On the Second Neighborhood Conjecture

Rui Xu *

Department of Mathematics University of West Georgia, Carrollton, GA 30118, USA E-mail: xu@westga.edu

Abstract

Seymour's Second Neighborhood Conjecture claims that every simple digraph has a vertex whose first neighborhood is at most as large as its second neighborhood. We confirm this conjecture for neighbor-connection free simple digraphs and distance-two simple digraphs. As a consequence, the conjecture is true for triangle free digraphs and 4-cycle free digraphs.

Keywords: first neighborhood; second neighborhood; triangle free digraph.

1 Introduction

A digraph is simple if it has no loops, parallel edges or directed 2-cycle. Let D=(V,A) be a digraph with vertex set V and arc set A. For any $v \in V$, we define $N_D^+(v) = \{u|(v,u) \in A\}$ and call it the first neighborhood of v and $N_D^{++}(v) = \{u|u \text{ is distance 2 from } v\}$ and call it the second neighborhood of v. We denote $d_D^+(v) = |N_D^+(v)|$, $d_D^{++}(v) = |N_D^{++}(v)|$. We call a digraph D neighbor-connection free if for any vertex v of D, there is no arc joining two vertices of $N_D^+(v)$. A digraph D is distance-two simple if for any two

^{*}Research is partially supported by the 2011-2012 COSM Research Incentive Award of University of West Georgia.

distance-two vertices u and v, say the distance from u to v is 2, there exists exactly one directed 2-path from u to v. The underlying graph of a digraph D is a graph G obtained from D by removing the direction of each arc of D and change it to an undirected edge. Note that it is possible that the underlying graph of a neighbor-connection free (resp. distance-two simple) digraph contains 3-cycles (resp. 4-cycles). A digraph is triangle free if its underlying graph contains no 3-cycle. A digraph is 4-cycle free if its underlying graph contains no 4-cycle. Clearly a triangle free digraph is neighbor-connection free and any 4-cycle free digraph is distance-two simple.

In 1990, Seymour made the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1.1 ([2]) (The Second Neighborhood Conjecture). Every simple digraph D has a vertex v such that $d_D^+(v) \leq d_D^{++}(v)$.

This conjecture restricted to tournaments is known as Dean's conjecture [2] which was confirmed by Fisher [4] in 1996. In 2000, Havet and Thomassé [6] proved Dean's conjecture using a new method and this method is later applied by Fidler and Yuster [3] in 2007 to prove the Second Neighborhood Conjecture for tournaments missing the edges of a complete graph and tournaments missing a matching. Most recently, Ghazal [5] proved the weighted version of the Second Neighborhood Conjecture for any tournament missing a generalized star.

For general digraphs, Chen et al. [1] proved that every digraph contains a vertex v such that $d_D^+(v) \leq \gamma d_D^{++}(v)$, where $\gamma = 0.657298...$ is the unique real root of the equation $2x^3 + x^2 - 1 = 0$. In addition, Kaneko and Locke [7] proved the Second Neighborhood Conjecture for digraphs with minimum outdegree at most 6.

In this paper, we focus on neighbor-connection free simple digraphs and distance-two simple digraphs and confirm the Second Neighborhood Conjecture for these two families of graphs.

Theorem 1.2 The Second Neighborhood Conjecture is true for neighbor-connection free simple digraphs and distance-two simple digraphs.

Since each triangle free digraph is neighbor-connection free and each 4-cycle free digraph is distance-two simple, then we have the following:

Corollary 1.3 The Second Neighborhood Conjecture is true for triangle free simple digraphs and 4-cycle free simple digraphs.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let D be a neighbor-connection free digraph or a distance-two simple digraph. Pick a vertex v of D such that $d_D^+(v)$ is minimum. We are to show that $d_D^+(v) \leq d_D^{++}(v)$ as follows:

If $d_D^+(v)=0$, then $d_D^+(v)=0 \le d_D^{++}(v)$. So we may assume that $d_D^+(v)\ge 1$. For convenience, let $N_D^+(v)=\{u_1,u_2,\cdots,u_k\}$ with $k\ge 1$. By the choice of v, we have $d_D^+(u_i)\ge d_D^+(v)=k$ for $1\le i\le k$.

If D is a neighbor-connection free graph, then for any $i \neq j$, $(u_i, u_j) \notin A$. Therefore, for any $(u_i, x) \in A$, we have $x \in N_D^{++}(v)$. But $d_D^+(u_i) \geq d_D^+(v) = k$, then $d_D^+(v) = k \leq d_D^+(u_i) = |N_D^+(u_i)| \leq d_D^{++}(v)$.

So we may assume that G is distance-two simple. Note that $|N_D^+(v)\setminus\{u_i\}|=k-1$ and $d_D^+(u_i)\geq d_D^+(v)=k$. Then for each i with $1\leq i\leq k$, there is at least one $w_i\in N_D^+(u_i)\setminus N_D^+(v)$. Clearly, the distance from v to each w_i is 2, where $1\leq i\leq k$. Since D is distance-two simple, then $w_i\neq w_j$ if $i\neq j$. Therefore $d_D^+(v)=k\leq d_D^{++}(v)$.

By Corrollary 1.3 the Second Neighborhood Conjecture holds for triangle free simple digraphs as well as 4-cycle free simple digraphs. It would be interesting to prove the conjecture for digraphs with many triangles such as directed line graphs, or more generally, claw-free digraphs. So we post here two weak versions of the Second Neighborhood Conjecture.

Problem 2.1 The Second Neighborhood Conjecture is true for directed line graphs.

Problem 2.2 The Second Neighborhood Conjecture is true for claw-free digraphs.

Note that any line graph is a claw-free graph, so Problem 2.2 is stronger than Problem 2.1. Since any complete graph is claw-free, Problem 2.2 implies Dean's Conjecture.

References

- [1] G. Chen, J. Shen, and R. Yuster, Second neighborhood via first neighborhood, Ann. Comb. 7 (2003), 15-20.
- [2] N. Dean and B. J. Latka, Squaring the tournament: an open problem, Congr. Numer. 109 (1995), 73-80.
- [3] D. Fidler and R. Yuster, Remarks on the second neighborhood problem, J. Graph Theory 55 (2007), 208-220.
- [4] D. Fisher, Squaring a tournament: a proof of Deans conjecture, J. Graph Theory 23 (1996), 43-48.
- [5] S. Ghazal, Seymour's Second Neighborhood Conjecture for Tournaments Missing a Generalized Star, J. Graph Theory. (2011) doi: 10.1002/jgt.20634
- [6] F. Havet and S. Thomassé, Median orders of tournaments: a tool for the second neighborhood problem and sumners conjecture, J. Graph Theory 35 (2000), 244-256.
- [7] Y. Kaneko and S. C. Locke, Theminimum degree approach for Paul Seymour's distance 2 conjecture, Congr. Numer. 148 (2001), 201-206.