An Upper Bound for the k-Tuple Domination Number

E. J. Cockayne
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Victoria
P. O. Box 3045
Victoria BC V8W 3P4 CANADA

e_cockayne@hotmail.com

A. G. Thomason

Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics University of Cambridge Cambridge CB3 0WB ENGLAND

a.g.thomason@dpmms.cam.ac.uk

Abstract

We show that the double domination number of an n-vertex, isolate-free graph with minimum degree δ is bounded above by $n(\ln(\delta+1)+\ln\delta+1)/\delta$. This result improves a previous bound obtained by J. Harant and M. A. Henning [On double domination in graphs, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory 25 (2005), 29-34]. Further, we show that for fixed k and large δ the k-tuple domination number is at most $n(\ln\delta+(k-1+o(1))\ln\ln\delta)/\delta$, a bound that is essentially best possible.

Keywords: double domination, k-tuple domination, probabilistic method AMS Subject Classification Number 2000: 05C69

1 Introduction

A vertex subset D of a (simple) graph G is k-tuple dominating if the closed neighbourhood of each vertex contains at least k vertices in D; that is, each vertex in D has at least k-1 neighbours in D and each vertex outside D has at least k neighbours in D. Such sets exist only for graphs of minimum degree at least k-1. The k-tuple domination number of G, denoted by $\gamma_{\times k}(G)$, is the smallest cardinality of a k-tuple dominating set of G.

The study of k-tuple domination was initiated by Harary and Haynes [3]. References to subsequent work on this topic may be found in [2, 5]. For background on domination the reader is referred to [4].

For the case k=2, when k-tuple domination is also called double domination, Harant and Henning [2] proved that $\gamma_{\times 2}(G) \leq n(\ln(\overline{d}+1) + \ln \delta + 1)/\delta$ for a graph G of order n, minimum degree $\delta \geq 1$ and average degree \overline{d} . Here we improve this bound, replacing \overline{d} by δ . We also give a bound on $\gamma_{\times k}(G)$ that is asymptotically correct.

2 The bound

Here is the main result of the paper.

Theorem 1 Let G be an n-vertex graph of minimum degree δ .

- If $\delta \geq 1$, then $\gamma_{\times 2}(G) \leq n(\ln(\delta+1) + \ln \delta + 1)/\delta$.
- If k is fixed and δ is large, then $\gamma_{\times k}(G) \leq n(\ln \delta + (k-1+o(1)) \ln \ln \delta)/\delta$.

It is easily checked, by considering suitable random graphs, that the ordinary domination number (equivalent to $\gamma_{\times 1}$) can be at least of order $(n/\delta) \ln \delta$ (see Alon [1]), and so in this sense the second bound in the theorem is tight.

The theorem follows from the next lemma, which has a probabilistic proof, as do those given in [1] and [2].

Lemma 2 Let G be a graph of minimum degree $\delta \geq k-1$ and let $0 \leq p \leq 1$. Then

$$\gamma_{\times k}(G) \leq n \left\{ p + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (k-i) {\delta+1 \choose i} p^i (1-p)^{\delta+1-i} \right\}.$$

Proof. For each vertex $v \in G$, pick a set N_v comprising v and δ of its neighbours, so $|N_v| = \delta + 1$. Any set D of vertices with $|D \cap N_v| \ge k$ for every v is then k-tuple dominating. Form a random subset X of the vertices of G by independently placing each vertex into X with probability p. Now define the sets $V_i = \{v \in G : |N_v \cap X| = i\}$ for $0 \le i \le k - 1$. Form the set X_i by placing within it k - i members of $N_v \setminus X$ for each $v \in V_i$. Note that $|X_i| \le (k-i)|V_i|$. Now the set $D = X \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^{k-1} X_i$ is a k-tuple-dominating set. Since there is a choice of X for which |D| has at most its expected

value E(|D|), it follows that $\gamma_{\times k}(G) \leq E(|D|)$, and by the linearity of expectation we have

$$\gamma_{\times k}(G) \le E(|D|) \le E(|X|) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} E(|X_i|) \le E(|X|) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (k-i)E(|V_i|). \tag{1}$$

If M is a random subset of a finite set N, then the expectation of the random variable |M| is given by $E(|M|) = \sum_{j \in M} P(j \in M)$. Now for each vertex $v \in G$, we have $P(v \in X) = p$, $P(v \in V_i) = {\delta+1 \choose i} p^i (1-p)^{\delta+1-i}$. We conclude that

$$E(|X|) \le np$$
 and $E(|V_i|) \le n {\delta+1 \choose i} p^i (1-p)^{\delta+1-i}$,

which together with (1) completes the proof of the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 1. Since $1 - p \le e^{-p}$, Lemma 2 implies

$$\gamma_{\times 2}(G) \le np + n(2 + p(\delta - 1))(1 - p)^{\delta} \le np + n(1 + \delta)e^{-p\delta}$$
,

and taking $p = (\ln(\delta+1) + \ln \delta)/\delta$ we obtain the first bound of the theorem.

For the second bound, let $\epsilon>0$ and $p=(\ln\delta+(k-1+\epsilon)\ln\ln\delta)/(\delta-k+2)$. Then from Lemma 2 we obtain

$$\gamma_{\times k}(G) \le n \left(p + k \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} ((\delta+1)p)^i (1-p)^{\delta+1-i} \right)$$

 $\le n \left(p + k^2 ((\delta+1)p)^{k-1} e^{-p(\delta-k+2)} \right),$

and since $((\delta+1)p)^{k-1}e^{-p(\delta-k+2)} = (1+o(1))(\ln \delta)^{k-1}(\ln \delta)^{-(k-1+\epsilon)}\delta^{-1} < \epsilon/\delta$ if δ is large, we have $\gamma_{\times k}(G) \leq n(p+k^2\epsilon/\delta)$. Now this holds for any given $\epsilon > 0$ provided δ is large, so the theorem is proved.

Acknowledgement

The first author gratefully acknowledges research support from the Canadian Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council.

References

[1] N. Alon, Transversal numbers of uniform hypergraphs, *Graphs Combin*. 6 (1990), 1-4.

- [2] J. Harant and M. A. Henning, On double domination in graphs. *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* **25** (2005), 29-34.
- [3] F. Harary and T. W. Haynes, Double domination in graphs. Ars Combin. 55 (2000), 201-213.
- [4] T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi and P. J. Slater, Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [5] C. S. Liao and G. J. Chang, k-tuple domination in graphs, *Inform. Process. Lett.* 87 (2003), 45-50.