

Perfect Mendelsohn Designs With Equal-Sized Holes

F. E. Bennett
Department of Mathematics
Mount Saint Vincent University
Halifax, Nova Scotia
Canada B3M 2J6

L. Zhu
Department of Mathematics
Suzhou University
Suzhou 215006
People's Republic of China

Abstract. It is shown that the obvious necessary condition $\lambda h(h-1)m^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ for the existence of a (v, k, λ) -perfect Mendelsohn design with h holes of size m is sufficient in the case of block size three except for a nonexisting $(6,3,1)$ -PMD.

1. Introduction

By $\lambda K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_h}$ we mean a complete multipartite directed multigraph on a vertex set $X = \cup_{1 \leq i \leq h} X_i$, where $X_i (1 \leq i \leq h)$ are disjoint sets with $|X_i| = n_i$, $v = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq h} n_i$ and where two vertices x and y from different sets X_i and X_j are joined by two arcs (x, y) and (y, x) exactly λ times each.

If $\lambda K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_h}$ can be decomposed into directed k -circuits such that for any r , $1 \leq r \leq k-1$, and for any two vertices x and y from different sets X_i and X_j , there are exactly λ circuits along which the (directed) distance from x to y is r , we call (X, \mathbf{B}) a *holey perfect Mendelsohn design*, where \mathbf{B} is the collection of all circuits (called blocks). We denote the design by (v, k, λ) -HPMD. Each set $X_i (1 \leq i \leq h)$ is called a *hole* and the vector (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_h) is called the *type* of the HPMD.

A (v, k, λ) -HPMD of type $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ is called a *perfect Mendelsohn design* denoted by (v, k, λ) -PMD. If we ignore the cyclic order of the vertices in the circuits, a (v, k, λ) -PMD becomes a $(v, k, \lambda(k-1))$ -BIBD. Therefore, we can consider a perfect Mendelsohn design as a generalization of balanced incomplete block designs. It was N.S. Mendelsohn who first introduced the cyclic order of the elements into blocks (see [4], [5]). The existence question of a (v, k, λ) -PMD has recently attracted much attention, and a survey can be found in [6]. The concept of HPMD has played an important role in the discussion of the existence of PMDs. Further, the existence question for a (v, k, λ) -HPMD is also posed in [6]. In this paper we consider only the simple case of equal-sized holes, i.e., $n_1 = n_2 = \dots = n_h = m$. The type will be denoted (m^h) . Since a (v, k, λ) -HPMD of type

(m^h) contains $b = \lambda h(h-1)m^2/k$ blocks, we obtain the following necessary condition:

$$\lambda h(h-1)m^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{k}. \quad (1.1)$$

We shall show that (1.1) is also sufficient for the existence of a (v, k, λ) -HPMD of type (m^h) when $k = 3$ except for a nonexisting $(6, 3, 1)$ -PMD.

2. Preliminaries

We assume that the reader is familiar with the group divisible designs (GDDs), pairwise balanced designs (PBDs), transversal designs (TDs), etc. For more information the reader is referred to [3]. We shall often use the following weighting techniques which can be found in [6] for the case of $\lambda = 1$. Here we omit their proofs, and further details can be found in [2].

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose there exists a $TD[k; m]$, then*

- (1) *there exists an (mn, k, λ) -HPMD of type $(m\tau_1, m\tau_2, \dots, m\tau_h)$ if there exists an (n, k, λ) -HPMD of type $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_h)$; and*
- (2) *there exists an (mn, k, λ) -HPMD of type (m^n) if there exists an (n, k, λ) -PMD.*

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose there is a $GDD[K, 1, M; v]$ with groups G_1, G_2, \dots, G_h where $M = \{|G_i| = \tau_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq h\}$. If for any block size $u \in K$ there is an (mu, k, λ) -HPMD of type (m^u) , then there exists an (mv, k, λ) -HPMD of type $(m\tau_1, m\tau_2, \dots, m\tau_h)$.*

The following result on GDDs (see [3, p. 466]) is useful.

Lemma 2.3. *A $GDD[k, \lambda, g; gs]$ exists for $k = 3$ or 4 if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(s-1)g &\equiv 0 \pmod{k-1}, \\ \lambda s(s-1)g^2 &\equiv 0 \pmod{k(k-1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

except for two nonexisting designs $GDD[4, 1, 2; 8]$ and $GDD[4, 1, 6; 24]$.

The existence of a $(v, 3, \lambda)$ -PMD has been established in [1],[4] and an alternative proof can be found in [6].

Lemma 2.4. *A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a $(v, 3, \lambda)$ -PMD is $\lambda v(v-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, except for a nonexisting design $(6, 3, 1)$ -PMD.*

We also need a result on RBIBDs (see [3, p.451]).

Lemma 2.5. *An RBIBD $RB[3, 1; v]$ exists if and only if $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$.*

3. Main results

When $k = 3$, (1.1) becomes $\lambda h(h - 1)m^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. This can be divided into two cases:

- (i) $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $h \geq 3$;
- (ii) $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $\lambda h(h - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

Proposition 3.1. *For $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $h \geq 3$, there is an $(mh, 3, 1)$ -HPMD of type (m^h) .*

Proof: Write $m = 3t$. Since a TD[3; t] exists for $t \geq 2$ by Lemma 2.3, by applying Lemma 2.1 (1) we reduce the problem to the case of $m = 3$. Let $H = \{h \geq 3 \mid h \text{ is an integer}\}$. It is known [3] that

$$H = B(\{3, 4, 5, 6, 8\}), \quad (3.1)$$

that is, for every $h \in H$ there exists a PBD (X, \mathbf{B}) where $|X| = h$ and $|B| \in \{3, 4, 5, 6, 8\}$ for every block $B \in \mathbf{B}$

From (3.1) we have a GDD $[\{3, 4, 5, 6, 8\}, 1, \{1\}; h]$ for any $h \geq 3$. By Lemma 2.2 we need only to show the existence of a $(3s, 3, 1)$ -HPMD of type (3^s) for $s \in \{3, 4, 5, 6, 8\}$. For $s = 3, 4, 5, 8$, we apply Lemma 2.3 to obtain a GDD $[k, 1, 3; 3s]$ where $k = 3$ or 4 . For $s = 6$, we start with an RBIBD RB[3, 1; 15] and consider it as an RGDD[3, 1, 3; 15]. Taking three parallel classes and adding a new point to the blocks of each parallel class, we obtain a GDD $[\{3, 4\}, 1, 3; 18]$. For all these GDD $[\{3, 4\}, 1, 3; 3s]$, $s \in \{3, 4, 5, 6, 8\}$, we construct either a $(3, 3, 1)$ -PMD or a $(4, 3, 1)$ -PMD on each block and obtain a $(3s, 3, 1)$ -HPMD of type (3^s) . Here the required $(k, 3, 1)$ -PMD for $k = 3, 4$ comes from Lemma 2.4. The proof is now complete.

Corollary 3.2. *For $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $h \geq 3$, there is an $(mh, 3, \lambda)$ -HPMD of type (m^h) for any positive integer λ .*

Proof: The proof follows directly from Proposition 3.1 by taking repeated blocks.

Proposition 3.3. *For $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, $h \neq 6$ and $\lambda h(h - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, there is an $(mh, 3, \lambda)$ -HPMD of type (m^h) .*

Proof: By Lemma 2.4 an $(h, 3, \lambda)$ -PMD exists when $\lambda h(h - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $h \neq 6$. This solves the case of $m = 1$. It is also known by Lemma 2.3 that a TD[3; m] exists for $m \geq 2$. Applying Lemma 2.1 (2), we obtain an $(mh, 3, \lambda)$ -HPMD of type (m^h) . The proof is complete.

We shall now concentrate on the remaining case of $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, $h = 6$ and any λ .

Lemma 3.4. *A $(12t, 3, \lambda)$ -HPMD of type $((2t)^6)$ exists for any $t \geq 1$.*

Proof: Since a GDD[3, $\lambda, 2t; 12t$] exists from Lemma 2.3, we can construct a $(3, 3, 1)$ -PMD on each block to obtain the required HPMD.

For $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $2 \nmid m$, we can write $m = 6k + 5$ or $6k + 7$, $k \geq 0$.

Lemma 3.5. *A $(6m, 3, 1)$ -HPMD of type (m^6) exists for $m = 6k + 5 \geq 11$.*

Proof: First, we have an RGDD[3, 1, 3; $6k + 3$] from Lemma 2.5. Adding new points to two of the parallel classes and breaking up the blocks of sizes 3 and 4 with a $(3,3,1)$ -PMD and a $(4,3,1)$ -PMD, we obtain a $(6k + 5, 3, 1)$ -HPMD of type $(3^{2k+1}2^1)$, say (X, \mathbf{B}) where X_0 is a hole of size two and X_1, \dots, X_{2k+1} are holes of size three. Let $I = \{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$. We need the following idempotent Latin square of order 6:

$$A = (a_{ij}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 & 5 & 6 & 4 \\ 4 & 2 & 5 & 6 & 1 & 3 \\ 6 & 5 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 6 & 1 & 4 & 3 & 5 \\ 3 & 4 & 6 & 2 & 5 & 1 \\ 5 & 1 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

Next, for each block $B = (a, b, c)$ in the HPMD we form a set of new blocks

$$\mathbf{D}_B = \{((a, i), (b, j), (c, a_{ij})) \mid i, j \in I\}.$$

Since A is idempotent, \mathbf{D}_B contains a subset

$$I_B = \{((a, i)(b, i), (c, i)) \mid i \in I\}.$$

Let $\mathbf{B}_B = \mathbf{D}_B \setminus I_B$.

By Lemma 2.1(1), we know that $(X \times I, \cup_{B \in \mathbf{B}} \mathbf{D}_B)$ is a $(6m, 3, 1)$ -HPMD of type $(18^{2k+1}12^1)$ with holes $X_j \times I, 0 \leq j \leq 2k + 1$.

Finally, using Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.4, we construct an $(18,3,1)$ -HPMD or a $(12,3,1)$ -HPMD of type (3^6) or (2^6) , say $(X_j \times I, \mathbf{A}_j)$ for $0 \leq j \leq 2k + 1$ having holes $X_j \times \{i\}, i \in I$. Therefore, $(X \times I, (\cup_{B \in \mathbf{B}} \mathbf{D}_B) \cup (\cup_{0 \leq j \leq 2k+1} \mathbf{A}_j))$ is a $(6m, 3, 1)$ -HPMD of type $(3^{6(2k+1)}a2^6)$ having holes $X_j \times \{i\}, i \in I$ and $0 \leq j \leq 2k + 1$. This HPMD contains six sub-HPMD $(X \times \{i\}, \{((a, i), (b, i), (c, i)) \mid (a, b, c) \in \mathbf{B}\})$ each isomorphic to the original (X, \mathbf{B}) . Omitting the blocks in these sub-HPMDs, we know that $(X \times I, (\cup_{B \in \mathbf{B}} \mathbf{B}_B) \cup (\cup_{0 \leq j \leq 2k+1} \mathbf{A}_j))$ is a $(6m, 3, 1)$ -HPMD of type (m^6) having holes $X \times \{i\}, i \in I$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 3.6. *For $m = 6k + 5 \geq 11$, there exists a $(6m, 3, \lambda)$ -HPMD of type (m^6) for every positive integer λ .*

Proof: The proof follows directly from Lemma 3.5 by taking repeated blocks.

Lemma 3.7. *A $(6m, 3, \lambda)$ -HPMD of type (m^6) exists for $m = 6k + 7 \geq 13$.*

Proof: The proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.5 and Corollary 3.6. In this case, the starting HPMD becomes a $(6k + 7, 3, 1)$ -HPMD of type $(3^{2k+1}4^1)$. The required $(24,3,1)$ -HPMD of type (4^6) comes from Lemma 3.4.

Lemma 3.8. *A $(30, 3, 1)$ -HPMD of type (5^6) exists.*

Proof: Let $X = \mathbb{Z}_{25} \cup \{\infty_1, \dots, \infty_5\}$. Let $X_i = \{i, i+5, i+10, i+15, i+20\}$ for $0 \leq i \leq 4$ and $X_5 = \{\infty_1, \dots, \infty_5\}$. We can construct a $(30,3,1)$ -HPMD of type (5^6) on X having holes X_0, \dots, X_5 and blocks as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (0, 3, 7) & (\infty_1, 0, 1) \\ (0, 6, 8) & (\infty_2, 0, 8) \\ (0, 11, 9) & (\infty_3, 0, 9) \\ (0, 12, 1) & (\infty_4, 0, 19) \\ (0, 22, 18) & (\infty_5, 0, 13) \quad \text{developed mod 25.} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.9. *A $(42, 3, 1)$ -HPMD of type (7^6) exists.*

Proof: Let $X = \mathbb{Z}_{35} \cup \{\infty_1, \dots, \infty_7\}$. Let $X_i = \{i, i+5, i+10, i+15, i+20, i+25, i+30\}$ for $0 \leq i \leq 4$ and $X_5 = \{\infty_1, \dots, \infty_7\}$. We can construct on X a $(42,3,1)$ -HPMD of type (7^6) having holes X_0, \dots, X_5 and blocks as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (0, 3, 7) & (\infty_1, 0, 8) \\ (0, 6, 8) & (\infty_2, 0, 9) \\ (0, 11, 9) & (\infty_3, 0, 23) \\ (0, 12, 1) & (\infty_4, 0, 13) \\ (0, 32, 28) & (\infty_5, 0, 14) \\ (0, 16, 17) & (\infty_6, 0, 17) \\ (0, 19, 13) & (\infty_7, 0, 21) \quad \text{developed mod 35.} \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.10. *For $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, there is a $(6m, 3, \lambda)$ -HPMD of type (m^6) except for a nonexistent $(6, 3, 1)$ -PMD.*

Proof: When m is even, the conclusion follows from Lemma 3.4. When m is odd and $m \neq 1, 5, 7$, the conclusion follows from Corollary 3.6 and Lemma 3.7. By taking repeated blocks, Lemma 3.8 and Lemma 3.9 will take care of the cases $m = 5$ and 7 . When $m = 1$, the conclusion follows from Lemma 2.4.

Combining Propositions 3.1, 3.3 and 3.10, we obtain the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3.11. *An $(mh, 3, \lambda)$ -HPMD of type (m^h) exists if and only if*

$$\lambda h(h-1)m^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$

except for a nonexistent $(6, 3, 1)$ -PMD.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported in part by NSERC Grant A-5320 for the first author and by NSFC Grant 1880451 for the second. Part of the work was done while the second author was visiting Mount Saint Vincent University during the Fall of 1988, the hospitality of which is greatly acknowledged.

References

1. F.E. Bennett, *Direct constructions for perfect 3-cyclic designs*, Ann. Discrete Math., **15** (1982), 63–68.
2. F.E. Bennett, K.T. Phelps, C.A. Rodger and L. Zhu, *Constructions of perfect Mendelsohn designs*, Discrete Math., (to appear).
3. T. Beth, D. Jungnickel and H. Lenz, “Design Theory”, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
4. N.S. Mendelsohn, *A natural generalization of Steiner triple systems*, in “Computers in Number Theory”, (edited by A.O.L. Atkin and B.J. Birch), Academic Press, New York, 1971, pp. 323–338.
5. N.S. Mendelsohn, *Perfect cyclic designs*, Discrete Math. **20** (1977), 63–68.
6. L. Zhu, *Perfect Mendelsohn designs*, JCMCC **5** (1989), 43–54.