

# On the Erdős-Sós Conjecture and double-brooms

Gary Tiner  
Faulkner University  
gtiner@faulkner.edu

## Abstract

Let  $G$  be a graph with average degree greater than  $k - 2$ . Erdős and Sós conjectured that  $G$  contains every tree on  $k$  vertices. A *star* is a tree consisting of one center vertex adjacent to all the other vertices, and a *double-broom* is a tree made up of two stars and a path connecting the center of one star with the center of the other. If the path connecting the two stars has length 2 or 3, then  $G$  contains the double-broom (unpublished). In this paper, we prove that  $G$  contains every double-broom on  $k$  vertices.

## 1 Introduction

The average degree of graph  $G$  is denoted  $\bar{d}(G)$  and is equal to  $2e(G)/|V(G)|$ . Erdős and Gallai [3] proved that if  $\bar{d}(G) > k - 2$ , then  $G$  contains a path on  $k$  vertices. Subsequently, Erdős and Sós conjectured the following.

**Erdős-Sós Conjecture.** *If  $G$  is a graph with  $\bar{d}(G) > k - 2$ , then  $G$  contains every tree on  $k$  vertices.*

Various special cases of the conjecture have been proven. Many place restrictions on the graph  $G$ . The cases where  $G$  has number of vertices  $k, k + 1, k + 2$ , or  $k + 3$  were proved by Zhou [11], Slater, Teo, and Yap [6], Woźniak [10], and Tiner [8], respectively. The number of edges on a path is its *length*. The *diameter* of a graph  $G$ ,  $\text{diam}(G)$ , is the length of a longest path in  $G$ . Eaton and Tiner [2] proved the conjecture holds if  $\text{diam}(G)$  is less than  $k + 3$ .

Other cases that have been proven place restrictions on the class of trees. Sidorenko proved the conjecture holds for every tree with a vertex

having at least  $\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil - 1$  leaf-neighbors. Eaton and Tiner [1] improved this to include every tree with a vertex having at least  $\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil - 2$  leaf-neighbors.

A *spider* is a tree with one vertex of degree at least 3, called the *center*, and all others with degree at most 2. Woźniak [10] proved the conjecture for spiders of diameter at most 4. McLennan [5] improved this to include all trees of diameter at most 4. A *leg* of the spider is a path from the center to a vertex of degree one. Fan and Sun [4] proved the conjecture for spiders with no leg of length greater than 4. Sun [7] improved this to include all spiders of diameter at most 9.

A *double-broom* is a tree made up of two stars and a path connecting the center of one star with the center of the other. It is mentioned in [10] that if  $\bar{d}(G) > k - 2$  and the path between the two stars of the double-broom has either 2 or 3 edges, then  $G$  contains the double-broom (unpublished). We prove the following.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $G$  is a graph with  $\bar{d}(G) > k - 2$ , then  $G$  contains every double-broom on  $k$  vertices.*

For standard notation and terminology in graph theory, see [9]. Let  $G$  be a graph. For two subgraphs  $C, D \subseteq G$ , the set of edges with one endpoint in  $V(C)$  and one in  $V(D)$  is  $E(C, D)$ ; the number of edges in  $E(C, D)$  is  $e(C, D)$ . The subgraph induced by  $V(C)$  is  $G[C]$ , its edge-set is  $E(C, C)$  or simply  $E(C)$ , and  $e(C)$  is the number of edges in  $E(C)$ . The subgraph  $G - V(C)$ , or simply  $G - C$ , is obtained from  $G$  by deleting  $V(C)$  and the set of edges with an endpoint in  $V(C)$ . Choose  $A, B \subseteq V(G)$  and let  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ . If  $ab \in E(G)$ , then the vertex  $a$  *hits*  $B$  and the subset  $B$  *hits*  $A$ .

The number of edges with at least one endpoint in  $A$  is  $e_G^*(A)$  or simply  $e^*(A)$ . Notice that  $e^*(A) = \sum_{v \in A} d(v) - e(A) = e(A) + e(A, G - A)$ , and

$$\sum_{v \in A} d(v) = 2e^*(A) - e(A, G - A). \quad (1)$$

A proof of the following lemma is in [1].

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $G$  be a graph with  $\bar{d}(G) > k - 2$ . Let  $W \subsetneq V(G)$  and  $G' = G - W$ . If  $e^*(W) \leq \frac{1}{2}(k - 2)|W|$ , then  $\bar{d}(G') > k - 2$ .*

The minimum degree among all vertices in  $G$  is  $\delta(G)$ . For a natural number  $m$ , a graph  $G$  is *minimal* with  $\bar{d}(G) > m$  if  $\bar{d}(G') \leq m$  whenever  $G'$  is a proper subgraph of  $G$ .

The following corollary follows from Lemma 2 and Identity (1) above.

**Corollary 3.** *If a graph  $G$  is minimal with  $\bar{d}(G) > k - 2$  and  $W \subsetneq V(G)$ , then  $e_G^*(W) > \frac{1}{2}|W|(k - 2)$ . In particular,*

$$(i) \delta(G) \geq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor, \text{ and } (ii) \sum_{v \in W} d(v) > |W|(k - 2) - e(W, G - W).$$

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

In this section we prove that if  $G$  is a graph with  $\bar{d}(G) > k - 2$ , then  $G$  contains every double-broom on  $k$  vertices.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $G$  be a graph that is minimal with  $\bar{d}(G) > k - 2$ , and let  $W$  be a subset of  $V(G)$ , where  $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor \leq |W| \leq k - 2$ . If each vertex in  $W$  has degree at most  $|W|$ , then a vertex in  $W$  hits  $(k - 1) - |W|$  vertices outside of  $W$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $W' = V(G - W)$  and to the contrary, suppose that each vertex in  $W$  hits at most  $(k - 2) - |W|$  vertices in  $W'$ . It follows that  $e(W, W') \leq |W|(k - 2 - |W|)$ . By Corollary 3(ii), we have

$$\sum_{v \in W} d(v) > |W|(k - 2) - |W|(k - 2 - |W|) = |W|^2$$

which implies a vertex in  $W$  has degree greater than  $|W|$ , a contradiction. Therefore, a vertex in  $W$  hits at least  $(k - 1) - |W|$  vertices in  $V(G - W)$ .  $\square$

Let  $P$  be an  $r$ -path in a graph  $G$ , where  $P = v_1, \dots, v_r$ . A *path on the vertex set  $V(P)$* , or simply a *path on  $V(P)$* , is an  $r$ -path in  $G$  whose vertex set is  $V(P)$ . For distinct vertices  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  on the path  $P$ , if there is a path on  $V(P)$  whose end-vertices are  $v_i$  and  $v_j$ , then it is a  $v_i, v_j$ -*path on  $V(P)$* . For a vertex  $v_t$  on the path  $P$ ,

$$\alpha(P, v_t) = \{v_s \in V(P) : \text{there is a } v_s, v_t\text{-path on } V(P)\}.$$

For each  $v_i \in N_P(v_1)$ , the path  $v_{i-1}, \dots, v_1, v_i, \dots, v_r$  is a  $v_{i-1}, v_r$ -path on  $V(P)$ . It follows that  $v_{i-1} \in \alpha(P, v_r)$ , and  $e(v_1, P) \leq |\alpha(P, v_r)|$ . We state this more generally in the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.** *If  $P$  is a path in a graph  $G$ , where  $P = v_1, \dots, v_r$ , then  $e(v_i, P) \leq |\alpha(P, v_r)|$  for all  $v_i \in \alpha(P, v_r)$ .*

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $G$  be a graph that is minimal with  $\bar{d}(G) > k - 2$ . Let  $Q$  be a path in  $G$ , where  $Q = v_1, \dots, v_r$ , and let  $W = \alpha(Q, v_r)$ . If  $N(W) \subseteq V(Q)$ , then  $W$  hits a vertex in  $\{v_{k-1}, \dots, v_r\}$  and  $r \geq k - 1$ .*

*Proof.* We will prove that  $W$  hits a vertex in  $\{v_{k-1}, \dots, v_r\}$  which implies that  $r \geq k - 1$ . If  $|W| \geq k - 1$ , then either  $W = \{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$  or the maximal  $i$  such that  $v_i \in W$  is greater than  $k - 1$ , and in either case, the claim is obvious. Otherwise  $|W| \leq k - 2$ . Since  $N(v_1) \subseteq V(Q)$  and  $d(v_1) \geq \delta(G) \geq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$  (by Corollary 3(i)), we see that  $|W| \geq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$  (by Lemma 5). By Lemma 4, a vertex  $v_i$  in  $W$  hits at least  $(k - 1) - |W|$  vertices outside of  $W$ . Therefore  $v_i$  hits a vertex in  $\{v_{k-1}, \dots, v_r\}$ .  $\square$

Let  $G$  be a graph with  $\bar{d}(G) > k - 2$ . Erdős and Gallai [3] proved that  $G$  contains a path on  $k$  vertices. Now suppose  $G$  is minimal with  $\bar{d}(G) > k - 2$ . For an arbitrary vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , let  $P$  be a longest path in  $G$  having  $v$  as one end-vertex. By our choice of  $P$ , we see that  $N(\alpha(P, v)) \subseteq V(P)$ , and therefore the path  $P$  has at least  $k - 1$  vertices (by Lemma 6). We state this as a corollary.

**Corollary 7.** *Let  $G$  be a graph that is minimal with  $\bar{d}(G) > k - 2$ . If  $v \in V(G)$ , then there is a  $(k - 1)$ -path in  $G$  having  $v$  as one end-vertex.*

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $G$  be a graph that is minimal with  $\bar{d}(G) > k - 2$ , and let  $P$  be a path in  $G$ , where  $P = v_1, \dots, v_r$ . If  $r \leq k - 2$ , then a vertex in  $\alpha(P, v_1)$  hits  $\lfloor \frac{1}{2}(k - r) \rfloor$  vertices outside of  $V(P)$ .*

*Proof.* By Corollary 7, an  $r$ -path beginning with vertex  $v_1$  exists. Let  $W = \alpha(P, v_1)$ . For each  $v_i \in W$ , we have  $e(v_i, P) \leq |W|$  (by Lemma 5). To the contrary, suppose  $e(v_i, G - P) \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(k - r) \rfloor - 1$  for each  $v_i \in W$ . Thus for each  $v_i \in W$ , we have

$$d(v_i) = e(v_i, G - P) + e(v_i, P) \leq \frac{1}{2}(k - r) - 1 + |W|.$$

By Corollary 3(ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v \in W} d(v) &> |W|(k - 2) - [e(W, G - P) + e(W, P - W)] \\ &\geq |W|(k - 2) - \left[ |W| \left( \frac{1}{2}(k - r) - 1 \right) + |W|(r - |W|) \right] \\ &= |W| \left( \frac{1}{2}(k - r) - 1 + |W| \right) \end{aligned}$$

which implies a vertex  $v$  in  $W$  has degree greater than  $\frac{1}{2}(k - r) - 1 + |W|$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

For natural numbers  $r, \ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$ , the double-broom  $DB(r, \ell_1, \ell_2)$  consists of an  $r$ -path  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  and  $\ell_1$  additional vertices adjacent to  $a_1$  and  $\ell_2$  additional vertices adjacent to  $a_r$ . We now prove Theorem 1.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $G$  is a graph with  $\bar{d}(G) > k - 2$ , then  $G$  contains every double-broom on  $k$  vertices.*

*Proof.* Let  $G'$  be a subgraph of  $G$  that is minimal with  $\bar{d}(G') > k - 2$ . If  $G'$  contains every double-broom on  $k$  vertices, then so does  $G$ . For this reason, we will simply assume that  $G$  is minimal with  $\bar{d}(G) > k - 2$ . For natural numbers  $r, \ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$ , let  $T$  be the double-broom  $DB(r, \ell_1, \ell_2)$ , where  $\ell_1 \geq \ell_2$  and  $r + \ell_1 + \ell_2 = k$ . It follows that  $\ell(a_r) \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(k - r) \rfloor$ . Let  $T'$  be the tree obtained from  $T$  by removing the leaf neighbors of  $a_r$  (the tree  $T'$  is called a broom).

Since  $\bar{d}(G) > k - 2$ , there is a vertex  $v_1 \in V(G)$  with degree least  $k - 1$ . Let  $P$  be a path in  $G$ , where  $P = v_1, \dots, v_r$  and  $v_r$  hits at least  $\lfloor \frac{1}{2}(k - r) \rfloor$  vertices outside of  $V(P)$  (possible by Corollary 7 and Lemma 8). Map the path  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  in  $T'$  to  $v_1, \dots, v_r$ , respectively, in  $G$ . Since  $e(v_r, V(G - P)) \geq \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(k - r) \rfloor$ , this mapping can be extended to an embedding of  $T'$  into  $G$ . Finally, since  $d(v_1) \geq k - 1$ , this embedding of  $T'$  into  $G$  can be extended to an embedding of  $T$  into  $G$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] N. Eaton and G. Tiner, On the Erdős-Sós Conjecture and Graphs with large minimum degree, *Ars Combinatoria* **95** (2010), pp. 373–382.
- [2] N. Eaton and G. Tiner, On the Erdős-Sós Conjecture for graphs having no path with  $k+4$  vertices, *Discrete Mathematics* **313(16)** (2013), pp. 1621–1629.
- [3] P. Erdős and T. Gallai, On maximal paths and circuits of graphs, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **10** (1959), pp. 337–356.
- [4] G. Fan and L. Sun, The Erdős-Sós conjecture for spiders, *Discrete Mathematics* **307** (2007), pp. 3055–3062.
- [5] A. McLennan, The Erdős-Sós Conjecture for Trees of Diameter Four, *J. of Graph Theory* **49** (2005), pp. 291–301.
- [6] P. Slater, S. Teo and H. Yap, Packing a Tree with a Graph of the Same Size, *J. of Graph Theory* **9** (1985), pp. 213–216.
- [7] L. Sun, The Erdős-Sós conjecture for spiders of diameter 9, *Australas. J. Combin.* **39** (2007), pp. 27–37.
- [8] G. Tiner, On the Erdős-Sós Conjecture for Graphs on  $n = k + 3$  Vertices, *Ars Combinatoria* **95** (2010), pp. 143–150.

- [9] D.B. West, Introduction to graph theory (2nd ed.), (2001).
- [10] M. Woźniak, On the Erdős-Sós Conjecture, *J. of Graph Theory* **21** (1996), pp. 229–234.
- [11] B. Zhou, A note on the Erdős-Sós Conjecture, *Acta Math. Sci.* **4** (1984), pp. 287–289.