Creating new super edge-magic total labelings from old ones *

I Wayan Sudarsana¹, Edy Tri Baskoro², Dasa Ismaimuza¹, Hilda Assiyatun²

Department of Mathematics, Tadulako University Jalan Sukarno-Hatta Palu, Indonesia isudarsana203@yahoo.com, dasaismaimuza@yahoo.co.uk Department of Mathematics, Institut Teknologi Bandung Jalan Ganesha 10 Bandung, Indonesia {ebaskoro, hilda}@math.itb.ac.id

Abstract. In this paper, we study the properties of super edge-magic total graphs. In particular, we propose some algorithms to construct new super edge-magic total graphs from the old ones. We also construct a super edge-magic total labeling on certain disconnected graphs, namely $P_n \cup P_{n+1}$, $nP_2 \cup P_n$, and $nP_2 \cup P_{n+2}$.

Key words and phrases: edge-magic total labeling, super edge-magic total labeling, graph, dual labeling, the magic constant

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider finite undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges. V(G) and E(G) stand for the vertex set and edge set of graph G, respectively. We denote by P_n a path on n vertices. The general references for graph-theoretic ideas can be seen in [10] and [18].

We denote by (p,q)-graph G a graph with p vertices and q edges. An edge-magic total labeling on a (p,q)-graph G is a bijection $\lambda: V(G) \cup E(G) \to \{1,2,...,p+q\}$ with the property that, for each edge xy of G, $\lambda(x) + \lambda(xy) + \lambda(y) = k$, for a fixed positive integer k. Moreover, λ is a super edge-magic total labeling if it has the property that the vertex labels are the integers $1,2,\ldots,p$, the smallest possible labels.

A (p,q)-graph G is called edge-magic total (super edge-magic total) if there exists an edge-magic (super edge-magic, respectively) total labeling of G. We shall follow [19] to call $\lambda(x) + \lambda(xy) + \lambda(y)$ the edge sum of xy, and k the magic constant of the graph G.

^{*} Supported by Hibah Pekerti DP3M-DIKTI Indonesia, Contract Number: 337/P4T/DPPPM/HPTP/IV/2004

Edge-magic total graphs were first discussed by Kotzig and Rosa [13] (under the name of graph with magic valuation). Super edge-magic graphs were introduced by Enomoto et al. [4].

A number of classification studies on edge-magic total (resp. super edge-magic total) graphs has been intensively investigated. In [13] and [9] it is proved that every cycle C_n and caterpillar are edge-magic total. Kotzig and Rosa [14] showed that no complete graph K_n with n > 6 is edge-magic total and give some edge-magic total labeling for K_n , $3 \le n \le 6$, $n \ne 4$. Wallis et al. [19] showed that all paths P_n and all n-suns are edge-magic total. In [5] and [1] are exhibited the relationships between super edge-magic total labelings and other well studied classes of labelings (harmonius, cordial, graceful and antimagic).

Some conjectures remain open, namely that all trees are edge-magic total [13] (super edge-magic total [4]) and all wheels W_n are edge-magic total if $n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Enomoto et al. [4] have checked (by a computer) that their conjectures are true for all trees with less than or equal 15 vertices and wheels W_n for $n \leq 30$. Recently, Philips et al. [15] showed that a wheel W_n for $n \equiv 0$ or 1 (mod 4) is edge-magic total. Slamin et al. [17] proved that for $n \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$, every wheel W_n has an edge-magic total labeling.

For disconnected graphs, in [13] there is proved that nP_2 is super edgemagic total if and only if n is odd. Kotzig [12] showed that if G is a trichromatic graph and G is edge-magic total then a disjoint union of n (n odd) identical copies of G is also edge-magic total. In particular, if $G = P_3$ then graph nP_3 , for n odd, is edge-magic total. In [20] it is shown that nP_3 is super edge-magic total when n is odd. Yegnanarayanan [20] also conjectured that for all n, nP_3 has an edge-magic total labeling. Baskoro and Ngurah [2] proved that nP_3 is super edge-magic total for n even, $n \ge 4$.

Figueroa-Centeno et al. [6] showed that $P_3 \cup nP_2$ is super edge-magic total for every $n \geq 1$; $P_2 \cup P_n$ is super edge-magic total for every $n \geq 3$; $mK_{1,n}$ is super edge-magic total for m odd and for every $n \geq 1$; and graph mP_n is super edge-magic total for any m and for any odd n. The super edge-magic total characterization of the $nP_3 \cup kP_2$ and $K_{1,m} \cup K_{1,n}$ can be found in [11]. More comprehensive information on edge-magic total and super edge-magic total graphs is given in [8].

The next theorem appeared in [3] will be useful in the next section.

Theorem 1 [3] Let a (p,q)-graph G be a super edge-magic total. Let λ be a super edge-magic total labeling of G with the magic constant k. Then, the labeling λ' defined:

$$\lambda'(x) = p + 1 - \lambda(x), \ \forall x \in V(G), \ and$$

$$\lambda'(xy) = 2p + q + 1 - \lambda(xy), \ \forall xy \in E(G)$$

is a super edge-magic total labeling with the magic constant $k^{'}=4p+q+3-k$.

The labeling λ' is called a dual super labeling of λ on G.

2 Main Results

In this section, we construct new super edge-magic total labelings from old ones. We also give a construction of a super edge-magic total labeling on finite disconnected graphs, especially $P_n \cup P_{n+1}$, $nP_2 \cup P_n$, and $nP_2 \cup P_{n+2}$.

2.1 Expanding super-edge magic total graphs

In this section we give an expansion technique on super edge-magic total graphs. Let us start with a necessary and sufficient condition for a graph of being super edge-magic total in the following lemma.

Lemma 1. [5] A (p,q)-graph G is super edge-magic total if and only if there exists a bijective function $f:V(G) \to \{1,2,...,p\}$ such that the set $S = \{f(u) + f(v) : uv \in E(G)\}$ consists of q consecutive integers. In such a case, f extends to a super edge-magic total labeling of G with the magic constant k = p + q + s, where s = min(S) and $S = \{k - (p + 1), k - (p + 2), \cdots, k - (p + q)\}$.

Furthermore, in order to know what possible values of the magic constant for graph G to be super edge-magic total, E.T. Baskoro et al. [3] proved the following lemma.

Lemma 2. [3] Let a (p,q)-graph G be super edge-magic total. Then, the magic constant k of G satisfies $p+q+3 \le k \le 3p$.

Theorem 2 Let $p \ge 2$. Let a (p,q)-graph G be a super edge-magic total with the magic constant k and $k \ge 2p+2$. Let m be any positive integer and $m \le 3p+2-k$. Then a new graph, formed from G by adding exactly one vertex adjacent to m distinct vertices $z_1, z_2, ..., z_m$ of G labeled by k-2p-1, k-2p, ..., k-2p-2+m respectively, is super edge-magic total with the magic constant $k_1 = k+m+1$.

Proof. By Lemma 1 there exists a vertex labeling λ on G such that $S = \{k - (p+q), k - (p+q-1), ..., k - (p+1)\}$. Let x_0 be the new vertex. Let G_1 be the new graph. In G_1 , define a vertex labeling in the following way.

$$\lambda_1(u) = \lambda(u) \text{ for } u \in V(G),$$

 $\lambda_1(x_0) = p + 1.$

Let $S_1 = \{\lambda_1(u) + \lambda_1(v) : \forall uv \in E(G_1)\}$. Clearly, $S_1 = S \cup \{\lambda_1(x_0) + \lambda(z_i) : 1 \le i \le m\}$, where x_0z_i are the new edges. So, we have $S_1 = \{k - (p + q), ..., k - (p + 1)\} \cup \{k - (p + 1) + 1, ..., k - (p + 1) + m\}$. This implies that the new graph is super edge-magic total with the magic constant $k_1 = k - (p + q) + p + 1 + q + m = k + m + 1$ (by Lemma 1). The theorem holds only if the highest label of the vertex adjacent to x_0 is less than or equal to p, namely $k - 2p - 2 + m \le p$. So, $m \le 3p + 2 - k$.

If the magic constant k of a (p,q)-graph G is exactly 2p+2, then m can be equal to p (by Theorem 2). In this case, we add one vertex adjacent to all vertices of G. The resulting graph has the magic constant k+p+1. Thus, the following corollary holds.

Corollary 3 Let a (p,q)-graph G be a super edge-magic total with the magic constant k=2p+2. Then, a new graph formed from G by adding one vertex adjacent to all vertices of G is a super edge-magic total with the magic constant $k_1 = k + p + 1$.

Theorem 3 Let a (p,q)-graph G be a super edge-magic total with the magic constant k and $k \geq 2p + 2$. If n is odd and n = 6p + 5 - 2k then the new graph, formed from G and path P_n by joining all vertices of P_n to a vertex x_0 of G labeled by k - 2p - 1, is super edge-magic total with the magic constant $k_1 = k + 3n - 1$.

Proof. By Lemma 1 there exists a vertex labeling λ on G such that $S = \{k - (p+q), k - (p+q-1), \cdots, k - (p+1)\}$. Let P_n be the path on n vertices $u_1, u_2, ..., u_n$. Let G_1 be a new graph formed from G and P_n . Define a vertex labeling $\lambda_1 : V(G_1) \to \{1, 2, ..., p, p+1, ..., p+n\}$ in the following way.

$$\lambda_1(u_i) = \left\{ egin{aligned} p + rac{i+1}{2}, & ext{for odd } i \ \\ p + rac{n+1+i}{2}, & ext{for even } i \end{aligned}
ight. orall u_i \in V(P_n) \ \lambda_1(y) = \lambda(y), \, orall y \in V(G).$$

Let $S_1 = \{\lambda_1(x) + \lambda_1(y) : \forall xy \in E(G_1)\}$. Clearly, $S_1 = S \cup \{\lambda(x_0) + \lambda_1(u_i) : 1 \le i \le n\} \cup \{\lambda_1(u_i) + \lambda_1(u_{i+1}) : 1 \le i \le n-1\}$, where x_0u_i are the edges connecting the path with graph G, and u_iu_{i+1} are the edges of P_n . Since n = 6p + 5 - 2k, then it can be easily verified that $S_1 = \{k - (p + q), \dots, k - (p+1), k - (p+1) + 1, \dots, 5p + 4 - k, 5p + 5 - k, \dots, 5p + 4 - k + n - 1\}$. By Lemma 1, G_1 is super edge-magic total with the magic constant $k_1 = k - (p+q) + p + n + q + n + n - 1 = k + 3n - 1$.

Theorem 4 Let a(p,q)-graph G be a super edge-magic total with the magic constant k and $k \ge 2p + 2$. If n is even and n = 6p + 4 - 2k then the new

graph, formed from G and path P_n by joining all vertices of P_n to a vertex x_0 of G labeled by k-2p-1, is super edge-magic total with the magic constant $k_1 = k + 3n - 1$.

Proof. Let G_1 be the graph formed from G and P_n . Construct the bijection $\lambda_1: V(G_1) \to \{1, 2, ..., p, p+1, ..., p+n\}$ as follows.

$$\lambda_1(u_i) = \left\{ egin{aligned} p + rac{i+1}{2}, & ext{for odd } i \ & \forall u_i \in V(P_n) \end{aligned}
ight.$$
 $\lambda_1(y) = \lambda(y), \, orall y \in V(G).$

We can proceed analogously as in the proof Theorem 3 and similarly show that the labeling λ_1 extends to a super edge-magic total labeling of G_1 .

Theorem 5 Let a(p,q)-graph G be a super edge-magic total with the magic constant k and $k \geq 2p + 2$. If n = 3p + 3 - k then the new graph, formed from G and star $K_{1,n-1}$ by joining all vertices of $K_{1,n-1}$ to a vertex x_0 of G labeled by k - 2p - 1, is super edge-magic total with the magic constant $k_1 = k + 3n - 1$.

Proof. By Lemma 1 there exists a vertex labeling λ on G such that $S = \{k - (p+q), k - (p+q-1), ..., k - (p+1)\}$. Let $K_{1,n-1}$ has the vertex-set $\{u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_n\}$ with the edge-set $\{u_1u_i : i = 2, 3, \cdots, n\}$. Let G_1 be the new graph formed from G and $K_{1,n-1}$ by joining all vertices of $K_{1,n-1}$ to a vertex x_0 of G. In G_1 , define a vertex labeling in the following way.

$$\lambda_1(u_i) = p + i, \forall u_i \in V(K_{1,n-1}), \lambda_1(y) = \lambda(y), \forall y \in V(G).$$

Let $S_1 = \{\lambda_1(x) + \lambda_1(y) : \forall xy \in E(G_1)\}$. Clearly, $S_1 = S \cup \{\lambda_1(x_0) + \lambda_1(u_i) : 1 \le i \le n\} \cup \{\lambda_1(u_1) + \lambda_1(u_i) : 2 \le i \le n\}$, where x_0u_i are the edges connecting the star with graph G, and u_1u_i are the edges of $K_{1,n-1}$. Since n = 3p + 3 - k then k - (p+1) + n = 2p + 2, and so $S_1 = \{k - (p+q), ..., k - (p+1), k - (p+1) + 1, ..., 2p + 2, 2p + 3, ..., 2p + 2 + n - 1\}$. Thus, by Lemma 1, G_1 is super edge-magic total with the magic constant $k_1 = k - (p+q) + p + n + q + n + n - 1 = k + 3n - 1$.

2.2 $P_n \cup P_{n+1}$

In this section we prove that graph $P_n \cup P_{n+1}$ is super edge-magic total. We denote that

$$V(P_n \cup P_{n+1}) = \{u_{1,i} | 1 \le i \le n\} \cup \{u_{2,j} | 1 \le j \le n+1\}, \text{ and } E(P_n \cup P_{n+1}) = \{e_{1,i} | 1 \le i \le n-1\} \cup \{e_{2,i} | 1 \le j \le n\}$$

where $e_{1,i} = u_{1,i}u_{1,i+1}$, for $1 \le i \le n-1$, and $e_{2,j} = u_{2,j}u_{2,j+1}$, for $1 \le j \le n$.

Theorem 6 For every odd n and $n \geq 3$, graph $P_n \cup P_{n+1}$ has a super edge-magic total labeling with the magic constant k = 5n + 4.

Proof. Label the vertices and edges of $P_n \cup P_{n+1}$ in the following way

$$\lambda(u_{1,i}) = \begin{cases} \frac{i+1}{2}, & \text{for i=1,3,...,n} \\ n+2+\frac{i}{2}, & \text{for i=2,4,...,n-1} \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda(u_{2,j}) = \begin{cases} \frac{n+j}{2}+1, & \text{for j=1,3,...,n} \\ n+2, & \text{for j=n+1} \\ \frac{3(n+1)+j}{2}, & \text{for j=2,4,...,n-1} \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda(e_{1,i}) = \lambda(u_{1,i}u_{1,i+1}) = 4n+1-i, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

$$\lambda(e_{2,j}) = \lambda(u_{2,j}u_{2,j+1}) = \begin{cases} 3n+1-j, & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \\ 3n+1, & \text{for j=n} \end{cases}$$

Clearly,

$$\lambda(u_{1,i}) + \lambda(u_{1,i}u_{1,i+1}) + \lambda(u_{1,i+1}) = 5n + 4 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \text{ and } \lambda(u_{2,j}) + \lambda(u_{2,j}u_{2,j+1}) + \lambda(u_{2,j+1}) = 5n + 4 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n.$$
 Thus the labeling λ is super edge-magic total.

By Theorem 1, we have

Corollary 4 For every odd n and $n \geq 3$, the graph $P_n \cup P_{n+1}$ has a super edge-magic total labeling with the magic constant k = 5n + 2.

2.3 $nP_2 \cup P_n$

In this section we prove that graph $nP_2 \cup P_n$ is super edge-magic total. The graph $nP_2 \cup P_n$ is a disjoint union of nP_2 and P_n . We denote that

$$V(nP_2 \cup P_n) = \{u_{1,i}, u_{2,i} | 1 \le i \le n\} \cup \{u_{3,j} | 1 \le j \le n\}, \text{ and } E(nP_2 \cup P_n) = \{e_{1,i} | 1 \le i \le n\} \cup \{e_{2,j} | 1 \le j \le n - 1\}$$

where $e_{1,i} = u_{1,i}u_{2,i}$, for $1 \le i \le n$ and $e_{2,j} = u_{3,j}u_{3,j+1}$, for $1 \le j \le n-1$.

Theorem 7 For every $n \geq 2$, the graph $nP_2 \cup P_n$ has a super edge-magic total labeling with the magic constant k = 7n + 1.

Proof. Label the vertices and edges of $nP_2 \cup P_n$ in the following way

$$\begin{array}{l} \lambda(u_{1,i}) = i, \ \text{for} \ 1 \leq i \leq n \\ \lambda(u_{2,i}) = 2n+i, \ \text{for} \ 1 \leq i \leq n \\ \lambda(u_{3,j}) = n+j, \ \text{for} \ 1 \leq j \leq n \\ \lambda(e_{1,i}) = \lambda(u_{1,i}u_{2,i}) = 5n-2i+1, \ \text{for} \ 1 \leq i \leq n. \\ \lambda(e_{2,j}) = \lambda(u_{3,j}u_{3,j+1}) = 5n-2j, \ \text{for} \ 1 \leq j \leq n-1. \end{array}$$
 Clearly, for every $1 \leq i \leq n, \ \lambda(u_{1,i}) + \lambda(u_{1,i}u_{2,i}) + \lambda(u_{2,i}) = 7n+1 \ \text{and}$ for every $1 \leq j \leq n-1, \ \lambda(u_{3,j}) + \lambda(u_{3,j}u_{3,j+1}) + \lambda(u_{3,j+1}) = 7n+1.$ Thus the labeling λ is super edge-magic total.

$2.4 \quad nP_2 \cup P_{n+2}$

In this section we show that the graph $nP_2 \cup P_{n+2}$ is super edge-magic total. We denote that

$$V(nP_2 \cup P_{n+2}) = \{u_{1,i}, u_{2,i} | 1 \le i \le n\} \cup \{u_{3,j} | 1 \le j \le n+2\}, \text{ and } E(nP_2 \cup P_{n+2}) = \{e_{1,i} | 1 \le i \le n\} \cup \{e_{2,i} | 1 \le j \le n+1\}$$

where $e_{1,i} = u_{1,i}u_{2,i}$, for $1 \le i \le n$ and $e_{2,j} = u_{3,j}u_{3,j+1}$, for $1 \le j \le n+1$.

Theorem 8 For every $n \ge 1$, the graph $nP_2 \cup P_{n+2}$ has a super edge-magic total labeling with the magic constant k = 7n + 6.

Proof. Label the vertices and edges of $nP_2 \cup P_{n+2}$ in the following way

```
\begin{array}{l} \lambda(u_{1,i}) \ = i, \ \text{for} \ 1 \leq i \leq n \\ \lambda(u_{2,i}) \ = 2n+i+2, \ \text{for} \ 1 \leq i \leq n \\ \lambda(u_{3,j}) \ = n+j, \ \text{for} \ 1 \leq j \leq n+2 \\ \lambda(e_{1,i}) \ = \lambda(u_{1,i}u_{2,i}) = 5n-2i+4, \ \text{for} \ 1 \leq i \leq n. \\ \lambda(e_{2,j}) \ = \lambda(u_{3,j}u_{3,j+1}) = 5n-2j+5, \ \text{for} \ 1 \leq j \leq n+1. \end{array} Clearly, \lambda(u_{1,i}) + \lambda(u_{1,i}u_{2,i}) + \lambda(u_{2,i}) = 7n+6 \ \text{for} \ 1 \leq i \leq n \ \text{and} \\ \lambda(u_{3,j}) + \lambda(u_{3,j}u_{3,j+1}) + \lambda(u_{3,j+1}) = 7n+6 \ \text{for} \ 1 \leq j \leq n+1. \end{array} Thus the labeling \lambda is super edge-magic total.
```

References

- 1. M. Baca, Y. Lin, M. Miller and R. Simanjuntak, New constructions of magic and antimagic graph labelings, *Utilitas Math.* 60 (2001), 229-239.
- E.T. Baskoro and A.A.G. Ngurah, On super edge-magic total labeling of nP₃, Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl. 37 (2003), 82-87.

- 3. E. T. Baskoro, I W. Sudarsana and Y. M. Cholily, How to construct new super edge-magic graphs from some old ones, to appear in MIHMI.
- 4. H. Enomoto, A.S. Llado, T. Nakamigawa and G. Ringel, Super edge-magic graphs, SUT J. Math. 34 (1998), 105-109.
- R.M. Figueroa-Centeno, R. Ichishima and F.A. Muntaner-Batle, The place of super edge-magic labelings among other classes of labelings, Discrete Math. 231 (2001), 153-168.
- R. M. Figueroa-Centeno, R. Ichishima and F.A. Muntaner-Batle, On super edge-magic graphs, Ars Combin., 64 (2002) 81-95.
- Y. Fukuchi, A recursive theorem for super edge-magic labelings of trees, SUT J. Math. 36 (2000), 279-285.
- J. A. Gallian, A dynamic survey of graph labelings, Electronic Journal Combinatorics, #DS6, 2003.
- 9. R. D. Godbold and P. J. Slater, All cycles are edge-magic, Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl. 22 (1998).
- N. Hartsfield and G. Ringel, Pearls in Graph Theory, Academic Press, San Diego (1994).
- J. Ivanco and I. Luckanicova, On edge-magic disconnected graphs, SUT J. Math. 38 (2002), 175-184.
- 12. A. Kotzig, On Magic valuations of trichromatic graphs, report of the CRM (1971), CRM-148.
- A. Kotzig and A. Rosa, Magic valuations of finite graphs, Canad. Math. Bull. 13 (1970), 451-461.
- A. Kotzig, and A. Rosa, Magic valuations of complete graphs, Centre de Recherches Mathematiques, Universite de Montreal (1972), CRM-175.
- N.C.K. Philips, R.S. Rees and W.D. Wallis, Edge-magic total labelings of wheels, Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl. 31 (2001), 21-30.
- G. Ringel and A. S. Llado, Another tree conjecture, Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl. 18 (1996), 83-85.
- Slamin, M. Baca, Y. Lin, M. Miller and R. Simanjuntak, Edge-magic total labelings of wheels, fans and friendship graphs, *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.* 35 (2002), 89-98.
- 18. W. D. Wallis, Magic Graphs, Birkhauser, Boston Basel- Berlin, (2001).
- 19. W. D. Wallis, E.T. Baskoro, M. Miller and Slamin, Edge-magic total labelings, Australasian Journal of Combinatorics 22 (2000), 177-190.
- 20. V. Yegnanarayanan, On magic graphs, Utilitas Math. 59 (2001), 181-204.