

On $[a, b]$ -Covered Graphs

Guizhen Liu

Department of Mathematics

Shandong University

Jinan, Shandong

The People's Republic of China

Abstract. A graph G is $[a, b]$ -covered if each edge of G belongs to an $[a, b]$ -factor. Here a necessary and sufficient condition for a graph to be $[a, b]$ -covered is given and it is shown that an $[m, n]$ -graph is $[a, b]$ -covered if $bm - na \geq 2(n - b)$ and $0 \leq a < b \leq n$.

1. Introduction

By a graph we mean a finite, undirected graph with no loops. Let G be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. A vertex set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is independent if there are no edges in G whose two end-vertices in S . For a vertex x of G , the degree of x in G is denoted by $d_G(x)$. Let b and a be integers such that $b \geq a \geq 0$. We say that G is an $[a, b]$ -graph if $a \leq d_G(x) \leq b$ for all $x \in V(G)$. An $[r, r]$ -graph is also called an r -regular graph. Similarly, an $[r, r]$ -factor is called an r -factor.

Let G be a graph, and g and f be two integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $g(x) \leq f(x)$ for every $x \in V(G)$. Then a (g, f) -factor of G is a spanning subgraph F of G satisfying $g(x) \leq d_F(x) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in V(G)$. For a subset S of $V(G)$, we write $G - S$ for the subgraph of G obtained by deleting the vertices in S together with their incident edges. If S and T are disjoint subsets of $V(G)$, then $e(S, T)$ denotes the number of edges of G joining S and T . We shall need the (g, f) -factor theorem due to Lovász [6] for which Tutte [7] has given a short proof.

Theorem 1.1. [6,7] *Let G be a graph and g and f be integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $g(x) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in V(G)$. Then G has a (g, f) -factor if and only if*

$$\delta(S, T) = \sum_{x \in T} \{d_G(x) - g(x)\} + \sum_{x \in S} f(x) - e(S, T) - h(S, T) \geq 0$$

for all disjoint subsets S and T of $V(G)$, where $h(S, T)$ denotes the number of components, C , of $G - (S \cup T)$ such that $g(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in V(C)$ and $e(T, V(C)) + \sum_{x \in V(C)} f(x) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

Kano and Saito [4] discussed some sufficient conditions for a graph to have an $[a, b]$ -factor. Heinrich and others [3] characterized graphs which have an $[a, b]$ -factor. Little [5] introduced the concept of a factor-covered graph, which is a graph

This paper was written while the author was visiting Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, B.C., Canada.

such that for every edge e there exists a 1-factor containing e . Here we generalize this idea as follows. A graph G is $[a, b]$ -factor-covered, or simply $[a, b]$ -covered, if for each edge e of G there is an $[a, b]$ -factor containing e . Thus, a $[1, 1]$ -covered graph is a factor-covered graph. In 1974, Little proved the following result.

Theorem 1.2. [5] *Let G be a graph of even order. Then G is factor-covered if and only if*

- (1) $o(G - S) \leq |S|$ for all $S \subseteq V(G)$ and
- (2) $o(G - S) = |S|$ implies that S is independent

where $o(G - S)$ is the number of odd components of $G - S$.

The above mentioned results can be found in a survey article [1].

In this paper we shall characterize $[a, b]$ -covered graphs and show that an $[m, n]$ -graph is $[a, b]$ -covered if $bm - na \geq 2(n - b)$ and $0 \leq a < b \leq n$.

2. The characterization of $[a, b]$ -covered graphs

If $a = 0$ and $a < b$, every graph is $[a, b]$ -covered. In this section we deduce a characterization of $[a, b]$ -covered graphs where $b > a \geq 1$. When $a = b$, the problem is more complicated and will be dealt with in another paper.

Let G be a graph and $S, T \subseteq V(G)$. Then the number of vertices of degree j in $G - S$ is denoted by $p_j(G - S)$ and the number of vertices in T having degree j in $G - S$ by $p_j(G - S|T)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $b > a \geq 1$ be integers. Then a graph G is $[a, b]$ -covered if and only if for all $S \subseteq V(G)$*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a - j)p_j(G - S) \leq b|S| - \varepsilon(S)$$

where $\varepsilon(S) = 2$ if S is not independent, $\varepsilon(S) = 1$ if S is independent and there is at least one edge xy such that $x \in S$, $y \in V(G) \setminus S$ and $d_{G-S}(y) \geq a$, and $\varepsilon(S) = 0$ otherwise.

Proof: The condition is necessary. Let G have an $[a, b]$ -factor F , and let $S \subseteq V(G)$. If $d_{G-S}(x) = j$, $0 \leq j \leq a - 1$, then in F , the vertex x is incident with at least $a - j$ edges, xx_i , where $x_i \in S$. But $d_F(x) \leq b$ for every $x \in V(G)$. Thus,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a - j)p_j(G - S) \leq b|S|,$$

since there exist at most $b|S|$ edges of F joining S to $G - S$. Now if S is not independent, the induced subgraph $G[S]$ contains at least one edge e . Consider

an $[a, b]$ -factor F containing e . We have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G-S) \leq b|S| - 2.$$

If S is independent and there is an edge xy such that $x \in S$, $y \in V(G) \setminus S$ and $d_{G-S}(y) \geq a$, consider an $[a, b]$ -factor F containing xy . We have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G-S) \leq b|S| - 1.$$

The condition is sufficient. Let $e = uv$ be any edge of G . Define f and g on $V(G)$ by $f(u) = f(v) = b-1$, $f(x) = b$ when $x \neq u, v$, $g(u) = g(v) = a-1$ and $g(x) = a$ when $x \neq u, v$. Clearly, there exists an $[a, b]$ -factor of G containing e if there exists a (g, f) -factor of $G' = G - e$, by Theorem 1.1 if for any $S, T \subseteq V(G')$ with $S \cap T = \emptyset$,

$$\delta(S, T) = \sum_{x \in T} \{d_{G'}(x) - g(x)\} + \sum_{x \in S} f(x) - e(S, T) - h(S, T) \geq 0.$$

Since $g(x) \neq f(x)$ for any $x \in V(G')$, $h(S, T) = 0$. Thus, we have

$$\delta(S, T) = \sum_{x \in T} d_{G'-S}(x) - \sum_{x \in T} g(x) + \sum_{x \in S} f(x).$$

We distinguish three cases.

Case 1. $u, v \in S$. In this case we have

$$\delta(S, T) = \sum_{x \in T} d_{G'-S}(x) - a|T| + b|S| - 2$$

and

$$p_j(G-S) = p_j(G'-S).$$

Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in T} d_{G'-S}(x) &\geq a|T| - \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G'-S|T) \\ &\geq a|T| - \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G'-S) = a|T| - \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G-S). \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G-S) \leq b|S| - 2,$$

since S is not independent. It follows that

$$\sum_{x \in T} d_{G'-S}(x) \geq a|T| - b|S| + 2,$$

namely, $\delta(S, T) \geq 0$.

Case 2. $u \in S$ and $v \in V(G) \setminus S$. In this case we have

$$p_j(G' - S) = p_j(G - S).$$

Case 2.1. $v \in T$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(S, T) &= \sum_{x \in T} d_{G'-S}(x) - (a|T| - 1) + b|S| - 1 \\ &= \sum_{x \in T} d_{G'-S}(x) - a|T| + b|S|. \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G-S) \leq b|S|.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in T} d_{G'-S}(x) &\geq a|T| - \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G'-S|T) \\ &\geq a|T| - \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G'-S) \\ &= a|T| - \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G-S) \geq a|T| - b|S|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\delta(S, T) \geq 0$.

Case 2.2. $v \notin T$. We have

$$\delta(S, T) = \sum_{x \in T} d_{G'-S}(x) - a|T| + b|S| - 1.$$

If $d_{G-S}(v) \geq a$, by hypothesis,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G-S) \leq b|S| - 1.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in T} d_{G'-S}(x) &\geq a|T| - \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G' - S|T) \\ &\geq a|T| - \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G' - S) \\ &= a|T| - \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G-S) \geq a|T| - b|S| + 1. \end{aligned}$$

So $\delta(S, T) \geq 0$.

If $d_{G-S}(v) < a$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in T} d_{G'-S}(x) &\geq a|T| - \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G' - S|T) \\ &\geq a|T| - \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G' - S) + 1 \\ &= a|T| - \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G-S) + 1 \geq a|T| - b|S| + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\delta(S, T) \geq 0$.

Case 3. $u, v \in V(G) \setminus S$.

Case 3.1. $u, v \notin T$. In this case

$$\delta(S, T) = \sum_{x \in T} d_{G'-S}(x) - a|T| + b|S|$$

and

$$p_j(G' - S|T) = p_j(G - S|T).$$

By hypothesis,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G-S) \leq b|S|.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{x \in T} d_{G'-S}(x) &\geq a|T| - \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G' - S|T) \\
 &= a|T| - \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G - S|T) \\
 &\geq a|T| - \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G - S) \geq a|T| - b|S|.
 \end{aligned}$$

So $\delta(S, T) \geq 0$.

Case 3.2. $u \in T$ and $v \notin T$. In this case

$$\delta(S, T) = \sum_{x \in T} d_{G'-S}(x) - (a|T| - 1) + b|S|.$$

If $d_{G-S}(u) > a$, we have $p_j(G' - S|T) = p_j(G - S|T)$ for $0 \leq j \leq a-1$. If $d_{G-S}(u) = a$, we have $p_{a-1}(G' - S|T) = p_{a-1}(G - S|T) + 1$. So

$$\sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G' - S|T) = \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G - S|T) + 1.$$

If $d_{G-S}(u) = j_0 < a$, then $p_{j_0}(G' - S|T) = p_{j_0}(G - S|T) - 1$ and $p_{j_0-1}(G' - S|T) = p_{j_0-1}(G - S|T) + 1$. It is easy to verify that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G' - S|T) = \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G - S|T) + 1.$$

Thus, in all cases we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G' - S|T) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G - S|T) + 1.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{x \in T} d_{G'-S}(x) &\geq a|T| - \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G' - S|T) \\
 &\geq a|T| - \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G - S|T) - 1 \\
 &\geq a|T| - \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G - S) - 1 \geq a|T| - b|S| - 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\delta(S, T) \geq 0$.

Case 3.3. $u, v \in T$. In this case

$$\delta(S, T) = \sum_{x \in T} d_{G'-S}(x) - (a|T| - 2) + b|S|.$$

By an argument similar to that used in *Case 3.2*, it is not difficult to verify that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G' - S|T) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G - S|T) + 2.$$

As in *Case 3.2*, we can prove that

$$\sum_{x \in T} d_{G'-S}(x) \geq a|T| - b|S| - 2.$$

So $\delta(S, T) \geq 0$.

3. $[a, b]$ -covered graphs

In [2, Chapter 8, Theorem 13] Berge proved that if G is a graph of even order which is regular of degree $r > 1$ and if G is $(r-1)$ -edge-connected, then G is factor-covered. Here we present a similar result about $[a, b]$ -covered graphs. In particular, we have the following general result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be an $[m, n]$ -graph. If a and b are integers such that $bm - na \geq 2(n-b)$ and $0 \leq a < b \leq n$, then G is $[a, b]$ -covered.*

Proof: When $a = 0$, the theorem is trivial. Otherwise, by Theorem 2.1 it is sufficient to prove that for all $S \subseteq V(G)$,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G-S) \leq b|S| - \varepsilon(S) \quad (1)$$

where $\varepsilon(S)$ is defined as in Theorem 2.1. For all $S \subseteq V(G)$, if $p_j(G-S) = 0$ for all $j = 0, 1, \dots, a-1$, clearly, inequality (1) is true. In the following we assume that $p_j(G-S) \neq 0$ for some j and some $S \subseteq V(G)$. We consider the following three cases.

Case 1. S is not independent. Since $m \leq d_G(x) \leq n$ for every $x \in V(G)$, it follows that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (m-j)p_j(G-S) \leq n|S| - 2.$$

Thus,

$$b|S| \geq \frac{b}{n} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (m-j)p_j(G-S) + 2 \right].$$

Since $bm-na \geq 2(n-b)$, $(bm-na)p_j(G-S) \geq 2(n-b)$ when $p_j(G-S) \neq 0$. Also $n-b \geq 0$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{b}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (m-j)p_j(G-S) + \frac{2b}{n} - \left[\sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G-S) + 2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} [bm-na + (n-b)j]p_j(G-S) - \frac{2}{n}(n-b) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

namely,

$$b|S| \geq \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G-S) + 2.$$

Case 2. S is independent and there is an edge xy such that $x \in S$, $y \in V(G) \setminus S$ and $d_{G-S}(y) \geq a$. In this case

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (m-j)p_j(G-S) \leq n|S| - 1. \text{ Thus,} \\ & b|S| \geq \frac{b}{n} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (m-j)p_j(G-S) + 1 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

As in Case 1, we can prove that

$$\frac{b}{n} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (m-j)p_j(G-S) + 1 \right] \geq \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G-S) + 1.$$

So

$$b|S| \geq \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G-S) + 1.$$

Case 3. *Case 1* and *Case 2* are not true. In this case

$$\sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (m-j)p_j(G-S) \leq n|S|.$$

It follows that

$$b|S| \geq \frac{b}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (m-j)p_j(G-S).$$

Since $bm - na \geq 2(n-b) \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{b}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (m-j)p_j(G-S) - \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (a-j)p_j(G-S) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} [bm - na + (n-b)j]p_j(G-S) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus inequality (1) is satisfied and the proof is complete.

The next result follows immediately from Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.2. *Let b, a and r be integers such that $r(b-a) \geq 2(r-b)$ and $0 \leq a < b \leq r$. Then if graph G is r -regular, G is $[a, b]$ -covered.*

From Corollary 3.2 we can easily obtain the following corollaries.

Corollary 3.3. *Let a and b be non-negative integers and let G be an r -regular graph. Then G is $[a, b]$ -covered if $b-a \geq 2$ and $b \leq r$.*

Corollary 3.4. *Every r -regular graph is $[k-1, k]$ -covered for every integer k such that $\frac{r}{2} \leq k \leq r$.*

Acknowledgment

The author wishes to thank professor K. Heinrich for her helpful suggestions.

References

1. J. Akiyama and M. Kano, *Factors and factorizations of graphs—a survey*, J. Graph Theory 9 (1985), 1–42.
2. C. Berge, “Graphs and Hypergraphs”, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
3. K. Heinrich, P. Hell, G. Liu and D.G. Kirkpatrick, *A simple existence criterion for $(g < f)$ -factors with applications to $[a, b]$ -factors*.
4. M. Kano and A. Saito, *$[a, b]$ -factors of graphs*, Discrete Math. 47 (1983), 113–116.
5. C.H.C. Little, *A theorem on connected graphs in which every edge belongs to a 1-factor*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 18 (1974), 450–452.
6. L. Lovasz, *Subgraphs with prescribed valencies*, J. Combinatorial Theory 8 (1970), 391–416.
7. W.T. Tutte, *Graph Factors*, Combinatorica 1 (1981), 79–97.