

Biclique Partitions of the Complement of a Directed Cycle

D. A. Gregory

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Queen's University
Kingston, Ontario K7L 3N6
CANADA

Abstract. By a refinement of a rank argument used to prove a directed version of the Graham-Pollak theorem, we show that n bicliques are needed to partition the arc-set of the complement of a directed cycle.

1. Introduction.

Let \vec{G} be a simple directed graph (no loops or multiple arcs) on n labelled vertices. A *directed complete bipartite subgraph* or *biclique* of \vec{G} is a subgraph $\vec{K}(X_1, Y_1)$ consisting of two disjoint subsets X_1, Y_1 of the vertex set together with all arcs $x \rightarrow y$ where $x \in X, y \in Y$. The *biclique partition number* or *bi-content* $bcp(\vec{G})$ of \vec{G} is the minimum number of bicliques whose arc-sets partition the arc-set of \vec{G} .

To each partition of the arc-set of \vec{G} into k bicliques $\vec{K}(X_i, Y_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ we may associate a factorization

$$A = XY^t = \sum_{i=1}^k X_i Y_i^t \quad (1)$$

of its adjacency matrix A into $n \times k$ $(0, 1)$ -matrices X and Y . Here the subsets X_i, Y_i of the vertex set are identified with the i th $(0, 1)$ -column vectors of X, Y , respectively, and $X_i Y_i^t$ is the adjacency matrix of $\vec{K}(X_i, Y_i)$. For a more detailed discussion of these notions, including variations appropriate for (undirected) graphs and bipartite graphs, see Orlin [9]. For recent work on matrix factorizations, see [3, 5, 6, 8, 11].

Since the (real) rank $r(A)$ of A is a lower bound on k in (1), and since the n out-claws (or the n in-claws) at the vertices partition the arc-set, we have the following bounds [9; 6.5, 7.1]:

$$r(A) \leq bcp(\vec{G}) \leq n. \quad (2)$$

For example, let $I = I_n$ be the $n \times n$ identity matrix and $J = J_n$ the $n \times n$ all 1's matrix. Then $J - I$ is the adjacency matrix of \vec{K}_n , the complete directed graph which has one arc in each direction between each pair of n distinct vertices. When $n \geq 2$, $J - I$ has rank n . Thus (2) implies the following directed version of the Graham-Pollak theorem [7, 13]:

Theorem 1. [2, 10] *The arc-set of \vec{K}_n , $n \geq 2$ can be partitioned by n bicliques, and no fewer.*

Let \vec{D}_n be the complement in the complete directed graph \vec{K}_n of a spanning directed cycle. Using a refinement of the rank argument above, we will prove the following theorem. This resolves a previous conjecture [4, p.141].

Theorem 2. *The arc-set of \vec{D}_n , $n \geq 3$, can be partitioned into n bicliques, and no fewer.*

2. Proof of Theorem 2.

If $S = S_n$ is the $n \times n$ upward-shift permutation matrix, we may label the vertices of \vec{D}_n so that $D = D_n = J - I - S$ is its adjacency matrix. By (1), it is sufficient to prove the following matrix version of Theorem 2.

Theorem 3. *If $D_n = XY^t$ where X and Y are $n \times k$ $(0, 1)$ -matrices and $n \geq 3$, then $k \geq n$.*

The next lemma implies that D_n has full rank if n is odd, and rank $n - 1$ if n is even. Consequently, Theorem 3 is immediate if n is odd. Also, $k \geq n - 1$ if n is even.

Lemma 1. *If $n \geq 3$ is odd, D_n is nonsingular. If $n \geq 4$ is even, then the nullspace of D is spanned by the vector $u = [1, -1, 1, -1, \dots, 1, -1]^t$.*

Proof: If $Dx = 0$, then $JDx = (n - 2)Jx = 0$ so $Jx = 0$. Thus, $(I + S)x = (J - D)x = 0$. Therefore, $x_1 = -x_n$ and $x_i = -x_{i-1}$, $2 \leq i \leq n$, so $x_1 = (-1)^n x_1$. ■

To prove Theorem 3 in the singular case, it is sufficient to show that whenever $D_n = XY^t$ where X, Y are $n \times k$ $(0, 1)$ -matrices, then $D_n - xy^t$ has rank at least $n - 1$ for some columns $x = X_i$ and $y = Y_i$ of X and Y . For then $D - X_i Y_i^t = \sum X_j Y_j^t$ where the sum is taken over all j such that $j \neq i$, $1 \leq j \leq k$. This implies that $k - 1 \geq n - 1$ and so $k \geq n$. We need the following lemma and propositions.

Lemma 2. [12] *If A and B are $n \times k$ matrices, then*

$$\det(I_n + AB^t) = \det(I_k + B^t A).$$

If x and y are $n \times 1$ $(0, 1)$ -matrices, we let $|x|$, $|y|$ denote the number of 1's in x , y respectively. Thus, $|x| |y|$ is the number of 1's in xy^t .

Proposition 1. *Let x and y be $n \times 1$ $(0, 1)$ -matrices. Then the characteristic polynomial of $D_n - xy^t$ is*

$$\frac{(\lambda + 1)^n - (-1)^n}{(\lambda + 2)^2} (|x| |y| - (n - \lambda - 2)(\lambda + 2)) - \frac{(n - \lambda - 2)}{(\lambda + 2)} y^t S(\lambda) x$$

where

$$S(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j (\lambda + 1)^{n-1-j} S^j.$$

Proof: Let $C(\lambda) = (\lambda + 1)I + S$, $1 = [1, 1, \dots, 1]^t$. The characteristic polynomial of $D - xy^t$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\lambda I - D + xy^t) &= \det(C(\lambda) - 11^t + xy^t) \\ &= \det C(\lambda) \det(I_n + C(\lambda)^{-1}[-1, x][1, y]^t) \\ &= \det C(\lambda) \det(I_2 + [1, y]^t C(\lambda)^{-1}[-1, x]), \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2. Now $C(\lambda)1 = (\lambda + 2)1$, so $C(\lambda)^{-1}1 = \frac{1}{(\lambda+2)}1$. Thus, the expression above equals

$$\begin{aligned} \det C(\lambda) \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{n}{\lambda+2} & |x|/(\lambda+2) \\ -|y|/(\lambda+2) & 1 + y^t C(\lambda)^{-1}x \end{pmatrix} \\ = \frac{\det C(\lambda)}{(\lambda+2)^2} (|x| |y| - (n - \lambda - 2)(\lambda + 2)(1 + y^t C(\lambda)^{-1}x)) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\det C(\lambda)$ involves only two elementary products, it equals $(\lambda + 1)^n - (-1)^n$. Since $C(\lambda)S(\lambda) = ((\lambda + 1)^n - (-1)^n)I$, the above expression simplifies to that given in the statement of the proposition. ■

Proposition 2. *Let x and y be $n \times 1$ $(0, 1)$ -matrices. If $D_n - xy^t$ has rank less than $n - 1$, then $|x| |y|$ is divisible by $2(n - 2)$.*

Proof: By Lemma 1, n is even and $D - xy^t$ has rank $n - 2$. Since the nullspace of $D - xy^t$ has dimension 2, we may choose an $n \times 1$ matrix $u \neq 0$ so that $(D - xy^t)u = 0$ and $y^t u = 0$. Thus, $Du = 0$ and so, by Lemma 1, we may take $u = [1, -1, 1, -1, \dots, 1, -1]^t$. A similar lemma and argument for D^t implies that $x^t u = 0$ as well. Thus, x and y satisfy the following *balanced subscript property*:

The number of odd subscripts at which an entry is 1 is equal to the number of even subscripts at which an entry is 1.

Since $D - xy^t$ has rank $n - 2$, the constant coefficient and the coefficient of λ in the characteristic polynomial must be 0. Putting $\lambda = 0$ in the characteristic polynomial gives $y^t S(0)x = 0$. (We already know this since $S(0) = uu^t$). Since the coefficient of λ is 0, taking the derivative of the characteristic polynomial and letting λ approach 0 gives:

$$\frac{n}{4}(|x| |y| - 2(n - 2)) - \frac{(n - 2)}{2} y^t S'(0)x = 0$$

where $S'(0)$ is the circulant matrix with first row equal to $[(n-1), -(n-2), (n-3), \dots, -2, 1, 0]$. Thus, $|x| |y| = 2(n-2)(1 + \alpha/n)$ where $\alpha = y^t S'(0)x$.

To complete the proof, it is sufficient to show that $\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. Because of the balanced property of the odd and even subscripts of the 1 entries of x and y , we need only show that for $0 \leq i, j, r, s \leq n/2$,

$$(e_{2i}^t + e_{2j+1}^t)S'(0)(e_{2r} + e_{2s+1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

Here e_i denotes the i th standard basis vector. Since the i, j entry of $S'(0)$ is $(-1)^{i-j}(i-j-1)$ modulo n , the expression above is congruent to $(2i-2r-1) - (2i-2s-1-1) - (2j+1-2r-1) + (2j+1-2s-1-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$.

■

Proposition 2 implies that if x and y are $n \times 1$ $(0, 1)$ -matrices, then $D_n - xy^t$ must have rank at least $n-1$ whenever xy^t has less than $2(n-2)$ ones. Since D_n has $n(n-2)$ ones, this must be the case for some columns $x = X_i$ and $y = Y_i$ of any matrix factorization $D_n = XY^t$. Thus, by the remark following Lemma 1, Theorem 3 is proved.

Remarks.

1. We note that $D_n - xy^t$ can have rank $n-2$: if $x = [1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]^t$ and $y = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1]^t$ then $D_{10} - xy^t$ has rank 8.
2. A result of Bridges and Ryser [1, Theorem 1.2] (see also [4]) implies that if n is odd and $D = XY^t$ where X and Y are $n \times n$ $(0, 1)$ -matrices, then X and Y each have constant line sums. The following example shows that this need not be the case if n is even.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

References

1. W.G. Bridges and H.J. Ryser, *Combinatorial designs and related systems*, Journal of Algebra 13 (1969), 432-446.
2. F.R.K. Chung, R.L. Graham, P.M. Winkler, *On the addressing problem for directed graphs*, Graphs and Combinatorics 1 (1983), 41-50.
3. D. de Caen, *Survey of binary factorizations of non-negative integer matrices*, J. Comb. Math. and Comb. Computing 2 (1987), 105-110.
4. D. de Caen, D.A. Gregory, *On the decomposition of a directed graph into complete bipartite subgraphs*, Ars Combinatoria 23B (1987), 139-146.
5. D. de Caen, D.A. Gregory, *Factorizations of symmetric designs*, J. of Comb. Theory, Ser. A 49 (1988), 323-337.
6. D.A. Gregory, K.F. Jones, J.R. Lundgren, N.J. Pullman, *Biclique coverings of regular bigraphs and minimum semiring ranks of regular matrices*. (to appear).
7. R.L. Graham, H.O. Pollak, *On embedding graphs in squashed cubes*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 303 (1970), 99-110, Springer, New York.
8. K.F. Jones, J.R. Lundgren, J.S. Maybee, *Clique covers of digraphs II*, Congressus Numerantium 48 (1985), 211-218.
9. J. Orlin, *Contentment in graph theory*, Indag. Math. 39 (1977), 406-424.
10. D. Pritikin, *Applying a proof of Tverberg to complete bipartite decompositions of digraphs and multigraphs*, J. of Graph Theory 10 (1986), 197-201.
11. N. Pullman, M. Stanford, *The biclique numbers of regular bigraphs*, Congressus Numerantium 56 (1987), 237-249.
12. J. Schmid, *A remark on characteristic polynomials*, Amer. Math. Monthly 77 (1970), 998.
13. H. Tverberg, *On the decomposition of K_n into complete bipartite graphs*, J. Graph Theory 6 (1982), 493-494.