

# ON THE PRODUCT OF $k$ -MINIMAL DOMINATION NUMBERS OF A GRAPH AND ITS COMPLEMENT

E J Cockayne

University of Victoria, Victoria, B.C., Canada

and

C M Mynhardt

University of South Africa, Pretoria, South Africa

**Abstract.** The *domination number*  $\gamma(G)$  of a graph  $G = (V, E)$  is the smallest cardinality of a *dominating set*  $X$  of  $G$ , i.e. of a subset  $X$  of vertices such that each  $v \in V - X$  is adjacent to at least one vertex of  $X$ .

The  *$k$ -minimal domination number*  $\Gamma_k(G)$ , is the largest cardinality of a dominating set  $Y$  which has the following additional property: For every  $\ell$ -subset  $Z$  of  $Y$  where  $\ell \leq k$  and each  $(\ell - 1)$ -subset  $W$  of  $V - Y$ , the set  $(Y - Z) \cup W$  is not dominating.

In this paper, for any positive integer  $k \geq 2$ , we exhibit a self-complementary graph  $G$  with  $\gamma(G) > k$  and use this and a method of Graham and Spencer to construct  $n$ -vertex graphs  $F$  for which  $\Gamma_k(F)\Gamma_k(\bar{F}) > n$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A subset  $X$  of vertices of a graph  $G = (V, E)$  is a *dominating set* if each  $v \in V - X$  is adjacent to at least one vertex of  $X$ . The *domination number*  $\gamma(G)$  (*upper domination number*  $\Gamma(G)$ ) of  $G$  is the smallest (largest) cardinality of a minimal dominating set of  $G$ . The reader is referred to [6] for an excellent bibliography concerning the theory of dominating sets of graphs. Bollobás, Cockayne and Mynhardt [1] generalised these concepts by extending the idea of minimality. The  *$k$ -minimal domination number*  $\Gamma_k(G)$  is the largest cardinality of a  *$k$ -minimal dominating set* of  $G$ , i.e., a dominating set  $Y$  of  $G$  with the following additional property: For every subset  $Z$  of  $Y$ , where  $\ell \leq k$ , and each  $(\ell - 1)$  subset  $W$  of  $V - Y$ , the set  $(Y - Z) \cup W$  is not a dominating set of  $G$ . We note that 1-minimality is precisely minimality and that

$$\gamma(G) \leq \dots \leq \Gamma_k(G) \leq \Gamma_{k-1}(G) \leq \dots \leq \Gamma_2(G) \leq \Gamma_1(G) = \Gamma(G). \quad (1)$$

The values of  $\Gamma_k(G)$  where  $G$  is a path or a cycle are calculated in [1, 3].

Bounds of the form  $\mu(G)\mu(\bar{G}) \leq f(n)$  concerning the parameter of the  $n$ -vertex graph  $G$  and its complement  $\bar{G}$ , have been called Nordhaus-Gaddum results due to their theorem of this type concerning the chromatic number (see [8]). Several authors have found Nordhaus-Gaddum results concerning dominating sets. Jaegar and Payan [7] proved that for any  $n$ -vertex graph  $G$ ,  $\gamma(G)\gamma(\bar{G}) \leq n$  and the extremal graphs for this inequality were found by Payan and Xuong [9]. In [1], this inequality was improved to  $\Gamma_2(G)\gamma(\bar{G}) \leq n$ . Cockayne and Mynhardt [4] showed that  $\Gamma(G)\Gamma(\bar{G}) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n^2+2n}{4} \right\rfloor$  and established the extremal graphs.

In view of the inequalities (1) it is natural to ask for the maximum value  $r(n, k)$  of  $\Gamma_k(G)\Gamma_k(\overline{G})$  for an  $n$ -vertex graph  $G$ . The above discussion immediately gives

$$n \leq r(n, k) \leq \left\lceil \frac{n^2 + 2n}{4} \right\rceil$$

The determination of  $r(n, k)$  appears to be a formidable task and remains an open question. In this paper, by explicit construction, we show that for any  $k \geq 2$ ,  $r(n, k) > n$ . The process involves the construction of self-complementary graphs whose domination number exceeds any given integer  $k$ . These graphs are exhibited in the next section.

## 2. THE GRAPH $G_{p,k}$

Let  $k$  be an integer greater than 1. In this section we exhibit a  $p$ -vertex self-complementary graph  $G_{p,k}$  whose domination number exceeds  $k$ . We emulate the techniques used by Graham and Spencer [5] in their work concerning dominating sets of tournaments.

Let  $p$  be a prime such that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $V(G_{p,k}) = 0, \dots, p-1 = Z_p$ . Two vertices  $a, b$  are adjacent if and only if  $a - b$  is not a quadratic residue of  $p$ . The graph  $\overline{G_{p,k}}$  (i.e. two vertices  $a, b$  of  $\overline{G_{p,k}}$  are adjacent if and only if  $a - b$  is a quadratic residue of  $p$ ) is called a *Paley graph* (see e.g. [2, p. 345]). It is easily seen, using the transformation  $x \rightarrow \lambda x$  where  $\lambda$  is any quadratic non-residue, that  $G_{p,k}$  is self-complementary and hence also a Paley graph. We now show that for  $p$  sufficiently large,  $\gamma(G_{p,k}) > k$ .

**Theorem 1.** For  $k \geq 2$  and  $p > k^2 2^{2k-2}$ ,  $\gamma(G_{p,k}) > k$ .

**Proof:** Following [5], for  $a \in Z_p$  we define  $\chi(a) = 1(-1)$  if  $a$  is (is not) a quadratic residue of  $p$  and  $\chi(0) = 0$ . A set  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$  does not dominate the vertex  $x$  if for all  $j = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $\chi(x - a_j) = 1$ . Define  $g(A)$  by

$$g(A) = \sum_{\substack{x=0 \\ x \notin A}}^{p-1} \prod_{j=1}^k [1 + \chi(x - a_j)].$$

It is sufficient to show that  $g(A) > 0$  for any  $A$ ; for in this case there exists  $x_0 \notin A$  such that

$$\prod_{j=1}^k [1 + \chi(x_0 - a_j)] > 0$$

and hence  $\chi(x_0 - a_j) = 1$  for each  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , i.e.  $A$  does not dominate  $x_0$ . Let

$$h(A) = \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \prod_{j=1}^k [1 + \chi(x - a_j)].$$

Then, exactly as in [5], we have

$$g(A) = h(A) - \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^k [1 + \chi(a_i - a_j)] \quad (2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h(A) &= \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} 1 + \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^k \chi(x - a_j) \\ &\quad \dots + \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j_1 < j_2} \chi(x - a_{j_1}) \chi(x - a_{j_2}) + \dots \\ &\quad \dots + \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_s} \chi(x - a_{j_1}) \dots \chi(x - a_{j_s}) + \dots \\ &\quad \dots + \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_k} \chi(x - a_{j_1}) \dots \chi(x - a_{j_k}). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The first term of (3) is  $p$  and since  $G_{p,k}$  is regular of degree  $(p-1)/2$ , the second term is 0. Thus the analysis may proceed exactly as the relations (7)–(11) of [5] and we conclude

$$h(A) \geq p - [(k-2)2^{k-1} + 1] \sqrt{p}. \quad (4)$$

If  $i$  is fixed,  $1 + \chi(a_i - a_j) = 1$  for  $i = j$  and is at most 2 otherwise. Hence

$$\prod_{j=1}^k [1 + \chi(a_i - a_j)]$$

is at most  $2^{k-1}$ . It follows from (2) that  $h(A) - g(A) \leq k2^{k-1}$  so that from (4)

$$g(A) \geq p - [(k-2)2^{k-1} + 1] \sqrt{p} - k \cdot 2^{k-1}. \quad (5)$$

It is easy to show that the right hand side of (5) is positive for  $p \geq (k2^{k-1})^2$  and  $k \geq 2$ , hence  $\gamma(G_{p,k}) > k$  for these values, as required.

### 3. GRAPHS WITH $\Gamma_k(G)\Gamma_k(\overline{G}) > n$

Let  $G$  and  $H$  be graphs of order  $m$  and  $q$  respectively, with  $V(G) = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ . Then  $G \oplus H$  denotes the graph obtained by replacing each vertex  $v_i$  of  $G$  with a copy  $H_i$  of  $H$  and each edge  $v_i v_j$  of  $G$  with  $K_{q,q}$ , where the edges of  $K_{q,q}$  join the vertices of  $H_i$  to the vertices of  $H_j$ .

Let  $V(H_i) = \{v_{i1}, \dots, v_{iq}\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $G * H$  be the graph obtained from  $G \oplus H$  in the following way: If  $v_i v_j \in E(G)$  ( $v_i v_j \notin E(G)$  respectively), remove (add) the set  $E_{ij} = \{v_{i\ell} v_{j\ell} / \ell = 1, \dots, q\}$  of edges from (to)  $G \oplus H$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, m$ . We observe that if  $G$  and  $H$  are self-complementary graphs, then  $G * H$  is also self-complementary.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $\gamma(G) \geq 2$  and  $q \geq 2$ , then each  $V(H_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , is a minimal dominating set of  $G * H$ .*

**Proof:** Without loss of generality we prove that  $V(H_1)$  is a minimal dominating set of  $G * H$ . Let

$$V_1 = \bigcup_{\substack{i=2 \\ v_1 v_i \in E(G)}}^m V(H_i)$$

and

$$V_2 = \bigcup_{\substack{i=2 \\ v_1 v_i \notin E(G)}}^m V(H_i).$$

Any vertex  $v_{1\ell}$  in  $V(H_1)$  dominates all vertices in  $V_1$  except those labelled  $v_{i\ell}$  for some  $i$ ; hence any two vertices in  $V(H_1)$  dominate  $V_1$ . On the other hand, each vertex  $v_{1\ell} \in V(H_1)$  dominates exactly those vertices of  $V_2$  that are labelled  $v_{i\ell}$  for some  $i$ ; hence  $V(H_1)$  dominates  $V_2$  and no subset of  $V(H_1)$  dominates  $V_2$ . Since  $\gamma(G) \geq 2$ ,  $V_2 \neq \emptyset$  and hence  $V(H_1)$  is a minimal dominating set of  $G * H$ . ■

**Lemma 2.** *If  $D$  is a dominating set of  $G * H$ , then at least one of the following holds:*

- (i)  $|D| \geq q$ ;
- (ii)  $V(H_i) \cap D \neq \emptyset$  for at least  $\gamma(G)$  copies  $H_i$  of  $H$  in  $G * H$ .

**Proof:** Suppose  $D$  is a dominating set of  $G * H$  such that (ii) above is not satisfied. Without loss of generality, assume that  $H_1, \dots, H_r$ ,  $r < \gamma(G)$ , are the copies of  $H$  in  $G * H$  for which  $V(H_i) \cap D \neq \emptyset$  and let  $T = \{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$  be the vertices of  $G$  corresponding to  $H_1, \dots, H_r$ . Since  $r < \gamma(G)$ , there is a vertex  $v_s \in V(G)$ ,  $s > r$ , which is not dominated in  $G$  by  $T$ . Hence, by the construction of  $G * H$ , each vertex in  $\cup_{i=1}^r V(H_i)$  is adjacent to exactly one vertex of  $H_s$  and since  $D \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^r V(H_i)$  and  $D$  dominates  $H_s$ , this implies that  $|D| \geq q$ . ■

We now state and prove the principal result.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $k \geq 2$  and let  $p$  be any prime such that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $p > (k2^{k-1})^2$ . Then, for any self-complementary graph  $H$  of order  $q > p$ , the  $n$ -vertex graph  $G = G_{p,k} * H$  satisfies  $\Gamma_k(G)\Gamma_k(\overline{G}) > n$ .*

**Proof:** By Lemma 1,  $V(H_i)$  is a minimal dominating set of  $G$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, p$ . We prove that  $V(H_1)$  (say) is also a  $k$ -minimal dominating set. Let  $S \subseteq V(H_1)$  with  $|S| = \ell \leq k$  and consider any  $R \subseteq V(G) - V(H_1)$  with  $|R| = \ell - 1$ .

Then  $X = (V(H_1) - S) \cup R$  has  $|X| = q - 1$  and does not satisfy condition (i) of Lemma 2. Further, at most  $k$  copies of  $H$  in  $G$  contain vertices of  $X$ . Since

$\gamma(G_{p,k}) > k$ ,  $X$  does not satisfy condition (ii) of Lemma 2. We conclude that  $X$  does not dominate  $G$ . Hence  $V(H_1)$  is  $k$ -minimal as asserted and  $\Gamma_k(G) \geq q$ . But  $n = pq$ , hence

$$\Gamma_k(G) \geq \sqrt{q}\sqrt{q} > \sqrt{p}\sqrt{q} = \sqrt{n}.$$

Since  $G$  is self-complementary,  $\Gamma_k(G)\Gamma_k(\overline{G}) > n$ . This completes the proof. ■

We notice that by Lemma 2 and the construction of  $G_{p,k} * H$ ,  $\gamma(G_{p,k} * H) > k$ . However, if  $\gamma(G) < k$  for  $k \geq 2$  and some  $n$ -vertex graph  $G$ , then no dominating set of  $G$  with more than  $\gamma(G)$  vertices can be a  $k$ -minimal dominating set and hence  $\Gamma_k(G) = \gamma(G)$ . By using the fact that  $\gamma(G)\Gamma_2(\overline{G}) \leq n$  (see [1]), it follows that in this case  $\Gamma_k(G)\Gamma_k(\overline{G}) \leq n$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge research support from the Canadian National Sciences and Engineering Research Council and the University of South Africa. They also wish to thank Professors I. Broere, R.L. Graham and P. Erdős with whom they had fruitful discussions concerning this work.

## References

1. B. Bollobás, E.J. Cockayne and C.M. Mynhardt, *On Generalised Minimal Domination Parameters for Paths*, Discrete Math. (to appear).
2. P.J. Cameron, *Strongly Regular Graphs*, in "Selected Topics in Graph Theory", Edited by Beineke and Wilson, Acad. Press, 1978, pp. 337–360.
3. E.J. Cockayne and C.M. Mynhardt, *k-Minimal Domination Numbers of Cycles*, Ars Combinatoria 23 (A) (1987), 195–206.
4. E.J. Cockayne and C.M. Mynhardt, *On the Product of Upper Irredundance Numbers of a Graph and its Complement*, Discrete Math. (to appear).
5. R.L. Graham and J. Spencer, *A Constructive Solution to a Tournament Problem*, Canad. Math. Bull. 14 (1) (1971), 45–48.
6. S.T. Hedetniemi, *Bibliography Concerning Dominating Sets in Graphs*, (private communication).
7. F. Jaegar and C. Payan, *Relations du type Nordhaus-Gaddum pour le Nombre d'absorption d'un graphe simple*, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris Series A (1972), p. 274.
8. E.A. Nordhaus and J.W. Gaddum, *On Complementary Graphs*, Amer. Math. Monthly 63 (1956), 175–177.
9. C. Payan and N.H. Xuong, *Domination Balanced Graphs*, J. Graph Theory 6 (1982), 23–32.