

Limit Theorems for Associated Whitney Numbers of Dowling Lattices

Lane Clark
Department of Mathematics
Southern Illinois University Carbondale
Carbondale, IL 62901
lclark@math.siu.edu

Abstract

The Whitney number $W_m(n, k)$ of the rank- n Dowling lattice $Q_n(G)$, based on the group G having order m , is the number of elements in $Q_n(G)$ of co-rank k . The associated numbers $U_m(n, k) = k! W_m(n, k)$ and $V_m(n, k) = k! m^k W_m(n, k)$ were studied by M. Benoumhani [*Adv. in Appl. Math.* **19** (1997), no. 1, 106–116] where a generating function was derived using algebraic techniques and logconcavity was shown for $\{U_m(n, k)\}$ and for $\{V_m(n, k)\}$. We give a central limit theorem and a local limit theorem on \mathbb{R} for $\{U_m(n, k)\}$ and for $\{V_m(n, k)\}$. In addition, asymptotic formulas for $\max_k U_m(n, k)$, $\max_k V_m(n, k)$ and their modes are given.

Keywords: Central limit theorem; Local limit theorem; Asymptotic formulas

1. Introduction

An array $\{a(n, k) : n \geq 0, 0 \leq k \leq D(n)\}$ of nonnegative real numbers, with $P_n = \sum_{k=0}^{D(n)} a(n, k) \neq 0$, satisfies a *central limit theorem* (is *asymptotically normal*) with mean μ_n and variance σ_n^2 if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \mu_n + x\sigma_n} \frac{a(n, k)}{P_n} - (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-t^2/2} dt \right| = 0. \quad (1)$$

The array satisfies a *local limit theorem* on $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with mean μ_n and variance σ_n^2 provided

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in S} \left| \frac{\sigma_n^d(n, \lfloor \mu_n + x\sigma_n \rfloor)}{P_n} - (2\pi)^{-1/2} e^{-x^2/2} \right| = 0. \quad (2)$$

Typically, a central limit theorem for a sequence of associated random variables gives one for the array from which a local limit theorem follows under certain conditions. (See the paragraph describing Harper's method before Lemma 1.)

Based on a finite group G having order $m \geq 1$, Dowling [6] constructed a finite geometric lattice $Q_n(G)$ of rank $n \geq 1$ using the partial G -partitions of an n -set. The Whitney number $W_m(n, k) \in \mathbb{N}$ is the number of elements in $Q_n(G)$ having co-rank k (so $W(Q_n(G), k) = W_m(n, n - k)$). Then $W_m(n, 0) = W_m(n, n) = 1$ for $n \geq 1$, $W_m(n, k) \neq 0$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$ with $n \geq 1$ and $W_m(n, k) = 0$ for $k > n \geq 1$. Dowling [6] derived the recurrence relation

$$W_m(n, k) = W_m(n - 1, k - 1) + (1 + mk)W_m(n - 1, k) \quad (3)$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $n \geq 2$. Define $W_m(0, 0) = 1$ and $W_m(0, k) = 0$ for $k \geq 1$. Then (3) is correct for $k, n \geq 1$ and $W_m(n, k) \neq 0$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$.

The associated numbers $U_m(n, k) = k!W_m(n, k)$ and $V_m(n, k) = k!m^k W_m(n, k)$ ($k, n \geq 0$) were studied by Benounhani [2]. There a combinatorial interpretation, due to Dowling, was given; a generating function was derived using algebraic techniques; and logconcavity was shown for $\{U_m(n, k)\}$ and for $\{V_m(n, k)\}$. In this paper, we derive the generating function analytically for completeness; refine a result of [2] implying logconcavity; and give a central limit theorem and a local limit theorem on \mathbb{R} for $\{U_m(n, k)\}$ and for $\{V_m(n, k)\}$. In addition, we give asymptotic formulas for $\max\{U_m(n, k) : 0 \leq k \leq n\}$, $\max\{V_m(n, k) : 0 \leq k \leq n\}$ and for their modes. We choose to use the method of Harper [9] to establish our limit theorems due to the nature of these arrays.

We write $f(n) = o(g(n))$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n)/g(n) = 0$; $f(n) = O(g(n))$ provided $|f(n)| \leq C|g(n)|$ for $n \geq N$; and $f(n) \sim g(n)$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n)/g(n) = 1$. All asymptotics are with $n \rightarrow \infty$. The expectation of a random variable X is denoted $E(X)$ and its variance $\text{Var}(X)$. We refer the reader to Durrett [7] for probability. The nonnegative integers are

denoted by \mathbb{N} ; the real numbers by \mathbb{R} and the complex numbers by \mathbb{C} . The greatest integer at most $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is denoted $[x]$. Throughout the paper, m is a fixed positive integer and is usually omitted from the notation.

2. Results

For $k, n \geq 0$, let $U_m(n, k) = k!W_m(n, k) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $V_m(n, k) = k!m^k W_m(n, k) \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $U_m(n, 0) = 1$ and $U_m(n, n) = n!$ for $n \geq 0$. $U_m(n, k) \neq 0$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$ and $U_m(n, k) = 0$ for $k > n \geq 0$. In addition, (3) implies

$$U_m(n, k) = kU_m(n-1, k-1) + (1+mk)U_m(n-1, k) \quad (4)$$

for $k, n \geq 1$. For completeness, we first derive the generating functions for $\{U_m(n, k)\}$ and for $\{V_m(n, k)\}$ analytically.

Let

$$h(x, y) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{k \geq 0} U_m(n, k) \frac{x^n}{n!} y^k = e^{cx} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{k \geq 1} U_m(n, k) \frac{x^n}{n!} y^k. \quad (5)$$

Then (4) implies

$$h_x(x, y) = y^2 h_y(x, y) + y h(x, y) + h(x, y) + m y h_y(x, y).$$

Hence, $z = h(x, y)$ satisfies the linear first order PDE $z_x - (y^2 + my)z_y = (1+y)z$ with initial condition $z(0, y) = 1$. The characteristic curves are given by $dy/dx = -(y^2 + my)$, which has solution $(y/(y+m))^{1/m} = ce^{-x}$. Along such a curve, $dz/dy = -(1+y)z/(y^2 + my)$, which has solution $z = k(c)y^{-1/m}(y+m)^{-(m-1)/m} = k(y^{1/m}(y+m)^{-1/m}e^x)y^{-1/m}(y+m)^{-(m-1)/m}$ where k is an "arbitrary function". Applying the initial condition, we obtain $k(y^{1/m}(y+m)^{-1/m}) = y^{1/m}(y+m)^{(m-1)/m}$ or, equivalently, $k(s) = sm/(1-s^m)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h(x, y) &= \left(\frac{y}{y+m}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}} e^x \left(\frac{m}{1-\frac{ye^{mx}}{y+m}}\right) y^{-\frac{1}{m}}(y+m)^{-\frac{m-1}{m}} \\ &= \frac{e^x}{1-\frac{y}{m}(e^{mx}-1)} \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}; x^2 + y^2 \leq R_m) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

as in [2; Theorem 3]. Obviously, the analogous generating function for $\{V_m(n, k)\}$ is $h(x, my)$.

Due to the nature of our arrays (see Lemma 1 and Lemma 4), we choose to use the method of Harper [9] to establish our limit theorems. Harper's method can be formalized as follows: Let $\{P_n(w)\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of nonzero polynomials with nonnegative real coefficients, where $P_n(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{D(n)} a(n, k)w^k$ is of degree $D(n)$ and all $D(n)$ roots of $P_n(w)$ are real and nonpositive. Then the array of coefficients $\{a(n, k) : n \geq 0, 0 \leq k \leq D(n)\}$ satisfies a central limit theorem with

$$\mu_n = \frac{P'_n(1)}{P_n(1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_n^2 = \frac{P'_n(1)}{P_n(1)} + \frac{P''_n(1)}{P_n(1)} - \left(\frac{P'_n(1)}{P_n(1)} \right)^2 \quad (7)$$

if $\sigma_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (the derivatives are with respect to w). A proof of Harper's method can be found in [1; proof of Theorem 2] and a proof of an extension of Harper's method can be found in [4; Theorem 1] (see [11] for a survey of Pólya frequency sequences). Both results use the natural probabilistic interpretation $P(X_n = k) = a(n, k)/P_n(1)$, $0 \leq k \leq D(n)$, of the array $\{a(n, k)\}$. Then (referring to (7)), $E(X_n) = \mu_n$ and $\text{Var}(X_n) = \sigma_n^2$. The proofs of both results use the central limit theorem in an essential way to show $(X_n - \mu_n)/\sigma_n \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$ which is equivalent to (1) (see [7; p. 70]). If, in addition, the nonzero coefficients of each $P_n(w)$ are consecutive, then the array of coefficients satisfies a local limit theorem on \mathbb{R} with the above μ_n and σ_n^2 provided $\sigma_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. A (slightly incorrect) proof can be found in [1; Theorem 2]; a correction can be found in [3; Theorem II]; and a proof can be found in [8; Theorem 7.1.4] when all $a(n, k)$ are integers.

For $n \geq 0$, let

$$P_n(w) = P_{n,m}(w) = \sum_{k=0}^n U_m(n, k)w^k \quad (8)$$

so that $P_0(w) = 1$, $P_1(w) = 1 + w$ and $P_2(w) = 1 + (m+2)w + 2w^2$. Note that all coefficients of $P_n(w)$ are positive integers. For $n \geq 1$, (4) implies

$$P_n(w) = (1+w)P_{n-1}(w) + (mw + w^2)P'_{n-1}(w). \quad (9)$$

Benoumhani [2] showed that all n roots of $P_n(w)$ are real and negative for $n \geq 1$. Our first result refines that of [2] and uses a different approach.

Lemma 1. Fix $m \geq 2$. Then all n roots of $P_n(w) = P_{n,m}(w)$ are distinct and in the interval $(-m, 0)$ for $n \geq 0$. Consequently, the sequence $U_m(n, 0), \dots, U_m(n, n)$ is strictly logconcave for $n \geq 0$.

Proof. Our result is correct for $n = 0, 1$. Suppose $P_{n-1}(w)$ has roots $-m < r_{n-1} < \dots < r_1 < 0$ where $n \geq 2$. Then $P'_{n-1}(r_{n-1}), \dots, P'_{n-1}(r_1)$ alternate sign with $P'_{n-1}(r_1) > 0$. From (9), $P_n(r_k) = r_k(r_k + m)P'_{n-1}(r_k)$, so $P_n(r_{n-1}), \dots, P_n(r_1)$ alternate sign with $P_n(r_1) < 0$ and $P_n(0) > 0$. By the Intermediate Value Theorem, $P_n(w)$ has a root in each interval $(r_{n-1}, r_{n-2}), \dots, (r_2, r_1), (r_1, 0)$. From (9), $P_n(-m) = (-m+1)P_{n-1}(-m)$. For odd n , $P_n(-m) < 0$ and $P_n(r_{n-1}) > 0$, while for even n , $P_n(-m) > 0$ and $P_n(r_{n-1}) < 0$. In either case, $P_n(w)$ has a root in $(-m, r_{n-1})$. Our result follows by induction on n . ■

Remark. A slight modification of the proof shows that all n roots of $P_{n,1}(w)$ are distinct and in the interval $[-1, 0)$ for $n \geq 0$. Consequently, the sequence $U_1(n, 0), \dots, U_1(n, n)$ is strictly logconcave for $n \geq 0$.

In view of (7), we now find asymptotic expansions for $P_n(1)$, $P'_n(1)$ and $P''_n(1)$.

From (5), (6), (8),

$$h(z, w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(w) \frac{z^n}{n!} = \frac{e^z}{1 - \frac{w}{m}(e^{mz} - 1)}$$

which is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ (see [10] for terminology) except for simple poles where $w = m/(e^{mz} - 1)$. Let $\alpha = \ln(m+1)/m$ and

$$h_1(z) = h(\alpha z, 1) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(1) \frac{(\alpha z)^n}{n!} = \frac{-me^{\alpha z}}{(m+1)\left(\frac{e^{\alpha m z}}{m+1} - 1\right)}.$$

$$h_2(z) = h_w(\alpha z, 1) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P'_n(1) \frac{(\alpha z)^n}{n!} = \frac{m(e^{\alpha(m+1)z} - e^{\alpha z})}{(m+1)^2 \left(\frac{e^{\alpha m z}}{m+1} - 1\right)^2}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_3(z) &= h_{ww}(\alpha z, 1) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P''_n(1) \frac{(\alpha z)^n}{n!} \\ &= \frac{-2m(e^{\alpha(2m+1)z} - 2e^{\alpha(m+1)z} + e^{\alpha z})}{(m+1)^3 \left(\frac{e^{\alpha m z}}{m+1} - 1\right)^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $h_k(z)$ is analytic on $|z| < 1 + \delta = (\alpha^2 + 4\pi^2)^{1/2}$ except for a pole of order k at $z = 1$ ($1 \leq k \leq 3$). Hence,

$$g_k(z) = (z-1)^k h_k(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{k,n} (z-1)^n$$

is analytic on $|z| < 1 + \delta$ ($1 \leq k \leq 3$). We have $(g'_k(1) = b_{k,1})$.

$$g_1(z) = \frac{c^\alpha + \alpha c^\alpha (z-1) + \dots}{-\alpha(m+1) - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} m(m+1)(z-1) + \dots}$$

so $b_{1,0} = -c^\alpha / \alpha(m+1)$.

$$g_2(z) = \frac{c^\alpha m^2 + \alpha c^\alpha m^2 (m+2)(z-1) + \dots}{\alpha^2 m^2 (m+1)^2 + \alpha^3 m^3 (m+1)^2 (z-1) + \dots}$$

so $b_{2,0} = c^\alpha / \alpha^2 (m+1)^2$, $b_{2,1} = 2c^\alpha / \alpha(m+1)^2$ and

$$g_3(z) = \frac{-2c^\alpha m^3 - 2\alpha c^\alpha m^3 (2m+3)(z-1) - \dots}{\alpha^3 m^3 (m+1)^3 + \frac{3}{2} \alpha^4 m^4 (m+1)^3 (z-1) + \dots}$$

so $b_{3,0} = -2c^\alpha / \alpha^3 (m+1)^3$ and $b_{3,1} = -c^\alpha (m+6) / \alpha^2 (m+1)^3$.

By Darboux's Theorem (see [12: Theorem 8.4]),

$$P_n(1) = n! \alpha^{-n} \{ -b_{1,0} + o(n^{-1}) \}$$

$$P'_n(1) = n! \alpha^{-n} \{ b_{2,0} n + (b_{2,0} - b_{2,1}) + o(n^{-1}) \} \quad (10)$$

$$P''_n(1) = n! \alpha^{-n} \left\{ -\frac{b_{3,0}}{2} n^2 + \left(b_{3,1} - \frac{3b_{3,0}}{2} \right) n + O(1) \right\}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence,

$$\frac{P'_n(1)}{P_n(1)} = -\frac{b_{2,0}}{b_{1,0}} n - \frac{b_{2,0} - b_{2,1}}{b_{1,0}} + o(1).$$

$$\left(\frac{P'_n(1)}{P_n(1)} \right)^2 = \frac{b_{2,0}^2}{b_{1,0}^2} n^2 + \frac{2b_{2,0}^2 - 2b_{2,0}b_{2,1}}{b_{1,0}^2} n + o(n). \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{P''_n(1)}{P_n(1)} = \frac{b_{3,0}}{2b_{1,0}} n^2 + \frac{3b_{3,0} - 2b_{3,1}}{2b_{1,0}} n + o(n)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, (7), (11) give

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n &= -\frac{b_{2,0}}{b_{1,0}} n - \frac{b_{2,0} - b_{2,1}}{b_{1,0}} + o(1) \\ &= \frac{m}{(m+1)\ln(m+1)}(n+1) - \frac{2}{m+1} + o(1) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n^2 &= -\frac{2b_{1,0}b_{2,0} + 2b_{1,0}b_{3,1} - 3b_{1,0}b_{3,0} + 4b_{2,0}^2 - 4b_{2,0}b_{2,1}}{2b_{1,0}^2} n + o(n) \\ &= \frac{m(m - \ln(m+1))}{(m+1)^2 \ln^2(m+1)} n + o(n) \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, since $b_{1,0}b_{3,0} - 2b_{2,0}^2 = 0$.

A consequence of our calculations is the following limit theorems for $\{U_m(n, k)\}$.

Theorem 2. Fix $m \geq 1$. The array $\{U_m(n, k) : n \geq 0, 0 \leq k \leq n\}$ satisfies a central limit theorem and a local limit theorem on \mathbb{R} with the above μ_n, σ_n^2 (given in (7), (12), (13)) and with $P_n = P_n(1)$ (given in (10)). ■

A result of Darroch [5] (see also [11; pp. 284–285]) implies that $U_m(n) = \max\{U_m(n, k) : 0 \leq k \leq n\}$ occurs for some k in the interval $[\mu_n - 1, \mu_n + 1]$ given in (7), (12). Hence (10), (13), the local limit theorem in Theorem 2 and logconcavity give the following.

Corollary 3. Fix $m \geq 1$. Then $U_m(n)$ occurs for some k in the interval $[\mu_n - 1, \mu_n + 1]$ and

$$U_m(n) \sim U_m(n, \lfloor \mu_n \rfloor) \sim m^{1/2}(m+1)^{1/m} [2\pi n(m - \ln(m+1))]^{-1/2} n! \alpha^{-n}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. ■

The array $\{V_m(n, k) : n \geq 0, 0 \leq k \leq n\}$ is handled similarly, hence, we merely state the results. First, (3) implies

$$V_m(n, k) = mkV_m(n-1, k-1) + (1+mk)V_m(n-1, k) \quad (14)$$

for $k, n \geq 1$. For $n \geq 0$, let

$$Q_n(w) = Q_{n,m}(w) = \sum_{k=0}^n V_m(n, k) w^k$$

so that $Q_0(w) = 1$, $Q_1(w) = 1 + mw$ and $Q_2(w) = 1 + (m^2 + 2m)w + 2m^2w^2$. Note that all coefficients of $Q_n(w)$ are positive integers. Then, (14) implies

$$Q_n(w) = (1 + mw)Q_{n-1}(w) + (mw + mw^2)Q'_{n-1}(w)$$

for $n \geq 1$. An argument nearly identical to Lemma 1 gives the following result which refines that of [2].

Lemma 4. Fix $m \geq 2$. Then all n roots of $Q_n(w) = Q_{n,m}(w)$ are distinct and in the interval $(-1, 0)$ for $n \geq 0$. Consequently, the sequence $V_m(n, 0), \dots, V_m(n, n)$ is strictly logconcave for $n \geq 0$. ■

Remark. All n roots of $Q_{n,1}(w)$ are distinct and in the interval $[-1, 0)$ for $n \geq 0$. Consequently, the sequence $V_1(n, 0), \dots, V_1(n, n)$ is strictly logconcave for $n \geq 0$.

Calculations nearly identical to Theorem 2 give the following limit theorems for $\{V_m(n, k)\}$.

Theorem 5. Fix $m \geq 1$. The array $\{V_m(n, k) : n \geq 0, 0 \leq k \leq n\}$ satisfies a central limit theorem and a local limit theorem on \mathbb{R} with

$$\mu_n = \frac{n}{2 \ln 2} + O(1) \quad , \quad \sigma_n^2 = \frac{1 - \ln 2}{4 \ln^2 2} n + o(n) \quad \text{and} \quad P_n = Q_n(1). \quad \blacksquare$$

Let $V_m(n) = \max \{V_m(n, k) : 0 \leq k \leq n\}$ and $\beta = (\ln 2)/m$.

Corollary 6. Fix $m \geq 1$. Then $V_m(n)$ occurs for some k in the interval $[\mu_n - 1, \mu_n + 1]$ and

$$V_m(n) \sim V_m(n, \lfloor \mu_n \rfloor) \sim 2^{1/m} [2\pi n \ln e/2]^{-1/2} n! \beta^{-n} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad \blacksquare$$

References

1. E.A. Bender. Central and local limit theorems applied to asymptotic enumeration. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **15** (1973), 91–111.
2. M. Benoumhani. On some numbers related to Whitney numbers of Dowling lattices, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **19** (1997), 106–116.
3. E.R. Canfield. Central and local limit theorems for the coefficients of polynomials of binomial type. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **23** (1977), 275–290.
4. L.H. Clark. Central and local limit theorems for descents and inversions of r -multipermutations, to appear in *Discrete Math.*
5. J.N. Darroch. On the distribution of the number of successes in independent trials, *Ann. Math. Stat.* **35** (1964), 1317–1321.
6. T.A. Dowling. A class of geometric lattices based on finite groups, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **14** (1973), 61–86; Erratum. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **15** (1973), 211.
7. R. Durrett. “Probability: Theory and Examples.” Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole, Pacific Grove, CA, 1991.
8. K. Engel. “Sperner Theory,” Cambridge University Press, New York, 1997.
9. L.H. Harper. Stirling behavior is asymptotically normal, *Ann. Math. Stat.* **38** (1966), 410–414.
10. A.I. Markushevich. “Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable,” Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1985.
11. J. Pitman. Probabilistic bounds on the coefficients of polynomials with only real zeros. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **77** (1997), 279–303.
12. G. Szegő. “Orthogonal Polynomials,” American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1975.