# On f-Edge Covered Critical Graphs \*

Jinbo Li College of Sciences, China University of Mining and Technology Xuzhou, P.R. China, 221116

> Guizhen Liu <sup>†</sup> School of Mathematics, Shandong University Jinan, P.R. China, 250100

Abstract Let G(V,E) be a simple graph, and let f be an integer function defined on V with  $1 \leq f(v) \leq d(v)$  to each vertex  $v \in V$ . An f-edge covered colouring is an edge colouring C such that each colour appears at each vertex v at least f(v) times. The maximum number of colours needed to f-edge covered colour G is called the f-edge covered chromatic index of G and denoted by  $\chi'_{fc}(G)$ . Any simple graph G has an f-edge covered chromatic index equal to  $\delta_f$  or  $\delta_f - 1$ , where  $\delta_f = \min\left\{\lfloor \frac{d(v)}{f(v)} \rfloor : v \in V(G)\right\}$ . Let G be a connected and not complete graph with  $\chi'_{fc} = \delta_f - 1$ . If for each  $u, v \in V$  and  $e = uv \notin E$ , we have  $\chi'_{fc}(G + e) > \chi'_{fc}(G)$ , then G is called an f-edge covered critical graph. In this paper, some properties on f-edge covered critical graph are discussed. It is proved that if G is an f-edge covered critical graph, then for each  $u, v \in V$  and  $e = uv \notin E$  there exists  $w \in \{u, v\}$  with  $d(w) \leq \delta_f(f(w) + 1) - 2$  such that w is adjacent to at least max  $\{d(w) - \delta_f f(w) + 1, (f(w) + 2)d(w) - \delta_f(f(w) + 1)^2 + f(w) + 3\}$  vertices which are all  $\delta_f$ -vertices in G.

**Keywords:** f-edge cover colouring; f-edge covered critical graph; f-edge cover chromatic index.

AMS subject classification: 05C15, 05C25

<sup>\*</sup>This work is supported by GIIFSDU (yzc09073), NSFC (10871119, 10901097), RFDP (200804220001) of China.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>The corresponding author: Guizhen Liu, email: gzliu@sdu.edu.cn, mail address: School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, P. R. China, 250100

#### 1 Introduction

Our terminologies and notations will be standard. The reader is referred to [1] for the undefined terms. Graphs in this paper are simple and connected, unless otherwise stated, i.e., they have no loops or multiple edges. We use V(G) and E(G) to denote the vertex set and the edge set of a graph G, respectively. Let  $N_G(v)$  denote the neighborhood of v and let d(v) = $|N_G(v)|$  be the degree of v in G. Let f be a positive integer function defined on V(G) such that  $1 \leq f(v) \leq d(v)$  for any  $v \in V$ . A k-edge coloring C of a graph G is an assignment of k colours,  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , to the edges of G. The colouring C is proper if no two adjacent edges have the same colour. Unless otherwise stated, the edge colouring of graphs in this paper are not necessarily proper. An f-edge covered colouring is an edge colouring C such that each colour appears at each vertex v at least f(v) times. The maximum number of colours needed to f-edge covered colour G is called the f-edge covered chromatic index of G and denoted by  $\chi'_{fc}(G)$ . In our daily life many problems on optimization and network design, e.g., coding design, building blocks, the file transfer problem on computer networks, schedule problems and so on [6], are related to the f-edge cover colouring which is firstly presented by Song and Liu [7].

Let

$$\delta_f = \min\{\lfloor \frac{d(v)}{f(v)} \rfloor : v \in V(G)\},\$$

in which  $\lfloor \frac{d(v)}{f(v)} \rfloor$  is the largest integer not greater than  $\frac{d(v)}{f(v)}$ . Since  $d(v) \geq f(v)\chi_{fc}'(G)$ ,  $\chi_{fc}'(G) \leq \delta_f$ . If G is a multigraph and  $u, v \in V(G)$ , let E(uv) be the set of edges joining u and v in G. The multiplicity  $\mu(uv)$  of edge uv is the size of E(uv). Set  $\mu(v) = \max\{\mu(uv) : u, v \in V(G)\}$ ,  $\mu(G) = \max\{\mu(v) : v \in V(G)\}$ , which are respectively called the multiplicity of a vertex v and the multiplicity of graph G. Song and Liu studied the bound of f-edge cover chromatic index of multigraphs and obtained the following result [7]. If there is no confusion, we use V, E, N(v) and  $\delta_f$  instead of V(G), E(G),  $N_G(v)$  and  $\delta_f(G)$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** [7] Let G be a multigraph. Let f(v), d(v), and  $\delta_f$  be defined as earlier. Then

$$\min_{v \in V} \{ \lfloor \frac{d(v) - \mu(v)}{f(v)} \rfloor \le \chi'_{fc}(G) \le \delta_f.$$

When G is a simple graph, we have  $\mu(v) = 1$  for each  $v \in V$ . Therefore the following corollary holds.

Corollary 1.2. Let G be a graph. Let f(v), d(v), and  $\delta_f$  be defined as earlier. Then

$$\delta_f - 1 \le \min_{v \in V} \{ \lfloor \frac{d(v) - 1}{f(v)} \rfloor \} \le \chi'_{fc}(G) \le \delta_f.$$

Clearly, the f-edge cover colouring is trivial if  $\delta_f=1$ . So, in the following we can suppose that  $\delta_f\geq 2$ . From the above corollary, we can see that the f-edge cover chromatic index of any graph G  $\delta_f\geq 2$  must be equal to  $\delta_f$  or  $\delta_f-1$ . This immediately gives us a simple way of classifying graphs into two types according to  $\chi'_{fc}(G)$ . More precisely, we say that G is of  $f_c$ -class 1 if  $\chi'_{fc}(G)=\delta_f$ , and that G is of  $f_c$ -class 2 if  $\chi'_{fc}(G)=\delta_f-1$ . In general, the problem of determining the f-edge cover chromatic index of graphs is NP-hard because deciding edge covering chromatic index of graphs is NP-complete [10], which is the special case of our general edge covering problem.

If f(v) = 1 for any  $v \in V(G)$ , then the f-edge cover colouring problem reduces to the classical edge covering colouring of G which is an edge colouring such that the edges assigned the same colour formed an edge cover of G. The edge cover chromatic index  $\chi'_c(G)$  of G is the maximum size of a partition of E(G) into edge covers of G. By Corollary 1.2,

$$\delta-1\leq\chi_c^{'}(G)\leq\delta,$$

which is also an important result of Gupta [2].

Let G be a connected and not complete graph with  $\chi'_{fc}(G) = \delta_f(G) - 1$ . If for any  $u, v \in V$  and  $e = uv \notin E$ ,  $\chi'_{fc}(G + e) = \delta_f(G)$ , then G is called an f-edge covered critical graph.  $e = uv \notin E$  is called a critical edge of G.

We call v a  $\delta_f$ -vertex if  $d(v) = \delta_f f(v)$ . In [11], Wang, et. al. studied some properties on the f-edge covered critical graph and obtained the following result.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let G be an f-edge covered critical graph. Then for each  $u, v \in V$  and  $e = uv \notin E$ , there exists  $w \in \{u, v\}$  with  $d(w) \leq \delta_f(f(w) + 1) - 2$  such that w is adjacent to at least  $d(w) - \delta_f f(w) + 1$  vertices which are all  $\delta_f$ -vertex in G.

If f(v) = 1 for all  $v \in V$ , then the  $\delta_f$ -vertex is the vertex with minimum degree  $\delta$ . Song and Liu [8] studied the properties of edge covered critical graph and gave a result which can also be obtained by Theorem 1.3.

Corollary 1.4. Let G be an edge covered critical graph. Then for each  $u, v \in V$  and  $e = uv \notin E$ , there exists  $w \in \{u, v\}$  with  $d(w) \leq 2\delta - 2$  such that w is adjacent to at least  $d(w) - \delta + 1$  vertices of degree  $\delta$  in G.

In this paper, we also studied some properties on the f-edge covered critical graph. The following theorem is our main result.

**Theorem 1.5.** Let G be an f-edge covered critical graph. Then for each  $u, v \in V$  and  $e = uv \notin E$ , there exists  $w \in \{u, v\}$  with  $d(w) \leq \delta_f(f(w)+1)-2$  such that w is adjacent to at least  $(f(w)+2)d(w)-\delta_f(f(w)+1)^2+f(w)+3$  vertices which are all  $\delta_f$ -vertex in G.

The following result obtained by Song and Liu [8] can be easily obtained by Theorem 1.5.

Corollary 1.6. Let G be an edge covered critical graph. Then for each  $u, v \in V$  and  $e = uv \notin E$ , there exists  $w \in u, v$  with  $d(w) \leq 2\delta - 2$  such that w is adjacent to at least  $3d(w) - 4\delta + 4$  vertices of degree  $\delta$  in G.

By Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.5, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.7. Let G be an edge covered critical graph. Then for each  $u, v \in V$  and  $e = uv \notin E$ , there exists  $w \in u, v$  with  $d(w) \leq 2\delta - 2$  such that w is adjacent to at least max  $\{d(w) - \delta_f f(w) + 1, (f(w) + 2)d(w) - \delta_f (f(w) + 1)^2 + f(w) + 3\}$  vertices of degree  $\delta$  in G.

# 2 Definitions and preliminary results

Given a k-edge colouring C of a graph G, we shall denote by  $c_i(v)$  the number of colour i represented at v. For any  $v \in V$  and  $1 \le i \le k$ , let

$$\sigma_i(v) = \max\{0, f(v) - c_i(v)\}, \ \sigma(v) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i(v).$$

For any  $v \in V$  and  $1 \le i \le k$ , if  $c_i(v) > f(v)$ , i is called an u-proper colour of v, and each edge coloured i incident v is called an u-proper edge of v. Correspondingly, f-proper colour of v and f-proper edge of v are defined when  $c_i(v) = f(v)$ , l-proper colour of v and l-proper edge of v are defined when  $c_i(v) < f(v)$ . Clearly, C is an f-edge cover colouring of G if and only if  $\sigma(v) = 0$  for all vertex  $v \in V$ . Let G be an f-edge covered critical graph. Then for each  $u, v \in V$  and  $e = uv \notin E$  there exists an f-edge cover colouring of G + e with  $\delta_f$  colours. Restricting this colouring to G, we get a  $\delta_f$ -edge colouring C of G which satisfies:

- (1)  $\sigma(x) = 0$ , for all  $x \in V \{u, v\}$ .
- (2)  $\sigma(u) = 1 \text{ or } \sigma(v) = 1.$

Let C be a k-edge colouring of G and  $\sigma = \sum_{v \in V} \sigma(v)$ . If we can recolour the edges of G with k colours and get a new colouring C' of G such that  $\sigma' < \sigma$ , we say that C' is an *improved colouring* of G. If C can not be improved, we call C a good colouring of G. Clearly, for an f-edge covered critical graph G and a critical edge  $e = uv \notin E$ , there must be a good colouring of G with  $\delta_f$  colours satisfies (1) and (2).

Let C be an edge colouring of a graph G, and let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two of the used colours. Let  $G(v; \beta, \alpha)$  be the component containing v of the subgraph of G induced by the edges coloured  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . A  $(\beta, \alpha)$  exchange chain L of G is a sequence  $(v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, \dots, v_{r-1}, e_r, v_r)$  of vertices and edges of G in which

- (a) For  $1 \le i \le r$ , the vertices  $v_{i-1}$  and  $v_i$  are distinct and both incident with the edge  $e_i$ ,
- (b) The edges are all distinct and are coloured alternately by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ,
- (c)  $e_1$  is coloured by  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha(v_0) > \beta(v_0)$ . Similarly, let  $\gamma$  denote the colour of  $e_r$  and  $\overline{\gamma}$  denote the other colour of  $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ . Then  $\gamma(v_r) > \overline{\gamma}(v_r)$ .

A  $(\beta, \alpha)$  exchange chain L is called *minimal* if there does not exist another  $(\beta, \alpha)$  exchange chain L' which is starting at the same vertex as L and  $L' \subset L$ .

A connected graph G is called Eulerian if each vertex of G has even degree. Let  $E(i) = \{e \in E : C(e) = i\}$ . A subgraph H of G is called an obstruction (about C), if  $H = G(v_0; \beta, \alpha)$  is Eulerian, |E(H)| is odd,  $\alpha(x) = \beta(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in V(H) - \{v\}$  and  $\alpha(v) = \beta(v) + 2 = f(v) + 1$ . We shall use the following lemma which can be found in [11].

**Lemma 2.1.** Let C be a given good colouring of an f-edge covered critical graph G with  $\delta_f$  colours, and a critical edge  $e = uv \notin E$ . If  $w \in \{u, v\}$ ,  $\sigma(w) = 1$ ,  $\alpha(w) > f(w)$  and  $\beta(w) < f(w)$ , then  $H = G(w; \alpha, \beta)$  is an obstruction and  $d(w) \leq \delta_f(f(w) + 1) - 2$ .

## 3 The Proof of Theorem 1.5

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.5. Let  $v \in V(G)$  and  $N_d(w) = \{w\} \cup \{y \in V(G) : yw \in E(G), yw \text{ is coloured by an } u\text{-proper colour of } w\}$ . Firstly, we give two important lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. Let C be a given good colouring of an f-edge covered critical graph G with  $\delta_f$  colours, and a critical edge  $e = uv \notin E$ . If  $w \in \{u, v\}$  such that  $\sigma(w) = 1$ , then for any two vertices  $u_1, u_2 \in N_d(w)$ , the u-proper colours of  $u_1$  and the u-proper colours of  $u_2$  are all different and all the u-proper colours of each vertex in  $N_d(w) - \{w\}$  are the f-proper colours of w.

**Proof.** Since G is an f-edge covered critical graph, there is a good colouring C of G with  $\delta_f$  colours and for each  $e = uv \notin E$  there exists  $w \in \{u, v\}$ ,  $\sigma(w) = 1$ . Without loss of generality, suppose that w = u, so we suppose  $\alpha(u) < f(u)$ .

If  $x \in N_d(w)$  and  $\alpha$  is an *u*-proper colour of x, then  $x \neq u$  and  $\beta = c(ux)$  is an *u*-proper colour of u. Let  $H = G(u; \alpha, \beta)$ . Then H contains x, and  $\alpha(x) > f(x)$ , contradicting to Lemma 2.1. So all the *u*-proper colours of each vertex in  $N_d(w)$  are the *u*-proper colours or f-proper colours of w.

Let  $u_1, u_2 \in N_d(u)$ ,  $u_1 \neq u_2$ ,  $\gamma$  is one of the common *u*-proper colours of  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ . There are three cases.

Case 1.  $u \in \{u_1, u_2\}$ , Without loss of generality, we set  $u = u_1$ ,  $\beta = c(uu_2)$ . If  $\beta = \gamma$ , it is clearly that  $\beta$  is a common u-proper colour of u and  $u_2$ . Recolour  $uu_2$  with  $\alpha$ , then  $\alpha(u) \geq f(u)$ ,  $\beta(u) \geq f(u)$  and for any  $v \in V - \{u\}$ ,  $\sigma(v)$  is not changed, a contradiction. If  $\beta \neq \gamma$ , then  $\beta$  is a l-proper colour of  $u_2$ . In  $G - uu_2$ , choose a minimal  $(\beta, \gamma)$  exchange chain L starting at  $u_2$ . L must be in one of the following three cases.

- (1) L does not stop at  $u_2$  or u.
- (2) L stops at u.
- (3) L stops at  $u_2$  with an edge coloured by  $\gamma$ .

We first exchange the two colours on L. If one of the cases (1) and (2) happens, recolour  $uu_2$  by  $\alpha$ , so we can get an improved colouring, a contradiction. When (3) happens, recolour  $uu_2$  be  $\gamma$ , then  $\gamma(u) \geq f(u) + 2$  and  $\alpha(u) \leq f(u) - 1$ . Thus the new  $G(u; \gamma, \alpha)$  is not an obstruction, a contradiction.

Case 2.  $u \notin \{u_1, u_2\}$ , and  $c(uu_1) = c(uu_2) = \beta$ . By the analysis of Case 1,  $\gamma$  is not one of the u-proper colours of u. Choose two minimal  $(\alpha, \gamma)$  exchange chain starting at  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , respectively. If they both stop with an edge coloured by  $\gamma$  at  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , respectively, then go on the choices by the edges coloured by  $\alpha$  incident with  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ . Since  $\alpha(u) < f(u)$  and  $\gamma(u) = f(u)$ , there exists a  $(\alpha, \gamma)$  exchange chain doesn't stop at u. Suppose that  $(\alpha, \gamma)$  exchange chain  $L_1$  starting at  $u_1$  doesn't stop at u.

It is clearly that  $L_1$  doesn't stop at  $u_1$  and doesn't contain u. We first exchange the two colours on  $L_1$ , so  $\alpha$  is an u-proper colour of  $u_1$  and  $uu_1$  is an u-proper edge of u in the new colouring. So the new colouring we obtained is a good colouring. Let  $H = G(u; \alpha, \beta)$ . Then H contains  $u_1$  and  $\alpha(u_1) \geq f(u_1) + 1$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $G(u; \alpha, \beta)$  is not an obstruction, a contradiction.

Case 3.  $u \notin \{u_1, u_2\}$ , and  $\beta_1 = c(uu_1) \neq c(uu_2) = \beta_2$ . With the analysis above,  $\gamma \neq \beta_1, \beta_2$  and the minimal  $(\beta_1, \gamma)$  exchange chain  $L_1$  must stop at  $u_1$  with an edge coloured by  $\gamma$ . Exchange the two colours of  $L_1$  and recolour  $uu_1$  by  $\gamma$ , then  $\gamma$  is one of the common u-proper colours of u and  $u_2$ , and  $uu_2$  is an u-proper edge of u, a contradiction.

From the analysis above, we prove that for any two vertices  $u_1, u_2 \in N_d(w)$ , the *u*-proper colours of  $u_1$  and the *u*-proper colours of  $u_2$  are all different and all the *u*-proper colours of each vertex in  $N_G(w) - \{w\}$  are the *f*-proper colours of w. The proof is completed.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let C be a given good colouring of an f-edge covered critical graph G with  $\delta_f$  colours, and a critical edge  $e = uv \notin E$ . If  $w \in \{u, v\}$ ,  $\sigma(w) = 1$ , then w is adjacent to at least  $(f(w) + 2)d(w) - \delta_f(f(w) + 1)^2 + f(w) + 3$  vertices which are all  $\delta_f$ -vertex in G.

**Proof.** Set k be the number of the  $\delta_f$ -vertex adjacent to w in G. Then there is at most k  $\delta_f$ -vertex in  $N_d(w) - \{w\}$ . It is easy to prove that each colour is represented at v at most f(v) + 1 times for any  $v \in N_d(w)$ . By Lemma 3.1, for any two vertices  $u_1, u_2 \in N_d(w)$ , the u-proper colours of  $u_1$  and the u-proper colours of  $u_2$  are all different and all the u-proper colours of each vertex in  $N_d(w) - w$  are the f-proper colours of w. Then we have

$$d(w) - (\delta_f f(w) - 1) + \sum_{y \in N_d(w) - \{w\}} (d(y) - \delta_f f(y)) \le \delta_f - 1$$

and

$$|N_d(w)| = (f(w) + 1)(d(w) - \delta_f f(w) + 1) + 1.$$

So we have

$$d(w) - (\delta_f f(w) - 1) + (f(w) + 1)(d(w) - \delta_f f(w) + 1) - k \le \delta_f - 1.$$

Thus

$$k \ge (f(w) + 2)d(w) - \delta_f(f(w) + 1)^2 + f(w) + 3.$$

It is to say that w is adjacent to at least  $(f(w) + 2)d(w) - \delta_f(f(w) + 1)^2 + f(w) + 3$  vertices which are all  $\delta_f$ -vertex in G. The proof is completed.

**Proof of Theorem 1.5.** Since G is an f-edge covered critical graph, for each  $u, v \in V$  and  $e = uv \notin E$ , G + e can be f-edge cover coloured by  $\delta_f$  colours. So there exists a good colouring of G with  $\delta_f$  colours, and satisfies  $w \in \{u, v\}$ ,  $\sigma(w) = 1$ . By Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2,  $d(w) \leq \delta_f(f(w) + 1) - 2$  and w is adjacent to at least  $(f(w) + 2)d(w) - \delta_f(f(w) + 1)^2 + f(w) + 3$  vertices which are all  $\delta_f$ -vertex in G.

Other interesting results on the f-edge cover colouring and f-edge colouring can be found in [3], [4], [5], [9] and [12]. Finally, we present the following problems.

**Problem 3.3.** Can we find other properties of f-edge covered critical graphs?

**Problem 3.4.** What kind of graphs have the property that  $\chi'_{fc}(G) = \delta_f$ ?

#### Acknowledgments

The authors are indebted to anonymous referees for their valuable comments.

### References

- [1] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, Graph theory with applications, MacMillan, London, 1976.
- [2] R.P. Gupta, On decompositions of a multigraph into spanning subgraphs, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 80(1974), 500-502.
- [3] A.J.W Hilton and D. Werra, A sufficient condition for equitble edge colouring of simple graphs, *Discrete Math.*, 128 (1994), 179-201.
- [4] A.J.W Hilton, Colouring the edges of a multigraph so that each vertex has at most j, or at least j edges of each colour on it, J. London Math. Soc., 12(1975), 123-128.
- [5] S.L. Hakimi, O. Kariv, A generalization of edge-colouring in graphs, J. Graph Theory 10(1986) 139-154.
- [6] S. Nakano, T. Nishizeki, Scheduling file transfers under port and channel constrains, *International Journal of Foundation of Computer Science* 4(1993) 101-115.

- [7] H. Song and G. Liu, On f-Edge Cover-Colouring of Graphs, Acta Math. Sinica 48 (2005), 919-928.
- [8] H. Song and G. Liu, Some properties of Edge Covered Critical Graphs, Advances in Mathematics (China) 33 (2004), 96-102.
- [9] J. Wang, Edge Cover Colouring and Fractional Edge Colouring of Graphs, Ph.D. Thesis of Shandong University, (2006).
- [10] J. Wang, X. Zhang and G. Liu, Edge Covering Colouring of Nearly Bipartite Graphs, J. Appl. Math. and Computing, 22(2006), 435-440.
- [11] J. Wang, J. Hou and G. Liu, Some Properties on f-Edge Covered Critical Graphs, J. Appl. Math. and Computing, 24(2007), 357-366.
- [12] X. Zhang and G. Liu, Some sufficient conditions for a graph to be of  $C_f$  1, Appl. Math. Lett. 19 (2006), 38-44.