## On quadrilaterals and 4-path in claw-free graphs \*

Qingsong Zou<sup>a, †</sup> Lili Wang<sup>b</sup> Guojun Li<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Xidian University, Xi'an, 710071, P.R.China <sup>b</sup>School of Economics and Management, Chang'an University, Xi'an, 710064, P.R.China <sup>c</sup>School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, 250100, P.R.China

#### Abstract

Let G be a claw-free graph of order 4k, where k is a positive integer. In this paper, it is proved that if the degree sum d(u)+d(v) is at least 4k-2 for every pair of nonadjacent vertices  $u,v\in V(G)$ , then G has a spanning subgraph consisting of k-1 quadrilaterals and a 4-path such that all of them are vertex-disjoint, unless G is isomorphic to  $K_{4k_1+2}\bigcup K_{4k_2+2}$  or  $K_{4k_1+1}\bigcup K_{4k_2+3}$ , where  $k_1\geq 0, k_2\geq 0, k_1+k_2=k-1$ . We further showed that the requirement about claw-free is indispensable and the degree condition is sharp.

**Keywords:** claw-free, vertex-disjoint, quadrilaterals, 4-path **MSC(2010):** 05C38, 05C70

### 1 Introduction

In this paper, all graphs are finite, simple and undirected. Any undefined notation follows that of Bondy and Murty [1]. Let G = (V, E) be a graph. We use V(G), E(G),  $\delta(G)$  to denote the vertex set, edge set and minimum degree in G respectively. Besides,  $\sigma_2(G) = \min\{d(x) + d(y) \mid x, y \in V(G), x \neq y, xy \notin E(G)\}$  is the minimum degree sum of nonadjacent vertices. The order of G is |G| and its size is e(G) = |E|. A set of graphs is said to be vertex-disjoint if no two of them have any common vertex. An

<sup>\*</sup>This work was supported by the TianYuan Special Funds of the National Natural Science Foundation of China(Grant No. 11226292) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities(Grant No. K5051370010, K5051306014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>The corresponding author: Qingsong Zou. E-mail: zqswll@gmail.com

independent set of the graph G is a set of vertices with no edge between them. For  $x \in V(G)$ ,  $N_G(x) = \{y \in V(G) \mid xy \in E(G)\}$ . If H is a subgraph of G, then  $N_H(x) = N_G(x) \cap V(H)$  and  $d(x, H) = |N_H(x)|$ . Suppose X and Y be two vertex-disjoint subgraphs of G or two disjoint subsets of V(G). We define G[X] to be the subgraph of G induced by X and e(X,Y) to be the number of edges between X and Y. A k-cycle is a cycle of order k, and a m-path is a path of order m. Particularly, a quadrilateral is a cycle of order 4 and a triangle is a cycle of order 3. For a k-cycle  $C = x_1x_2...x_kx_1$ ,  $x_ix_{i+1}(1 \le i \le k)$  is an integer) is an edge in C, where the subscript is reduced modulo k when it is larger than k.

For two vertex-disjoint graphs G and H,  $G \cup H$  is the union of G and H without adding any edge between G and H. A claw is a complete bipartite graph  $K_{1,3}$ . A graph is said claw-free if it does not contain an induced subgraph isomorphic to a claw. For two graphs G and H, we use  $G \simeq H$  to denote that G is isomorphic to H.

A long-standing conjecture on quadrilaterals comes from Erdős [2], which has been proved by Wang [6] recently.

**Theorem 1.1** (Erdős [2], Wang [6]) For a graph G of order n = 4k, where k is a positive integer, if the minimum degree  $\delta(G) \geq 2k$ , then G contains k vertex-disjoint quadrilaterals.

There are many results related to this theorem(see [3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10]). Among all the results, Yan and Liu [7] showed the following theorem in 2003.

**Theorem 1.2** (Yan and Liu [7]) Let G be a graph with |G|=4k, where k>0. If  $\sigma_2(G) \geq 4k-1$ , then G contains k-1 vertex-disjoint quadrilaterals and a 4-path such that all of them are vertex-disjoint.

We improve the above result by reducing the degree condition by 1 for claw-free graphs. Before giving our result, we define two types of exceptional graphs:  $M(k_1, k_2) = K_{4k_1+2} \bigcup K_{4k_2+2}$  and  $N(k_1, k_2) = K_{4k_1+1} \bigcup K_{4k_2+3}$ , where  $k_1 \geq 0$ ,  $k_2 \geq 0$ . Our main result is as follows.

**Theorem 1.3** Let k be a positive integer. If G is a claw-free graph with |G|=4k and  $\sigma_2(G) \geq 4k-2$ , then G has a spanning subgraph consisting of k-1 quadrilaterals and a 4-path such that all of them are vertex-disjoint, unless  $G \simeq M(k_1, k_2)$  or  $G \simeq N(k_1, k_2)$ , where  $k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq 0, k_1 + k_2 = k-1$ .

To illustrate that the condition of G being claw-free is indispensable, we consider a graph isomorphic to the complete bipartite graph  $K_{2k+1,2k-1}$ . Obviously,  $|K_{2k+1,2k-1}| = 4k$ ,  $\sigma_2(K_{2k+1,2k-1}) = 4k-2$  and  $K_{2k+1,2k-1}$ 

contains a claw. Since any quadrilateral and 4-path must contain two vertices of each partite set,  $K_{2k+1,2k-1}$  does not contain a spanning subgraph consisting of k-1 quadrilaterals and a 4-path such that all of them are vertex-disjoint. Since  $K_{2k+1,2k-1}$  contains an independent set with at least three vertices, it follows that  $K_{2k+1,2k-1}$  does not belong to the two types of graph  $M(k_1, k_2)$  or  $N(k_1, k_2)$ .

Furthermore, the degree condition of our result is sharp, just considering the graph  $(K_{4k_1+2}-e)\bigcup K_{4k_2+2}$ , denoted by S(k), where e is an edge in  $K_{4k_1+2}$ ,  $k_1+k_2=k-1, k_1>k_2\geq 0$ . Obviously, |S(k)|=4k,  $\sigma_2(S(k))=4k-3$  and S(k) is claw-free. Furthermore, S(k) does not contain a spanning subgraph consisting of k-1 quadrilaterals and a 4-path such that all of them are vertex-disjoint and S(k) does not belong to the two types of graph  $M(k_1,k_2)$  or  $N(k_1,k_2)$ .

In the next section, we give some useful lemmas. Then we prove our main result in Section 3.

#### 2 Technical lemmas

In this section, G is a graph of order  $n \geq 3$ .

**Lemma 2.1** (see [5]) Let C be a quadrilateral and let x and y be two distinct vertices of G not on C. Suppose  $d(x,C)+d(y,C) \geq 5$ , then  $G[V(C) \cup \{x,y\}]$  contains a quadrilateral C' and an edge e such that C' and e are vertexdisjoint and e is incident with exactly one of x and y.

**Lemma 2.2** (see [5]) Let C be a quadrilateral and let  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  be two paths of order 2 in G. Suppose C,  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are vertex-disjoint and  $e(C, P_1 \cup P_2) \geq 9$ . Then  $G[C \cup P_1 \cup P_2]$  contains a quadrilateral C' and a path P of order 4 such that C' and P are vertex-disjoint.

**Lemma 2.3** (see [5]) Let  $P_1, P_2$  be two vertex-disjoint paths of order 4 in G. If  $e(P_1, P_2) \geq 6$ , then  $G[V(P_1) \bigcup V(P_2)]$  contains a quadrilateral.

**Lemma 2.4** (see [5]) Let C be a quadrilateral and  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  be two paths of order 4 in G. Suppose C,  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are vertex-disjoint and  $e(C, P_1 \bigcup P_2) \geq 17$ , then  $G[V(C \bigcup P_1 \bigcup P_2)]$  contains two vertex-disjoint quadrilaterals.

**Lemma 2.5** (see [4]) Let  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  be two vertex-disjoint paths of order 4 in G. If  $G[V(P_1 \cup P_2)]$  doesn't contain a quadrilateral, then  $V(P_1 \cup P_2)$  can be partitioned into four pairs of nonadjacent vertices.

**Proof.** Denote  $P_1 = x_1x_2x_3x_4$  and  $P_2 = y_1y_2y_3y_4$ . Since  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  do not contain a quadrilateral, we have  $x_1x_4 \notin E(G)$  and  $y_1y_4 \notin E(G)$ . If  $e(\{x_2, x_3\}, \{y_1, y_4\}) \ge 1$ , without loss of generality, say  $x_2y_1 \in E(G)$ , then  $x_3y_2 \notin E(G)$  and  $x_2y_3 \notin E(G)$ . So  $\{x_1, x_4\}, \{y_1, y_4\}, \{x_2, y_3\}$  and  $\{x_3, y_2\}$  are four pairs of nonadjacent vertices. We can get the similar result if  $e(\{y_2, y_3\}, \{x_1, x_4\}) \ge 1$ . However, if  $e(\{x_2, x_3\}, \{y_1, y_4\}) = e(\{y_2, y_3\}, \{x_1, x_4\}) = 0$ , then  $\{x_2, y_1\}, \{x_3, y_4\}, \{y_2, x_1\}$  and  $\{y_3, x_4\}$  are four pairs of nonadjacent vertices. In each case,  $V(P_1 \cup P_2)$  can be partitioned into four pairs of nonadjacent vertices.

**Lemma 2.6** Let |D|=4. If G[D] does not contain a path of order 4, then  $e(D) \leq 3$ .

**Proof.** Assume to the contrary that  $e(D) \geq 4$ . Denote the four vertices of D to be x, y, z, w. Since  $e(D) \geq 4$ , without loss of generality(simply denoted by w.l.o.g. hereafter), assume  $xy \in E(G[D])$ . Suppose  $zw \in E(G[D])$ . Since  $e(D) \geq 4$ , it follows that  $e(xy, zw) \geq 2$ . Thus G[D] contains a path of order 4, a contradiction. Hence  $zw \notin E(G[D])$ . Since  $e(D) \geq 4$ , we have  $e(xy, zw) \geq 3$ . W.l.o.g., suppose  $\{xz, xw, yz\} \subseteq E(G[D])$ . Then G[D] contains a 4-path zyxw, a contradiction.

The following lemma is obvious.

**Lemma 2.7** If ab, cd are two vertex-disjoint edges in G,  $e(ab, cd) \geq 3$ , then G[a, b, c, d] contains a quadrilateral.

**Lemma 2.8** Let ab, cd be two vertex-disjoint edges and  $Q = x_1x_2x_3x_4x_1$  be a quadrilateral in G such that all of them are vertex-disjoint. Furthermore, suppose  $G[Q \cup ab \cup cd]$  does not contain a quadrilateral and a 4-path such that they are vertex-disjoint and  $e(ab, x_jx_{j+1}) = 2$ ,  $e(cd, x_jx_{j+1}) = 2$  for all  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . The following two statements hold:

- (i) If  $\{ax_1, ax_2\} \subseteq E(G)$ , then  $\{ax_3, ax_4\} \subseteq E(G)$ .
- (ii) If  $\{ax_1, bx_1\} \subseteq E(G)$ , then  $\{ax_3, bx_3\} \subseteq E(G)$ .
- **Proof.** (i) Suppose  $\{ax_1, ax_2\} \subseteq E(G)$ . Since  $e(ab, x_1x_2) = 2$ , it follows that  $e(b, x_1x_2) = 0$  and therefore  $bx_2 \notin E(G)$ . If  $bx_3 \in E(G)$ , then  $abx_3x_2a$  is a quadrilateral. Since  $e(x_1x_4, cd) = 2$ ,  $G[x_1, x_4, c, d]$  contains a 4-path. Therefore,  $G[Q \bigcup \{a, b, c, d\}]$  contains a quadrilateral and a 4-path such that they are vertex-disjoint, a contradiction. Hence  $bx_3 \notin E(G)$ . Since  $e(ab, x_2x_3) = 2$ , it follows that  $ax_3 \in E(G)$ . With the same proof,  $ax_4 \in E(G)$ .
- (ii) Suppose  $\{ax_1, bx_1\} \subseteq E(G)$ . Since  $e(ab, x_1x_2) = 2$  and  $e(ab, x_1x_4) = 2$ , it follows that  $e(ab, x_2) = 0$  and  $e(ab, x_4) = 0$ . Since  $e(ab, x_2x_3) = 2$ , we have  $e(ab, x_3) = 2$ . Thus,  $\{ax_3, bx_3\} \subseteq E(G)$ .

Lemma 2.9 Let G be a claw-free graph with |G|=4k and  $\sigma_2(G) \geq 4k-2$ , where k>1 is an integer. Suppose G contains k-1 quadrilaterals  $Q_1,Q_2,\ldots,Q_{k-1}$  and two vertex-disjoint edges  $e_1,e_2$  such that all of them are vertex-disjoint. If there exists  $Q_i=x_1x_2x_3x_4x_1$  such that  $e(e_1,x_jx_{j+1})=e(e_2,x_jx_{j+1})=2$  for all  $j\in\{1,2,3,4\}$ , then G has a spanning subgraph consisting of k-1 quadrilaterals and a 4-path such that all of them are vertex-disjoint.

**Proof.** Suppose on the contrary that G does not contain a spanning subgraph consisting of k-1 quadrilaterals and a 4-path such that all of them are vertex-disjoint. Denote  $e_1=xy,\ e_2=zw,\ D=G[e_1\bigcup e_2]$  and  $H=\bigcup_{l=1}^{k-1}Q_l$ . By symmetry, assume  $e(x,x_1x_2)\geq e(y,x_1x_2)$  and  $e(z,x_1x_2)\geq e(w,x_1x_2)$ . Obviously, e(D)=2 and there is no edge between  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ . We have  $G[e_l\bigcup \{x_j,x_{j+1}\}]$  does not contain a quadrilateral for every  $l\in\{1,2\}$  and  $j\in\{1,2,3,4\}$ , otherwise,  $G[D\bigcup Q_i]$  contains a quadrilateral and a 4-path such that they are vertex-disjoint, a contradiction.

Suppose  $e(x,x_1x_2)=2$ . By Lemma 2.8,  $e(x,Q_i)=4$  and  $e(y,Q_i)=0$ . Thus,  $x_1x_3 \in E$  and  $x_2x_4 \in E$  for otherwise G contains a claw. If  $e(z,x_1x_2)=2$ , then  $e(z,Q_i)=4$  and  $e(w,Q_i)=0$  from Lemma 2.8. This implies that  $e(\{y,w\},H-Q_i)\geq 4k-2-2=4(k-2)+4$ . Hence, there is  $Q_l\subseteq H-Q_i$  such that  $e(\{y,w\},Q_l)\geq 5$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $G[Q_l\bigcup\{y,w\}]$  contains a quadrilateral  $Q_l'$  and an edge e such that  $Q_l'$  and e are vertex-disjoint and e is incident with exact one of e and e w.o.l.g., let e=e and where e and a 4-path e and a 4-path e such that all of them are vertex-disjoint, a contradiction. Thus,  $e(z,x_1x_2)=e(w,x_1x_2)=1$ . Since e and e and e and e and e are vertex-disjoint, a contradiction. Thus, e and e and e and e are vertex-disjoint, a contradiction. Thus, e and e are vertex-disjoint, a sum e and e are vertex-disjoint, a contradiction. Then e are vertex-disjoint, a contradiction.

Thus,  $e(x,x_1x_2)=e(y,x_1x_2)=1$ . By the symmetry of  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ ,  $e(z,x_1x_2)=e(w,x_1x_2)=1$ . Since  $G[e_1\bigcup\{x_1,x_2\}]$  does not contain a quadrilateral, w.l.o.g., let  $x_1x\in E$  and  $x_1y\in E$ . By Lemma 2.8,  $e(x_3,e_1)=e(x_1,e_1)=2$ . Since  $G[e_2\bigcup\{x_1,x_2\}]$  does not contain a quadrilateral, it follows that either  $e(x_1,e_2)=2$  or  $e(x_2,e_2)=2$ . If  $e(x_2,e_2)=2$ , then  $e(x_4,e_2)=2$  from Lemma 2.8, which implies that  $G[D\bigcup Q_i]$  contains two vertex-disjoint quadrilaterals  $xx_3yx_1x$  and  $zx_2wx_4z$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $e(x_1,e_2)=2$ . By Lemma 2.8,  $e(x_3,e_2)=2$ . Since  $e(e_1,Q_i)=e(e_2,Q_i)=4$ , it follows that  $e(x_2,xy)=e(x_4,xy)=0$  and  $e(x_2,zw)=e(x_4,zw)=0$ . Hence  $G[\{x_1\}\bigcup\{y,x_2,w\}]$  is a claw, a contradiction.

#### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let G be a claw-free graph with |G|=4k and  $\sigma_2(G) \geq 4k-2$ . Suppose on the contrary that neither G contains a spanning subgraph consisting of k-1 quadrilaterals and a 4-path such that all of them are vertex-disjoint, nor  $G \simeq M(k_1,k_2)$  or  $G \simeq N(k_1,k_2)$  for any  $k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq 0, k_1+k_2=k-1$ . Let G be a maximal counterexample, that is, for any  $xy \notin E(G)$ , either G+xy contains a spanning subgraph consisting of k-1 quadrilaterals and a 4-path such that all of them are vertex-disjoint, or  $G+xy \simeq M(k_1,k_2)$  or  $G+xy \simeq N(k_1,k_2)$  for some  $k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq 0, k_1+k_2=k-1$ .

Claim 1. G contains k-1 vertex-disjoint quadrilaterals.

**Proof.** If  $G + xy \simeq M(k_1, k_2)$  or  $G + xy \simeq N(k_1, k_2)$  hold for any  $xy \notin E(G)$ , obviously G contains k-1 vertex-disjoint quadrilaterals. Therefore, we only need to consider the case that G + xy contains a spanning subgraph consisting of k-1 quadrilaterals and a 4-path such that all of them are vertex-disjoint for any  $xy \notin E(G)$ . So G either contains k-2 quadrilaterals and two 4-paths such that all of them are vertex-disjoint or contains k-1 vertex-disjoint quadrilaterals. Assume on the contrary that G doesn't contain k-1 vertex-disjoint quadrilaterals. Then G contains k-2 quadrilaterals and two 4-paths such that all of them are vertex-disjoint. Let  $Q_1, Q_2 \dots Q_{k-2}$  be the k-2 quadrilaterals and  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$  be the two 4-paths such that all of them are vertex-disjoint. Denote  $H = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-2} Q_i$ ,  $P_1 = x_1x_2x_3x_4$  and  $P_2 = y_1y_2y_3y_4$ . Since  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  do not contain a quadrilateral, we have  $e(P_1) \leq 4$  and  $e(P_2) \leq 4$ . Furthermore,  $e(P_1, P_2) \leq 5$  from Lemma 2.3. So  $\sum_{x \in V(P_1 \bigcup P_2)} d(x, P_1 \bigcup P_2) \leq 8 + 8 + 10 = 26$ . Since  $G[V(P_1 \bigcup P_2)]$  doesn't contain a quadrilateral, by Lemma 2.5, it follows that  $V(P_1 \bigcup P_2)$  can be divided into four pairs of nonadjacent vertices. So

$$\sum_{x \in V(P_1 \mid |P_2|)} d(x, H) \ge 4(4k - 2) - 26 = 16(k - 2) - 2.$$

By Lemma 2.4,  $\sum_{x \in V(P_1 \bigcup P_2)} d(x, Q_i) \le 16$  for all  $Q_i$  in H. Therefore

$$16(k-2)-2 \leq \sum_{x \in V(P_1 \cup P_2)} d(x,H) \leq 16(k-2).$$

So we have  $3 \le e(P_1) \le 4$ ,  $e(P_2) = 4$ ,  $e(P_1, P_2) = 5$  or  $e(P_1) = 4$ ,  $3 \le e(P_2) \le 4$ ,  $e(P_1, P_2) = 5$  or  $e(P_1) = 4$ ,  $e(P_2) = 4$ ,  $e(P_1, P_2) \le 5$ .

Assume  $e(P_1)=4$ ,  $e(P_2)=4$  and  $4\leq e(P_1,P_2)\leq 5$ . Without loss of generality, say  $\{x_1x_3,y_1y_3\}\subseteq E(G)$ . Since  $G[V(P_1\bigcup P_2)]$  does not contain a quadrilateral, we have  $e(x_1x_2x_3,y_1y_2y_3)\leq 1$ ,  $e(x_4,y_1y_2y_3)\leq 1$  and  $e(y_4,x_1x_2x_3)\leq 1$ . Since  $e(P_1,P_2)\geq 4$ , it follows that  $x_4y_4\in E(G)$ ,

 $e(x_4, y_1y_2y_3) = 1$  and  $e(y_4, x_1x_2x_3) = 1$ . Obviously,  $y_4x_1 \notin E(G)$ ,  $y_4x_2 \notin E(G)$  and so  $y_4x_3 \in E(G)$ . With the same proof,  $x_4y_3 \in E(G)$ . Now  $x_3x_4y_3y_4x_3$  is a quadrilateral, a contradiction.

Therefore, by symmetry, we have  $3 \le e(P_1) \le 4$ ,  $e(P_2) = 4$  and  $e(P_1, P_2) = 5$ . Without loss of generality, say  $y_1y_3 \in E(G)$ . Since  $e(P_1, P_2) = 5$ , there exists a vertex  $x_i \in V(P_1)$  such that  $d(x_i, P_2) = 2$ . By symmetry, say i = 2 or i = 1. If i = 2, then  $\{x_2y_3, x_2y_4\} \subseteq E(G)$  and  $d(x_1, P_2) = d(x_3, P_2) = 0$ . Since  $d(x_4, P_2) \le 2$ , we have  $e(P_1, P_2) \le 4$ , a contradiction. If i = 1, we can get a contradiction by a similar argument. Therefore, G contains k - 1 vertex-disjoint quadrilaterals.

By Claim 1, G contains k-1 vertex-disjoint quadrilaterals, denoted by  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_{k-1}$ . Denote  $H = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} Q_i, D = G - H$ . Obviously, D does not contain a 4-path. Now we choose k-1 quadrilaterals  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots Q_{k-1}$  such that the number of vertex-disjoint edges of D is maximum.

Claim 2.  $e(D) \geq 2$ .

**Proof.** By contradiction, suppose  $e(D) \leq 1$ . Then we can choose two vertices  $z, w \in V(D)$  such that d(z, D) + d(w, D) = 0. So  $d(z, H) + d(w, H) \geq 4k - 2 - 0 = 4(k - 1) + 2$ . Therefore, there exists  $Q_i \subseteq H$  such that  $d(z, Q_i) + d(w, Q_i) \geq 5$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $G[Q_i \cup \{z, w\}]$  contains a quadrilateral  $Q_i'$  and an edge e' such that they are vertex-disjoint. Replacing  $Q_i$  with  $Q_i'$ , we get  $H' = (H - Q_i) \cup Q_i'$ , D' = G - H'. Now D' contains more vertex-disjoint edges than D, contradicting our choice of  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2, \cdots Q_{k-1}$ .

In the following, let  $V(D)=\{x,y,z,w\}$  and xy be an edge in D.

Since D does not contain a 4-path, we have  $e(D) \leq 3$  from Lemma 2.6. Hence,

$$2 \le e(D) \le 3. \tag{1}$$

Now we divide the proof into the following two cases: e(D) = 2 or e(D) = 3.

Case 1. e(D) = 2.

In this case, we first prove that D contains two vertex-disjoint edges.

Claim 3.  $zw \in E(D)$  and therefore  $E(D) = \{xy, zw\}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $zw \notin E(D)$ . Since e(D)=2, w.o.l.g., say  $xz \in E(D)$ , we have d(z,D)+d(w,D)=1. So  $d(z,H)+d(w,H) \geq 4k-2-1=4(k-1)+1$ . Therefore, there exists  $Q_i \subseteq H$  such that  $d(z,Q_i)+d(w,Q_i) \geq 5$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $G[Q_i \bigcup \{z,w\}]$  contains a quadrilateral  $Q_i'$  and an edge e' such that they are vertex-disjoint. Replacing  $Q_i$  with  $Q_i'$ , we get  $H'=(H-Q_i) \bigcup Q_i'$ , D'=G-H'. D' contains two vertex-disjoint edges xy and e', contradicting our choice of  $Q_1, Q_2, \cdots Q_{k-1}$ .

For simplicity, denote  $e_1 = xy$ ,  $e_2 = zw$  hereafter. By Claim 3, E(D) =

 $\{xy, zw\}$ . Thus d(z, D) + d(w, D) + d(x, D) + d(y, D) = 4. Since  $xz \notin E(D)$  and  $yw \notin E(D)$ , we have

$$e(D, H) \ge 2(4k - 2) - 4 = 8(k - 1).$$
 (2)

Claim 4.  $e(D,Q_i)=8$  for each  $Q_i$ , where  $i=1,2\cdots,k-1$ . **Proof.** If there is a  $Q_i$  in H such that  $e(D,Q_i)\geq 9$ , by Lemma 2.2,  $G[V(Q_i)\cup D]$  contains a quadrilateral and a 4-path such that they are vertex-disjoint, a contradiction. So  $e(D,Q_i)\leq 8$  for each  $Q_i$  and therefore

$$e(D, H) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} e(D, Q_i) \le 8(k-1).$$

By (2), e(D, H) = 8(k-1). Thus,  $e(D, Q_i) = 8$  for each  $Q_i \subseteq H$ .

By Claim 4, for each  $Q_i \subseteq H$ ,  $e(e_1, Q_i) + e(e_2, Q_i) = 8$ .

Claim 5. For each  $Q_i \subseteq H$ , either  $e(e_1, Q_i) = 8$  and  $e(e_2, Q_i) = 0$  or  $e(e_2, Q_i) = 8$  and  $e(e_1, Q_i) = 0$ .

**Proof.** Suppose there exits  $Q_i \subseteq H$  such that  $e(e_1, Q_i) = e(e_2, Q_i) = 4$ . Denote  $Q_i$  to be  $x_1x_2x_3x_4x_1$ . Assume that  $e(e_1, x_1x_2) \ge 3$ . By Lemma 2.7,  $G[e_1 \bigcup \{x_1, x_2\}]$  contains a quadrilateral. Thus,  $G[e_2 \bigcup \{x_3, x_4\}]$  does not contain a 4-path, which implies  $e(e_2, x_3x_4) = 0$ . Since  $e(e_2, x_1x_2) = e(e_2, Q_i) = 4$ ,  $G[e_2 \bigcup \{x_1, x_2\}]$  contains a quadrilateral from Lemma 2.7. Hence  $e(e_1, x_3x_4) = 0$  and therefore  $e(e_1, x_1x_2) = 4$ . Now we get a claw  $G[\{x_2\} \bigcup \{y, w, x_3\}]$ , a contradiction. Hence  $e(e_1, x_1x_2) \le 2$ . By symmetry,  $e(e_1, x_jx_{j+1}) \le 2$  and  $e(e_2, x_jx_{j+1}) \le 2$  for every  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Since  $e(e_1, Q_i) = e(e_2, Q_i) = 4$ , it follows that  $e(e_1, x_jx_{j+1}) = 2$  and  $e(e_2, x_jx_{j+1}) = 2$  for all  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . By Lemma 2.9, G contains k-1 quadrilaterals and a 4-path such that all of them are vertex-disjoint, a contradiction.

Hence, either  $e(e_1,Q_i)\geq 5$  or  $e(e_2,Q_i)\geq 5$  for every  $i\in\{1,2,\ldots,k-1\}$ . By symmetry, say  $e(e_1,Q_i)\geq 5$ . Denote  $Q_i$  to be  $x_1x_2x_3x_4x_1$ . Then either  $e(e_1,x_1x_2)\geq 3$  or  $e(e_1,x_3x_4)\geq 3$  holds. Without loss of generality, say  $e(e_1,x_1x_2)\geq 3$ . By Lemma 2.7,  $G[e_1\bigcup\{x_1,x_2\}]$  contains a quadrilateral. This implies  $e(e_2,x_3x_4)=0$  for otherwise  $G[V(Q_i)\bigcup D]$  contains a quadrilateral and a 4-path such that they are vertex-disjoint. Since  $e(e_1,x_1x_2)\leq 4$  and  $e(e_1,Q_i)\geq 5$ , it follows that  $e(e_1,x_3x_4)\geq 1$ . Hence  $G[e_1\bigcup\{x_3,x_4\}]$  contains a 4-path. By Lemma 2.7,  $e(e_2,x_1x_2)\leq 2$ . Thus,  $e(e_2,Q_i)\leq 2$  and  $e(e_1,Q_i)\geq 6$ . If  $e(e_1,x_3x_4)\geq 3$ , then similarly as before,  $e(e_2,x_1x_2)=0$ . Hence,  $e(e_2,Q_i)=0$  and  $e(e_1,Q_i)=8$ , we complete our proof. Now assume  $e(e_1,x_3x_4)\leq 2$ . Since  $e(e_1,Q_i)+e(e_2,Q_i)=8$ , then

 $e(e_1, x_1x_2) = 4$ ,  $e(e_1, x_3x_4) = 2$  and  $e(e_2, x_1x_2) = e(e_2, Q_i) = 2$ . W.l.o.g., say  $zx_1 \in E$ . Then  $xx_3 \notin E$  and  $yx_3 \notin E$  for otherwise  $G[e_1 \bigcup \{x_2, x_3\}]$  contains a quadrilateral, which is vertex-disjoint with the 4-path  $wzx_1x_4$ . Since  $e(e_1, x_3x_4) = 2$ , we have  $e(e_1, x_4) = 2$ . Hence  $yx_4 \in E$  and therefore  $G[D \bigcup Q_i]$  contains a quadrilateral  $x_2x_3x_4yx_2$  and a 4-path  $xx_1zw$  such that they are vertex-disjoint, a contradiction.

Now we will complete the proof of Case 1 by proving that G is isomorphic to  $M(k_1,k_2)$ , where  $k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq 0, k_1 + k_2 = k - 1$ . By Claim 5, w.l.o.g., there exist  $k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq 0, k_1 + k_2 = k - 1$ , such that  $e(e_1,Q_i) = e(e_2,Q_j) = 8$ ,  $e(e_1,Q_j) = e(e_2,Q_i) = 0$ , where  $i \in \{1,2,\cdots,k_1\}, j \in \{k_1+1,k_1+2,\cdots,k_1+k_2\}$ . Denote  $H_1 = G[(\bigcup_{m=1}^{k_1}Q_m)\bigcup e_1], H_2 = G[(\bigcup_{l=k_1+1}^{k-1}Q_l)\bigcup e_2]$ .

We firstly show that  $e(H_1, H_2) = 0$ . If  $k_1 = 0$  or  $k_2 = 0$ , then  $e(H_1, H_2) = 0$  clearly. Now assume  $k_1 \geq 1$  and  $k_2 \geq 1$ . Obviously,  $e(e_1, H_2) = 0$  and  $e(e_2, H_1) = 0$ . Suppose there is an edge e between  $H_1 - x - y$  and  $H_2 - z - w$ , w.l.o.g, say  $e = p_1q_1$ , where  $p_1 \in V(Q_i)$ ,  $q_1 \in V(Q_j)$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k_1\}$ ,  $j \in \{k_1 + 1, k_1 + 2, \dots, k_1 + k_2\}$ . Denote  $Q_i = p_1p_2p_3p_4p_1$ ,  $Q_j = q_1q_2q_3q_4q_1$ . Then  $G[D \cup Q_i \cup Q_j]$  contains two quadrilaterals  $xyp_3p_4x$ ,  $zwq_3q_4z$  and a 4-path  $p_2p_1q_1q_2$  such that all of them are vertex-disjoint. Therefore, G has a spanning subgraph consisting of k-1 quadrilaterals and a 4-path such that all of them are vertex-disjoint, a contradiction. Thus  $e(H_1, H_2) = 0$  holds.

Now choose two vertices  $v \in V(H_1)$  and  $u \in V(H_2)$  arbitrarily. Since  $e(H_1, H_2) = 0$ , we have  $d(v, G) = d(v, H_1) \le |H_1| - 1 = 4k_1 + 1$  and  $d(u, G) = d(u, H_2) \le |H_2| - 1 = 4k_2 + 1$ . Therefore,  $d(v, G) + d(u, G) \le 4k - 2$ . On the other hand, Since  $vu \notin E(G)$ , it follows that  $d(v, G) + d(u, G) \ge 4k - 2$ . Therefore,  $d(v, H_1) = |H_1| - 1$  and  $d(u, H_2) = |H_2| - 1$ . Since we choose  $v \in V(H_1)$  and  $u \in V(H_2)$  arbitrarily,  $H_1$  is isomorphic to  $K_{4k_1+2}$  and  $H_2$  is isomorphic to  $K_{4k_2+2}$ . So G is isomorphic to  $M(k_1, k_2)$ , a contradiction, which completes the proof in Case 1.

Case 2. e(D) = 3.

As we denoted before, D = G - H,  $V(D) = \{x, y, z, w\}$  and xy is an edge in D. If  $zw \in E(G)$ , then e(xy, zw) = 1. Obviously, G[D] contains a 4-path, a contradiction. Therefore,  $zw \notin E(G)$  and we have  $e(xy, \{z, w\}) = 2$ . If  $\{xz, xw\} \subseteq E(G)$ , then G[D] is a claw, contradicting the condition G is claw-free. Therefore, we have  $\{xz, yz\} \subseteq E(G)$  by symmetry. Then G[D] contains a triangle xyzx and a vertex w, where e(xyz, w) = 0. Note that D has exactly one vertex-disjoint edge in Case 2.

Obviously, d(w, D) + d(z, D) = 2. Since  $wz \notin E(G)$ , we have

$$d(w,H) + d(z,H) \ge 4k - 2 - 2 = 4(k-1). \tag{3}$$

If there exists  $Q_i$  such that  $d(w,Q_i)+d(z,Q_i)\geq 5$ , then by Lemma 2.1,  $G[Q_i\bigcup\{w,z\}]$  contains a quadrilateral  $Q_i'$  and an edge e such that they are vertex-disjoint. Replacing  $Q_i$  with  $Q_i'$ , we get  $H'=(H-Q_i)\bigcup Q_i'$ , D'=G-H'. Now D' contains two vertex-disjoint edges xy and e, contradicting our choice of  $Q_1, Q_2, \cdots, Q_{k-1}$ . Therefore  $d(w,Q_i)+d(z,Q_i)\leq 4$  for each  $Q_i\subseteq H$ . Then

$$d(w,H) + d(z,H) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (d(w,Q_i) + d(z,Q_i)) \le 4(k-1).$$
 (4)

By (3) and (4), we have  $e(\{w,z\},Q_i)=4$  for any  $Q_i\subseteq H$ . With the same proof,  $e(\{w,x\},Q_i)=4$  and  $e(\{w,y\},Q_i)=4$  for each  $Q_i\subseteq H$ . Therefore for each  $Q_i\subseteq H$ 

$$e(\{w, x\}, Q_i) = e(\{w, y\}, Q_i) = e(\{w, z\}, Q_i) = 4.$$
 (5)

Claim 6. For each  $Q_i \subseteq H$ , either  $d(w, Q_i) = 4$  or  $d(w, Q_i) = 0$ .

**Proof.** Suppose on the contrary that  $1 \le d(w,Q_i) \le 3$  for some  $Q_i \subseteq H$ . Denote  $Q_i$  to be  $x_1x_2x_3x_4x_1$ . We firstly claim there exist two vertices p,  $q \in V(D-w)$  and a vertex  $h \in V(Q_i)$  such that  $ph \in E$  and  $qh \in E$ . If  $d(w,Q_i) \le 2$ , then  $e(D-w,Q_i) \ge 6$  by (5) and the claim holds obviously. Now assume  $d(w,Q_i)=3$ , w.l.o.g., say  $\{x_2,x_3,x_4\}\subseteq N_{Q_i}(w)$ . Then  $e(D-w,x_1x_3)=0$  for otherwise  $G[D\bigcup Q_i]$  contains a quadrilateral and a 4-path such that they are vertex-disjoint. By (5),  $d(x,Q_i)=d(y,Q_i)=d(z,Q_i)=1$ . Therefore,  $e(D-w,x_2x_4)=e(D-w,Q_i)=3$ , which implies that there exist two vertices p, q in V(D-w) and a vertex  $h \in \{x_2,x_4\}$  such that  $ph \in E$  and  $qh \in E$ .

Since  $ph \in E$  and  $qh \in E$ , it follows that G[D+h-w] contains a quadrilateral, denoted by  $Q_i'$ . If  $d(w,Q_i-h)>0$ , then  $G[Q_i+w-h]$  either is a claw or contains a 4-path, which is vertex-disjoint with the quadrilateral  $Q_i'$ , a contradiction. Hence  $wh \in E$  and  $d(w,Q_i)=1$ . By (5),  $d(p,Q_i)=d(q,Q_i)=3$ . Thus  $e(pq,Q_i-h)\geq 6-2=4$ , which implies there exists a vertex  $b\in V(Q_i-h)$  such that  $pb\in E$  and  $qb\in E$ . Therefore, G[D+b-w] contains a quadrilateral, denoted by  $Q_i''$ . Since  $d(w,Q_i-b)=1>0$ , we have  $G[Q_i+w-b]$  either is a claw or contains a 4-path, which is vertex-disjoint with the quadrilateral  $Q_i''$ , a contradiction.

Now we will show that G is isomorphic to  $N(k_1, k_2)$  and complete our proof. By Claim 6, w.l.o.g., there exist two integers  $k_1 \geq 0$ ,  $k_2 \geq 0$ ,  $k_1 + k_2 = k - 1$  such that  $d(w, Q_t) = 4$  and  $d(w, Q_j) = 0$ , where  $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, k_1\}$ ,  $j \in \{k_1 + 1, k_1 + 2, \dots, k_1 + k_2\}$ . Let  $H_1 = G[(\bigcup_{m=1}^{k_1} Q_m) \bigcup \{w\}]$ ,  $H_2 = \{k_1 + 1, k_2 + 2, \dots, k_m\}$ 

 $G[(\bigcup_{j=k_1+1}^{k-1}Q_j)\bigcup\{x,y,z\}].$ 

We firstly show that  $e(H_1, H_2) = 0$ . If  $k_1 = 0$  or  $k_2 = 0$ , then  $e(H_1, H_2) = 0$  obviously. Now assume  $k_1 \ge 1$  and  $k_2 \ge 1$ . By (5),  $d(x, Q_j) = d(y, Q_j) = d(z, Q_j) = 4$  and  $d(x, Q_t) = d(y, Q_t) = d(z, Q_t) = 0$ , where  $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, k_1\}, j \in \{k_1 + 1, k_1 + 2, \dots, k_1 + k_2\}$ . Thus  $e(w, H_2) = 0$  and  $e(\{x, y, z\}, H_1) = 0$ . Suppose there exists an edge e between  $H_1 - w$  and  $H_2 - \{x, y, z\}$ , w.o.l.g., say  $e = p_1 q_1$ , where  $p_1 \in V(Q_t)$ ,  $q_1 \in V(Q_j)$ ,  $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, k_1\}, j \in \{k_1 + 1, k_1 + 2, \dots, k_1 + k_2\}$ . Denote  $Q_t = p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4 p_1$  and  $Q_j = q_1 q_2 q_3 q_4 q_1$ . Then  $G[D \bigcup Q_t \bigcup Q_j]$  contains two quadrilaterals  $w p_2 p_3 p_4 w$ ,  $x q_2 q_3 q_4 x$  and a 4-path  $p_1 q_1 y_2$  such that all of them are vertex-disjoint. Therefore, G contains a spanning subgraph consisting of k-1 quadrilaterals and a 4-path such that all of them are vertex-disjoint, a contradiction. Thus  $e(H_1, H_2) = 0$  holds.

Now choose two vertices  $v \in V(H_1)$  and  $u \in V(H_2)$  arbitrarily. Since  $e(H_1, H_2) = 0$ , we have  $d(v, G) = d(v, H_1) \le |H_1| - 1 = 4k_1$  and  $d(u, G) = d(u, H_2) \le |H_2| - 1 = 4k_2 + 2$ . Thus  $d(v, G) + d(u, G) \le 4k - 2$ . On the other hand, Since  $vu \notin E(G)$ , it follows that  $d(v, G) + d(u, G) \ge 4k - 2$ . Therefore,  $d(v, H_1) = |H_1| - 1$  and  $d(u, H_2) = |H_2| - 1$ . Since we choose  $v \in V(H_1)$  and  $u \in V(H_2)$  arbitrarily,  $H_1$  is isomorphic to  $K_{4k_1+1}$  and  $H_2$  is isomorphic to  $K_{4k_2+3}$ . Note that  $e(H_1, H_2) = 0$ , then G is isomorphic to  $N(k_1, k_2)$ , a contradiction. This completes the whole proof.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the referees for their careful reading and suggestion for the revision of this paper.

# References

- [1] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, Graph theory with applications, North-Holland, New York, 1976.
- [2] P. Erdős, Some recent combinatroial problems, Technical Report, University of Bielefeld, November 1990.
- [3] R. Johansson, On the bipartite case of El-Zahárs conjecture, Discrete Mathematics **219** (2000), 123-134.
- [4] F. Li, S. Li, F. Liang, The number of quadrilaterals in a graph satisfying the given degree condition, Journal of Shandong University(Natural Science) 43 (2008), no. 2, 12-15.
- [5] B. Randerath, I. Schiermeyer, H. Wang, On quadrilaterals in a graph, Discrete Mathematics 203 (1999), 229-237.

- [6] H. Wang, Proof of the Erdős-Faudree conjecture on quadrilaterals, Graphs and Combinatorics 26 (2010), 833-877.
- [7] J. Yan, G. Liu, Quadrilaterals and paths of order 4 in graphs, Acta Mathematica Scientia Ser. A 23 (2003), no. 6, 711-718.
- [8] D. Zhang, H. Wang, Disjoint directed quadrilaterals in a directed graph, J. Graph Theory **50** (2005), 91-104.
- [9] Q. Zou, G. Li, A. Dong, Ore-type conditions for bipartite graphs containing hexagons, Discrete Mathematics 311 (2011), 1658-1665.
- [10] Q. Zou, G. Li, S. Li, On quadrilaterals in a bipartite graph, Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing 81 (2012), 161-164.