

# On describing the incidence matrix of a finite projective plane via orthogonal latin squares and via a digraph complete set of latin squares

Claude Levesque

Département de Mathématiques et de Statistique  
Université Laval, Québec, Canada G1K 7P4  
cl@mat.ulaval.ca

*Dedicated to professor Mirka Miller on the occasion of her birthday*

**Abstract.** A fast direct method for obtaining the incidence matrix of a finite projective plane of order  $n$  via  $n - 1$  mutually orthogonal  $n \times n$  latin squares is described. Conversely,  $n - 1$  mutually orthogonal  $n \times n$  latin squares are directly exhibited from the incidence matrix of a projective plane of order  $n$ . A projective plane of order  $n$  can also be described via a digraph complete set of latin squares and a new procedure for doing it will also be described.

## 1. Introduction

A projective plane  $\Pi$  of order  $n$  consists of a collection  $\{\wp_1, \wp_2, \dots, \wp_{n^2+n+1}\}$  of points together with a collection  $\{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{n^2+n+1}\}$  of lines subject to the following three axioms (see p. 89 of [R]):

- (A1) Any two distinct points of  $\Pi$  are on one and only one common line of  $\Pi$ .
- (A2) Any two distinct lines of  $\Pi$  pass through one and only one common point of  $\Pi$ .
- (A3) There exist four distinct points of  $\Pi$ , no three of which are on the same line.

The third axiom guarantees that one does not deal with a degenerating projective plane with only one line, and allows to define a projective plane of order  $n$  without specifying that in practice there are  $n^2 + n + 1$  points and  $n^2 + n + 1$  lines.

The incidence matrix of  $\Pi$  will be a  $(n^2 + n + 1) \times (n^2 + n + 1)$  matrix  $\mathbf{F}$  where the  $(i, j)$  element of  $\mathbf{F}$  is defined by

$$\mathbf{F}(i, j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \wp_i \text{ is on } \mathcal{L}_j \text{ (namely, } \mathcal{L}_j \text{ is incident with } \wp_i), \\ 0 & \text{if } \wp_i \text{ is not on } \mathcal{L}_j \text{ (namely, } \mathcal{L}_j \text{ is not incident with } \wp_i). \end{cases}$$

This matrix reflects the facts that on each line there are exactly  $n + 1$  points and through each point pass exactly  $n + 1$  lines. Our definition of  $\mathbf{F}$  corresponds to the definition of  $\mathbf{F}^t$  (the transpose of  $\mathbf{F}$ ) given on page 286 of [D-K].

Before describing the content of the next sections, let us recall some definitions.

A **latin square of order  $n$** , also called a  $n \times n$  latin square, is a matrix  $A$  whose entries come from a set  $S$  of  $n$  elements no two of which appear on the same row nor on the same column. In this paper, we will take  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

Two  $n \times n$  latin squares  $A, B$  are said to be **mutually orthogonal** if the cardinality of the set of couples  $\{(A(i, j), B(i, j)) : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$  is exactly  $n^2$ .

A **digraph complete set of  $n \times n$  latin squares** is a set of  $n - 1$  latin squares  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_{n-1}$  having the following property: For all  $r, s \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  with  $r \neq s$ , the set of couples

$$\{(\bar{D}(i, r), \bar{D}(i, s)) : 1 \leq i \leq n - 1\}$$

obtained from the  $r$ -th and the  $s$ -th columns of the  $(n^2 - n) \times n$  matrix

$$\bar{D} = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 \\ D_2 \\ \vdots \\ D_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

is of cardinality  $n^2 - n$  (and excludes the set  $\{(j, j) : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ ).

It is known that out of a set of  $n - 1$  mutually orthogonal latin squares one can construct a digraph complete set of latin squares. Vice-versa, from a digraph complete set of latin squares, one can construct a set of  $n - 1$  mutually orthogonal latin squares. See page 289 of [D-K].

The first purpose of this paper is to exhibit a mechanical way of obtaining directly the *incidence matrix* of a finite projective plane of order  $n$  from  $n - 1$  mutually orthogonal  $n \times n$  latin squares. As a matter of fact, given the incidence matrix of a finite projective plane of order  $n$ , we can reverse the above procedure and exhibit directly  $n - 1$  mutually orthogonal  $n \times n$  latin squares. This is the content of Chapter 2.

The second purpose of this paper is to describe (in Chapter 3) a new direct method for exhibiting a digraph complete set of latin squares from the incidence matrix of a projective plane, and to give a procedure for doing the converse. The method is slightly different from the one described in pages 286-291 of [D-K], the latter method involving computations of permutation matrices.

Note in passing that the lines of a finite projective plane of order  $n$  can be used to form an error correcting code  $\mathcal{C}$ ; see Section 10.1 of [D-K]. Unfortunately, it is an open problem to describe the integers  $n$  for which finite projective planes of order  $n$  do exist, though some mathematicians conjecture that they exist if and only if  $n$  is a power of a prime.

In this paper, different matrices come into play:

- $I_m$  is the  $m \times m$  identity matrix;
- $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n-1}$  are  $n \times n$  matrices;
- $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_{n-1}$  are  $n \times n$  matrices;
- $C_j$  is a  $n \times n$  matrix with 1's in its  $j$ -th column and 0 elsewhere (for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ );
- $D_0$  is the  $n \times n$  matrix whose  $i$ -th row, for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , is  $(i \ i \ \dots \ i)$ ;
- $\mathcal{P}_{ij}$  is a  $n \times n$  permutation matrix (for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ );
- $\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{M}^{(1)}, \mathbf{M}^{(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{M}^{(n)}$  are  $n^2 \times (n+1)$  matrices;
- $\bar{\mathbf{M}}, \bar{\mathbf{M}}^{(1)}, \bar{\mathbf{M}}^{(2)}, \dots, \bar{\mathbf{M}}^{(n)}$  are  $n^2 \times (n+1)$  matrices;
- $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D}^{(1)}, \mathbf{D}^{(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{D}^{(n)}$  are  $n^2 \times n$  matrices;
- $\bar{\mathbf{D}}, \bar{\mathbf{D}}^{(1)}, \bar{\mathbf{D}}^{(2)}, \dots, \bar{\mathbf{D}}^{(n)}$  are  $n^2 \times n$  matrices;
- $\bar{\mathbf{D}}$  is a  $(n^2 - n) \times n$  matrix;
- $\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}$  are  $(n^2 + n + 1) \times (n^2 + n + 1)$  matrices;
- $\bar{\mathbf{F}}$  is a  $n^2 \times (n^2 + n)$  matrix.

Moreover, the  $(i, j)$  element of a matrix  $N$  is denoted  $N(i, j)$ . It will not be denoted  $N_{ij}$  to avoid some conflicts with the  $n^2$  permutation matrices  $\mathcal{P}_{ij}$  involving a double set of indices (and coming into play out of  $n!$  possible permutations).

## §2. Incidence matrix via orthogonal latin squares

Let us define the notion of **matriarchal matrix**.

**Definition.** Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $s \geq 1$ . A  $n^2 \times s$  matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  is called a *matriarchal matrix* if the entries in the rows of the first two columns form  $n^2$  different couples in lexicographic order, and if the rows of each  $n^2 \times 2$  submatrix of  $\mathbf{M}$  are the  $n^2$  couples of  $\{(i, j) : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ .

We shall say that the  $n^2 \times s$  matriarchal matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  is *attached to  $s$  mutually orthogonal latin squares  $A_1, \dots, A_s$  of order  $n$*  (with  $1 \leq s \leq n - 1$ ), if the entries in the rows of the first two columns of  $\mathbf{M}$  are in lexicographic order and if for  $j = 1, \dots, s$ , the  $(j + 2)$ -th column of  $\mathbf{M}$  is the concatenation of the rows of  $A_j$ . In other words, the row of  $\mathbf{M}$  containing the couple  $(i, j)$  in the first two columns will then contain the  $(i, j)$  element of respectively  $A_1, \dots, A_s$  in that row. This matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  can be found on page 82 of [R].

**Example.** Let  $n = 4$ . To the orthogonal latin squares

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

is attached the matriarchal matrix

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & | & | & | & | & | & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & | & 3 & | & 3 & | & 3 \\ 1 & 4 & | & 4 & | & 4 & | & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & | & 2 & | & 3 & | & 4 \\ 2 & 2 & | & 1 & | & 4 & | & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & | & 4 & | & 1 & | & 2 \\ 2 & 4 & | & 3 & | & 2 & | & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & | & 3 & | & 4 & | & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & | & 4 & | & 3 & | & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & | & 1 & | & 2 & | & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & | & 2 & | & 1 & | & 3 \\ 4 & 1 & | & 4 & | & 2 & | & 3 \\ 4 & 2 & | & 3 & | & 1 & | & 4 \\ 4 & 3 & | & 2 & | & 4 & | & 1 \\ 4 & 4 & | & 1 & | & 3 & | & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Remark.** Let  $\mathbf{F}$  be the incidence matrix of a finite projective plane. Suppose that  $\mathbf{F}'$  is the matrix obtained from  $\mathbf{F}$  by interchanging two rows or two columns. Then  $\mathbf{F}'$  is still the incidence matrix of some finite projective plane of order  $n$ . A series of such exchanges of rows and columns on  $\mathbf{F}$  will be called a reordering of the rows and columns of  $\mathbf{F}$ .

The first main result of this paper is the following one.

**Theorem 2.1.** (1) Suppose there exist  $n - 1$  mutually orthogonal latin squares  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n-1}$ , of order  $n$ , and let  $\mathbf{M}$  be the matriarchal matrix attached to  $A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}$ . For  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $\mathbf{M}^{(j)}$  be the matrix obtained from  $\mathbf{M}$  by writing 1 in place of  $j$  and 0 elsewhere. Suppose that  $\mathbf{0}$  is a column of 0's,  $\mathbf{1}$  is a column of 1's, and  $I_{n+1}$  is the  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  identity matrix. Then the  $(n^2 + n + 1) \times (n^2 + n + 1)$  matrix

$$\mathbf{F} = \left( \begin{array}{cccc|c} \mathbf{M}^{(1)} & \mathbf{M}^{(2)} & \dots & \mathbf{M}^{(n)} & \mathbf{0} \\ I_{n+1} & I_{n+1} & \dots & I_{n+1} & \mathbf{1} \end{array} \right)$$

is the incidence matrix of a finite projective plane  $\Pi$  of order  $n$ .

(2) Conversely, let  $\mathbf{F}$  be the incidence matrix of a finite projective plane  $\Pi$  of order  $n$  and without loss of generality suppose that (after some eventual interchanges of rows and columns) there exist blocks  $\mathbf{M}^{(1)}, \mathbf{M}^{(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{M}^{(n)}$  such that  $\mathbf{F}$  is defined by

$$\mathbf{F} = \left( \begin{array}{cccc|c} \mathbf{M}^{(1)} & \mathbf{M}^{(2)} & \dots & \mathbf{M}^{(n)} & \mathbf{0} \\ I_{n+1} & I_{n+1} & \dots & I_{n+1} & \mathbf{1} \end{array} \right)$$

For  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}^{(j)}$  be the matrix obtained from  $\mathbf{M}^{(j)}$  by writing  $j$  in place of 1. Then an eventual reordering of the rows of

$$\tilde{\mathbf{M}} = \tilde{\mathbf{M}}^{(1)} + \tilde{\mathbf{M}}^{(2)} + \dots + \tilde{\mathbf{M}}^{(n)}$$

gives a matriarchal matrix  $M$  attached to  $n - 1$  mutually orthogonal latin squares of order  $n$ . **Example.** Let  $n = 4$ . Consider the three mutually

orthogonal latin squares  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  of the preceding example, and the matriarchal matrix  $M$  attached to them. Then the matrices  $M^{(1)}, M^{(2)}, M^{(3)}, M^{(4)}$  are defined by

$$M^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, M^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, M^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

whereupon one can build the incidence matrix

$$F = \left( \begin{array}{cccc|c} M^{(1)} & M^{(2)} & M^{(3)} & M^{(4)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline I_5 & I_5 & I_5 & I_5 & \mathbf{1} \end{array} \right),$$

namely

$$\mathbf{F} = \left( \begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc|c}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array} \right).$$

The procedure can be reversed: from  $\mathbf{F}$  extract  $\mathbf{M}^{(1)}, \mathbf{M}^{(2)}, \mathbf{M}^{(3)}, \mathbf{M}^{(4)}$ ; consider  $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}^{(1)}, \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}^{(2)}, \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}^{(3)}, \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}^{(4)}$ ; build  $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}} = \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}^{(1)} + \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}^{(2)} + \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}^{(3)} + \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}^{(4)}$  and an eventual reordering of the rows of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}$  leads to a matriarchal matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  out of which one can extract 3 mutually orthogonal  $4 \times 4$  latin squares.

**Proof of Theorem 2.1. Part (1).** Let us consider a set of points  $\{\wp_1, \wp_2, \dots, \wp_{n^2+n+1}\}$  and a set of lines  $\{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{n^2+n+1}\}$  containing some points according to the rule that for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n^2 + n + 1$  we have

$$\wp_i \in \mathcal{L}_j \iff \mathbf{F}(i, j) = 1.$$

We want to prove that these  $n^2 + n + 1$  points and these  $n^2 + n + 1$  lines will form a projective plane of order  $n$ .

Consider first the  $n^2 \times (n^2 + n)$  submatrix

$$\overline{\mathbf{F}} = \left( \mathbf{M}^{(1)} \mathbf{M}^{(2)} \dots \mathbf{M}^{(n)} \right)$$

of  $\mathbf{F}$ . The integer 1 will appear exactly  $n$  times in each column of  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}$  (since each integer of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  occurs  $n$  times in any column of  $\mathbf{M}$ ) and  $n + 1$  times in each row of  $\mathbf{F}$  (since each row of  $\mathbf{M}$  has  $n + 1$  entries). Therefore 1 appears  $n + 1$  times in each row of  $\mathbf{F}$  and  $n + 1$  times in each column of  $\mathbf{F}$ . To prove that  $\mathbf{F}$  is the incidence matrix of a finite projective plane, there

are three axioms to verify. It will prove useful to use the disjoint sets  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  of points defined by

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\wp_1, \wp_2, \dots, \wp_{n^2}\}, \quad \mathcal{T} = \{\wp_{n^2+1}, \wp_{n^2+2}, \dots, \wp_{n^2+n+1}\}.$$

**First axiom.** In order to verify the first axiom, we must prove that two distinct points of  $\Pi$  are on one and only one line of  $\Pi$ .

It is clear that any pair of points of  $\mathcal{T}$  are on  $\mathcal{L}_{n^2+n+1}$  and only on  $\mathcal{L}_{n^2+n+1}$ . It is also clear that a point  $\wp_i$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  and a point  $\wp_{n^2+j}$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  are on and only on the line  $\mathcal{L}_{j+(t-1)(n+1)}$  whose index is determined by the unique value of  $t$  verifying  $\mathbf{M}(i, j) = t$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{M}^{(t)}(i, j) = \mathbf{F}(i, j + (t-1)(n+1)) = 1$ .

Finally, consider a pair  $\wp_i$  and  $\wp_j$  of points of  $\mathcal{S}$  with, say,  $i < j$ . If there exists  $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $i, j \in \{(s-1)n+1, (s-1)n+2, \dots, (s-1)n+n\}$ , then it is clear that  $\wp_i$  and  $\wp_j$  are on and only on the line  $\mathcal{L}_{(s-1)(n+1)+1}$ . Moreover, if  $i \equiv j \pmod{n}$ , then  $\wp_i$  and  $\wp_j$  are on and only on the line  $\mathcal{L}_{(s-1)(n+1)+2}$  where  $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  verifies  $s \equiv i \equiv j \pmod{n}$ .

Let us consider now the case when the indices  $i$  of  $\wp_i$  and  $j$  of  $\wp_j$  satisfy none of the last two properties. We want to prove that there exists a line  $\mathcal{L}_t$  with  $t \not\equiv 1, 2 \pmod{n+1}$  which has the property that  $\wp_i$  and  $\wp_j$  are on  $\mathcal{L}_t$ . Suppose that there is no such line. This means that for  $s = 1, \dots, n-1$ , the elements  $(i, s+2)$  and  $(j, s+2)$  of the matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  are different from  $k$  for all  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Since for  $s = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ , the  $(2+s)$ -th column of  $\mathbf{M}$  is made up with the concatenation of the rows of the latin square  $A_s$  and since  $|i-j| > n$  with  $i \not\equiv j \pmod{n}$ , we get a contradiction. In other words, there exists  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that for some  $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ , we have  $\mathbf{M}(i, 2+s) = \mathbf{M}(j, 2+s) = k$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}^{(k)}(i, 2+s) &= \mathbf{M}^{(k)}(j, 2+s) = \mathbf{F}(i, 2+s + (k-1)(n+1)) \\ &= \mathbf{F}(j, 2+s + (k-1)(n+1)) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

whereupon  $\wp_i$  and  $\wp_j$  are on the line  $\mathcal{L}_{2+s+(k-1)(n+1)}$ .

Let us prove now that two distinct points of  $\mathcal{S}$  cannot be on two different lines of  $\Pi$ . Suppose the contrary, i.e., suppose that there exist  $i, j, r, s$  with  $i < j$ ,  $r < s$ , such that  $\mathbf{F}(i, r) = \mathbf{F}(i, s) = \mathbf{F}(j, r) = \mathbf{F}(j, s) = 1$ :

	$\dots$	$\mathcal{L}_r$	$\dots$	$\mathcal{L}_s$	$\dots$
$\wp_i$	$\dots$	1	$\dots$	1	$\dots$
$\vdots$		$\vdots$		$\vdots$	
$\wp_j$	$\dots$	1	$\dots$	1	$\dots$

Table

It means that there are two different columns of  $\mathbf{M}$  in which for some  $u$ ,  $v \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  (not necessarily distinct) the couple  $(u, v)$  appears in row

$i$  and in row  $j$ ; this is a contradiction to either the property of having latin squares (when  $u = v$ ) or to the orthogonality hypothesis (when  $u \neq v$ ). In conclusion, two distinct points of  $\mathcal{S}$  are on one and only one line of  $\Pi$ . This secures the first axiom.

**Second axiom.** In order to verify the second axiom, we must prove that two distinct lines of  $\Pi$  pass through one and only one point of  $\Pi$ .

It is clear that the line  $\mathcal{L}_{i+(s-1)(n+1)}$  of  $\Pi$ , with  $1 \leq t \leq n+1$ ,  $1 \leq s \leq n-1$ , and the line  $\mathcal{L}_{n^2+n+1}$  have only the point  $\xi_{n^2+t}$  in common.

Let us prove now that the lines  $\mathcal{L}_{i+(s-1)(n+1)}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{j+(s-1)(n+1)}$  with  $1 \leq i < j \leq n+1$  have at least one point in common. Those two lines, which are connected to the matrix  $\mathbf{M}^{(s)}$ , have in common the point  $\xi_u$  where  $u$  is the index of the row in which the couple  $(s, s)$  appears in the  $i$ -th and the  $j$ -th columns. This is in fact the only point in common. Suppose that on the contrary there is another point. Then for some  $u$  and  $v$  with  $1 \leq u < v \leq n^2$ , we have in the matrix  $\mathbf{M}^{(s)}$  the following:

	$\dots$	$\mathcal{L}_{i+(s-1)(n+1)}$	$\dots$	$\mathcal{L}_{j+(s-1)(n+1)}$	$\dots$
$\xi_u$	$\dots$	1	$\dots$	1	$\dots$
$\vdots$		$\vdots$		$\vdots$	
$\xi_v$	$\dots$	1	$\dots$	1	$\dots$

Table

This contradicts the first axiom.

Let us prove now that for  $i \neq j$  the lines  $\mathcal{L}_{i+(s-1)(n+1)}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{j+(t-1)(n+1)}$ , with  $s \neq t$ , have at least one point in common. Those two lines come respectively from the blocks  $\mathbf{M}^{(s)}$  and  $\mathbf{M}^{(t)}$  and the point is  $\xi_u$  where  $u$  is the index of the row in which the couple  $(s, t)$  appears in the  $i$ -th column and the  $j$ -th column of  $\mathbf{M}^{(s)}$  and  $\mathbf{M}^{(t)}$  respectively. As a matter of fact, it is the only point in common, since otherwise for some  $u$  and  $v$  with  $1 \leq u < v \leq n^2$ , we have in the blocks  $\mathbf{M}^{(s)}$  and  $\mathbf{M}^{(t)}$  the following contradiction:

	$\dots$	$\mathcal{L}_{i+(s-1)(n+1)}$	$\dots$	$\mathcal{L}_{j+(t-1)(n+1)}$	$\dots$
$\xi_u$	$\dots$	1	$\dots$	1	$\dots$
$\vdots$		$\vdots$		$\vdots$	
$\xi_v$	$\dots$	1	$\dots$	1	$\dots$

Table



**Third axiom.** Consider the four distinct lines

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_1 &= \{\wp_1, \wp_2, \wp_3, \dots, \wp_{n-1}, \wp_n, \wp_{n^2+1}\}, \\ \mathcal{L}_2 &= \{\wp_1, \wp_{n+1}, \wp_{2n+1}, \dots, \wp_{n^2-2n+1}, \wp_{n^2-n+1}, \wp_{n^2+2}\}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{n+2} &= \{\wp_{n+1}, \wp_{n+2}, \wp_{n+3}, \dots, \wp_{2n-1}, \wp_{2n}, \wp_{n^2+1}\}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{n+3} &= \{\wp_2, \wp_{n+2}, \wp_{2n+2}, \dots, \wp_{n^2-2n+2}, \wp_{n^2-n+2}, \wp_{n^2+2}\}, \end{aligned}$$

whose description is prescribed by the lexicographic order of the couples of each row of the first two columns of  $\mathbf{M}$  and the definition of  $\mathbf{M}^{(1)}$  and  $\mathbf{M}^{(2)}$ . We will show that the four points

$$\wp_1, \wp_2, \wp_{n+1}, \wp_{n+2}$$

satisfy the third axiom. From the matrix  $\mathbf{F}$ , one can extract the following pertinent information:

	$\mathcal{L}_1$	$\mathcal{L}_2$	$\dots$	$\mathcal{L}_{n+2}$	$\mathcal{L}_{n+3}$	$\dots$
$\wp_1$	1	1	$\dots$	0	0	$\dots$
$\wp_2$	1	0	$\dots$	0	1	$\dots$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\dots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\dots$
$\wp_{n+1}$	0	1	$\dots$	1	0	$\dots$
$\wp_{n+2}$	0	0	$\dots$	1	1	$\dots$

Table

We will show that among the four points  $\wp_1, \wp_2, \wp_{n+1}, \wp_{n+2}$ , no three of them belong to the same line. Suppose the contrary. Then there exists  $r \neq 1, 2, n+1, n+2$ , such that the line  $\mathcal{L}_r$  contains

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{either } \wp_1, \wp_2, \wp_{n+1}, & \text{whereupon } \wp_1, \wp_2 \text{ are on } \mathcal{L}_1 \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_r, \\ \text{or } \wp_1, \wp_2, \wp_{n+2}, & \text{whereupon } \wp_1, \wp_2 \text{ are on } \mathcal{L}_1 \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_r, \\ \text{or } \wp_1, \wp_{n+1}, \wp_{n+2}, & \text{whereupon } \wp_{n+1}, \wp_{n+2} \text{ are on } \mathcal{L}_{n+2} \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_r, \\ \text{or } \wp_2, \wp_{n+1}, \wp_{n+2}, & \text{whereupon } \wp_{n+1}, \wp_{n+2} \text{ are on } \mathcal{L}_{n+2} \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_r. \end{array} \right.$$

In each of the four possibilities, we have a contradiction to the second axiom. The third axiom is now secured.

**Part (2).** This part simply reverses the process of Part (1).  $\square$

### 3. Incidence matrix via a digraph complete set of latin squares

Thus first recall how J. Dénes and A.D. Keedwell [D-K] defined the canonical incidence matrix  $\mathbf{G}$  of a projective plane  $\mathcal{H}$  of order  $n$  that  $\mathcal{H}$  has the following properties:

- (A) For  $i = 1, \dots, n+1$ ,  $\wp_i$  is a point of  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_i$  is a line through  $\wp_1$ .  
 (B) For all  $k, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\wp_{nk+j+1}$  is a point of  $\mathcal{L}_{k+1}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{nk+j+1}$  passes through  $\wp_{k+1}$ .

These two properties imply (see Section 8.5 of [D-K]) that the incidence matrix  $\mathbf{G}$  can be written as

$$\mathbf{G} = \left( \begin{array}{c|cccc} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline C_1 & \mathbf{0} & C_1^t & C_2^t & \dots & C_n^t \\ \hline C_2 & \mathbf{0} & P_{11} & P_{12} & \dots & P_{1n} \\ C_3 & \mathbf{0} & P_{21} & P_{22} & \dots & P_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ C_n & \mathbf{0} & P_{n-1,1} & P_{n-1,2} & \dots & P_{n-1,n} \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & P_{n1} & P_{n2} & \dots & P_{nn} \end{array} \right) \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{0}$  and  $\mathbf{1}$  are respectively appropriate blocks of 0's and 1's, and where the following properties are satisfied:

- (1) For  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $C_i$  is a  $n \times n$  matrix with 1's in its  $i$ -th column and 0 elsewhere; moreover,  $C_i^t$  is the transpose of  $C_i$ .
- (2) For all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , the  $n \times n$  matrix  $P_{ij}$  turns out to be some permutation matrix (i.e.,  $P_{ij}$  has exactly one entry 1 in each row and in each column and has 0 elsewhere).

Suppose now that we require that

- (3) for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $P_{i1} = P_{1j} = I_n$ .

Then  $\mathbf{G}$  is called the **canonical incidence matrix** of a finite projective plane  $\Pi$  and we have the following:

- (a) For all  $i, j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $P_{ij}$  has no entry 1 on its main diagonal.
- (b) For all  $i, r, s, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $r \neq s$  and  $i \geq 2$ , the  $k$ -th rows (resp. columns) of  $P_{ir}$  and  $P_{is}$  are distinct.
- (c) For all  $i, r, s, m, k, t \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $r \neq s$ ,  $m \geq 2$  and  $i \geq 2$ , the  $k$ -th rows (resp. columns) of  $P_{ir}$  and  $P_{is}$  cannot be simultaneously identical to the  $t$ -th rows of  $P_{mr}$  and  $P_{ms}$  in that order.

As explained in [D-K], the construction of a *digraph complete set* of latin squares is very simple and elegant: for  $i = 2, \dots, n$ , take

$$D_{i-1} = (P_{i1}T \quad P_{i2}T \quad \dots \quad P_{in}T) \quad \text{with} \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{pmatrix}.$$

In general, these latin squares  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_{n-1}$  need not to be mutually orthogonal.

**Examples.** The  $3 \times 3$  matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

form a set of mutually orthogonal latin squares which is not a digraph complete set of latin squares. The  $3 \times 3$  matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

form a digraph complete set of latin squares which is not a set of mutually orthogonal latin squares.

In the rest of this section, we would like to describe another way of exhibiting a digraph complete set of latin squares from an incidence matrix of a projective plane.

Before proceeding, we need to define the notion of **basic incidence matrix**  $\mathbf{H}$  of a projective plane  $\Pi$  of order  $n$ . First, suppose that  $\Pi$  verifies the following properties:

- (a) For  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_i$  is a point of  $\mathcal{L}_1$ , and  $\mathcal{L}_i$  is a line through  $\mathcal{P}_1$ ; moreover,  $\mathcal{P}_{n^2+n+1}$  is a point of  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{n+1}$  is a line through  $\mathcal{P}_1$ .
- (b) For all  $k, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{nk+j}$  is a point of  $\mathcal{L}_{k+1}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{nk+j+1}$  passes through  $\mathcal{P}_{k+1}$ , except for  $k = n$ , where  $\mathcal{L}_{n^2+j+1}$  passes through  $\mathcal{P}_{n^2+n+1}$ .

This implies that  $\mathbf{H}$  can be written as

$$\mathbf{H} = \left( \begin{array}{c|ccc|c|c} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \\ \hline \mathcal{C}_1 & \mathcal{C}_1^t & \mathcal{C}_2^t & \dots & \mathcal{C}_n^t & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline \mathcal{C}_2 & \mathcal{P}_{11} & \mathcal{P}_{12} & \dots & \mathcal{P}_{1n} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathcal{C}_3 & \mathcal{P}_{21} & \mathcal{P}_{22} & \dots & \mathcal{P}_{2n} & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathcal{C}_n & \mathcal{P}_{n-1,1} & \mathcal{P}_{n-1,2} & \dots & \mathcal{P}_{n-1,n} & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & \mathcal{P}_{n1} & \mathcal{P}_{n2} & \dots & \mathcal{P}_{nn} & \mathbf{1} \end{array} \right), \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbf{1}$  is some judicious block of 1's,  $\mathbf{0}$  is some judicious block of 0's, and where we have:

- (1) for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $C_i$  is a  $n \times n$  matrix having only 1's in its  $i$ -th column and 0's elsewhere,  $C_i^t$  being the transpose of  $C_i$ ;
- (2) for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $P_{ij}$  is a  $n \times n$  permutation matrix (i.e.,  $P_{ij}$  has exactly one entry 1 in each row and in each column and 0 elsewhere).

If we require that

- (3) for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $P_{i1} = P_{nj} = I_n$ ,

then  $\mathbf{H}$  is called a **basic incidence matrix**. If the weaker condition

- (3') for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $P_{nj} = I_n$ ,

is satisfied, then  $\mathbf{H}$  is called a **semi-basic incidence matrix**.

When  $\mathbf{H}$  is a *basic incidence matrix* of a projective plane of order  $n$ , the following properties are satisfied:

- (a) For all  $i, r, s, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , with  $r \neq s$  and  $i < n$ , the  $k$ -th rows of  $P_{ir}$  and  $P_{is}$  are distinct.
- (b) For all  $i, r, s, m, k, t \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , with  $r \neq s$ ,  $i \neq m$ ,  $m < n$  and  $i < n$ , the  $k$ -th rows of  $P_{ir}$  and  $B_{is}$  cannot be simultaneously identical to the  $t$ -th rows of  $P_{mr}$  and  $P_{ms}$  in that order.
- (c) For all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  and for any  $j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $P_{ij}$  has no entry 1 on its main diagonal.

When  $\mathbf{H}$  is semi-basic, the last properties (a) and (b) are satisfied. The matrix  $\mathbf{G}$  in (1) and the matrix  $\mathbf{H}$  in (2) are almost the same: the  $(1+n)$ -th column of  $\mathbf{G}$  has been relocated to become the last column in  $\mathbf{H}$ ; eventually, some identity matrices have also been relocated. The nice feature of  $\mathbf{H}$ , when we ignore its first row and its last column, is that we deal with  $n \times n$  blocks.

Let us state now the second result of this paper.

**Theorem 3.1.** (i) Suppose there exists a digraph complete set  $D_1, \dots, D_{n-1}$  of  $n \times n$  latin squares. Let

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{pmatrix} D_0 \\ D_1 \\ D_2 \\ \vdots \\ D_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{with } D_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & \cdots & 2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ n & n & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix},$$

and for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , denote

$$\mathbf{D}^{(j)} = \begin{pmatrix} D_0^{(j)} \\ D_1^{(j)} \\ \vdots \\ D_{n-1}^{(j)} \end{pmatrix}$$

the matrix obtained from  $\mathbf{D}$  by writing 1 in place of  $j$  and 0 elsewhere, with the blocks  $\mathbf{D}^{(j)}$  having a naturally inherited meaning. Define the  $n^2 \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{C}$  by

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{C}_1 \\ \mathbf{C}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{C}_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\mathbf{C}_i$  is a  $n \times n$  matrix having only 1's in its  $i$ -th column and 0's elsewhere. Then for some judiciously chosen blocks of 0's and blocks of 1's

$$\mathbf{H} = \left( \begin{array}{c|ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ \hline \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D}^{(1)} & \mathbf{D}^{(2)} & \dots & \mathbf{D}^{(n)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline 0 & I_n & I_n & \dots & I_n & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

is the semi-basic incidence matrix of a finite projective plane  $\Pi$  of order  $n$ . If there are only entries 1 on the main diagonal of  $D_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ , then  $\mathbf{H}$  is basic.

(ii) Conversely, let  $\mathbf{H}$  be the incidence matrix of a finite projective plane  $\Pi$  of order  $n$  and (without loss of generality) assume that there exist  $n^2 \times n$  blocks  $\mathbf{D}^{(1)}, \mathbf{D}^{(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{D}^{(n)}$  such that for some judiciously chosen blocks of 0's and blocks of 1's, the  $(n^2 + n + 1) \times (n^2 + n + 1)$  matrix

$$\mathbf{H} = \left( \begin{array}{c|ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ \hline \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D}^{(1)} & \mathbf{D}^{(2)} & \dots & \mathbf{D}^{(n)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline 0 & I_n & I_n & \dots & I_n & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

is semi-basic. For  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{(j)}$  be the matrix obtained from the block  $\mathbf{D}^{(j)}$  by writing  $j$  in place of 1. Then the last  $(n-1)n$  rows of the  $n^2 \times (n+1)$  matrix

$$\mathbf{D} = \tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{(1)} + \tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{(2)} + \dots + \tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} D_0 \\ D_1 \\ \vdots \\ D_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } D_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & \dots & 2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ n & n & \dots & n \end{pmatrix},$$

give birth to a digraph complete set  $D_1, \dots, D_{n-1}$  of latin squares. If  $\mathbf{H}$  is basic, then there are only 1's on the main diagonal of  $D_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ .

**Example.** Let  $n = 4$ . Consider the digraph complete set of latin squares

$$D_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 4 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 4 & 2 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

(which by the way happen to be mutually orthogonal). Then from

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\underline{D_0}} \\ D_1 \\ \underline{\quad} \\ D_2 \\ \underline{\quad} \\ D_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\ \hline 1 & 4 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 4 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 4 & 2 & 1 & 3 \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

one can build

$$\mathbf{D}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{D}^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{D}^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{D}^{(4)} = \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

This leads to the *semi-basic* incidence matrix

$$\mathbf{H} = \left( \begin{array}{c|cccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D}^{(1)} & \mathbf{D}^{(2)} & \mathbf{D}^{(3)} & \mathbf{D}^{(4)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline 0 & I_4 & I_4 & I_4 & I_4 & 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c|ccccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline C_1 & D_0^{(1)} & D_0^{(2)} & D_0^{(3)} & D_0^{(4)} & 0 \\ \hline C_2 & D_1^{(1)} & D_1^{(2)} & D_1^{(3)} & D_1^{(4)} & 0 \\ \hline C_3 & D_2^{(1)} & D_2^{(2)} & D_2^{(3)} & D_2^{(4)} & 0 \\ \hline C_4 & D_3^{(1)} & D_3^{(2)} & D_3^{(3)} & D_3^{(4)} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & I_4 & I_4 & I_4 & I_4 & 1 \end{array} \right),$$





CLAIM: There exist unique integers  $u$  and  $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that one can find 1 as the  $(a, t)$  element of  $D_r^{(u)}$  and as the  $(b, t)$  element of  $D_s^{(u)}$ .

This is clear when  $a = b$  since the first column of each  $D_i$  is  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{pmatrix}$ .

Therefore, when  $a = b$ , we have  $t = 1$  and  $\xi_{i1}$  and  $\xi_{j1}$  are on  $\mathcal{L}_{an+1}$ . Suppose  $a \neq b$  and suppose that the row  $a$  of  $D_r$  is  $(a_1 \ a_2 \ a_3 \ \dots \ a_n)$ . Now the elements  $a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n$  appear in columns 2, 3,  $\dots$ ,  $n$  respectively of  $D_s$ . Moreover, the elements  $a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n$  appear in rows  $r_2, r_3, \dots, r_n$  of  $D_s$  where  $\{r_2, r_3, \dots, r_n\} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{b\}$ . Therefore in the row  $b$  of  $D_s$ , we are sure to find an integer  $u$  which has also the property that  $u$  appears in the row  $a$  of  $D_r$  and also the property that  $u$  appears in the same column of  $D_r$  and  $D_s$ . Let us prove that  $t$  and  $u$  are unique. Otherwise, suppose there exist  $u', t'$ , with  $u' \neq u, t' \neq t$ , with also the property that one can find 1 as the  $(a, t')$  element of  $D_r^{(u)}$  and as the  $(b, t')$  element of  $D_s^{(v)}$ . Then we get a contradiction with the property (b) of a semi-basic incidence matrix. This secures the above claim.

**Second axiom.** In order to verify the second axiom, we must prove that two distinct lines of  $\Pi$  pass through one and only one point of  $\Pi$ .

This is clear for the line  $\mathcal{L}_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n^2 + n$ ) and the line  $\mathcal{L}_{n^2+n+1}$ . This is also clear for the line  $\mathcal{L}_i$  and the line  $\mathcal{L}_j$  when  $sn + 1 \leq i < j \leq (s + 1)n$  for any  $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

This is obvious for the lines  $\mathcal{L}_i$  and the line  $\mathcal{L}_j$  when  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $n + 1 \leq j \leq n^2 + n$ , and also when  $n + 1 \leq i < j \leq n^2 + n$ . At each step, it is important to remember that the matrices  $\mathbf{D}^{(i)}$  are made of blocks which are  $n \times n$  permutation matrices.

**Third axiom.** Consider the four distinct lines

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_1 &= \{\xi_{j1}, \xi_{j2}, \xi_{j3}, \dots, \xi_{jn-1}, \xi_{jn}, \xi_{jn+1}\}, \\ \mathcal{L}_2 &= \{\xi_{j1}, \xi_{jn+2}, \xi_{jn+3}, \dots, \xi_{2n-1}, \xi_{2n}, \xi_{2n+1}\}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{n+1} &= \{\xi_{j2}, \xi_{jn+2}, \xi_{2n+2}, \dots, \xi_{(n-2)n+2}, \xi_{(n-1)n+2}, \xi_{n^2+2}\}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{2n+1} &= \{\xi_{j3}, \xi_{jn+3}, \xi_{2n+3}, \dots, \xi_{(n-2)n+3}, \xi_{(n-1)n+3}, \xi_{n^2+2}\}, \end{aligned}$$

the four distinct points

$$\xi_{j1}, \quad \xi_{j2}, \quad \xi_{jn+3}, \quad \xi_{n^2+2},$$

and the following pertinent information:

	$\mathcal{L}_1$	$\mathcal{L}_2$	...	$\mathcal{L}_{n+1}$	...	$\mathcal{L}_{2n+1}$	...
$\wp_1$	1	1	...	0	...	0	...
$\wp_2$	1	0	...	1	...	0	...
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$		$\vdots$		$\vdots$	
$\wp_{n+3}$	0	1	...	0	...	1	...
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$		$\vdots$		$\vdots$	
$\wp_{n^2+2}$	0	0	...	1	...	1	...

Table

Then we can show as above that among the four points  $\wp_1, \wp_2, \wp_{n+3}, \wp_{n^2+2}$ , no three of them belong to the same line. Suppose the contrary. Then there exists  $r \neq 1, 2, n+3, n^2+2$ , such that the line  $\mathcal{L}_r$  contains

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{either } \wp_1, \wp_2, \wp_{n+3}, & \text{whereupon } \wp_1, \wp_2 \text{ are on } \mathcal{L}_1 \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_r, \\ \text{or } \wp_1, \wp_2, \wp_{n^2+2}, & \text{whereupon } \wp_2, \wp_{n^2+2} \text{ are on } \mathcal{L}_{n+1} \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_r, \\ \text{or } \wp_1, \wp_{n+3}, \wp_{n^2+2}, & \text{whereupon } \wp_1, \wp_{n+3} \text{ are on } \mathcal{L}_2 \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_r, \\ \text{or } \wp_2, \wp_{n+3}, \wp_{n^2+2}, & \text{whereupon } \wp_{n+3}, \wp_{n^2+2} \text{ are on } \mathcal{L}_{2n+1} \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_r. \end{array} \right.$$

In each of the four possibilities, we have a contradiction to the second axiom. The third axiom is now secured.

**Part (2)** This part simply reverses the process of Part (1).  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** I wish to express my deepest gratitude to the members of the mathematics department of the University of Hawai'i at Mānoa for the facilities provided during my academic visits, with a special "Mahalo" to professor JB Nation and to professor Adolf Mader for their support. I am also grateful to the organizers of the *International Conference on Graph Theory and Information Security* (ICGTIS 2007, Bandung, Indonesia), for being given the opportunity of contributing this paper.

## References

- [D-K] J. Dénes and A.D. Keedwell, *Latin Squares and Their Applications*, Academic Press, (1974), 547 pages.  
[R] H.J. Ryser, *Combinatorial Mathematics*, The Carus Math. Monographs of MAA, No. 14, (1963), xiv+154 pages.