y, \dots, w_{2m+1}y \in E(G)$ . Then  $G$  contains a  $C_{2m+2} : w_{2m+1}yw_2w_1xw_4w_5 \dots w_{2m}w_{2m+1}$ . This is a contradiction, thus  $w_7y \notin E(G)$ . Finally, suppose  $w_6y, w_7y \notin E(G)$ . Then, by Lemma(a),  $y$  at most is adjacent to  $m - 1$  vertices of  $C_{2m+1}$  - a contradiction.

Subcase 2. Suppose  $w_3y \in E(G)$ . By a similar method as Subcase 1 we can give a contradiction.

Subcase 3. Suppose  $w_2y, w_3y \notin E(G)$ . Lemma(a) forces  $y$  to be adjacent to the following  $m$  vertices of  $C_{2m+1} : w_1, w_4, w_6, \dots, w_{2m}$ . This contradicts the supposition of case 1.

Case 2. Suppose for any  $y \notin C_{2m+1}, y \neq x$ , for any  $w_i \in C_{2m+1}$ , if  $w_ix \in E(G)$ , then  $w_iy \in E(G)$ . Then  $w_1y, w_4y, w_6y, \dots, w_{2m}y \in E(G)$ .

Subcase 1. Suppose  $w_2w_5 \in E(G)$ . Then  $G$  contains a  $C_{2m+2} : w_5w_2w_3w_4xw_1w_{2m+1}w_{2m} \dots w_5$ . This is a contradiction.

Subcase 2. Suppose  $w_{2m+1}w_2 \in E(G)$ . Then  $G$  contains a  $C_{2m+2} : w_2w_{2m+1}w_1xw_{2m}w_{2m-1} \dots w_2$ . This is a contradiction.

Subcase 3. Suppose there is an  $i(3 \leq i \leq m - 1)$  such that  $w_2w_{2i+1} \in E(G)$ . Then  $G$  contains a  $C_{2m+2} : w_{2i+1}w_2w_3w_4 \dots w_{2i-2}xw_1w_{2m+1}w_{2m} \dots w_{2i+2}yw_{2i}w_{2i+1}$ . This is a contradiction.

Subcase 4. Suppose  $w_3w_5 \in E(G)$ . Then  $G$  contains a  $C_{2m+2} : w_3w_5w_4xw_6w_7 \dots w_{2m+1}w_1w_2w_3$ . This is a contradiction.

Subcase 5. Suppose  $w_3w_{2m+1} \in E(G)$ . Then  $G$  contains a  $C_{2m+2} : w_{2m+1}w_3w_2w_1xw_4w_5 \dots w_{2m}w_{2m+1}$ . This is a contradiction.

Subcase 6. Suppose there is an  $i(3 \leq i \leq m - 1)$  such that  $w_3w_{2i+1} \in E(G)$ . Then  $G$  contains a  $C_{2m+2} : w_{2i+1}w_3w_2w_1xw_4w_5 \dots w_{2i}yw_{2m}w_{2m-1} \dots w_{2i+1}$ . This is a contradiction.

Subcase 7. Suppose there is a  $j(2 \leq j \leq m - 1)$  such that  $w_{2j+1}w_{2m+1} \in E(G)$ . Then  $G$  contains a  $C_{2m+2} : w_{2j+1}w_{2m+1}w_{2m} \dots w_{2j+2}xw_1w_2 \dots$

$w_{2j+1}$ . This is a contradiction.

Subcase 8. Suppose there is a  $j$  and an  $i(2 \leq j < i \leq m-1)$  such that  $w_{2j+1}w_{2i+1} \in E(G)$ . Then  $G$  contains a  $C_{2m+2} : w_{2j+1}w_{2i+1}w_{2i} \cdots w_{2j+2}w_{2i+2}w_{2i+3} \cdots w_{2m+1}w_1w_2 \cdots w_{2j}w_{2j+1}$ . This is a contradiction.

Subcase 9. Suppose for any  $i(2 \leq i \leq m), w_2w_{2i+1}, w_3w_{2i+1} \notin E(G)$ , and for any  $i, j(2 \leq j < i \leq m), w_{2j+1}w_{2i+1} \notin E(G)$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(w_2), d(w_3) &\leq m+1 \\ d(w_5), d(w_7), \dots, d(w_{2m+1}) &\leq m \end{aligned}$$

Since for any  $y \notin C_{2m+1}, d(y) = m$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(S) &\leq m(n-2m-1) + d(w_2) + d(w_3) + d(w_5) + d(w_7) \\ &\quad + \cdots + d(w_{2m+1}) + d(w_1) + d(w_4) + d(w_6) + \cdots + d(w_{2m}) \\ &\leq m(n-2m-1) + 2(m+1) + m(m-1) + (n-1)m \\ &= (n-2m-1+2+m-1+n-1)m + 2 \\ &= m(2n-m-1) + 2. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $m \geq 2$ . If  $k = 2m+1, n \geq 3m$ , then  $\sigma(C_k, n) = m(2n-m-1) + 2$ ; if  $k = 2m+2, n \geq 3m$ , then  $\sigma(C_k, n) \leq m(2n-m-1) + 2m + 2$ .

**Proof.** By [5] theorem 2 and 3,  $\sigma(C_5, n) = 4n-4$  for  $n \geq 5, \sigma(C_6, n) = 4n-2$  for  $n \geq 7$ . Clearly  $\sigma(C_6, 6) = 24$ . Hence for  $m = 2$ , if  $k = 2m+1, n \geq 3m$ , then  $\sigma(C_k, n) \leq m(2n-m-1) + 2$ ; if  $k = 2m+2, n \geq 3m$ , then  $\sigma(C_k, n) \leq m(2n-m-1) + 2m + 2$ .

Suppose for  $t, 2 \leq t < m$ , if  $k = 2t+1, n \geq 3t$ , then  $\sigma(C_k, n) \leq t(2n-t-1) + 2$  and if  $k = 2t+2, n \geq 3t$ , then  $\sigma(C_k, n) \leq t(2n-t-1) + 2t + 2$ .

Case 1. If  $S$  is an  $n$ -term graphical sequence, with  $k = 2m+1, n \geq 3m, \sigma(S) \geq m(2n-m-1) + 2$ . For  $n = 3m, \sigma(S) \geq m(6m-m-1) + 2 = 5m^2 - m + 2 = 2\left[\binom{k-1}{2} + \binom{n-k+2}{2} + 1\right]$ , which by [1] (chapter III, theorem 5.9) implies that all realizations of  $S$  contain a  $C_k$ . Now assume that  $S_1$  is a  $p$ -term graphical sequence,  $3m \leq p < n, \sigma(S_1) \geq m(2p-m-1) + 2$  and that there is a realization of  $S_1$  containing a  $C_k$ . We will show that if  $S = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{p+1})$  is a  $p+1$ -term graphical sequence with realization  $G$  and  $\sigma(S) \geq m(2(p+1)-m-1) + 2$ , then  $S$  has a realization containing a  $C_{2m+1}$ . Assume  $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \cdots \geq d_n \geq 0$ . Let  $S'$  be the degree sequence of  $G-v_{p+1}$  and suppose  $d_{p+1} \leq m$ . Then  $\sigma(S') \geq m(2(p+1)-m-1) + 2 - 2m =$

$m(2p - m - 1) + 2$ . Therefore, by our assumption,  $S'$  has a realization containing a  $C_k$ . Hence  $S$  has a realization containing a  $C_k$ . Thus, we may assume that  $d_{p+1} \geq m + 1$ . Since  $\sigma(S) \geq m(2(p + 1) - m - 1) + 2 \geq (m - 1)(2(p + 1) - (m - 1) - 1) + 2(m - 1) + 2$ , by our assumption, there is a realization of  $S$  containing a  $C_{2m}$ . Which by theorem 1 implies that  $S$  has a realization containing a  $C_{2m+1}$ .

Case 2. If  $k = 2m + 2$ ,  $n \geq 3m$ ,  $S$  is an  $n$ -term graphical sequence with  $\sigma(S) \geq m(2n - m - 1) + 2m + 2$  then we can prove, via a similar method as Case 1, that  $S$  has a realization containing a  $C_{2m+2}$ .

Hence  $\sigma(C_{2m+1}, n) \leq m(2n - m - 1) + 2$ ,  $\sigma(C_{2m+2}, n) \leq m(2n - m - 1) + 2m + 2$ .

By [5], theorem 1 (Theorem A below), for  $m \geq 2$ ,  $k = 2m + 1$ ,  $n \geq 2m + 1$ ,  $\sigma(C_k, n) \geq m(2n - m - 1) + 2$ . Hence, for  $m \geq 2$ , if  $k = 2m + 1$ ,  $n \geq 3m$ , then  $\sigma(C_k, n) = m(2n - m - 1) + 2$ .

**Lemma 4.** If  $m \geq 3$ ,  $n = 3m + t$  ( $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2m - 2$ ), then  $\sigma(C_{2m+2}, n) \leq m(2n - m - 1) + 2m + 2 - 2\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$ .

**Proof.** By theorem 3, Lemma 4 holds for  $t = 0, 1$ . Now assume that Lemma 4 holds for all  $t - 1$ , ( $1 \leq t \leq 2m - 2$ ). We now prove that Lemma holds for  $t$ .

Let  $S = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$  be an  $n$ -term graphical sequence ( $n = 3m + t$ ),  $G$  a realization of  $S$  and  $\sigma(S) \geq m(2n - m - 1) + 2m + 2 - 2\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$ . Assume  $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n \geq 0$ .

Let  $S'$  be the degree sequence of  $G - v_n$ . If  $d_n \leq m - 1$ , then  $\sigma(S') \geq m(2n - m - 1) + 2m + 2 - 2\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor - 2(m - 1) \geq m(2(n - 1) - m - 1) + 2m + 2 - 2\lfloor \frac{t-1}{2} \rfloor$ . By induction suppose,  $S'$  has a realization containing a  $C_{2m+2}$ . Hence  $S$  has a realization containing a  $C_{2m+2}$ . Thus, we may assume that  $d_n \geq m$ . Since  $t \leq 2m - 2$ , one has  $\sigma(S) \geq m(2n - m - 1) + 2m + 2 - 2\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor > m(2n - m - 1) + 2$ . This implies, by theorem 3, that  $S$  has a realization containing a  $C_{2m+1}$ . Let  $w \in C_{2m+1}$ ,  $x, y \notin C_{2m+1}$  and assume that every realization of  $S$  does not contain a  $C_{2m+2}$ . If  $d(x) \geq m + 1$ , then since  $d(w) \geq d_n \geq 3$ , by theorem 1,  $S$  has a realization containing a  $C_{2m+2}$ . This is a contradiction. Hence for any  $x \notin C_{2m+1}$ ,  $d(x) = m$ .

If for any  $x, y \notin C_{2m+1}$ ,  $xy \notin E(G)$ , then, by theorem 2,  $\sigma(S) \leq m(2n - m - 1) + 2 < m(2n - m - 1) + 2m + 2 - 2\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor \leq \sigma(S)$ . This is a contradiction. Thus, we may assume that there is  $x, y \notin C_{2m+1}$  such that  $xy \in E(G)$ . Let  $S'$  be degree sequence of  $G - \{x, y\}$ . Since  $d(x) = d(y) = m$ , then  $\sigma(S') \geq m(2n - m - 1) + 2m + 2 - 2\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor - 4m + 2 = m(2(n - 2) - m - 1) + 2m + 2 - 2\lfloor \frac{t-2}{2} \rfloor$ .

By induction suppose,  $S'$  has a realization containing a  $C_{2m+2}$ . Hence  $S$  has a realization containing a  $C_{2m+2}$ . This is a contradiction.

Therefore  $\sigma(C_{2m+2}, n) \leq m(2n - m - 1) + 2m + 2 - 2\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ .

**Theorem 5.**  $\sigma(C_{2m+2}, n) = m(2n - m - 1) + 4$ , for  $m \geq 3, n \geq 5m - 2$ .

**Proof.** By Lemma 4, for  $m \geq 3, n = 5m - 2, \sigma(C_{2m+2}, n) \leq m(2n - m - 1) + 2m + 2 - 2\lfloor \frac{2m-2}{2} \rfloor = m(2n - m - 1) + 4$ .

Suppose for  $p, (5m - 2 \leq p < n), \sigma(C_{2m+2}, p) \leq m(2p - m - 1) + 4$ . Let  $S = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$  be an  $n$ -term graphical sequence with realization  $G$  and  $\sigma(S) \geq m(2n - m - 1) + 4$ . Assume  $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n \geq 0$ .

If  $d_n \leq m$ , then consider the degree sequence,  $S'$ , formed by  $G - v_n$ . Then  $\sigma(S') \geq m(2n - m - 1) + 4 - 2m = m(2(n - 1) - m - 1) + 4$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $S'$  has a realization containing a  $C_{2m+2}$ . Hence  $S$  has a realization containing a  $C_{2m+2}$ . Thus, we may assume that  $d_n \geq m + 1$ . Since  $\sigma(S) \geq m(2n - m - 1) + 4 \geq m(2n - m - 1) + 2$ , theorem 3 implies that  $S$  has a realization containing a  $C_{2m+1}$ . Therefore, by theorem 1,  $S$  has a realization containing a  $C_{2m+2}$ .

Therefore  $\sigma(C_{2m+2}, n) \leq m(2n - m - 1) + 4$ .

By [5] theorem 1 (Theorem A below), for  $m \geq 2, n \geq 2m+2, \sigma(C_{2m+2}, n) \geq m(2n - m - 1) + 4$ . Hence  $\sigma(C_{2m+2}, n) = m(2n - m - 1) + 4$  for  $m \geq 3, n \geq 5m - 2$ .

For completeness, we give a short proofs of the lower bounds for  $\sigma(C_{2m+1}, n)$  and  $\sigma(C_{2m+2}, n)$  as following:

**Theorem A.**  $\sigma(C_{2m+1}, n) \geq m(2n - m - 1) + 2$ , for  $n \geq 2m + 1, m \geq 2$ ,  $\sigma(C_{2m+2}, n) \geq m(2n - m - 1) + 4$ , for  $n \geq 2m + 2, m \geq 2$ .

**Proof.** By noting that  $G = K_m + \overline{K_{n-m}}$  gives a uniquely realizable degree sequence and  $G$  clearly does not contain  $C_{2m+1}$ ,  $H = K_m + (\overline{K_{n-m-2}} \cup K_2)$  gives a uniquely realizable degree sequence and  $H$  clearly does not contain  $C_{2m+2}$ , this result can easily be seen.

## Acknowledgment

This paper was written in the University of Science and Technology of China as a visiting scholar. The author thanks Prof. Li Jiong-sheng for his advice. The author thanks the referees for many helpful comments.

## References

- [1] B. Bollobás, *Extremal Graph Theory*, Academic Press, London, 1978.
- [2] P. Erdős, On sequences of integers no one of which divides the product of two others and some related problems, *Izv. Naustno-Issl. Mat. i Meh. Tomsk* 2(1938), 74-82.
- [3] P. Erdős, M.S. Jacobson and J. Lehel, Graphs realizing the same degree sequences and their respective clique numbers, in *Graph Theory, Combinatorics and Application*, Vol. 1 (Y. Alavi et al., eds.), John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1991, 439-449.
- [4] R.J. Gould, M.S. Jacobson and J. Lehel, Potentially  $G$ -graphic degree sequences, *Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Algorithms*, Ed. by Alavi, Lick and Schwenk, Vol. I (1999), 387-400.
- [5] Lai Chunhui, Potentially  $C_k$ -graphical degree sequences, *J. Zhangzhou Teachers College* 11(4)(1997), 27-31.
- [6] Lai Chunhui, A note on potentially  $K_4 - e$  graphical sequences, *Australasian J. of Combinatorics* 24(2001), 123-127.
- [7] Li Jiong-Sheng and Song Zi-Xia, An extremal problem on the potentially  $P_k$ -graphic sequences, *Discrete Math.* (212)2000, 223-231.
- [8] Li Jiong-Sheng and Song Zi-Xia, The smallest degree sum that yields potentially  $P_k$ -graphical sequences, *J. Graph Theory*, 29(1998), 63-72.
- [9] Li Jiong-sheng and Song Zi-Xia, On the potentially  $P_k$ -graphic sequences, *Discrete Math.* 195(1999), 255-262.
- [10] Li Jiong-sheng, Song Zi-Xia and Luo Rong, The Erdős-Jacobson-Lehel conjecture on potentially  $P_k$ -graphic sequence is true, *Science in China(Series A)*, 41(5)(1998), 510-520.
- [11] P. Turán, On an extremal problem in graph theory, *Mat. Fiz. Lapok* 48(1941), 436-452.